

THE SECRETARY OF EDUCATION WASHINGTON, DC 20202

CC: Dale, Brad, Chery I W.
Judi

RECEIVED

July 19, 2012

JUL 3 4 REC'D 2012:

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE KSDE

Honorable Diane DeBacker Commissioner of Education Kansas State Department of Education 120 SE 10th Avenue Topeka, KS 66612

Dear Commissioner DeBacker:

I am pleased to approve Kansas' request for ESEA flexibility, subject to Kansas' meeting the condition described below. I congratulate you on submitting a request that demonstrates Kansas' commitment to improving academic achievement and the quality of instruction for all of the State's elementary and secondary school students.

Last fall, the U.S. Department of Education (Department) offered States the opportunity to request flexibility from certain requirements of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA), as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, in exchange for rigorous and comprehensive plans designed to improve educational outcomes for all students, close achievement gaps, increase equity, and improve the quality of instruction. This flexibility is intended to support the groundbreaking reforms already taking place in many States and districts that we believe hold promise for improving outcomes for students. We are encouraged by the innovative thinking and strong commitment to improving achievement for all students that is evident in Kansas' request.

Our decision to approve Kansas' request for ESEA flexibility, subject to Kansas' meeting the condition discussed below, is based on our determination that the request meets the four principles articulated in the Department's September 23, 2011, document titled ESEA Flexibility. In particular, Kansas has: (1) demonstrated that it has college- and career-ready expectations for all students; (2) developed, and has a high-quality plan to implement, a system of differentiated recognition, accountability, and support for all Title I districts and schools in the State; (3) committed to developing, adopting, piloting, and implementing teacher and principal evaluation and support systems that support student achievement; and (4) provided an assurance that it will evaluate and, based on that evaluation, revise its administrative requirements to reduce duplication and unnecessary burden on districts and schools. Our decision is also based on Kansas' assurance that it will meet these four principles by implementing the high-quality plans and other elements as described in its request and in accordance with the required timelines. In approving Kansas' request, we have taken into consideration the feedback we received from the panel of peer experts and Department staff who reviewed Kansas' request, as well as Kansas' revisions to its request in response to that feedback.

Senate Ways and Means Committee
Date: 05-31-13
Attachment #: 9

The waivers that comprise ESEA flexibility are being granted to Kansas pursuant to my authority in section 9401 of the ESEA. A complete list of the statutory provisions being waived is set forth in the table enclosed with this letter. Consistent with section 9401(d)(1) of the ESEA, I am granting waivers of these provisions through the end of the 2012–2013 school year. If Kansas meets the condition described below prior to the end of the 2012–2013 school year, Kansas may request an extension of these waivers through the end of the 2013–2014 school year. At that time, Kansas, like other States with approved requests, may request an additional extension of these waivers through the 2014–2015 school year.

In the coming days, you will receive a letter from Deborah Delisle, Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education, containing additional information regarding Kansas' implementation of ESEA flexibility, as well as information regarding monitoring and reporting. Please note that the Department will closely monitor Kansas' implementation of the plans, systems, and interventions detailed in its request in order to ensure that all students continue to receive the assistance and supports needed to improve their academic achievement.

Our decision to place a condition on the approval of Kansas' request is based on the fact that Kansas' plan to develop and adopt guidelines for teacher and principal evaluation and support systems does not include a commitment to adopt a method for including student growth as a significant factor as part of those guidelines by the end of the 2011–2012 school year. Rather, Kansas has committed to submitting guidelines that include all other necessary elements by the end of the 2011–2012 school year, and indeed has already submitted those guidelines for review, and to submitting final guidelines that include the State's method for including student growth as a significant factor by the end of the 2012–2013 school year. However, we have determined that Kansas is able to fully meet the ESEA flexibility principles in the 2012–2013 school year while Kansas pilots the use of student growth as a significant factor in its teacher and principal evaluation and support systems, which will inform the final guidelines to be submitted at the end of that year and will enable districts in Kansas to pilot evaluation and support systems consistent with those guidelines no later than the 2013–2014 school year.

To receive approval to implement ESEA flexibility through the end of the 2013–2014 school year, Kansas must submit to the Department for review and approval an amended request incorporating its final guidelines for teacher and principal evaluation and support systems consistent with all requirements for these systems under principle 3 of ESEA flexibility. If Kansas' amended request fails to demonstrate that its final method for determining a teacher's or principal's summative evaluation rating includes student growth as a significant factor, the waivers being granted to Kansas through ESEA flexibility will expire at the end of the 2012–2013 school year, and Kansas and its districts will be required to immediately resume complying with all ESEA requirements.

Kansas continues to have an affirmative responsibility to ensure that it and its districts are in compliance with Federal civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination based on race, color, national origin, sex, disability, and age in their implementation of ESEA flexibility as well as their implementation of all other Federal education programs. These laws include Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Age

Discrimination Act of 1975, and requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

A copy of Kansas' approved request for ESEA flexibility will be posted on the Department's Web site at: http://www.ed.gov/esea/flexibility/requests. Again, I congratulate you on the approval of Kansas' request for ESEA flexibility and thank you for the work that you and your staff have done. I look forward to continuing to support you as you implement Kansas' ESEA flexibility request and work to improve the quality of instruction and academic achievement for all students.

Sincerely,

Arne Duncan

Enclosure

cc: Governor Sam Brownback
Judi Miller, Assistant Director of Federal Programs

Provisions Waived Through Approval of Kansas' Request for ESEA Flexibility			
ESEA SECTION ¹	DESCRIPTION	Notes	
STATE-LEVEL I	RESERVATION FOR SCHOOL IMPROVEME	ENT	
1003(a)	Requires State educational agency (SEA) to reserve 4 percent of its Title I, Part A allocation for school improvement activities and to distribute at least 95 percent to local	The reservation is not waived; SEA may distribute section 1003(a) funds to LEAs for use in priority and focus schools	
Govern Tyron	educational agencies (LEAs) for use in Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, and restructuring		
	VEMENT GRANTS	Weissey normality CDA to assent CTO	
1003(g)	Requires SEA to award School Improvement Grant (SIG) funds to LEAs with Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring	Waiver permits SEA to award SIG funds to an LEA to implement one of the four SIG models in any priority school	
2013-2014 TIM	ELINE		
1111(b)(2)(E)- (H)	Establishes requirements for setting annual measurable objectives (AMOs)	Waiver permits SEA to set new ambitious but achievable AMOs	
ADEQUATE YEA	ARLY PROGRESS (AYP) DETERMINATION	NS .	
1116(a)(1)(A)- (B) and 1116(c)(1)(A)	Requires SEA and its LEAs to make AYP determinations for LEAs and schools, respectively		
WITHIN-DISTRI	CT ALLOCATIONS		
1113(a)(3)-(4) and (c)(1)	Requires LEA to rank and serve eligible schools according to poverty and allocate Title I funds to schools in rank order of poverty	Waiver permits LEA to serve with Title I funds a Title I-eligible high school with a graduation rate below 60 percent that the SEA identified as a priority school even if that school does not rank sufficiently high to be served based solely on the school's poverty rate	
SCHOOLWIDE P	OVERTY THRESHOLD		
1114(a)(1)	Requires 40 percent poverty threshold to be eligible to operate a schoolwide program	Waiver permits LEA to operate a schoolwide program in a priority school or a focus school with less than 40 percent poverty that is implementing a schoolwide intervention	

¹ The corresponding regulations that implement these statutory provisions are also waived. Any ESEA statutory provision not listed in this table is not waived.

Provisions Waived Through Approval of Kansas'					
Corroor Trenn	Request for ESEA Flexibility				
SCHOOL IMPRO	OVEMENT REQUIREMENTS				
1116(b)	Requires LEA to identify schools for	1116(b)(13), which requires LEA to			
(except	improvement, corrective action, and	permit a child who has transferred to			
(b)(13))	restructuring with corresponding	remain in the choice school through			
	requirements	the highest grade in the school, is not			
X 77 (X		waived			
	MENT REQUIREMENTS	<u> </u>			
1116(c)(3) and	1 -				
(5)-(11)	improvement and corrective action				
11221	with corresponding requirements				
1116(e)	Requires SEA and LEAs to take a				
	variety of actions to offer				
	supplemental educational services to				
	eligible students in schools in				
	improvement, corrective action,				
	restructuring				
	FOR STATE ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT A	WARDS PROGRAM			
1117(b)(1)(B)	Limits the schools that can receive	Waiver allows funds reserved for State			
	Title I, Part A funds reserved for State	awards program to go to any reward			
	awards program	school			
HIGHLY QUALI	FIED TEACHER PLAN ACCOUNTABILITY	AGREEMENT REQUIREMENT			
2141(c)	Requires SEA/LEA agreement on use	Waiver includes existing agreements			
	of Title II, Part A funds for LEAs that	and applies to restrictions on hiring			
	miss AYP for three years and fail to	paraprofessionals under Title I, Part A			
}	make progress toward reaching annual				
	objectives for highly qualified teachers				
	N TRANSFERABILITY OF FUNDS				
6123(a)	Limits to 50 percent the amount an	Waiver applies to the percentage			
	SEA may transfer from a covered	limitation, thereby permitting SEA to			
	program into another covered program	transfer up to 100 percent from a			
	or into Title I, Part A	covered program			
6123(b)(1)	Limits to 50 percent or 30 percent the	Waiver applies to the percentage			
	amount an LEA may transfer from a	limitations as well as to the			
	covered program into another covered	restrictions on the use of transferred			
.	program or into Title I, Part A	funds			
6123(d)	Requires modification of plans and				
, ,	notice of transfer				
6123(e)(1)	Transferred funds are subject to the	Waiver permits an LEA to exclude			
	requirements of the program to which	funds transferred into Title I, Part A			
	they are transferred	from the base in calculating any set-			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	aside percentages			
		and porcontagos			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Provisions Waived Through Approval of Kansas' Request for ESEA Flexibility RURAL SCHOOLS				
6224(e)	Requires SEA to permit LEAs that fail to make AYP to continue to receive a Small, Rural School Achievement grant only if LEA uses funds to carry out ESEA section 1116	,		
21 ST CENTURY COMMUNITY LEARNING CENTERS (CCLC)				
4201(b)(1)(A), 4204(b)(2)(A)	Require a community learning center to provide activities during non-school hours or periods when school is not in session	Waiver permits an eligible entity to provide 21 st CCLC activities to support expanded learning time during an expanded school day, week, or year in addition to activities during nonschool hours or periods when school is not in session		