Testimony to House Committee on Appropriations HB 2430 – Tobacco Securitization June 1, 2017 Dave Trabert, President

Chairman Waymaster and Members of the Committee,

We appreciate this opportunity to present written testimony as a proponent of HB 2430. We have presented the idea of "Tobacco Securitization" throughout this session as part of a larger solution to the budget puzzle. While this idea may not be an ideal policy solution it is certainly better than asking Kansans for more of their hard-earned money in the form of a permanent tax increase.

Again, we have outlined these larger budget solutions throughout the 2017 session to this and other committees in both Chambers. Below you will find a recent blog post we completed with updated numbers highlighting that tobacco securitization can be an appropriate piece of a larger "no tax hike" fiscal plan. Thank you for your consideration.

KANSAS BUDGET CAN BE BALANCED WITHOUT A TAX INCREASE

https://kansaspolicy.org/kansas-budget-can-balanced-without-tax-increase/ By: Dave Trabert, president of Kansas Policy Institute May 13, 2017

Ronald Reagan could have been speaking of the 2017 Kansas Legislative Session when he said, "Government is like a baby. An alimentary canal with a big appetite at one end and no sense of responsibility at the other."

Despite knowing that state spending is morbidly inefficient (27 percent more per-resident than states without an income tax) and is \$1.1 billion above long-term inflation, Democrats and many Republicans insist that citizens must be sent back the Tax Dark Ages because baby wants an enormous tax increase. The most recent Kansas budget profile from the House of Representatives, however, shows that no tax increase is necessary.

The House Appropriations Committee has whittled the shortfall to just \$396 million over the next two years. (Media knows this but aside from perhaps Hawver's News Service, it hasn't been reported.) The Governor's revenue adjustments in

House Committee Action as o	of R	Aay 1 (mill	ion	is)		
Description	FY 2018		FY 2019			
Beginning balance	\$	50.0	\$	-		
Revenue Estimate April 2017	\$	5,687.6	\$	5,564.4		
PMIB idle funds transfer	\$	88.1	\$	=		
HMO premium tax extension	\$		\$	(72.5)		
House revenue adjustments	\$	(5.1)	\$	(4.1)		
Gov. revenue adjustments	\$	340.3	\$	559.2		
Available Revenue	\$	6,160.9	\$	6,047.0		
Gov's Spending Recommendations	\$	6,238.0	Ş	6,197.7		
KPERS changes	\$	•	\$			
KPERS layering	\$	6.4	\$	6.4		
HB 2364 Mega Bill expenditures	\$	12.6	\$	88.7		
House Omnibus adjustments	\$	59.4	\$	(5.1)		
Adjusted Expenditures	\$	6,316.4	\$	6,287.7		
Ending Balance	\$	(155.5)	\$	(240.7)		
Source: Kansas Legislative Research						

the adjacent table includes highway transfers consistent with prior years and eliminates \$200 million in phony transfers that were never going to happen (something else media knows but won't

WWW.KANSASPOLICY.ORG | WWW.KANSASOPENGOV.ORG

report). The Governor's spending recommendations include deferring some KPERS pension funding payments and cost saving recommendations from the Alvarez and Marsal efficiency study.

There are multiple options remaining to balance the Kansas budget, have healthy ending balances and have a little left over to resolve the school funding issue. Securitizing (selling) future tobacco settlement revenues would generate at least \$265 million each year. Collecting a royalty from the Turnpike Authority of 15 percent of toll revenue is would increase revenue by \$33 million over two years. The 2016 audited financial report shows KTA had a \$37 million dollar 'profit' last year and finished the year with \$120 million in cash and receivables.

There are also two big options to reduce costs. Local school districts began this year with a recordsetting \$911 million in unencumbered cash reserves, much of which represents aid collected in

prior years but not spent. Alvarez and Marsal recommended cash reserves be limited to a maximum of 15 percent of current operating costs, with any excess being deducted from the following year's state funding. Based on 2016 spending, doing so would produce a one-time savings of \$196.5 million next year.

Options to Balance the Budget	with	out Tax li	ncre	ases	
Description	F	FY 2018		FY 2019	
Tobacco Securitization	\$	265.0	\$	265.0	
Turnpike Royalty	\$	16.0	\$	17.0	
K-12 Cash Reserves	\$	196.5	\$	-	
Spending reductions by Governor	\$	100.0	\$	100.0	
Total	\$	577.5	\$	382.0	

Last year, the Legislature required the Governor to make spending reductions at the beginning of this fiscal year and the same could be done again. Reducing baseline costs by \$100 million (about 3 percent of General Fund spending other than K-12) would produce \$200 million in savings over two years.

The combination of these revenue enhancements and spending reductions provides a \$960 million menu of options to close a \$396 million shortfall. Some of those options may not be ideal policy but each is much better than foisting a large, unnecessary tax increase on citizens.

A number of newly-elected legislators are openly saying their goal is to impose an enormous tax increase and they don't care if doing so means they won't be re-elected. The unspoken part is that they effectively were 'hired' by the school lobby to take Kansas back to the Tax Dark Ages and they intend to give them their money's worth. These legislators are well aware that only 4 percent of citizens say a personal income tax hike should be the primary means of balancing the budget. Still, they want citizens to pay hundreds of millions more annually in addition to nearly \$200 million more per year by repealing the exemption on pass-through income for the small businesses that are creating 98 percent of new job growth.

Requiring government to operate more efficiently generates a lot of backlash from the entrenched bureaucracy and special interests, making it politically easier to vote for tax increases. But President Reagan would say it's time for legislators to act responsibly on behalf of citizens and balance the Kansas budget without a tax increase.