



Kansas State Firefighters Association Inc.

Organized August 13, 1887

Fire District Tax Levy Issue

The Issue: Fire Districts in Kansas are limited by KSA 19-3610 to 5 mills unless an election is held and then the mill levy may go up to 7 mills. All levies are set by the Board of County Commissioners.

Background: When reappraisal and classification of property occurred in the mid 1980's the legislature suspended ~~the~~ fund levy limitations and instituted an aggregate dollar limit for all taxing subdivisions. When KSA 79-5040 was adopted in 1999, suspending all mill levy limitations and doing away with the aggregate dollar limit, it was generally believed that this also affected KSA 19-3610. Attorney General Morrison, however, opined in Opinion No. 2007-34 that KSA 79-5040 did not suspend the 5 mill limit since the language of KSA 19-3610 was more specific than some fund levy limitations in that it required an election for the Board of Commissioners to move the levy beyond 5 mills. Many fire districts, especially those with a small tax base, those that are attempting to build up equipment funds, or those that are making plans for full time personnel, find that the 5 mill levy limit is very limiting.

Proposal: Amend KSA 19-3610 to remove the levy limitation, leaving the levy determination up to the discretion of the Board of County Commissioners.

Note: This restriction only affects fire districts. It does not affect city or township fire departments, nor several other specialized statutes covering the establishment of a fire department.

SENATE RESOLUTION No. 1836

By Committee on Assessment and Taxation

3-24

9 A RESOLUTION requesting the Secretary of Revenue to seek a de-
10 claratory judgment from a court of competent jurisdiction as to
11 whether the Tax Reform and Relief Act of 1999 and K.S.A. 79-5040
12 suspended procedural limitation requirements such as election
13 requirements when the Legislature suspended levy limitations for spe-
14 cial taxing districts across the State of Kansas.

15
16 WHEREAS, The tax lid enacted as K.S.A. 79-5021 et seq. was consid-
17 ered an important component of the statewide program of reappraisal
18 implemented in 1989 to assure that local units of government could not
19 reap a windfall by holding mill levies constant while enjoying increased
20 valuations resulting from the implementation of the statewide reappraisal
21 program; and

22 WHEREAS, In 1999, as a part of the Tax Reform and Relief Act of
23 1999, the Legislature of the State of Kansas allowed the tax lid to sunset
24 and enacted K.S.A. 79-5040, which suspended all existing statutory mill
25 levy rate and aggregate levy rate limitations and other procedural limi-
26 tation requirements associated therewith for special taxing districts across
27 the State of Kansas; and

28 WHEREAS, The legislature intended that when such legislation sus-
29 pended such levy limitations, the procedural limitations associated there-
30 with such as election requirements were to be suspended as well in order
31 to assure the desired result expressed through such legislation and such
32 election requirements were replaced with the "Truth in Taxation" re-
33 quirement regarding acknowledgment resolutions that must be passed by
34 certain local units of government when property taxes are increased from
35 one year to the next year; and

36 WHEREAS, Special taxing districts across the state have operated un-
37 der the belief that when the existing statutory mill levy rate and aggregate
38 levy rate limitations were suspended so to suspended were the procedural
39 limitation requirements associated therewith; and

40 WHEREAS, Special taxing districts across the state have exceeded the
41 suspended statutory levy limitations without elections under the correct
42 belief that such elections were suspended as well; and

43 WHEREAS, Since the enactment of K.S.A. 79-5040, legislation has

1 been introduced to impose election requirements on special taxing sub-
2 divisions with clear statements from proponents and opponents express-
3 ing the understanding that K.S.A. 79-5040 suspended the election pro-
4 visions in the statutory fund mill levy provisions for taxing subdivisions;
5 and

6 WHEREAS, Attorney General Opinion 2002-36 and other opinions
7 have held that K.S.A. 79-5040 did not suspend the election requirements
8 contradicting the Legislative intent and nearly 10 years of practice by
9 special taxing districts across the State of Kansas; and

10 WHEREAS, Several persuasive arguments were not addressed in the
11 Attorney General Opinions that would compel a different conclusion
12 which would be consistent with the Legislative intent of the Tax Reform
13 and Relief Act of 1999 and K.S.A. 79-5040; and

14 WHEREAS, The Attorney General Opinions should have distin-
15 guished between a front end election requirement and an election that
16 must be held as a result of a protest petition. A front end election to
17 exceed suspended levy limitations is as much a limitation as the levy lim-
18 itations were in the first place and in effect reimposes the suspended
19 statutory levy limitation and nullifies the Legislature's clear intent to sus-
20 pend such levy limitations; and

21 WHEREAS, The Attorney General Opinions did not analyze the
22 "Truth in Taxation" provision of the legislation enacting K.S.A. 79-5040
23 which substituted the requirement to hold a front end election with a
24 requirement for the taxing subdivision to adopt a resolution or ordinance
25 to exceed the preceding year's budget, and then the electorate could
26 respond to the tax increase with a petition calling for an election. This
27 "Truth in Taxation" requirement coupled with a front end election re-
28 quirement as determined by the Attorney General Opinions is inconsis-
29 tent and counter intuitive; and

30 WHEREAS, K.S.A. 79-5040 suspends statutory levy limitations and
31 the meaning of the term limitations has not been specifically determined
32 in the Attorney General Opinions. The term limitations as used in that
33 statute was broadly intended to include both levy limitations and any other
34 procedural limitation requirements such as an election requirement. To
35 ignore this interpretation of the term limitations frustrates the clear Leg-
36 islative intent behind the Tax Reform and Relief Act of 1999 and reim-
37 poses the levy limitations on special taxing districts; and

38 WHEREAS, This information and these arguments related to the Leg-
39 islative intent behind the passage of the Tax Reform and Relief Act of
40 1999 and K.S.A. 79-5040 in particular need to be fully considered to carry
41 out the clear intent of the Legislature in those enactments, and judicial
42 analysis and consideration is necessary to provide a more appropriate and
43 consistent interpretation of the law: Now, therefore,

1 *Be it resolved by the Senate of the State of Kansas:* That we request
2 the Secretary of Revenue to seek a declaratory judgment from a court of
3 competent jurisdiction based on the information and arguments ex-
4 pressed herein and on other relevant sources as to whether the Tax Re-
5 form and Relief Act of 1999 and K.S.A. 79-5040 suspended procedural
6 limitation requirements such as election requirements associated when
7 the Legislature suspended levy limitations for special taxing districts
8 across the State of Kansas. A determination by the court that the election
9 requirements were suspended with the levy limitations would carry out
10 the intent of the Legislature behind those enactments and bring a con-
11 sistent and common sense interpretation of the law; and
12 *Be it further resolved:* That the Secretary of the Senate shall send an
13 enrolled copy of this resolution to the Secretary of Revenue.