Ed Jaskinia President (913) 207-0567



Gary Olds Vice President (Zone 2)

James Dunn Vice President (Zone 1)

P.O. Box 4221 · Topeka, Kansas 66604-0221

Kevin Kimmel Vice President (Zone 3)

The Associated Landlords of Kansas (TALK) was created in 1981 by landlords in Kansas to "Promote a strong voice in the legislature, a high standard of ethics, and provide educational opportunities for landlords." Some of our members helped create The Residential Landlord-Tenant Act of 1975, a model of fair law for both landlords and tenants.

In this 2016 legislative session, we will continue to promote property rights, and fair and decent housing for all.

Testimony on HB 2665

- 1) The purpose of this Bill is to protect the rights of Tenants to refuse "routine" intrusions into their homes in the guise of "protecting" them.
- 2) It forbids local Government agencies from threatening to declare the Tenants home "uninhabitable", "unfit", or otherwise require removal of the lawful occupants if the Tenant refuses the routine inspections.
- 3) It forbids local Government agencies from using "Administrative Search Warrants" to enter a Tenants home to search for code violations in an effort to avoid using a regular Search Warrant which requires probable cause. (lines 4-8)
- 4) It excludes property that is not privately owned, such as a public housing authority. (line 6)
- 5) It protects the Tenants right to ask for an inspection by a local Government agency if the Tenant feels that the home may be unsafe. (lines 13-16)
- 6) It requires that the local Government contact the Landlord before scheduling a Tenant requested inspection to ensure that the Tenant is not in the middle of eviction proceedings. (lines 16-19)

NOTHING in this Bill prohibits a local Government from enacting or operating a Rental License program as long as the above conditions are met.

If we can be of help to you in any area concerning property rights, tenants, or landlords, please feel free to contact us at your convenience.

Ed Jaskinia, President Ed@kansaslandlords.com (913) 207-0567

Zone 1

Landlords of Lawrence Inc.
Landlords of Johnson County, Ks Inc.
K.C.KS. Landlords Inc., Wyandotte Co.
Eastern Kansas Landlords Assoc., Miami Co.
Franklin Co. Landlords Assoc.
Osage Co. Landlords Assoc.

Zone 2

Landiords of Manhattan Inc.
Labette Co. Landiords Assoc.
Geary County Landiords Inc.
Shawnee Co. Landiords Assoc.
Salina Rental Property Providers Inc.
South Central Kansas Landiord Assoc.
Serving Sumner and Reno Counties

Zone 3

Central Kansas Landlords Assoc.
Bourbon County Landlords Assoc.
Cherokee County Landlords Assoc.
Crawford County Landlords Assoc.
Montgomery County Landlords Assoc.
Rental Owner Inc., serving Sedgwick County

Columbus, Ohio • Mar 01, 2016 • 44° Overcast

The Columbus Dispatch

Rental inspections ruled unconstitutional

HELPERS LINKS

- > Corrections
- > Lottery
- ➤ Obituaries
- > Weather

CINCINNATI - A federal judge has ruled that a southern Ohio city's inspections of rental properties without

Search

HEADLINES FROM THISWEEKNEWS.COM

- ➤ Planning commission approves new Huntington
- > Business owner trying to make some noise about sound wall

THE CITY BLOG

> New report shows Americans sacrifice to pay for housing

LOCAL STORIES FROM THISWEEK

- ➤ German Village Commission votes 3-3 on Rockmill Tavern proposal
- > Leaders mult law requiring kids to wear bike helmets
- > Westerville teen overcomes injury to compete
- > Teachers: Arts help students fit in, find their
- ➤ BRAVO! fundraiser applauds merger, strides in
- ➤ Residents await word from city leaders
- > The Avenue Steak Tavern to open at Bridge Park
- ≯ Arca leaders: Ginther's speech hit right notes
- > New program designed to help veterans transition

By Dan Sewell

probable cause.

ASSOCIATED PRESS . Sunday October 4, 2015 4:44 AM

a warrant are unconstitutional. U.S. District Judge Susan Dlott agreed last week with property owners who last year sued the Ohio River city

of Portsmouth, contending that the city's rental-dwelling code violated their constitutional protections to due

process and against unreasonable searches by forcing them to allow inspections without warrants describing

Court documents show that city officials explained that much of Portsmouth's housing stock dates to post-World War II construction, and the wave of foreclosures during the Great Recession resulted in many old homes sitting vacant for long periods, then being converted into rental properties.

City officials said many families were living in unsafe and unsanitary conditions, so a new reutal-dwelling code adopted in 2012 required buying rental permits and allowing inspections.

"This code is to protect the public health, safety and welfare of occupants in all rental dwellings," the city stated at the time.

The code required rental-property owners to apply for a permit to rent their property, subject to codeenforcement approval. Annual license fees started at \$50.

Diott said that while securing public health, safety and welfare is a valid and important government concern, she found that the warrantless inspections "impact a substantial privacy interest ... (and) are also significantly intrusive" and "unreasonable."

Maurice Thompson, executive director of the Columbus-based 1851 Center for Constitutional Law, which represented property owners in the case, called the ruling a victory for both property owners and tenants by protecting them from "suspicion-less" inspections. He also called such rental codes "back-door tactics" to collect revenue.

"Local government agents do not have unlimited authority to force entry into Ohioans' homes or businesses,"

Dlott's order also said the property owners are entitled to seek repayment of inspection fees related to unconstitutional inspections.

The Portsmouth Daily Times reported that City Solicitor John Haas said he'll discuss the ruling with the city insurance carrier's legal counsel and other city officials before deciding how the city will proceed.

The city revised its rental-dwelling rules last year after the lawsuit was filed, and Haas said he interprets the ruling as describing acceptable rules that appear to follow the amended ordinance.

Dlott's ruling noted that the code had been amended, but said the court "expresses no opinion on the constitutionality of or any other claim" from the revised ordinance.

MORE ARTICLES



Favorite Print Story

You May Like

Sponsored Links by Taboola