SESSION OF 2015

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE ON HOUSE BILL NO. 2215

As Recommended by House Committee on <u>Elections</u>

Brief*

HB 2215 would modify the Kansas Campaign Finance Act to authorize the transfer of campaign funds to a new candidacy for any other office established by the same candidate, provided all debt has been retired in the original candidacy.

The bill would:

- Permit a candidate or candidate committee to transfer campaign funds to a bona fide successor committee or candidacy for public office established by the candidate, but only after all debts, liabilities and expenses are satisfied in the original candidacy;
- Define "bona fide successor committee or candidacy" as either of the following:
 - The candidate's campaign committee or candidacy initiated at the termination of the original candidacy; or
 - The candidate's campaign committee or candidacy initiated at the time of the transfer of money to a new committee or candidacy after all debt or other liability has been satisfied;

^{*}Supplemental notes are prepared by the Legislative Research Department and do not express legislative intent. The supplemental note and fiscal note for this bill may be accessed on the Internet at http://www.kslegislature.org

- Define "public office" as any state or local office. (Current law defines "state office" to include state officers elected on a statewide basis, members of the house of representatives and state senators, members of the state board of education, district judges, district magistrate judges and district attorneys. Current law defines "local office" to be a member of the governing body of a city of the first class, unified school district with a population of 35,000 or more pupils, a county, or the Kansas City Board of Public Utilities.);
- Modify the definition of "contribution" to exclude the transfer of campaign funds to a bona fide successor committee or candidacy; and
- Enact new law to deem all campaign transfers occurring between January 1, 1976 and the day before the effective date of this act to be in compliance with the Campaign Finance Act in existence at the time of the transfer, regardless of when the original campaign fund is closed after the transfer is made.

Background

Cole v. Mayans: Kansas Supreme Court Overturns KGEC Interpretation of the Law

On December 15, 2003, the Kansas Supreme Court ruled that the Campaign Finance Act (Act) prohibited former State Representative Carlos Mayans from transferring unused legislative campaign funds to his campaign for election to be mayor of Wichita. This ruling came after the Kansas Governmental Ethics Commission (KGEC) had issued several opinions, over a number of years, stating such transfers were permitted under the Act. Former Representative Mayans had sought and received such an opinion. He also received an opinion from the Wichita city

attorney that the transfer would not violate a Wichita ordinance dealing with campaign finance.

The Supreme Court, in *Cole v. Mayans and Kenton*, Kansas Supreme Court Case No. 89,715, disagreed with the KGEC's interpretation and overruled the trial court and the Court of Appeals, stating:

"We hold that the Campaign Finance Act and the related regulations, when coupled with the purpose for the Campaign Finance Act, must be construed to limit the transfer of campaign contributions from a candidate's campaign account for a specific office to the same candidate's campaign account for election to that same office. Thus, there are only two situations in which the transfer can be made. The first is when an incumbent runs for reelection to the same office. The second is when a candidate loses an election for a specific office but seeks reelection to the same office in a subsequent election." (Opinion Pg. 16)

The Supreme Court further suggested the Legislature (a) define the term "bona fide successor candidacy," which currently is contained (but not defined) in KGEC administrative rules and regulations, and (b) require the KGEC to promulgate rules and regulations for the "orderly return of contributions to donors who have contributed to a candidate for a specific office but do not want to contribute to the same candidate if he or she decides to run for a different office."

Legislative History

Beginning with the 2004 Legislative Session, eleven bills addressing this issue have been considered. Two of the bills passed both chambers and were vetoed: 2004 H. Sub. SB

376 and 2006 SB 142. Both of these bills contained other provisions.

The eleven bills have differed in their detail. The current bill (2015 HB 2215), as introduced, would bring the law into conformity with the KGEC interpretation prior to the Supreme Court ruling in *Cole v. Mayans*.

The Current Bill

The bill was introduced by the House Committee on Elections. The KGEC Executive Director was the sole conferee, testifying neutrally about the history behind the bill.

The fiscal note prepared by the Division of the Budget indicates the bill would have no fiscal effect on the KGEC.