

SESSION OF 2018

**SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE ON HOUSE BILL NO. 2526**

As Amended by House Committee on  
Commerce, Labor and Economic Development

**Brief\***

HB 2526, as amended, would revise the Rules and Regulations Filing Act pertaining to economic impact statements, new authority granted to the Director of the Budget (Director) to review and approve proposed rules and regulations, the composition and powers of the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules and Regulations (Joint Committee), the membership of the State Rules and Regulations Board, and an evaluation that would be conducted by the Legislative Division of Post Audit regarding the implementation of the new provisions contained in the bill.

***Economic Impact Statements***

The bill would revise the contents of the economic impact statement that accompanies a state agency's proposed rule and regulation or, if applicable, the proposed amendment to an existing rule and regulation. Currently, an economic impact statement includes a description of:

- The proposed rule and regulation;
- The extent to which the proposed rule and regulation is required by federal law;
- The cost, including the government agencies and other persons who will bear the expense; and
- The less intrusive or less costly methods to achieve the same end and the reasons for rejecting those methods.

---

\*Supplemental notes are prepared by the Legislative Research Department and do not express legislative intent. The supplemental note and fiscal note for this bill may be accessed on the Internet at <http://www.kslegislature.org>

The bill would delete references to the descriptions of cost and alternative means and require instead an economic impact statement to include quantified cost-benefit analyses that would be performed by the state agency and the Director. If a state agency's proposed rule and regulation chose to address a policy issue differently than what an agency of a neighboring state or the federal government adopted, the state agency would be required to include an explanation as to why the Kansas policy would differ.

The economic impact statement would include an analysis that would address the following factors:

- The extent to which the rule and regulation would enhance or restrict business activities and growth;
- The economic effect on the Kansas economy, including specific businesses, business sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local units of government;
- The businesses that would be affected directly;
- The benefits compared to the cost;
- Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact on businesses and economic development within the state, local units of government, and individuals;
- An estimate of the total annual implementation and compliance costs, which would be expressed as a single dollar amount, that would be expected to be absorbed by businesses, local units of government, or members of the public, and which would include an agency determination of whether these costs would exceed \$5.0 million over a two-year period; and
- An estimate of the total implementation and compliance costs, which would be expressed as a single dollar amount, that would be expected to be

absorbed by businesses, local units of government, and individuals.

In addition, the bill would require state agencies to consult and solicit information from businesses, business associations, local governmental units, state agencies or institutions, and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rules and regulations or that may provide relevant information.

### ***Approval Process by the Director of the Budget***

Prior to an agency submitting a proposed rule and regulation to the Secretary of Administration (Secretary) and the Attorney General, as required by law, the agency would send the proposed rule and regulation to the Director, who would conduct an independent analysis, using the factors specified above, to determine whether the costs incurred by non-state government entities would be \$5.0 million or less over a two-year period. The Director would approve the proposed rule and regulation for submission to the Secretary and Attorney General if it would be determined that the impact would be less than or equal to \$5.0 million. If the impact would exceed \$5.0 million, the Director could either disapprove the proposed rule and regulation or approve it, provided the agency had conducted a public hearing prior to submitting the proposed rule and regulation and found the costs have been accurately determined and would be necessary for achieving legislative intent.

Starting with the 2019 Legislative Session, the Director would submit an annual report to the Legislature and the Joint Committee that would include the text of each rule and regulation reviewed, the final economic impact statement, and a summary of the analysis supporting the Director's decision. If the Legislature is in session, the Director would submit a separate report to the Legislature and the Joint Committee regarding the Director's decision involving a proposed rule and regulation determined to cost more than \$5.0 million over a two-year period.

### ***Composition and Powers of the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules and Regulations***

A ranking minority member would be designated for the Joint Committee by the minority leader of the Senate or House, as would be applicable, so that the chairperson and the ranking minority member would be from the same chamber. Following each of its meetings where comments, recommendations, and concerns are expressed while reviewing proposed rules and regulations, the Joint Committee would issue a report to the Legislature. The report would be made available to each agency that had proposed rules and regulations. If it would be impractical to finalize a report in time for an agency's public hearing on the proposed rules and regulations, a preliminary report would be made available to the agency. In that case, the preliminary report would be made part of the final report, and it would be made available to each agency.

### ***Membership of the State Rules and Regulations Board***

The membership of the State Rules and Regulations Board, which is responsible for approving temporary rules and regulations, would increase from five members to six members. The bill would add the ranking minority member of the Joint Committee. The Board currently consists of the Attorney General, Secretary of State, Secretary of Administration, and the chairperson and vice-chairperson of the Joint Committee. Each member has the discretion to designate a replacement, and the bill would grant the same ability to the ranking minority member.

### ***Evaluation***

In 2021, the Legislative Post Audit Committee would direct the Legislative Division of Post Audit to conduct an audit that would study:

- The accuracy of economic impact statements submitted by state agencies for the preceding seven years;
- The impact the Director's review has had on the accuracy of economic impact statements; and
- Whether the \$5.0 million threshold would be the appropriate level to trigger an additional public hearing.

### **Background**

The bill was introduced by the Joint Committee. Representatives Carmichael and Highland, who both serve on the Joint Committee, spoke in favor of the bill at the hearing before the House Committee on Commerce, Labor and Economic Development. They said the legislation would clarify and strengthen the Legislature's authority over the promulgation process for both permanent and temporary rules and regulations. A representative of the Kansas Chamber spoke in favor of the bill, suggesting an amendment that would require a more thorough economic impact statement and suspend implementation of a proposed rule and regulation costing \$3.0 million or more without prior legislation enacted specifically to authorize the rule and regulation. An attorney, speaking as an individual and not representing any client, spoke in favor of the bill and supported the amendment suggested above, explaining that while the Department of Administration has a manual for the production of economic impact statements, agencies either do not follow the manual's guidelines or the agencies' analyses are vague.

There was no other testimony.

The House Committee amended the bill to:

- Authorize the Director to approve proposed rules and regulations;

- Authorize quantified cost-benefit analyses, addressing several factors, to be conducted by the state agency and the Director;
- Require an additional public hearing if the cost of a proposed rule and regulation is more than \$5.0 million over two years; and
- Replace the proposed language, which would have allowed the Joint Committee to communicate its comments, concerns, recommendations, and approval or disapproval directly with state agencies, with a provision requiring the Joint Committee to report its comments, recommendations, and concerns to the Legislature and make the report available to the respective state agency.

According to the fiscal note prepared by the Division of the Budget, in consultation with Legislative Administrative Services and the Office of the Secretary of State, the bill, as introduced, would increase expenditures by \$2,272 from the State General Fund for FY 2019 for meetings of the State Rules and Regulations Board due to the inclusion of another legislator on the Board's membership. The fiscal note assumes the Board would meet four times per year and the salary, subsistence, and mileage rates for FY 2019 would apply. As to the filing requirements with the Secretary of State, that office indicates the bill could be accomplished within existing resources. Any other fiscal effect associated with the bill, as amended, was not known when the House Committee took action.