

Sherry C. Diel, Executive Director

Real Estate Commission

Sam Brownback, Governor

Memo To:

House Commerce, Labor and Economic Development Committee

From:

Sherry C. Diel, Executive Director

RE:

HB 2122—Real estate brokers and salespersons; pertaining to licensure and technical

amendments

Date:

February 7, 2013

Chairperson Kleeb and members of the Committee, on behalf of the Kansas Real Estate Commission, thank you for the opportunity to present testimony as a **proponent** of HB 2122.

HB 2122 would amend five statutes under the Real Estate Commission's jurisdiction

The Kansas Real Estate Commission requested introduction of HB 2122 largely as a technical cleanup bill to address some issues that have been raised in licensure and disciplinary matters and recently, concerning the approval of continuing education providers.

1. K.S.A. 58-3046a

- Sections (e), (f) and (k)—Updated references from "additional instruction" to "continuing education" [See Page 2, Lines 20, 29-30, and 34; Page 3, Lines 33-34].
- Section (h)(2)—Update the terminology from "vo-tech" to "technical college" to be consistent with changes that were made to K.S.A. 72-4412 in 2011. [See Page 3, Lines 3-4]
- Add Section (h)(6)—to allow the Commission to approve continuing education providers that offer real estate curriculum. The Kansas Board of Regents no longer wishes to extend jurisdiction over continuing education providers because the schools do not offer courses that prepare persons for an occupation or vocation. Regents would continue to approve schools that offer pre-license and pre-application courses and the Commission would continue to approve the curriculum and instructors for those schools, which has been the practice between Regents and the Commission for more than 30 years. The change involves the schools that only provide continuing education courses. Without this amendment, the Commission would have no way of approving the provider, but the Commission could approve the curriculum and instructors. [See Page 3, Lines 11-12]

2. K.S.A. 58-3050

 Section (a)—added "applicants" to the statute that authorizes the Commission to grant, deny, condition, restrict, revoke, suspend, censure, and assess fines. The additional term would clarify that "applicants" are covered by the provisions of the statute as well as "licensees". [See Page 4, Lines 1-23]

3. K.S.A. 58-3062

 Section (f)—added a new section that duplicates specified violations in section (a) to clarify that a licensee is not required to be involved in a real estate transaction as an agent, transaction broker or principal to commit the enumerated prohibited acts. [See Page 12, Lines 23-37]

House Commerce & Economic Development Committee Date: 2.7.13

Attachment #: /O

House Commerce, Labor and Economic Development Committee February 7, 2013
Page 2 of 2

4. K.S.A. 58-30,103

Section (p)—reflects a technical amendment to include "transaction broker" which was
inadvertently omitted when this section was revised in 2010. This section prohibits
another licensee from either contacting or negotiating directly with a seller or landlord that
is represented by a seller's agent or tenant's agent or doing so if the seller or landlord is
being assisted by a transaction broker. [See Page 15, Lines 26-29]

5. K.S.A. 58-30,106

 Section (j)—reflects a technical amendment to correct language that apparently changed at some point in the past but the Commission is not aware of a bill being passed to change the language. The corrected language clarifies procedures for showing a property or submitting offers when a seller offers cooperation with other licensees. [See Page 17, Lines 23-29]

The bill has no fiscal effect on the agency; however, the Commission will later seek approval to assess a reasonable fee to approve a continuing education provider if HB 2122 is passed. The Commission currently only has authority to assess a fee to approve the curriculum for the courses offered by schools. The fee assessed by the Commission would be much lower than the providers currently pay to become Regents' approved schools so there would be a significant savings to the entities that provide only continuing education courses.

Thank you for your support of this legislation. I would be happy to address questions from the members.