

"BAIL AGENTS ASSURE JUSTICE"

Kansas Bail Agents Association

2947 N. Athenian Ave., Wichita, Kansas 67204

TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SENATE BILL 319

Rick Morey President Wichita, Kansas

Shane Rolf Executive Vice-President Olathe, Kansas

Dennis Berndt Treasurer Salina, Kansas

JC. Loewen Vice-President Newton, Kansas

Paul Forbes Secretary Parsons, Kansas

David Stuckman At-Large Director Manhattan Kansas

Bill Warfield At-Large Director Wichita, Kansas

Charles Stimatze At-Large Director Junction City, Kansas

Michael Crow At-Large Director Wichita, Kansas

Randy "Razz" McCarty At-Large Director Wichita, Kansas

Tommy Hendrickson At Large Director Topeka, Kansas

Alan Stimatze KS West Liaison Director Syracuse, Kansas

My name is Shane Rolf, I have been a bail bondsman in Olathe, Kansas, for the

past 30+ years. I am the Executive Vice President of the Kansas Bail Agents Association. I am providing this testimony on behalf of the KBAA in Support of Senate Bill 319.

K.S.A. 22-2809 currently allows a bond surrender to be done "in any county in the state." This creates headaches when a surety surrenders someone in a jail several counties away from where he is being actively prosecuted. This is particularly problematic for law enforcement when the surrender takes place and no active warrant exists for the defendant from the charging jurisdiction. We agree that a surety should deliver a defendant to the county that is prosecuting him. Evidently, some surety has abused the statewide surrender option that this statute currently affords. We do not have a problem with limiting that.

However, prior to speaking with the proponents of this bill, we were concerned that the current proposed language creates a potential for misinterpretation under certain circumstances.

The Problem:

February 3, 2020

While most bonds are "issued" in the county that has jurisdiction over the case, a small percentage of bonds (perhaps 5-15% depending on the area) are actually written in another county when the defendant is arrested in that second county due to a warrant from the charging county. This is referred to as a "returnable bond" and is authorized under statute. This is beneficial to everyone and I don't believe anyone wants this to change.

However, the current proposed language requires the defendant to be surrendered in the county "where such bond was issued." This could create a comical situation where the surety has to physically return the defendant to the county where he was initially bonded, despite that county having no direct involvement with the underlying case, rather than the surety delivering him to the county that is actually prosecuting him.

We have spoken with the proponents of this legislation and it is our understanding that they will be offering amended language to clarify that any surrender needs to occur in the jurisdiction that is actually prosecuting the defendant without creating the potential requirement of surrendering the defendant in a county that has no active involvement in the underlying case.

Conclusion

If the statewide surrender prerogative is being abused, we wholeheartedly support a change to prevent this and would urge the committee to adopt the amended language.

Shane Rolf

Executive Vice-President

Kansas Bail Agents Association