



Therefore I urge you to vote NO to modify the state's RPS, and continue to allow this industry to grow within your state. The RPS is modest, and has been easily met by the utilities. With a very low rate impact cap, the RPS is less a mandate than it is a goal. I view a repeal of the RPS as a no win situation for the state of Kansas. Because wind is so cost competitive the utilities are far ahead of their RPS goals. A repeal of the RPS would not change any utility behavior in the coming years, but would absolutely send a strong negative signal that will likely cripple the emerging export market and all the associated economic development benefits that accompany it. To my knowledge not one of the 30 states with a RPS has modified or eliminated that important policy. Kansas would be the first, sending a shockwave through our industry by saying, "thank you for your \$3 billion investment in 2012, but you aren't welcome here any more." So I ask this committee, if the utilities are meeting and exceeding the RPS goals, then why risk this massive downside by sending such a negative signal. If your overall objective is to create jobs and attract investment to your state, then you should be looking at ways to strengthen the RPS and other policies related to wind energy. We're making incredible progress every day to expand opportunities within the state of Kansas. Please allow us to do our job by maintaining this vital long-term state policy.

Thank you very much for your time and attention to this issue.

Kind regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Matt Riley", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Matt Riley
Chief Executive Officer, Infinity Wind Power