

Unreasonableness of Kansas assessments of commercial properties:

Property: 1144 N St. Francis

History:

Appraised values - 2012 - \$410,240, 2013 – \$386,240, 2015 - \$143,800

Marketed by Weigand for over a year

Bought at auction in January of 2013 for \$120,000, a validated sale

Appealed by owner and adjusted value to \$234,860

Actively marketed by Weigand for 2 years at \$225,000 – no offers

Appealed by Tax Adjustment Specialists

Paid \$150 fee to Court of Tax Appeals

Owner pays Tax Adjustment Specialist Fee

I understood the county's tax assessment is to be at "fair market value". In the past I have appealed the valuation of properties that I bought at lower than assessed value and the assessment has been adjusted to market value i.e. the purchase price. I expected the same with this property.

Instead I have spent a good bit of time and incurred considerable expense and the property is still appraised 20% above my purchase price.

The explanation offered in the decision of my appeal by the hearing officer is contrary to past practices of recognizing fair market value. I would think that it is in the best interest of the county to have vacant property put back in play. Investors willing to try to put it back in play should be encouraged and not discouraged by unreasonable treatment in the Court of Tax Appeals. When the property is back in play the assessment can be adjusted to reflect fair market value.

George Pearson
2542 Plumthicket Ct.
Wichita< KS 67226
January 20, 2015