

January 29, 2015

The Honorable John Barker, Chairperson
House Committee on Judiciary
Statehouse, Room 149-S
Topeka, Kansas 66612

Dear Representative Barker:

SUBJECT: Fiscal Note for HB 2002 by Representative Sloan

In accordance with KSA 75-3715a, the following fiscal note concerning HB 2002 is respectfully submitted to your committee.

HB 2002 would expand the definition of sexually explicit conduct as used under the crime of sexual exploitation of a child. Sexually explicit conduct would include appearance in the nude with or without the knowledge of the victim.

The Office of Judicial Administration indicates that because HB 2002 expands the definition of the crime it is possible that there would be more trials in district courts and requests for appeals in appellate courts. This would cause judicial and non-judicial staff to spend more time processing, researching, and hearing cases. Additionally, any increases to the number of cases or appeals would increase the amount of docket fees collected. However, it is not possible to predict the number of additional court cases that would arise or how complex and time-consuming they would be. Therefore, a precise fiscal effect cannot be determined.

The Kansas Sentencing Commission states that the bill would have no effect on prison admissions, prison beds, or the workload of the agency. Any fiscal effect associated with HB 2002 is not reflected in *The FY 2016 Governor's Budget Report*.

Sincerely,



Shawn Sullivan,
Director of the Budget

cc: Scott Schultz, Sentencing Commission
Mary Rinehart, Judiciary
Jeremy Barclay, DOC