

## WRITTEN REMARKS

TO:

The Honorable Dennis Hedke, Chair

And Members of the House Energy & Environment Committee

FROM:

Whitney Damron

On behalf of Iberdrola Renewables, LLC

RE:

HB 2373 – Renewable Energy Portfolio Standards

DATE:

March 18, 2014

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:

On behalf of Iberdrola Renewables, LLC, I respectfully submit these remarks for the Committee's consideration in opposition to HB 2373 that would repeal the state's Renewable Portfolio Standard Act effective January 1, 2016.

Iberdrola Renewables, LLC is headquartered in Portland, Oregon and is a subsidiary of Iberdrola S.A., Spain's number one energy group and one of the world's top power companies. Iberdrola S.A. traces its roots back more than 150 years and employs more than 31,000 people in nearly 40 countries.

Iberdrola Renewables is the second largest wind operator in the United States with more than 5,800 MW in operation or under contract in 18 states, including Kansas.

In Kansas, the company owns and operates the Elk River Wind Farm located in Butler County. Elk River is a 150 MW wind farm and all of the power generated at this facility is delivered to The Empire District Electric Company through a 20-year purchase power agreement (PPA). Elk River became fully operational on December 15, 2005.

Iberdrola Renewables currently has a 200 MW project under development in Rush and Ness Counties, which is called the South Ridge Project.

In regard to HB 2373, Iberdrola would ask the Committee to consider the following points:

- In-state demand for electricity is the key to new Iberdrola projects being constructed in Kansas. While great progress has been made at the Southwest Power Pool on expanding transmission in the Midwest, exportation of wind energy remains limited due to transmission constraints.
- History is replete of peaks and valleys for various forms of energy. At one time or another, coal, nuclear and natural gas were the favored energy production source. Over reliance on a single source can lead to market volatility and higher prices depending upon varying factors (i.e., disposal of spent nuclear fuel, emissions from coal plants, availability of natural gas, etc.). America and Kansas benefits from utilizing broad-based energy sources, including wind energy.

919 South Kansas Avenue Topeka, Kansas 66612-1210

- When the Legislature debated legislation designed to aid the construction of the Holcomb electric generation plant, one of the primary arguments made by proponents was the need for "regulatory certainty." The state's current RPS were incorporated into that bill with the support of the electric industry in Kansas and as testimony to House and Senate Committees has indicated, the major electric companies are on track to meet the 2016 and 2020 standards. Amending or repealing the state's RPS at this time sends the wrong message to wind developers that Kansas is not a friendly state for wind development.
- Repeal of the state's RPS also undercuts the long-term opportunity for Kansas to see additional wind development by discouraging adoption and implementation of RPS laws in other states.

Iberdrola Renewables would suggest now is not the time to change course in the state's movement towards a cleaner, low-cost and reliable energy source. Federal initiatives are likely to increase the country's need for cleaner power and wind energy will necessarily be a part of the solution.

Opponents to wind energy suggest its development is a major cost driver for utility rates. The evidence does not support that contention.

In a February 10, 2013 article in the <u>Topeka Capital-Journal</u>, a spokesman for Westar Energy said, "the company had been one of the statehouse leading builders and buyers of renewable energy since 2008. The rate impact of the RPS has been "relatively small" compared to the cost of complying with federal environmental regulations on old coal plants."

Westar's comments underscore the non-debatable reality that higher costs for electricity are driven substantially more by environmental rules and regulations than the cost of renewable generation. Wind energy does not suffer from the same kind of regulatory impacts.

Should the continued development of wind energy and compliance with the state's RPS lead to significantly higher electric rates, the Kansas Corporation Commission and Kansas utilities already have the tools in statute to mitigate such costs in rate cases before the Commission.

HB 2373 is at best premature, given the state of compliance by those impacted by the law. If deemed necessary by affected parties, the Legislature can evaluate the 20 percent requirement by 2020 at a later point in time.

On behalf of Iberdrola Renewables, LLC, I thank you for your consideration of their comments on SB 2372.

**WBD** 

###

For more information on Iberdrola Renewables, LLC and Iberdrola, S.A., I invite you to review their website at:

www.iberdrolarenewables.us