TESTIMONY OF BILL MCKEAN
IN OPPOSITION OF HB 2533
MARCH 8, 2012

My name is Bill McKean. I oppose HB2533 unless the law is rewritten so that it is not a criminal offense to make a false allegation of sexual, physical or emotional child abuse. The systemic bipartisan criminal racketeering in Kansas family & juvenile courts is so EVIL that the judicial system not only covers up when small children are sodomized, it also retaliates against the parent who made the allegation directly to SRS or in a family law case. The judge, prosecutor, court appointed guardians, private attorneys, police officials and SRS employees conspire to ruin the lives & to bankrupt the parent. In certain cases the parent is jailed or even sent to a psychiatric hospital through the court's Soviet style justice. **I know that Senator Julia Lynn & David Haley are familiar with the cases of Staci Ralstin & Valerie Rosproy who have previously testified before the Joint Committee on Children's Issues.**

The judicial criminal racketeering corruption that has been condoned by Chief Federal Judge Katherine Vratil & the US Attorney's Office & FBI in Wichita and the Kansas Supreme Court's Office of Attorney Discipline is a symptom of the incestuous relationship through marriage, prior marriage, or blood family relationship between the cynical attorney-politicians controlling the leadership of both state party organizations, controlling the leadership in Kansas Senate & House, controlling the governor's office, controlling the Department of SRS, controlling many of the federal & state courts, controlling the media. **When I testified before this committee in January 2011, I told you that FBI Agent Tom Entz, the government corruption specialist in Wichita implored me in June 2009 to put political pressure on the state legislators, the State Attorney General, the federal legislators and the media because the FBI was not able to investigate because of politics.**

As part of my written testimony I have resubmitted the testimony that I gave to the House Judiciary Committee last month which includes my sworn affidavit in the Bret Landrith lawsuit against the Secretary of SRS and the Office of Attorney Discipline for criminal racketeering in which courageous attorneys like Landrith are disbarred for trying to citizens from these routine human rights atrocities. Please take time to read my affidavit. It also includes my allegation that I previously made to Senator Lynn & Haley's Committee in December 2008 that SRS Attorney Givon obstructed justice to maintain the cover up of the subornation of perjury & fabrication of false court records that resulted in disabled Iowa air force vet being extradites on false felony charges, losing his VA medical benefits for has broken back except for the intervention of Iowa Senators Grassley & Harkins & his 14 year old son being incarcerated for 2 years because he was betrayed by court appointed attorney, GOP county Chairman Mark Kahrs. **Undoubtedly the Brownback administration will continue the cover up of the Joe Liddle scandal as Kahrs' twin brother Jeff is the SRS Chief of Staff. My affidavit also includes allegations of obstruction of justice against Melody Gerow because she tried to protect her teen aged daughter from the gross medical incompetence by Lt. Governor Jeff Coyler.**

My affidavit also includes many cases in which the corrupt family law judges, court-appointed case managers & psychologists and private attorneys extort hundreds of thousands of dollars

from families by encouraging the destructive abusive behaviors of one parent to force the protective normal parent to defend themselves. I believe that Committee Chairman Tim Owens is aware of the criminal racketeering because he is a divorce attorney and a member of the Kansas Supreme Court's Judicial Council's Family Law Committee along with my former attorney Charlie Harris who betrayed my in 2003. Harris accepted my retainer with the full knowledge that I had accused case manager Kim Kadel that I could prove that case manager Kim Kadel fabricated the testimony of witnesses. When I tried to force my first attorney, Sheila Floodman to force Kadel to correct her records, Kadel forced over my objections & Floodman's objections that my 6 year old son go to David Seifert (another family law case manager & forensic psychologist insider) for therapy. **Despite having any diagnosis to support his decision, Seifert & his colleague psychiatric nurse Kathleen Barrett put my 6 year old son on Zoloft for 6 weeks. After I complained they changed him over to Depacote for 2 months. When I continued to complain Seifert told me that my son may need to be admitted to a psychiatric hospital in Topeka if his behavior did not improve. Throughout this ordeal, my 2nd attorney Charlie Harris refused to intervene to discredit the case manager, Kadel.** When in September 2003, I finally wrote letters to my estranged wife, every attorney, case manager & mental health provider involved, Seifert & Barrett resigned. **To replace Seifert as my son's psychologist, case manager Kim Kadel limited the choices between her mentor Jeanne Erickson and Columbus Bryant, another insider case manager and forensic psychologist. My affidavit shows that Erickson was involved in the cover up of sexual child abuse in the Valerie Rosproy & Stacie Ralstin cases.**

Because my attorney Charlie Harris refused to advocate for me, I was not able to protect my rights and I was forced to sign a divorce settlement in December 31, 2003 under duress. I received a negative property settlement by Judge David Kaufman for complaining about the corruption. I tried to work with my son's psychologist for 9 months. My son's behavior improved even though he was off the Zoloft & Depacote, but my ex-wife who is a principal with the Wichita school district was hitting him with a belt and slapping him in the face. When Bryant recommended that my son be placed back on anti-depressants in June 2004, I confronted Bryant for covering up the abuse & I made a report to SRS which was not acted on for several weeks. **Fortunately my son was never put back on anti-depressants, but on August 4, 2004, Bryant wrote a secret letter to the new case manager, John Foulston, who is a former judge & the cousin of Nola Foulston's husband. In his secret letter Bryant wrote that he thought that I could pose a threat to harm my ex-wife and children.** Based on this letter Foulston recommended a psychological evaluation of me and my ex-wife which I welcomed because I thought it would help my ex-wife change her discipline methods. Foulston's case management recommendation was strange because it stated that the psychological report would not be released to me or my ex-wife. When I learned that Foulston's choice of forensic psychologist, Jeff Lane, was widely repute to have a XANAX drug addiction, I consulted with divorce attorney Tripp Shawver Chairman of the KBA's Family Law committee). Tripp told me that he had to betray a client once for fear of retaliation. Ironically when I spoke with disabled air force vet Joe Liddle 3 years later, I learned that Shawver was Liddle's private attorney who betrayed him after he was extradited from Iowa to Wichita in 2000. In August 2004, I also retained Wichita civil rights attorney Michael Lehr to represent me in a federal civil rights lawsuit against the 18th Judicial District case managers, psychologists and my attorneys if my constitutional rights continued to be violated. I also hired a 3rd divorce attorney, Elaine Reddick, to help me

find a legitimate forensic psychologist. Reddick took my retainer knowing the complete history of corrupt allegations, but betrayed me by resigning the morning of the October 14, 2004 hearing before Judge Kaufman to appoint a forensic psychologist. At the hearing I learned that the only 5 forensic psychologists authorized by the family law court to do a psychological evaluation were David Seifert, Columbus Bryant, Jeff Lane, David Bowman & Marc Quillen who I later learned was married to Marilyn Harp, the regional director of Kansas Legal Services. Because my ex-wife's attorney, Anne Soderberg, did not want Bowman & I did not want lane to do the evaluation, Judge Kaufman chose Quillen as Bryant & Seifert had conflicts of interest. In here arguments at the hearing, Soderberg referred to the August 2004 letter from Bryant which was never released to me or my attorney Reddick. In November 2004 I hired a 4th attorney Sean Shores to file a motion to overturn the December 31, 2003 divorce decree which I signed under duress. **Shores took my retainer but betrayed me by not filing anything before the December 31, 2004 deadline and them lying to me by sending me an email stating that he had filed the motion but it was lost in the mail. Shores admitted that in November 2003 he had an ex parte conversation with presiding family law Judge Eric Yost to ask him for advice on how to handle my case.** I fired Shores in March 2005 when he refused to advocate for me. In May 2005 Quillen issued a report stating that I was a paranoid delusional person and that my parental rights be terminated and that I should be ordered to submit to psychiatric care by a court appointed psychiatrist and my ex-wife and her attorney Anne Soderberg filed a motion to adopt this recommendation so I was forced to either leave and never return to the state or to represent myself pro se in a 5 day trial. During my discovery depositions, I learned & proved that Marc Quillen owned 5% stock in the Wichita Psychiatric Consultants Clinic which employed my son's initial court appointed mental health providers: David Seifert & Kathleen Barrett. **Quillen should go to prison for fabricating evidence to try to destroy my life. Quillen's 3 criminal motives were to maintain his insider status as a court authorized forensic psychologist, to thwart my ability to sue Wichita Psychiatric Consultants for malpractice by stating that I was an insane person, and to advance his spouse's career in the corrupt Kansas legal establishment. Shortly afterwards his wife Marilyn Harp was promoted to be the statewide Director of Kansas Legal Services.**

Before the trial Judge Terry Pullman obstructed justice in 2 ways: to intimidate me to surrender, he ruled that I would be forced to pay my ex-wife's attorneys fees if I did not prevail. He also refused to allow me to present evidence of corruption because he lied when he said that I did not submit my case in chief to explain why I should be allowed to present evidence. I was naïve to drop off the document instead of emailing it or faxing it. Despite Quillen's insistence that I was mentally ill person for believing that his former employees had acted unethically for making my 6 year old son take Zoloft & Depacote, Judge Pullman did not order me to submit to psychiatric care to be monitored by the court. Despite Columbus Bryant's concerns that I could harm my children, my visitation was not terminated but was cut back from 10 days a month to 2 days a month. The most important ruling was that Judge Pullman terminated my parental rights to be involved in the medical & psychiatric care of my son so that the court appointed mental health providers could continue to force my son to submit to their therapy for the next 7 years. Columbus Bryant is still providing unnecessary therapy to my 15 year old son. The tax payers of the Wichita school district have been paying for a large part of my son's 9 years of ongoing unnecessary therapy.

In April 2006, my 10 year old son started acting out & made a gesture to hang himself primarily because he was unhappy with the drugs he was being forced to take against his will and his access to me had been limited. When I emailed my ex-wife to confirm that this had been reported to Bryant, within a few days my ex-wife & her attorney filed a motion to terminate my visitation & order me into supervised visitation at the Wichita Children's Home. Judge Rebecca Pilshaw terminated my rights at a temporary hearing based solely on a letter from Columbus Bryant that was prepared & faxed the morning of the hearing. I had no advance receipt of Bryant's letter. My rights were permanently terminated at a 2 day trial before Judge Pullman in August 2006 in which I also represented myself on a pro se basis. **During the trial Ross Hollander, the attorney for Wichita Psychiatric Consultants, was sitting in the back of the court room. He started objecting to my questions of witness Alicia Landsverk, Quillen's partner at Wichita Psychiatric Consultants. Judge Pullman ordered me to stop asking questions & dismissed the witness. During the August 2006 trial, Columbus Bryant admitted that my ex-wife did not tell him about the suicide gesture for 6 weeks even though he wrote a letter stating that my rights should be terminated.** Judge Pullman terminated my parental rights & again ordered me to pay thousands of dollars in legal fees to my ex-wife's attorney. I started paying $200 each month to visiting my 11 & 13 year old sons in an 8' by 8' room 2 hours each week while a low level employee took notes. Despite the fact that I was considered to be a dangerous unhealthy influence on my sons, my ex-wife allowed my son to be with me on an unsupervised basis 3 times weekly to play with his classmates on the AYSO soccer team that I coached that Fall 2006 & Spring 2007. In the summer of 2007 I moved to the Dallas Fort Worth area to take a job. Bryant. Soderberg & Pullman violated conspired to take away my right to protect my son by passing on concerns to force my ex-wife to report them.

For the next 3 years my ex-wife would not allow me to visit with my sons unless she was present to observe at a restaurant. Two years ago she finally allowed them to drive with me to restaurants in Wichita & even allowed them to have them for a week on an unsupervised basis for a week over the summer. In the past year I was allowed to see my sons for a few hours on 4 occasions. My ex-wife & I are back in court before Judge Bill Woolly (the 8th Judge involved in our case since 2003) because my ex-wife refused to take my 2 sons to the dentist for 6 years despite the fact that we had dual dental coverage that would pay for 100% of the expense. My 17 year old older son had 20 cavities requiring 2 root canals so there were thousands of dollars in uninsured expenses. My ex-wife and her attorney Anne Soderberg filed contempt of court charges against me because I violated an order that I not write my ex-wife an email with more than 50 lines. Soderberg is also trying to restrict me from disclosing information about my younger son's diagnosis even to my own family members. Judge Woolly found me in indirect contempt of court and sentenced me to one week in jail but suspended the sentence. Woolly also found me in direct contempt of court for stating on the record that I thought he was an unfair judge. Once again he suspended the sentence as long as I do not criticize him again. **In my court filings, I had submitted 2 affidavits listing numerous examples of corruption including Chief Administrative Judge James Fleetwood's involvement in the cover up of the atrocities against disabled Iowa air force vet, Joe Liddle.**

IMHO Judge Woolly & my ex-wife attorney Anne Soderberg are trying to intimidate me from talking about the criminal racketeering & human rights atrocities in my case. They are violating my 1st & 14th amendment rights to free speech & due process. They probably

have violated federal law 45USC1983 & 1985 regarding intimidation of witnesses to civil rights violations by judges, prosecutors and law enforcement officials. The only honest ethical attorney that I retained was Mike Lehr who was disbarred in 2005 for failing a urine test during the 3rd day of a second degree murder trial that he was winning according to the Wichita Eagle story. Per my enclosed affidavit, my last attorney Sean Shores was disbarred earlier this year for pleading guilty to three 3rd degree misdemeanor charges of assaulting a 2 year old girl. **Remarkably Nola Foulston had originally charged Shores with 3 felony charges of sexually assaulting a 12 year old girl.** I attended he disbarment hearing last November and was told that the transcripts are public records. Shores told the panel that the charges were criminal fabricated and that the only reason why he accepted the plea bargain to a simple misdemeanor with no jail time was because he did not want to spend the rest of his life in prison. **The charges were filed against Shores after I repeatedly blogged about his involvement with Judge Yost to obstruct justice.** I believe that they disbarred Shores to discredit him because they were afraid that he would eventually be forced to admit his involvement in criminal racketeering.

I have also enclosed an article involving Chief Federal Judge Kathleen Vratil's involvement in a federal lawsuit against Sedgwick County for the March 10, 2008 death of inmate Terry Bruner. After all defendants who were primarily responsible for the inmate's death, were dismissed from the lawsuit except 2 jailers, the jury found the 2 jailers not liable this week. This case is the typical example of federal & state judges covering up for criminal activity of other government officials. It is the reason **why House Speaker Mike O'Neal must grant subpoena power to a committee to force corrupt judges, attorneys, case managers, SRS employees and mental health providers to testify under oath in committee hearing captured on video tape** so that the State Attorney General of the Department of Justice will be forced to investigate.

While researching for my testimony I came across a March 1, 2009 front page story in the Topeka Capital Journal story about Gov. Sebelius accepting Obama's appointment as Secretary of Health & Human Services. To prove the surreal absurdity of the corrupt Kansas political & legal establishment, at the bottom of the front page there is a story about Robin Kempf, the former inspector general of the Kansas Health Policy Authority claiming interference by her superiors to report to the legislative committees problems with Medicaid, MediKan & Children's Health Insurance programs. The headline on Page 7 said "Brownback & Roberts Applaud News"

My affidavits document that Jack Conifer, the reformer brought in from Arizona to clean up the corruption at the Kansas Board of Healing resigned after 18 months & sued the board and that Robb Seidlecki, Brownback's Secretary of SRS imported from Florida to clean up the corruption at SRS resigned after 11 months. I have enclosed an email from Joe Liddle again accusing the US marshals of arrested him on false charges in late 2009 to try to intimidate him. Joe also told recently me that law enforcement officials continue to fabricate fictitious convictions & charges on the FBI database. Liddle had to write emails to authorities to correct the phony entries.

In Kansas crimes against humanity are common place: Seriously ill prisoners are allowed to die in their cells. Mentally ill patients are allowed to be sexually tortured in their Newton group homes for over 3 years even though the US Attorney Eric Melgren had video tape proof of the torture. Small children are allowed to be sodomized and/or drugged up on unnecessary dangerous psychotropic drugs. Teenagers' lives are ruined with phony felony

convictions. A disabled vet's live was ruined do that the DA's office could earn a few extra dollars in child support federal incentives. In CINC cases, parents & grandparents go bankrupt trying to rescue their children from corrupt SRS foster homes & parents. Mothers are sent to jail or the psychiatric wards for trying to protect their children. Honest courageous county commissioners have their lives threatened for reporting bribes.

For many years every member of this committee has been aware of the crimes against humanity but is afraid to do anything. Each member of this committee understands that the Kansas Supreme Court, former Gov. Sebelius, Senators Brownback & Roberts, Chief Judge Kathleen Vratil and especially Senate VP John Vratil and House Speaker Mike O'Neal are either actively involved in the cover up of these human rights atrocities or are apologists for the criminal racketeering. Fortunately I can use alternative media such as FACEBOOK & YOUTUBE to document these cases so that I can help FBI Agent Tom Entz have political clearance to start investigating these routine human right abuses. I sincerely thank many of you for being my FACEBOOK friend so that I can encourage courageous attorneys to run against incumbent judges and house members to run against state senators who are corruption apologists

I plan to return next year with many more examples of criminal racketeering. Hopefully many of you will be voted out of office (unless of course you feel compelled by Jesus Christ to use some of your God given political talent & capital to start protecting innocent children, teenagers & their families from being destroyed by the parasitic gangster judges, prosecutors & attorneys in Kansas. For those concerned about future claims against the state, Bret Landrith asked me to remind you about federal code 45USC1983:

Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress, except that in any action brought against a judicial officer for an act or omission taken in such officer's judicial capacity, injunctive relief shall not be granted unless a declaratory decree was violated or declaratory relief was unavailable.

I hope that Senate Vice President, John Vratil, his ex-spouse Chief Federal District Court Judge Kathleen Vratil & Chief Kansas Supreme Court Justice Lawton Nuss can meet for lunch to discuss these issues. I will drop off copies of my testimony to Carol Green, Bob Corkins & several Senate & House Committee Chairmen like I did last year. Many exciting things have happened during the past year because God has a perfectly timed plan to restore justice in Kansas by humbling the powerful elite. I will send a copy to Michigan attorney Geoffrey Fieger.

Respectfully Submitted

Bill McKean
2932 Roxboro
Euless, Texas 76039
817 905-7981

Subject: Email from Joe Liddle

From: usc421385@hushmail.com (usc421385@hushmail.com)

To: kiakahahaha@yahoo.com;

Date: Saturday, February 25, 2012 7:46 PM

Bill,

It has been awhile since we have talked. The last time we talked I explained about what happened in Ankeny Iowa at the rest stop. You may remember from my huge filing that there was one perpetual wrongful actor on the Iowa side of the equation. The name of the woman in Iowa who knowingly and with malice falsely swore under oath was Janine Howard. Many years ago I sent you the motion and massive filing (over 130 pages) I submitted to the Iowa District Domestic Court about the wrongful acts under the color of law by Kansas and this one Iowa Child Support Recovery Unit (CSRU) clerk. After the dismissal of the corrupt Kansas matter,and with your help and the help of Jim Morrison,the defeat of the wrongful actors was complete or so I thought. In October 2007 I sent an email to a government office about the corruption I endured. The very same wrongful actor Janine Howard conspired to submit a charge of 3rd degree harassment (a simple misdemeanor,like jaywalking or a parking ticket) as a criminal matter through the Des Moines Iowa Police. The nearest I can explain the charge is like writing an email an annoying someone.

If a person were found guilty of the charge the penalty is a $100 fine. On 7 October 2009 while I was parked at the Ankeny Iowa rest stop on I-35 North,eight police circled me,threw me from my car while one cop pointed a revolver at my head,and another pointed a blast rifle at me. I went to Court for the preliminary hearing and my attorney and the ADA left me sitting and waiting for over four and a half hours. My attorney never did show up for the preliminary hearing. I had no idea of what to do,all of the traffic tickets and simple misdemeanor matters had been completed before lunch and yet I was still sitting in the hall. I finally located a Court employee and asked her what I should do since my attorney never appeared all that day and no one had called for me to plead guilty or not guilty before the Court. This was a setup. If I had left the building at any point,I would have been charged an additional charge of failure to appear. I am deeply saddened to know that my attorney abandoned me in this matter. He was an outstanding attorney when he was a sole practitioner,then he joined a big law firm and his behavior drastically changed. I think the Courts and the DA often make good attorneys play ball or suffer the financial consequences.

Anyway,I pled not guilty and the female ADA kept threatening and running up the costs for legal representation. The day of the trial 25 Jan 2010,there were probably over a hundred people in the Court hallway awaiting hearings on traffic tickets and simple misdemeanors. I entered the Courthouse and went through the metal detector.Almost immediately I had my attorney,a female junior attorney from the firm,and the female ADA in front of me. Now Bill I don't know if you have ever been to a simple misdemeanor court for traffic tickets and minor infractions,but there is a sea of people awaiting hearings, with friends,family and relatives. Des Moines is about the same size as Wichita so you can only imagine all the tickets and court appearances of respondents who are all supposed to appear in Court at 9 AM.

For these three (my attorney,his aide from the law firm,and the ADA) to literally spring on one respondent in this sea of humanity is amazing. The female ADA wanted NO further part in this matter so with haste and speed rarely seen in any court) the ADA submitted a motion for dismissal "in the interest of justice" and a judge signed the dismissal,all in less than four minutes. I am atatching the copy they gave me. Janine Howard of the Iowa CSRU had a criminal no contact order with the criminal complaint and Iowa Code stipulates that a no contact order is also dismissed when attached to any alledged criminal court matter.

Flash ahead to 11 February 2012: I was pulled over for supposedly for speeding. I rec'd no fine for speeding,but did get a ticket for an expired drivers license. While I am sitting in the front seat of the police car,the dispatcher over the police radio states " There is a no contact for this person." The cop says it is no problem he is alone. Here is the problem:

Iowa code states that any charge,no contact order,or accompanying information when dismissed is void and unproven before the Court. What has happened is that the county where Des Moines is located was very angry that they lost,so they falsely posted to the online Court system and NCIC (National Crime Information Center) I notified

the County Board of Supervisors,the County Attorney,and the office that maintains the computer system and they unilaterlly (without notifying me or former attorney) posted that the no contact order was vacated on 15 Feb 2012. This was done to falsify that even though the charge was dismissed on January 25,2010 that they still carrying a non contact order as an open matter 2 years later.The fact is I wrote a government office (Iowa CSRU) an email addressed to Janine Howard,who by the undisputed facts on the Iowa Court record had repeatedly and with malice violated Iowa and Federal law by conspiring with other wrongful actors in Kansas.

In 2007 when I went to Court with the over one hundred page filing to the Domestic Court record (which I sent you years ago) both the State of Kansas and Iowa had 30 days to examine the massive filing. The Domestic Court record with a court reporter present evidences not one word could or was disputed.The only thing the lawyer for the Iowa CSRU complained about was that the numbering of some of the pages was not to his liking.

Let me explain this more plainly with a hypothetical. A man is charged with a murder he did not commit. The Court assigns a no contact order on behalf of the vicitm's family. The charges are dismissed when the ADA realizes that no jury or judge or Iowa or Federal Code will find the man guilty of the charged offense. Iowa Code says that both the charge and the no contact order are void upon dismissal of the charges,yet the State and the DA penalize the falsely accused man by knowingly and with malice posting false information nationwide. This action is done purposely to harm the man from gaining employment,or to falsely achieve penalty where no conviction ever happened. Doesn't this begin to sound like Kansas where for five years they FALSIFIED that I was a convicted felon who had escaped a criminal sentence and prison?

I have come to know that the wrongful acts under the color of law from Kansas never ceased. Iowa,in a much more covert manner,has attempted to carry the torch for the failure of Kansas. I know Janine Howard of the Iowa CSRU was involved with Pilshaw and Ladner,and who knows perhaps Sebelius and/or other Iowans are in the background of these matters. They have made a crucial error. I live in Iowa and have much greater resources and resolve to bring these criminal actors to justice through the Courts. I have tried to publish some of these issues online ---Polk County Iowa appears to be intimidating websites and individuals and they are subverting these efforts. I need help to get these matters on online. I don't know where you stand in the fight against corruption after these years have passed,but I could use your help. Please call me at 515-720-5412,thanks and may GOD bless you and your family.

Joe Liddle

The Wichita Eagle
Kansas.com

Back to web version

Tuesday, March 6, 2012

Posted on Mon, Mar. 05, 2012

Jury clears deputies in lawsuit over Sedgwick County inmate's death

By Tim Potte r
The Wichita Eagle

A jury has cleared two Sedgwick County jail deputies who were defendants in a multi-million-dollar lawsuit over an inmate's death.

The question before the jurors Monday was: Were deputies Mary Staton and Marque Jameson deliberately indifferent to the serious medical needs of inmate Terry Bruner. After a couple hours of deliberation Monday afternoon, the jury said "no" for both deputies.

It means the federal court jury found that the two deputies didn't violate Bruner's constitutional right against cruel and unusual punishment.

Lawyers for the family had sought up to $22 million in damages.

After the verdict was announced, the deputies' attorney, Arthur Chalmers, e-mailed this statement: "Mary Staton and Marque Jameson are thankful for their days in court. They are relieved and proud of the Jury's verdict. They also continue to extend their sympathy to Mr. Bruner's family for the loss of their loved one."

In closing arguments earlier Monday, plaintiff attorney Geoffrey Fieger said the case "doesn't stop with these two jailers."

"This was a systemic wrong and a systemic cover-up" where a message needs to be sent that abuses won't be tolerated, Fieger said.

Fieger couldn't be reached for comment after the verdict.

The defense contended that the two deputies didn't know Bruner was ill and that they had no reason to deny him treatment, that there was no conspiracy and no need to punish the system.

Bruner, a 46-year-old in jail for driving under the influence, died in March 2008 after an infection overwhelmed his body. He was essentially brain-dead when he arrived at Via Christi on St. Francis after being taken there by ambulance from the jail.

In his closing argument, Fieger, the attorney representing Bruner's estate, said, "I've been waiting for over two years for someone to hear this case. ... "

"No amount of money can undo the pain ... the agony ... in that godforsaken cell," Fieger said.

Fieger contended the case was a way not only to bring justice for a life taken but to prevent other deaths. "The only thing they understand is money," he said, "so it must hurt them in the pockets."

To anyone with common sense, Bruner appeared ill six days before his death, he said.

Bruner, who had liver disease as an underlying condition, was a Sedgwick County inmate who had been transferred back to the Sedgwick County jail from the Stanton County jail so he could be seen by a medical clinician. According to testimony, he hadn't been eating, was weak and had blood in his stool. When he was taken to the Sedgwick County in-house jail clinic days after being brought back to Wichita, it was too late. He had pneumonia that could have been treated by a common antibiotic, and the infection spread until it caused fatal swelling of his brain, according to testimony the plaintiff presented.

On Bruner's last day in the jail before being taken to the Wichita hospital, after Jameson found him unresponsive on his cell floor and when Staton had the responsibility for monitoring the jail pod, "there is no

way they could not have known" he was seriously ill, Fieger said. "They saw it ... they were told (by other inmates) and they admitted it, and yet they did nothing."

Chalmers, the attorney defending the two deputies who still work at the jail, went next and told the jurors it's important to remember what the case is not: That it is not against the sheriff nor the county, that it is not about "$10 million to try to punish the system."

"It is a case about justice" where two deputies have had a burden placed on their reputations and where they have had to show they have no liability, Chalmers said.

"We did not know, let us go. That's the law," he said.

The decision for jurors was not about what the two deputies could have known or should have known, he said.

"It's what they actually knew and what they believed."

They thought Bruner had a mental health problem, not a medical emergency, and he didn't get seriously ill until long after the plaintiff contended, Chalmers said.

He cited evidence that Staton arranged to get Bruner a mental health check. When Jameson lifted Bruner from his cell floor to his bunk, it wasn't ignored but was still seen as a mental health problem, and Staton kept trying to get it addressed, Chalmers said.

The deputies had no motive to ignore any medical emergency, he said.

"Are they really the monsters that he (Fieger) would say need to be punished and stopped? ...

"Don't tell them they are monsters ... unless you have evidence. That's wrong."

Reach Tim Potter at 316-268-6684 or tpotter@wichitaeagle.com.

The Wichita Eagle
Kansas.com

Back to web version

Saturday, Feb. 25, 2012

Posted on Fri, Feb. 24, 2012

Judge scolds lawyer: Focus on two jailers

By Tim Potter
The Wichita Eagle

Only two Sedgwick County jail deputies remain as defendants in a lawsuit over the death of inmate Terry Bruner. But that didn't stop a lawyer from raising questions in federal court Thursday about reported actions or inactions of others at the jail.

U.S. District Judge Kathryn Vratil told the lawyer, Geoffrey Fieger, that he needed to focus on the two defendants, not others. After Vratil dismissed the jury for the day, she admonished Fieger, saying he was trying the case as if it also was a medical malpractice suit and as if it was against the county, not just the two deputies.

Fieger, a high-profile lawyer from Michigan, accepted the admonition but said he was trying to bring in circumstantial evidence pointing to the two defendants, county sheriff's deputies Mary Staton and Marque Jameson.

The lawsuit, brought by Bruner's survivors and seeking $10 million when it was filed in 2010, claims the two deputies showed deliberate indifference to Bruner's obviously serious illness. Bruner, 46, died in 2008 within two days of being transferred from the jail to a hospital.

When the deputies' attorney, Arthur Chalmers, brought out testimony that the deputy manning a booth in a jail pod has limited view of inmates when they are locked into their single-man cells, Fieger interrupted in a booming voice.

"Is that the defense – that they constructed a jail so nobody can see anybody?" he asked.

Referring to Staton, who was watching from the booth in Bruner's pod, Fieger said: "She never called the clinic. ... There is an avalanche of evidence that she knew he was sick."

Chalmers countered with a question to Deputy Eric Hunt, asking if anything indicated Staton was "sitting on her hands and refusing to send this gentleman to the clinic?"

"No," Hunt answered.

Hunt told Chalmers he knew of no situation in which deputies got punished for contacting the jail medical clinic.

Fieger questioned why jail deputies didn't get Bruner immediate medical attention when evidence showed he was very ill.

Fieger also raised questions about Bruner's care in the jail medical clinic after he was wheeled there after being found incoherent and curled up in his vomit on his cell floor. Sweat soiled his clothing. He had to be lifted into the wheelchair, according to evidence.

Fieger asked Sharon Nelson, a nurse for ConMed, the contractor that staffs the clinic, why Bruner laid in the clinic for more than six hours before being transferred to the hospital.

"I don't know," said Nelson, who checked on Bruner when he was in the jail clinic. "It's up to the medical staff."

Under questioning by Fieger, Nelson said she never asked a physician's assistant on duty why Bruner remained in the clinic for several hours.

While in the clinic, Bruner — who had a history of cirrhosis of the liver and Hepatitis C — was essentially unresponsive. He also had a swollen abdomen and yellowing skin and had to be lifted onto a table, according to testimony.

"Did you know he was dying?" Fieger asked.

"I didn't know," Nelson responded.

Nelson told Chalmers that Bruner's heart rate, blood pressure and other signs were OK.

Fieger asked why she checked his vital signs only once during several hours.

When Bruner arrived at the hospital by ambulance, he was brain-dead and had no gag reflex, Fieger said.

The picture Fieger kept trying to present is that Bruner's illness was clearly serious, that he was moaning and unable to walk, that Staton and Jameson knew he was sick and communicated about it, that he probably would have survived if he had gotten medical help about six hours earlier.

The picture Chalmers sought to present is that it wasn't that simple, that even medical professionals might have missed the seriousness of the situation.

Earlier Thursday, a nationally known medical expert testified that Bruner suffered from an infection that overwhelmed his body and caused him to become noticeably sick. Werner Spitz told the eight jurors that Bruner had cirrhosis of the liver caused by alcoholism. Bruner was in jail for drunken driving.

While in jail, he suffered from a common infection that could have been treated by common antibiotics, and his illness progressed from a lung infection to a blood infection to fatal swelling of his brain, Spitz said.

There would have been noticeable symptoms such as moaning, reaction to pain and sweating, Spitz told jurors. "He is a dying individual," Spitz said. Eventually, Bruner lost consciousness. His brain swelled and herniated, affecting his breathing.

Testimony resumes today. The trial is expected to last about seven days.

Reach Tim Potter at 316-268-6684 or tpotter@wichitaeagle.com.

The Wichita Eagle
Kansas.com

Back to web version

Saturday, Feb. 25, 2012

Posted on Thu, Feb. 23, 2012

Testimony focuses on jailers' intentions in trial over Sedgwick County inmate's death

By Tim Potter
The Wichita Eagle

Geoffrey Fieger told jurors Wednesday they would hear evidence that Sedgwick County Jail inmate Terry Bruner "died a slow, slow, slow death while these two jailers literally watched."

They had to know that Bruner was seriously ill, Fieger said, referring to jail deputies Mary Staton and Marque Jameson.

The deputies' attorney, Arthur Chalmers, told the jurors the deputies had the best intentions. For most of Bruner's stay in the jail, he didn't seem that ill, Chalmers said. Why, Chalmers asked, would they intentionally ignore him?

That gets to the key question the jurors will decide: Whether the two deputies showed deliberate indifference to Bruner, 46, who died in March 2008 after being transferred from the jail to a Wichita hospital.

The two attorneys – Fieger, a Michigan lawyer who defended "Dr. Death" Jack Kevorkian, and Chalmers, with a private Wichita firm – gave opening arguments in U.S. District Court in Wichita in a trial that is expected to last about seven days.

"This case is an important one ... both sides have a lot at stake," U.S. District Judge Kathryn Vratil told potential jurors during the jury selection.

When Bruner's survivors filed the lawsuit in 2010, it sought $10 million in damages.

In his opening argument, Fieger said Bruner was serving a jail sentence for drunken driving and leaving the scene of an accident. Because the Sedgwick County Jail was overcrowded, it "farmed out" Bruner to the Stanton County Jail, he said. Bruner had a pre-existing medical condition: cirrhosis of the liver and Hepatitis C, and everyone knew it because "it was all over his medical records," Fieger said. The condition made Bruner more susceptible to illness, and under the Constitution, he had a right to proper care in jail, Fieger said.

In March 2008, Bruner became ill with a "common bug" that can lead to pneumonia if not treated with common antibiotics, Fieger said. When Bruner became clearly ill in the Stanton County Jail, "Stanton didn't want him anymore" and called the Sedgwick County Jail, saying, "Mr. Bruner is real sick. We need to send him back to you, and you get him real medical care. ... He needs it immediately," Fieger said. Without antibiotics, Bruner's lungs were starting to fill with fluid. The situation caused his blood to become infected and swelled his brain to the point he stopped breathing, Fieger said.

Instead of getting medical help when he was returned to the Sedgwick County Jail, he went into a maximum-security pod, and "nobody knows why," Fieger said. From March 6 until he became comatose on March 10, Bruner was locked in a cell, and no doctor or nurse saw him. By the time he got medical help, he was "essentially brain dead," Fieger said.

"It's not as if they didn't know what was going on," he said, adding that Bruner was vomiting, coughing, moaning and not eating, drinking or bathing. Inmates kept telling the deputies that Bruner needed help. "Not one of them calls a doctor" or 911, Fieger said.

"And the reaction of these guards is he's faking."

On Bruner's last day in the jail, Staton, one of the two defendants in the lawsuit, saw Bruner walking around aimlessly, Fieger said. Later that day, when Jameson, the other lawsuit defendant, found Bruner in a fetal position in his vomit on his cell floor, he lifted him to a cot and locked the door, he said.

When Chalmers, the attorney defending the two deputies, had his turn, he told jurors that cruel and unusual punishment means deliberate indifference. And that doesn't fit with the two deputies' actions, Chalmers said.

They didn't understand that Bruner needed to see doctor, Chalmers said. Bruner's liver disease had weakened his immune system.

He described Staton as a mother and Scout leader and Jameson as a young man involved in athletics. Staton had been assigned to a booth from which she could see inmates when they came out of their cells. Jameson was assigned to rove among the pods. Because of federal privacy rules, deputies don't know an inmate's medical history, Chalmers said.

"What you know is what you see, is what you're told that day," he said.

Bruner didn't have broken bones, wasn't sweating, wasn't coughing, he said. Instead, Bruner seemed to have a mental health issue, and Staton contacted a sergeant about getting Bruner a check from mental health staff, and the staff was going to see him during normal rounds.

Even when Jameson picked Bruner up off the floor, and told Staton that Bruner wasn't doing well, "again, he doesn't appear to be really sick," Chalmers said. It's not odd for inmates to sleep on their cell floor, he said.

When the staff checked on Bruner the afternoon of the 10th, they determined that Bruner needed to go to the in-house clinic, where medical professionals decided several hours later to transfer him to a hospital, Chalmers said.

Chalmers indicated that evidence will show that some of the symptoms that Bruner had could appear to be the flu or a cold.

He argued that the deputies had no motive to ignore Bruner and that Bruner could have asked for help but didn't.

Reach Tim Potter at 316-268-6684 or tpotter@wichitaeagle.com.

The Wichita Eagle
Kansas.com

Back to web version

Sunday, Feb. 12, 2012

Posted on Sun, Feb. 12, 2012

Sedgwick County jail death lawsuit heads to federal trial

By Tim Potter
The Wichita Eagle

Over several days, Sedgwick County jail inmate Terry Bruner didn't eat. He moaned, hacked, vomited, excreted blood, stared into space and ended up in a fetal position in a pile of trash on his cell floor, court documents say. Jail deputies said they didn't think he was seriously ill.

Bruner, 46, wrongly suffered without medical help before he died a "completely preventable" death in 2008, says a federal lawsuit seeking $10 million when it was filed in 2010.

As the case heads to a federal trial in Wichita this week, attorneys on both sides aren't commenting, including on the question of who would pay if a jury finds against the defendants — two county jail deputies. The county could be responsible for some damages.

Under jail policy, it's partly up to inmates to request treatment. But Bruner's condition made him confused and unable to walk, court documents say. When he got treatment, it was too late.

Now, nearly four years after Bruner died, a jury will decide whether two deputies showed "deliberate indifference" to his serious medical needs. Or whether, as the defendants contend, he didn't show serious medical problems. Some jail staff reportedly thought he was mentally ill or perhaps faking.

In March 2010, Bruner's survivors filed a lawsuit against a long list of defendants. Most of the defendants, including Sedgwick and Stanton counties, a medical contractor and a number of sheriff's personnel, have since been dismissed from the lawsuit. The lawsuit is against only two remaining defendants — two Sedgwick County jail deputies, who still hold those positions.

An autopsy found that Bruner died of acute meningitis. Infection overwhelmed his body, and his brain swelled.

"Tragically, relatively simple measures would be all that would have been required to prevent Bruner's death," says a court document filed by an attorney representing Bruner's estate. The legal team bringing the lawsuit includes an attorney in a high-profile Michigan firm that defended Jack Kevorkian, who became nationally known as "Dr. Death" over physician-assisted suicides.

The lawsuit contends that two deputies, Mary Staton and Marque Jameson, disregarded the risk and failed to take reasonable measures to help Bruner at a critical time.

"If medical personnel had provided IV antibiotics about six hours earlier, Bruner probably would have survived," a court document says.

Staton and Jameson have claimed that Bruner did not appear to be seriously ill. Neither Staton nor Jameson could be reached for comment, and Sedgwick County declined to comment.

The underlying question is whether the defendants violated Bruner's Eighth Amendment protection against cruel and unusual punishment.

Bruner's last days

Among thousands of pages of court documents filed in the past two years, a narrative of Terry Bruner's last days emerges. The following timeline and quotations come mainly from a court document signed by a federal judge.

On Nov. 5, 2007, Bruner entered the Sedgwick County Jail because of cases that included driving under the influence. He had at least four prior DUI convictions. Earlier in the fall of 2007, he had been held at Hutchinson Correctional Facility, where records showed he had a medical history including hepatitis B, tuberculosis, liver cirrhosis, delusional disorder and alcohol dependence.

As part of the effort to keep the jail in downtown Wichita from being overcrowded, Bruner was transferred 260 miles to the Stanton County Jail, near the Colorado border. But technically he remained a Sedgwick County inmate, with contractor ConMed responsible for his medical care.

A sign of trouble came four months later, on March 4, 2008, when two Stanton County jailers checked on Bruner after another inmate said Bruner was ill. Bruner, who was in bed, appeared sick and said he didn't feel well. The next morning, an inmate said Bruner wasn't eating and had bloody stools. When deputies checked on him, he said he had flu. He wouldn't answer when asked about the blood. He seemed irritated and said he didn't want to see a doctor.

The next morning, March 5, a Stanton County deputy called a Sedgwick County jail corporal, said Bruner needed immediate medical attention, and noted Bruner had not eaten and had blood in his stool. The Sedgwick corporal told the Stanton deputy to contact ConMed and ask whether Bruner should be brought back to the Sedgwick County Jail. The Stanton deputy told a ConMed nurse about Bruner's condition but didn't say he needed immediate help. The nurse didn't look up Bruner's medical history but told a physician assistant about Bruner, and the physician assistant told the nurse to have Bruner returned to the Sedgwick County Jail to see a clinician the next day.

But another ConMed employee scheduled the appointment for 9:10 a.m. the next day; Bruner wouldn't arrive until 5 that evening, hours past the appointment time.

"Bruner was so weak that Stanton County jailers had to carry him to the transport van," the document said.

He 'just stared'

When an inmate is taken to the Sedgwick County Jail, a medical screening normally occurs during the booking process. Although a trip sheet said Bruner came back for medical reasons, no screening occurred; the jail didn't have a medical screening process for an inmate returned for medical care from an out-of-county facility. A physician assistant remained on duty for about six hours after Bruner entered the jail that evening but didn't know why Bruner was to be seen that day. That same evening, a medication aide changed Bruner's scheduled examination to a physician chart review three days later, March 9, a Sunday.

On March 7, the jail assigned Bruner to his own cell in Pod 1.

From March 6 to March 10, Bruner didn't request any medical help.

That year, 2008, Sedgwick County sheriff's policy directed staff to use common sense in dealing with medical needs and "required that inmates receive necessary medical care without delay." Deputies could be disciplined for failing to act. Because of privacy issues, deputies didn't have access to inmate medical records. Deputies in Bruner's pod didn't know he had been brought back for an exam, according to the narrative in the court document.

On the morning of March 9, a deputy thought Bruner's behavior seemed strange. After Bruner activated the intercom in his cell and asked to come out, he stepped out in long underwear, when he and the other inmates had just been told to wear jumpsuits. The deputy told Bruner to return to his cell and don his jumpsuit, and Bruner slowly walked back and closed his cell door. An inmate told the deputy that Bruner "just stared" and hadn't eaten in the couple of days he had been in the pod. The deputy verified that Bruner had moved to the pod two days earlier.

When the deputy asked Bruner if he had eaten, Bruner said no and said he didn't feel well, and the deputy noted it in a computerized log. But to the deputy, Bruner didn't seem ill.

In the dayroom, Bruner stared into space and answered yes when the deputy asked if he was OK.

The deputy thought another inmate might be bullying Bruner over his lunch, so the deputy got Bruner a tray, and Bruner ate, according to the deputy. The deputy thought Bruner "was fine because he saw Bruner watching TV and with other inmates." That afternoon, medical staff visited the pod, but the deputy didn't tell them about Bruner. The deputy told a deputy who was relieving him to watch Bruner.

Physicians normally do rounds on weekdays. That Sunday, March 9, no physician checked Bruner's chart, although it had been scheduled for that day.

Condition deteriorates

Staton, one of the two remaining defendants in the pending lawsuit, was the Pod 1 deputy from 7 a.m. to 3 p.m. March 10. At 7:15 a.m., Staton, who had previously been a nurse's aide, saw Bruner step out of his cell for the linen exchange. But he had no linen and seemed confused. When Staton told him to get his linen, he opened and closed the door but didn't go in.

Between 7:20 and 7:50 a.m. she asked two roving deputies, Marque Jameson and another staffer, to put Bruner back in his cell. Jameson is the other remaining defendant besides Staton. Bruner complied, but Jameson and the other roving deputy thought Bruner seemed dazed. Bruner moved very slowly but didn't appear to be in pain.

Staton, Jameson and the third deputy thought Bruner had a mental health condition but didn't need emergency medical care.

Between 7:15 and 10:25 a.m., an inmate told Staton that Bruner hadn't eaten since he came to the pod, and Staton checked and noted that Bruner had arrived at the pod four days earlier. She still suspected a mental condition.

At about 10:25 a.m., Staton told her supervisor about Bruner's behavior and that an inmate had said Bruner had not eaten in days, and the supervisor directed Staton to reach ConMed for a mental health check. A ConMed mental health worker told Staton that Bruner would be seen that afternoon.

Lunch came at 11:50 a.m., and Staton knew that Bruner didn't eat. She entered the fact as a warning in the daily log and notified her supervisor about it and reported that Bruner seemed depressed and anti-social.

A second inmate reported that Bruner had not eaten for days.

"Staton intended to 'keep an eye' on Bruner until mental health performed its afternoon rounds, but she did not make any effort to check on Bruner until several inmates advised Staton at 2:00 p.m. that Bruner was lying on the floor of his cell and not moving."

Staton had Jameson check on Bruner, and Jameson found Bruner curled in a fetal position in a pile of trash on his cell floor. Bruner looked dazed but not in distress.

When Bruner struggled to get up, Jameson "picked him up and placed him in his bunk."

From the booth she manned, Staton couldn't see Bruner. But Jameson told her Bruner was " 'not doing good' and that something was wrong with him. Jameson did not tell Staton that Bruner needed emergency care. Jameson understood that Staton was addressing the matter." Staton told a supervisor that no mental health staff had come to the pod. About 45 minutes after the inmates told Staton that Bruner was on the floor, the supervisor told the ConMed mental health worker about Bruner's behavior and asked to have him checked. About 15 minutes later, the mental health worker and a registered nurse came to Bruner's cell.

"They thought Bruner appeared to be 'very sick' and in need of medical attention. ... Bruner was sweaty, lethargic, weak, warm to the touch, not oriented and unresponsive to cues: he simply grunted and moaned. Bruner was sitting on his bunk with his knees up and he was hunched over." The nurse "had to physically pick up his head to check his pupils."

Staton told the mental health worker that Bruner had been unresponsive for a few hours.

The nurse and mental health worker "thought it was obvious that the physician assistant needed to evaluate Bruner immediately."

The nurse called the jail clinic from the pod booth, and deputies put Bruner into a wheelchair and wheeled him out at 3:26 p.m.

'They killed him'

In a March 2011 affidavit, Jay Uhls said he had been an inmate in a Sedgwick County cell next to Bruner's and had known Bruner for years. Uhls said he told two to three deputies that Bruner had been coughing and throwing up and not eating and that other inmates had brought concerns about Bruner to deputies.

"It was like talking to the wall," Uhls said in the affidavit.

When Uhls saw Bruner on his cell floor and told a female deputy that Bruner was sick and had not eaten for days, the deputy said Bruner was "faking it," Uhls said.

"I asked one of the officers who worked in the Clinic how he (Terry) was doing. They told me he was faking it. I knew better. Terry should have never died. They killed him as I see it."

Jury will decide

The narrative in the court document continues: After Bruner was wheeled to the jail clinic, a licensed practical nurse noted that he was "unalert, unresponsive to painful stimuli" and unable to walk. She noted his medical history, including cirrhosis of the liver, and noted he had moderate skin jaundice, warm skin and other signs of illness. A physician assistant directed her to set up an IV, draw lab samples and test Bruner's stool for blood. (1)

At 5:20 p.m., almost two hours after Bruner left the pod in the wheelchair, a registered nurse checked Bruner and noted his condition.

At 5:30, the physician assistant saw Bruner, did a rectal exam and noted his condition, then ordered IV fluids. The physician assistant later testified that he suspected liver failure and thought fluids would help.

Between 6 and 6:50 p.m., the nurse checked Bruner two more times.

At about 9:01 p.m., the physician assistant checked the lab results and ordered IV antibiotics and directed that Bruner be taken to a local hospital, and at 9:39 p.m., EMS transported Bruner.

He died at the hospital, two days later, March 12, 2008.

Later, the plaintiff's forensic pathology expert said "that as a result of untreated sclerosis of the liver, Bruner suffered a long process of dying, which included brain swelling. Bruner's brain swelling manifested through physical symptoms such as difficulty walking, confusion and inability to eat." The symptoms started on March 5, the day the Stanton County Jail alerted the Sedgwick County Jail, and worsened until he died a week later.

A disease expert for the plaintiff concluded that Bruner was "salvageable" until soon after the mental health staff checked him at about 3 p.m. March 10.

But the expert also said that "even if Bruner had received treatment in a more timely manner, he had a significant risk of a poor outcome."

A document also notes: "Bruner himself apparently did not recognize the seriousness of his medical condition."

Now, the key question for a jury will be if the two defendants, Staton and Jameson, "knew of and disregarded an excessive risk."

Reach Tim Potter at 316-268-6684 or tpotter@wichitaeagle.com

(1) Symptom noted 3-4-2008 in Stanton Jail one week earlier

IN THE EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
DISTRICT COURT, SEDGWICK COUNTY, KANSAS
FAMILY LAW DEPARTMENT

IN THE MATTER OF THE MARRIAGE OF:	)	
	)	
WILLIAM MCKEAN,	)	
	)	
AND	)	Case No. 03 DM 486
	)	
TAMARA MCKEAN,	)	
	)	

Pursuant to Chapter 60 of the
Kansas Statutes Annotated

JOURNAL ENTRY

NOW on this 10th day of November 2005, the same being a regular judicial day of the above Court, this cause comes on for an evidentiary hearing on the Petitioner's objections to the recommendations of the court appointed case manager, John Foulston. The Petitioner appears in person and pro se. The Respondent appears in person and by and through her attorney, Ann Gottberg Soderberg of Lambdin, Soderberg & Lambdin, Chtd. There are no other appearances.

THE COURT FINDS AND ORDERS, after being fully and duly advised in the premises, hearing the testimony of Dr. Alicia Landsverk, the Petitioner's therapist, Dr. Mark Quillen, the professional appointed by the court to administer the psychological evaluations of the parties and to interview the minor children, Dr. David Seifert, the therapist for the parties and their son, John, Dr. Columbus Bryant, the therapist for the parties' children, John and Tommy, Mr. John Foulston, the court appointed case manager, Mr. Sean Shores and Mr. Charles Harris, former counsel for the Petitioner, and four of John's teachers

LAW OFFICES OF
LAMBDIN, SODERBERG &
LAMBDIN, CHARTERED
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
830 NORTH MAIN, P.O. BOX 797
WICHITA, KANSAS 67201-0797
316-265-3285

and/or latch key director, the Petitioner and the Respondent, and hearing argument by Petitioner and counsel, as follows, to-wit:

1. The court has jurisdiction over the parties, the minor children and the subject matter herein; and,

2. The court FINDS that its decision is governed by the provisions of K.S.A. 2004 Supp. 23-1001, *et sequ.*, and the *Gordan-Hanks* case in determining whether it is in the best interest of the parties' minor child to adopt or reject the recommendation of the case manager; and,

3. The court also finds that it can understand the Petitioner's frustration with the court system but that the Petitioner's comments that he could, with a clear conscience, tell the minor child, John, that he had fought and raised the argument to keep John from getting more medication, to try and take him off medication is not in the minor child's best interests. The court further finds, after four and one-half days of hearing, that it was the Petitioner's intent to not develop facts relative to the issues relating to the recommendations of the case manager, Mr. Foulston, but rather to try and force the witness to agree with the Petitioner's perception of the facts, the Petitioner's view of the facts, and what the Petitioner desired to have happen in a particular situation. The court's impression is that the Petitioner believes that the world is against him if anyone disagrees with his opinion and the world is great only if the persons involved in this matter agree with him.

4. The court also finds that the Petitioner's zealous focus and intensive representation from events from two or even three years ago, in the court's lay opinion, corroborates the

rumination component, personality trait testified to by Dr. Lansverk, the Petitioner's own treating mental health professional. The testimony from Dr. Bryant, Dr. Seifert and Dr. Quillen all confirm a diagnosis of obsessive/compulsive disorder and delusional persecutorial-type issues.

5. The court also finds that the Petitioner's personality and interaction with the minor child, John, is probably a significant contributor to the minor child, John's, level of stress based on the court's observations of the Petitioner's demeanor and personality, during the proceedings, and from listening to the Petitioner's testimony. Testimony from both parties have documented that environmental stress can exacerbate or make worse John's symptoms of Oppositional Defiant Disorder and ADHD. Further, the court finds that the Petitioner either cannot or will not acknowledge, let alone accept, the possibility that he can be a source of stress to John and Tommy. The court finds that the Petitioner's conduct and the persistence of this battle on what you perceive to be on their behalf, can be a detrimental situation, to both minor children.

6. Subject to the case management recommendation of re-evaluation of John by a specialist, a pediatric psychiatrist, for an additional diagnosis addressing the issues of wither ADHS or ODD or other potential disorders at sometime in the near future, the court finds and orders that the current treatment of John is appropriate and that it seems professionally reasonable and it should continue.

7. The court finds that whatever the situation was either one or two or three years ago, whether the problems perceived by the Petitioner are with Ms. Kadel, Mr. Foulston, Dr.

Seifert, Dr. Bryant, Dr. Quillen, whether in fact it was a cover up of some medical or some psychological or psychiatric malpractice, whether it was as evil as a conspiracy or simple as just the poison fruit process since then, it simply does not change the fact that whatever may have happened in the past, we have to deal with the situation as it exists today. The court further finds that today, it is clear, for whatever reason, and who knows the absolute true reason, Tommy and John both have some problems; mostly focusing on John and his significant behavioral problems.

8. The Petitioner's issues concerning a conflict of interest or the potential conflict of interests from Drs. Quillen, Seifert and Bryant, in the court's opinion, goes to the weight and credit of their opinions, not to the admissibility of their opinions or even their potential disqualification as a witness.

9. The court further finds that while it is not trying to be mean to the Petitioner, based on the evidence heard over the past four and one-half days, the court believes that the Petitioner has some significant problems which affect his ability to parent the minor children for extended periods of time. The court also finds that it is in John and Tommy's best interest to limit the amount of time that they spend with the Petitioner. The case manager's recommendation addresses those issues in light of the children's best interests. Further, Dr. Landsverk, the Petitioner's own treating mental health professional agrees with the case manager's assessment that the Respondent, Ms. McKean, have sole custody for the time being and that the case manager's recommendation as to the Petitioner's parenting time is appropriate. The Petitioner should not, at this time, have primary or shared residency of

the minor children. The court notes that these orders are not a forever set in stone thing based on the provisions further contained in this order.

10. The court further finds that Dr. Landsverk raises the issue of John having ODD without ever having treated or examined or diagnosed John. Drs. Quillen, Bryant, Seifert and Romerein all say that John has ADHD, or agree with that diagnosis, but they all equally importantly say that he has symptoms consistent with ODD. They are not excluding the possibility from a professional standpoint, they are just not able to make a concrete diagnosis. Additionally, these health care professionals have stated that regardless of the diagnosis, the symptoms and problems of ADHD and ODD can be treated similarly. The court finds that based on the testimony of Drs. Quillen, Bryant and Seifert, that their opinions are persuasive that whether John has ADHD or whether he has ODD, the treatment regiments currently in effect has been and are appropriate.

11. The court finds, based on the evidence, that a rational finder of fact, using a reasonable person standard, could fairly conclude that Mrs. McKean has no significant problems affecting her parenting abilities; that Mr. McKean, considering all of the professional testimony, has significant psychological issues that would affect his parenting abilities, and more important, make it in the minor children's best interests to restrict his parenting time or exposure with the kids.

12. The court further finds that Mr. Foulston's recommendations, and I will deal with them individually, are in general based upon solid, significant investigation and careful review of

the psychological evaluations and other professional opinions and embody significant professional experience, and to me probably most important, common sense.

13. The court also finds that Mr. McKean's persistence of the belief that the ODD diagnosis is accurate and his insistence to all mental health providers and to Mrs. McKean that the diagnosis be changed despite the fact that the mental health providers said the treatment regiment would be essentially the same, led Mr. McKean to believe that, at best, the ODD versus ADHD controversy was a cover up and, at worst, was the subject of intentional conspiracy. Even considering Mr. McKean's testimony and his objections to the case manager's recommendation, in the court's opinion, does not survive a reasonable person test. Further, Mr. McKean's advancement of those objections and his persistence in this dispute of the ADHD versus ODD diagnosis and treatment and your persistent objection to Mr. Foulston's case management recommendations were and are unreasonable. The court finds, however, that Mr. McKean does not advance these issues maliciously or for any objective bad faith reason. Mr. McKean raises these issues and pursues these issues on the basis of a subjective good faith argument, which the court finds is mistaken under the facts. As such, not find that some sanction is appropriate. The court feels a full sanction is not appropriate under these circumstances. The court will consider an award of some attorney's fees and costs for hearing, depositions and prep time since the May 24, 2005, case management recommendations were filed. The court orders the written submission to be filed by November 20, 2005, or ten days from today's date. The argument will be limited to two double pages, double spaced. The counsel for the

Respondent may attach whatever documentation deemed necessary, ie, billing statements or invoices. The two page document shall advance each party's argument as to the amount of attorney's fees, costs, etc., associated with this matter since May 24, 2005. The Petitioner shall submit to the court, on or before November 20, 2005, at two page, double spaced position argument as to why attorney's fees and assessments for the depositions and prep time should not be assessed or why it would be unreasonable to assess the said fees and costs or a percentage of said fees and costs the Petitioner believes would be fair or unfair.

15. The court also orders this matter to be removed from case management. The court orders, however, that the case would be returned to John Foulston for case management in the event that either party, in writing, requests same.

16. The issue of the outstanding medical bills, or reimbursement for the medical bills and prescriptions, shall be addressed by both parties by submitting to the court, by November 20, 2005, a two page, double spaced document which sets forth the arguments for or against Mr. McKean's reimbursement of these expenses considering Dr. Romerein's part in these unpaid bills. The court finds that Dr. Romerein's billing practices blocked or prevented the submission of bills that could be and should have been provided to health insurance for payment.

17. The court orders, within forty-five (45) to sixty (60) days, that John be switched to a new board certified pediatric psychiatrist who is a preferred provider under the applicable insurance policies of the parties. If the parties cannot agree to the selection of this

professional, Dr. Bryant will make the recommendation. This evaluation will be for the purposed of reviewing treatment and making an independent diagnosis of whether John has ODD or ADHD. Mrs. McKean shall provide an oral history to the professional in a face to face meeting. Mr. McKean shall provide the professional with no more than five 8 and one-half by 11 pages, double spaced, of history or issues or relevant facts the Petitioner believes the doctor needs to know as a part of his or her evaluation of John. Mr. McKean will have no direct contact with the doctor unless the doctor specifically requests that contact.

18. The court adopts the first recommendation of the case manager that Mrs. McKean should have sole legal custody of the minor children, John and Tommy.

19. The court adopts the recommendation of the case manager that Mr. McKean should not have shared residency of the minor children, John and Tommy. The court orders Mrs. McKean to have primary residency.

20. The court adopts the case manager's recommended parenting time schedule between Mr. McKean and the minor children.

21. The court adopts the case manager's recommendation that the minor children should not change schools but leaves that decision, should it become necessary, to Mrs. McKean.

22. The court adopts the case manager's recommendation that Mrs. McKean should not be required to go to counseling.

23. The court orders that all recommendations and orders herein shall begin forthwith.

24. The court adopts the case manager's recommendation that the parties should not undergo a formal custody evaluation.

25. The court adopts the case manager's recommendation that there should be no investigation of a potential cover up.

26. The court adopts the case manager's recommendation that Mr. McKean should not be evaluated by a psychiatrist to determine the usefulness of psychotropic medication.

27. The court adopts the case manager's recommendation that the case manager should not investigate allegations against Kim Kadel, Sheila Floodman, David Seifert, Charles Harris and Sean Shores.

28. The court adopts the case manager's recommendation for a neutral sounding board for the minor children. The court authorizes a clinical social worker to serve as the children's sounding board. The court appoints Heather Eckerman to serve in this capacity.

29. The court adopts the case manager's recommendation that Mr. McKean should be periodically re-evaluated to determine whether or not he has improved his ability to co-parent. The court finds that the evidence has established that Mr. McKean's persistence in those beliefs and actions and the arguments and the conduct that he does in advancing this belief matrix is detrimental to the minor children. These actions and beliefs create a higher and maintains a higher level of stress to the minor children, which is detrimental to them. This is the main reason the court adopts the case manager's recommendations to restrict the Petitioner's parenting time with the children at this time. This restricted parenting time will change only when Mr. Mckean can demonstrate changes in his actions

and beliefs. The court appoints Dr. Mark Quillen to conduct these periodic evaluations of the Petitioner. The court further orders that these evaluations shall be at the sole discretion of the Petitioner. The court recommends that these evaluations be done in concert with the work and/or recommendations of Dr. Landsverk.

30. The court adopts the case manager's recommendation that the Petitioner, Mr. McKean not be required to enter into ongoing psychotherapy with a licensed psychologist or licensed specialist social worker. The court does recommend to Mr. McKean that he continue his counseling with Dr. Landsverk; it might help him or it might not.

31. The court adopts the case manager's recommendation that the Petitioner not be required to attend a parenting class.

32. The court adopts the case manager's recommendation that Mr. McKean should not be permitted to have contact with teachers, school officials and health care providers until he has shown marked improvement in his ability to co-parent as reported by the evaluator, Dr. Quillen. The Respondent, Mrs. McKean shall be required to provide the Petitioner written reports which she receives from these persons and shall be required to provide periodic written updates.

33. The court finds that the Petitioner should be placed on any list to get records directly from the providers, the school, the school districts, but he cannot contact these persons directly.

34. The court also orders that, absent an emergency and emergencies shall be defined as life and safety is at imminent risk, that there will be no more than three email communications per week, from the Petitioner to the Respondent, of no more than fifty (50) lines in length.

If the Petitioner fails to limit his emails to this number or length, the parties shall re-enter case management.

35. The court has decided to not rule on the Thanksgiving or Christmas issues because it is hopeful that the parties can work it out on their own. The court believes it is important for the children to have contact with both families during the holidays. If the parties cannot work out a schedule, the parties shall be referred back to case management to address these issues. The same applies to summer visitation.

36. The court further orders that in as far as it might affect any public dissemination of information, letters to the editors, fliers, pamphlets you might make up and distribute to the general public, any intended mass of distribution of information in support of the Petitioner's positions as to complaints about the court, the court system, the judges and the case managers and case management system, shall not cause any disclosure of personal information on Mrs. McKean and Mrs. McKean's family history, any of the diagnoses or the issues about the diagnosis of John. Further, if the Petitioner is filing documents of limited public availability, such as ethical complaints, as might be appropriate for those filings, the court does not apply these restrictions because the information has limited public disclosure.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS, that a copy of the Transcript of which is hereby attached and marked as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by reference.

IT IS BY THE COURT SO ORDERED.

TERRY L. PULLMAN,
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

(APPROVAL ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

APPROVED:

By:_____
William McKean, Pro Se
Petitioner

LAMBDIN, SODERBERG & LAMBDIN, CHTD.

By:_____
Ann Gottberg Soderberg #15495
Attorneys for Respondent

WRITTEN TESTIMONY BY BILL MCKEAN IN FAVOR OF HB 2655 ON FEBRUARY 15, 2012

My name is Bill McKean. I want to thank the Committee for allowing me to testify today in favor of House Bill 2655. Since 2005 I have been a judicial reform activist trying to expose the criminal racketeering in the family law courts & juvenile courts in Kansas. I worked closely with the late State Representative Jim Morrison – Chairman of the House Committee on Government Efficiency & Fiscal Responsibility from January 2007 until his death. Jim was my hero. He exemplified humility, courage & compassion. I served as Jim's agent to gather documentation of criminal racketeering by Wichita judges, prosecutors & SRS employees which Jim scanned and passed on to his committee members over several years. During the 2007 session, I also testified before this committee, the House Federal & State Affairs Committee & the Senate Judiciary Committee listing specific allegations of corruption. In December 2008, I testified before the Joint Committee on Children's Issues and provided the committee with documentation of criminal racketeering in the Todd Wait case involving Rachel Pirner (the current President of the Kansas Bar Association who is married to Dave Grant the news director of KAKE TV) and extensive documentation of the 2000-2007 human rights atrocity involving disabled air force veteran Joe Liddle & his 14 year old son David. No one seemed to care.

Last January when I testified before the Senate Judiciary Committee, I provided the committee with 89 pages of documents including evidence of a cover up of sexual child abuse by the Wichita Police Department, family law & juvenile judges, prosecutors & attorneys in the Staci Ralstin case. Chairman Kinzer & Speaker O'Neal & Rep. Peggy Mast are familiar with this case as I participated in a 90 minute telephone conference with them, Morrison & Ralstin in June 2007. I also provided the committee with a transcript of my testimony in the Paul Rhodes-Tony Powell stalking order case in which I testified under oath that I witnessed Dave Johnson, an instructor at the February 2006 Annual Family Law seminar, tell the 40 attorneys present that the family law judges have ordered them NOT to advocate for their clients if their client received a negative evaluation form a court ordered psychologist. During the hearing last January, I asked Senator Vratil to subpoena David Johnson to come to the committee and to testify under oath that I am a liar & I have committed perjury.

Today I will again provide another legislative committee with extensive evidence of criminal racketeering which in many cases involves the cover up of the sexual abuse of children. However the reason why I am testifying today is for 3 reasons:

1. I request that the committee strike Section 21-5905 Section (2)B which prohibits repeated vituperative communication and Section 3 which prohibits picketing near a court house.
2. Instead of trying to silence judicial reform activists like myself & Joe Lidde, I request that the committee recognizes that lawmakers & law enforcement agencies desperately need patriotic muckrakers to expose human rights atrocities condoned or orchestrated by judges, prosecutors, case managers & employees of child protective agencies.
3. Finally I again ask Representatives Lance Kinzer, Joe Patton, Mike Kiegrel, Peggy Mast & Steve Brunk to introduce a House Resolution requesting that Speaker Mike O'Neal to grant subpoena

42 USC § 1985 - CONSPIRACY TO INTERFERE WITH CIVIL RIGHTS

- US Code
- Notes
- Currency
- Authorities (CFR)

(1) Preventing officer from performing duties

If two or more persons in any State or Territory conspire to prevent, by force, intimidation, or threat, any person from accepting or holding any office, trust, or place of confidence under the United States, or from discharging any duties thereof; or to induce by like means any officer of the United States to leave any State, district, or place, where his duties as an officer are required to be performed, or to injure him in his person or property on account of his lawful discharge of the duties of his office, or while engaged in the lawful discharge thereof, or to injure his property so as to molest, interrupt, hinder, or impede him in the discharge of his official duties;

(2) Obstructing justice; intimidating party, witness, or juror

If two or more persons in any State or Territory conspire to deter, by force, intimidation, or threat, any party or witness in any court of the United States from attending such court, or from testifying to any matter pending therein, freely, fully, and truthfully, or to injure such party or witness in his person or property on account of his having so attended or testified, or to influence the verdict, presentment, or indictment of any grand or petit juror in any such court, or to injure such juror in his person or property on account of any verdict, presentment, or indictment lawfully assented to by him, or of his being or having been such juror; or if two or more persons conspire for the purpose of impeding, hindering, obstructing, or defeating, in any manner, the due course of justice in any State or Territory, with intent to deny to any citizen the equal protection of the laws, or to injure him or his property for lawfully enforcing, or attempting to enforce, the right of any person, or class of persons, to the equal protection of the laws;

(3) Depriving persons of rights or privileges

If two or more persons in any State or Territory conspire or go in disguise on the highway or on the premises of another, for the purpose of depriving, either directly or indirectly, any person or class of persons of the equal protection of the laws, or of equal privileges and immunities under the laws; or for the purpose of preventing or hindering the constituted authorities of any State or Territory from giving or securing to all persons within such State or Territory the equal protection of the laws; or if two or more persons conspire to prevent by force, intimidation, or threat, any citizen who is lawfully entitled to vote, from giving his support or advocacy in a legal manner, toward or in favor of the election of any lawfully qualified person as an elector for President or Vice President, or as a Member of Congress of the United States; or to injure any citizen in person or property on account of such support or advocacy; in any case of conspiracy set forth in this section, if one or more persons engaged therein do, or cause to be done, any act in furtherance of the object of such conspiracy, whereby another is injured in his person or property, or deprived of having and exercising any right or privilege of a citizen of the United States, the party so injured or deprived may have an action for the recovery of damages occasioned by such injury or deprivation, against any one or more of the conspirators.

The Wichita Eagle
Kansas.com

Back to web version

Friday, Jan. 20, 2012

Posted on Tue, Jun. 01, 2010

District Court judges running unopposed

BY RON SYLVESTER
The Wichita Eagle

With less than two weeks until the filing deadline, it looks like Sedgwick County District Court judges will be running unopposed for re-election this year.

Eight judges are facing re-election, and seven of the incumbents have filed to run for re-election with the secretary of state.

Judge Timothy Lahey said he also intends to file before the June 10 noon deadline.

"I have the paperwork on my desk," Lahey, a Republican, said Friday.

Judges Robb Rumsey, Greg Waller, Ben Burgess, David Kaufman, Joe Kisner, Anthony Powell and Mark Vining have all filed for office. All but Waller and Kisner are Republicans.

James Fleetwood, chief judge of the district, said he can't remember another judicial election without a contested race in his 14 years on the bench.

"And we haven't heard of any planned races," Fleetwood said. "So far, people seem to be happy with us."

It follows a 2008 election year with eight contested races and 12 judges who ran unopposed.

There's a notable difference this year, however. All of the incumbents are running.

Usually, lawyers will pick open slots where judges are retiring or opting not to seek re-election, as their first choice.

"I would prefer not to run again an incumbent," said Jama Mitchell, a public defender who lost her bid for the bench in 2008. "I've practiced in front of all of these judges for 15 years, or at least as long as they've been around. I wouldn't want to run against anyone I respected so much."

"I will definitely be filing in 2012," Mitchell said.

Contested judicial races are shaping up, however, in Butler and Cowley counties.

Butler County prosecutor Jan Satterfield and Madison attorney Paul Dean are running in the Republican primary for the seat being vacated by retiring Judge John Sanders-source.

In Cowley County, Republicans E. Rodney Iverson of Arkansas City and William Muret of Winfield are facing off in the Republican primary for the seat currently held by J. Michael Smith.

Smith is retiring at the end of his term.

Mark Krusor of Winfield so far is the only Democrat who has filed for the Cowley County District Court opening.

Judges must be lawyers and are elected in contested races in about half the counties in Kansas.

In other counties, judges are appointed by the governor from nominees chosen by a selection committee. They stand for non-partisan retention elections every four years.

Judges in Kansas make between $106,000 and $124,000 a year.

Reach Ron Sylvester at 316-268-6514 or rsylvester@wichitaeagle.com.

A 1

PRESENTATION TO SEDGWICK COUNTY LEGISLATIVE DELEGATION
BILL MCKEAN'S ALLEGATIONS OF CRIMINAL RACKETEERING

Legislative Requests:

1. Publicly pressure Speaker Mike O'Neal to grant Rep. Jim Morrison's House Committee on Government Efficiency & Fiscal Responsibility subpoena power to force judges, prosecutors, attorneys & family law case managers & forensic psychologists to testify under oath to refute allegations of criminal racketeering.

2. Enact legislation to authorize a 11/2010 ballot initiative to amend the state constitutional to allow Kansans to directly elect Supreme court justices in a non-partisan election.

3. Lobby Rep. Lance Kinzer's House Judiciary committee to hold hearings to discuss & research instituting a clemency program to encourage dishonest attorneys, prosecutors to self-report their unethical & illegal & criminal acts.

Reasons For Systemic Corruption in Wichita

1. Incestuous relationship between media managers & their attorneys spouses which are officials of the Wichita Bar Association "WBA" & Kansas Bar Association "KBA"

2. Cronyism at the disciplinary boards that investigate judges, attorneys, doctors & mental health professionals. (i.e. retired Prairie View Hospital executive Sue Ice is a member of the Board of Healing Arts "BOHA" & her spouse, retired Harvey County Judge Ted Ice is the chairman of one of the 2 panels of the Commission on Judicial Conduct & daughter Laura Ice is an official for the WBA & KBA. Topeka attorney Randy Forbes is the part time general counsel for the board of Pharmacy, Board of Optometry & Board of Dentistry while serving as a defense attorney for doctors being disciplined by the BOHA. Wichita SRS Director Jean Hogan & Jodi Cline, Jeanne Erickson's partner at Center for Counseling & Mediation are members of the Behavioral Science Board.

3. Managers at city, county & state government departments & agencies who are part of the "good old boy network" who can thumb their noses at the legislative committees due to their political connections.(i.e. Former BOHA Exec. Director Larry Buening is married to Sebelius & Parkinson Appointments Director)

4. Pro-life & pro-business PAC organizers that ignore documented corruption & provide political endorsements & campaign contributions to corrupt GOP judges.

SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS WHICH THE FBI, THE KBI & THE WICHITA EAGLE & KSN (CHANNEL 3) & KWCH (CHANNEL 12) REFUSE TO INVESTIGATE: (NOTE: PER KANSAS STATE CRIMIN AL STATUTES, NOLA FOULSTON COULD PROSECUTE BILL MCKEAN FOR CRIMINAL DEFAMATION PUNISHABLE UP TO ONE YEAR IN PRISON IF THE FOLLOWING ALLEGATIONS ARE UNTRUE & MADE MALICIOUSLY)

Former Mayor Carlos Mayans told Bill McKean, Rep. Jim Morrison & 2 other individuals that he was offered a $100,000 bribe while he was in office.

County Commissioner Kelly Parks told Bill McKean & Rep. Jim Morrison that he was offered a bribe by a member of the Cornejo family & former County Commissioner Ben Sciortino and that the FBI was interested in prosecuting but was over ruled 'by the US Attorneys office.

Rachel Pirner, KBA & WBA board member & spouse of KAKE News director Dave Grant suborned forgery & conspired to commit criminal racketeering involving Todd & Rhonda Wait's real estate. Court appointed attorneys Steve Manke & John Rapp (son of David Rapp long time chair of the WBA's ethics compliant committee also participated in the criminal racketeering by refusing to protect Todd & Rhonda Wait's civil rights & liberty. Other attorneys involved were Nelson Van Fleet & Cami Baker & Wichita Judges Doug Roth, Mark Vining & Mike Corrigan. Note – Steve Manke is the court appointed attorney for4 the 15 year old charged with 1st degree murder in the Thanksgiving double homicide.

Because family law case manager, Jeanne Erickson, of the counseling Mediation Center, covered up sexual abuse of small children in 2 cases, members of the Wichita Police Department EMCU unit, SRS employees and Nola Foulston's juvenile assistant prosecutors continued to cover up the sexual abuse and/or retaliated against the mothers who were protecting their small children by reporting the sexual abuse. Several attorneys for the mothers endangered the small children by betraying their clients by refusing to go to trial to present evidence.

At the 2/2006 WBA Family Law Seminar, attorney David Johnson made a presentation in which he told the 60 attendees that the 3 family law judges (Fleetwood, Pilshaw & Wilbert) had instructed him to tell the family law bar that they could not advocate for their clients right to due process by disputing any psychological evaluation by a court appointed psychologists (such as Jeanne Erickson)

At a 9/2007 meeting of the Wichita Pachyderm club attended by Carlos Mayans , Rep. Joe McLeland, GOP County Exec. Director Kelly Arnold & Brownback staffer Tammy Woods, Rep. Jim Morrison told the audience that he had received a foot high stack of documented allegations of judicial corruption including a copy of a $10,000 check to bribe to Judge Pilshaw in a custody case

Wichita family physician Richard Egelof received a 1 week license suspension after he put a female patient on unnecessary psychotropic drugs, seduce her & sexually assaulted her at her home. Topeka attorney Randy Forbes represented Egelof before the BOHA and was able to delay for several months until Bill McKean was able to intervene to prove that the BOHA was intentionally dragging its feet. In 4/2008 BOHA Director Buening & Chief Counsel Mark Stafford resigned under pressure. Eighteen months later Buening's replacement Jack Conifer resigned for undisclosed reasons in 10/2009

Nola Foulston's prosecutor & long-time WBA musical review chorsographer, Christine Ladner, Judge Pilshaw, Court Trustee Genine Ware suborned perjury so that disabled veteran Joe Liddle was false arrested for a felony & was extradited to Wichita from Iowa. A falsely sworn arrest warrant was in force on the FBI's database for 7 years. SRRS attorney Timothy Givon continued to cover up the criminal racketeering by reporting to Judge Fleetwood in 12/2006 that Liddle's documented allegations of corruption were spurious. 18 months after Liddle was extradited to Wichita, Nola Foulston's juvenile prosecutors obstructed justice by reporting a false name of the father on the court documents in a trial which resulted in Joe's 14 year old son being unnecessarily sentenced to 2 years of incarceration
at the Forbes Juvenile facility in Topeka. The boy's court appointed attorney, Pro Life politician & GOP County Chairman Mark Kahrs acted with gross negligence by not questioning the boy to determine who was his father and contacting him. AS a result of the criminal racketeering the Veterans Administration threatened to cut off Joe's medical benefits for his broken back and Joe was never informed that his son was in trouble. For the record, the 14 year old did not have any prior criminal record before he used his mom's ATM card to take $180 out of her bank account.

Pastor Mike Nolan who is a Wichita street minister who works with teenaged prostitutes & is a former Viet Nam Green Beret officer, a former Oklahoma state trooper and a former Assembly of God minister told Bill McKean & who was a former minority owner of the Broadview Hotel told Bill McKean & Todd Wait that he observed Wichita Judges informally known as the "Jesters" partying with prostitutes at the Broadview Hotel on a monthly basis. IMPORTANT UPDATE: According to a 2/14/10 Dallas Morning News story, the FBI wrote a letter in 1/2010 to whistleblower Phil Marsteller stating that the Dallas FBI office is starting an investigation about child sex tourism in Brazil involving a sports outfitter company – Wet-A-Line whose steady clients were members of "The Royal Order of Jesters" and also included Democrat Governor & attorney Brad Henry & his attorney friends & that as part off an ongoing federal probe of the Royal Order of Jesters retired New York Supreme Court Justice Ronald Tillis was sentence to 18 months in federal prison for transporting a teenaged immigrant who could barely speak English from Buffalo NY to serve as a prostitute at a Jesters convention in Kentucky.

For More Information – Please Contact - Bill McKean 825 Bay Country Circle, Wichita KS 67235 (316) 293-6079 kiakahahaha@yahoo.com

ON JANUARY 24, 2011 IN OPPOSITION TO SENATE BILL 24

My name is Bill McKean. I am a concerned citizen & an oil industry executive who for 15 months from 1/1/2006 until 3/2007 served at the request of Senate Utility Chairman Jay Emler & Ed Cross from Kansas Independent Oil & Gas Association on the 4 member natural gas industry negotiating team with representatives from BP, ONEOK & Duke to settle with southwest Kansas corn growers association a contentious gas gathering senate bill introduced by Senate President Morris. At that time 4 years ago, I met the late Jim Morrison, Chairman of the Kansas House Committee on Government Efficiency & Fiscal Responsibility to complain about the systemic criminal racketeering & tragic human rights atrocities committed by Sedgwick County District Court judges, prosecutors, court appointed guardian ad litems and court appointed mental health professionals serving as case manager or forensic psychologists. Jim asked me to find victims who were willing to go public & within 6 months he had a stack of evidence of criminal racketeering that he scanned & forwarded to members of his committee. During the 2007 legislative session, I testified before this committee about corruption at the Office of Attorney Discipline & Board of Healing Arts & before the House State & Federal Affairs Committee about the judicial corruption to support legislation for the Supreme Court judicial selection process be taken away from the Kansas Bar Association and given to the Kansas citizens. I also testified at the request of Alan Cobb from Americans For Prosperity for a bill against taxpayer funded lobbyists.

I oppose Senate Bill 24 because it gives authority even more opportunity to corrupt district judges & mental health professionals to order divorcing spouses to get counseling. The same corrupt mental health professionals who as court appointed case managers, guardian ad litems or psychological evaluators have been involved in documented conspiracy to commit criminal racketeering in juvenile or family law courts will be able to poison the estranged spouses to destroy families to create money fees for attorneys & psychologists. Instead of giving judges more authority, this committee should seek subpoena power to demand transparency & accountability by exposing the criminal racketeering.

In 2009 I referred several victims of criminal racketeering to talk to presiding family law Judge Tony Powell & to Tom Entz, the FBI's anti-corruption special agent in Wichita. Entz told me that the FBI could not investigate without a referral from a public official. Entz urged me to put political pressure on the state & federal legislators, the state attorney general's office & the media. I believe that Entz can not investigate because of the revolving door policy in the corrupt & nepotistic US Attorney's Office, large law firms and the Wichita Police department & KBI. Acting US Attorney Lannie Welch in charge of all criminal prosecutions is the son of former KBI Director Larry Welch. Retired Senior Wichita police officials are employed by the US Attorney's office as investigators.

In January 2010 I distributed a flier (see attached pink sheet)to the Sedgwick County Legislators at the annual public forum. I reported specific allegations of attempted bribery, criminal racketeering, obstruction of justice or sexual assault against several judges & attorneys, a former county commissioner serving as a lobbyist and a medical doctor. I told the large crowd that I would challenge any of the individuals to have me arrested for criminal defamation which is punishable up to one year in jail. Despite my attempt to follow FBI Agent Tom Entz's advice to raise awareness, GOP State Senators Jean Schodorf (formerly married to a federal prosecutor) & Dick Kelsey (an operator of an juvenile

detention home) and Democrat State Representative Raj Goyle did not address the systemic corruption condoned by the US Attorney's Office when they ran for Congress to replace Todd Tiahrt.

To raise the stakes & political pressure, I am here to today to present to the committee documents into the state archives for references for future legal historians . Attaching a court transcript in which I testified under oath that I observed conspiracy to commit systemic criminal racketeering & to obstruct justice at the 2/2006 Wichita Bar Association annual family law seminar when WBA official David Johnson told members of the Wichita family law bar that the family law judges (Fleetwood, Pilshaw & Wilbert) had instructed that the bar members can not advocate for their clients if they receive a negative psychological evaluation from a court appointed psychologist. (See sheets M-7 M-14 & M-15 for my sworn testimony in the trial of Paul Rhodes). I am formally requesting this committee to request subpoena power & force David Johnson to testify under oath to refute my allegation & to ask the State Attorney General & FBI's government corruption unit in Washington DC to start a criminal investigation of systemic criminal racketeering by Sedgwick County judges, attorneys & court appointed mental health providers. Of course this committee could alternatively recommend that the State Attorney General or the Us Attorney's Office file perjury charges against me.

On December 18, 2008, I testified before the Joint Committee on Children's Issues chaired by Sen. Julia Lynn. (See Sheet M-1) Democrat Senators Haley & Kelly were present when I made specific allegations of criminal racketeering to suborn perjury and conspire to obstruct justice by SRS Attorney Timothy Givon, Judges James Fleetwood-Tim Henderson-Rebecca Pilshaw , Asst DA Christine Ladner, Court Trustee Genine Ware, court appointed juvenile attorney Mark Kahrs & KBA Family Law Committee Chairman Tripp Shawver in the disabled Iowa air force veteran Joe Liddle corruption scandal. (See Sheets L-1 to L-6 for email correspondence between Joe Liddle & Jim Morrison and various judges & law enforcement agents). I can provide the committee with several hundred pages of Joe's documents.

I also made specific allegations of criminal racketeering to suborn forgery by Judges Douglas Roth, Karl Friedel, Michael Corrigan, Mark Vining, KBA official & attorney Rachel Pirner (wife of KAKE TV news director Dave Grant) court appointed attorneys Steve Mank & John Rapp in the Todd Wait case. At that time I challenged SRS Secretary Don Jordan who was present at the hearing to file criminal defamation charges against me if his attorney Tim Givon had not been involved in the criminal racketeering. (See Sheet M-8 to M-11 for Todd Wait's sworn testimony in the Paul Rhodes hearing). I can provide the committee hundreds of pages of Todd Wait's documents..

I have assisted several other victims of criminal racketeering. 4 weeks ago I posted on YOUTUBE my interview of Cynthia Rader making allegations of criminal racketeering by county juvenile employees, Mulvane police, Juvenile Judge Harold Flaigle, Guardian Ad Litem Julia Craft (who is married to prominent psychologist Tom Rochat), Associate DA Ron Pascal, court appointed attorneys Laurie Shanyfeld and that resulted in the forced guilty pleas to false felony charges & an attempted suicide by Cynthia's 16 year old son. You can google up the videos on YOUTUBE. I have attached yesterday's email from Senator Oletha Faust Goddeau to Cynthia. (See sheet C-1 to C-1 for Cynthia's blog with links to my YOUTBUE videos. When Senator Faust-Goddeeau ran for Sedgwick County Commissioner last year, I do not recall her discussing the bipartisan corruption committed by several county agencies & district

courts. The lesson to be learned is that state senators will look cynical if they only respond to human rights atrocities that are exposed on YOUTUBE.

I have also helped Valerie Rosproy whose parental rights were terminated and her 2 sons were sent to Youthville because she complained that court appointed psychologists Jeanne Erickson & Janet Hawthorne have covered up the sexual abuse of her 2 sons. Valerie & her unpaid advocate & social worker Debra Wilson testified in 2009 before Mike Kiegrel's Joint Committee on Children's Issues which included Senators Haley, Lynn & Kelly. Rosproy & Wilson's audio testimony has been captured on Earl Glynn's blog. Yesterday Valerie sent me an email to update her case. Since her testimony Valerie has been sent to jail for speaking out. I have attaché recent correspondence from Valerie in which recently a SRS employee, Jennifer Gibson petitioned the juvenile court to have Valerie sent to a state mental institution. It's mind boggling that SRS workers continue to retaliate against Valerie for speaking out to protect her sons. It's obvious that SRS workers in Wichita are not afraid of Senator Julia Lynn or Chairman Mike Kiegrel. To the best of my knowledge, when Senators Haley & Kelly who were aware of Valerie's allegations when they ran for US Congress or Senator last year, they did not discuss the criminal racketeering or the soviet style justice & psychiatry that is being covered up by the FBI & US Attorney's Office. (See Sheets R-1 to R-6 for Valerie's updated documents since last year and Sheets R-7 to R-11 for letters written by Valerie's advocate Debra Wilson to Attorney General Steve Six).

In June 2007 I helped Chairman Jim Morrison arrange a 90 minute conference call between then House Judiciary Chairman Mike O'Neal, Post-Legislative Audit Committee Chairman Peggy Mast & State Rep. Lance Kinzer and Staci Ralstin, her mother Marlene Jones & victims' rights advocate Donna Roberts to discuss the criminal racketeering & obstruction of justice involving the cover up of sexual abuse of a child by the Wichita police, Ron Pascal's juvenile prosecutors office, court appointed psychologist – Jeanne Erickson & child psychologist, Jennifer Reid (who is married to Foulston Seifkin partner Todd Tedesco & is the daughter of Tom Reid a federal magistrate in Newton KS. Morrison later told me that he & O'Neal went to the House Speaker Doug May who asked State AG Steve Six to investigate. I was also told that Marlene Jones secretly tape recorded the conversation. I have attached a list (Sheet E-5 to E-10) of the criminal allegations along with emails from Ralstin's attorneys (Sheets E-1 to E4) which clearly indicate that attorney Julie Ariagno was afraid to advocate for her client in the Sedgwick County juvenile courts. The 8/2007 email from attorney Julie Ariagno to Ralstin (that I received through Joe Liddle) is especially illuminating. In the email (Sheet E-1 to E-4) Ariagno instructs Ralstin that she must move of the state if she gets her son is returned to her because court appointed psychoglsit Jennifer Reid could fabricate charges against her. Shortly after the email was written, Ariagno's husband, Tim Moore (who is a partner at Morris Laing Evans) was selected by the KBA to be 1 of 3 finalists for the Court of Appeals. Even more astonishing, Ariagno served as a lecturer on ethics at the 2005 KBA Annual family law seminar (See sheet E-11 & E-12)

The Liddle, Wait, Ralstin cases clearly show that powerful Wichita attorney feel compelled to betray their clients which supports my allegations of systemic orchestrated criminal racketeering with curt appointed mental health providers that I made against David Johnson & family law Judges Fleetwood, Pilshaw & Wilbert.

I understand that the Committee Chairman Tim Owens is a former SRS attorney and a family law attorney who serves on the Commission on Child Support Guidelines with my former attorney Charlie Harris, Chairman of the Kansas Judicial Council's Family Law Committee. I have never talked about my case specifically, but Harris betrayed me in 2003 when court appointed mental health providers at Wichita Psychiatric Consultants against my wishes put my 6 year old son on Zoloft & Depacote & then suggested that he needed to be sent from Wichita to Menninger Clinic in Topeka for a psychological evaluation. Harris refused to expose that the court appointed case manager, Kim Kadel, a protégé of Jeanne Erickson had fabricated evidence that my marriage counselor recommended that I should hve shared custody. Harris refused to interview the court appointed mental health providers to ask if my allegations of emotional & physical abuse were valid. My subsequent attorneys Elaine Reddick & Sean Shores accepted my retainers, but refused to advocate for me. John Foulston, the 2nd case manager who replaced Kadel, filed a motion for the court to appoint a psychologist to perform an evaluation on me with the results beng kept secret & not released to me. By representing myself pro se, I objected and Judge David Kaufman agreed to release the results of the psychological evaluation to the parties, but against my wishes, Kaufman selected psychologist Marc Quillen to perform the evaluation which determined that I was a paranoid delusional person with a persecutory complex that should be order to take psychotropic drugs from a court order psychiatrist. Based on the evaluation, Foulston recommended that my parenting rights be terminated. I had no choice but to walk away from my kids or spend tens of thousands of dollars representing myself as a pro through a 5 day trial in 2005 and a 2 day trial in 2006 in which my parental rights were terminated. During the trial I was able to prove that Quillen owned 5% stock in Wichita Psychiatric Consultants which employed the2 mental health professionals selected by Kim Kadel that put my 6 year old son on Zoloft & Depacote. Quillen stated I was crazy because I disagreed with the diagnosis of his employees & because I thought that the family law courts were corrupt. (See Sheet M-22 to M-34) Marc Quillen is married to Marilyn Harp, who is the Executive Director of Kansas Legal Services. For the past 8 years since 2003, my son has remained in therapy with the court appointed psychologist Bud Bryant against my wishes.

FBI Agent Tom Entz urged me to put pressure on the media. I have already testifies that Dave Grant, the news director of Wichita ABC Affiliate KAKE TV, is married to Rachel Pirner, the KBA & WBA official who was involved in the criminal racketeering in the Todd Wait case. The management of the Wichita Eagle also has serious conflicts of interest. I have attached a copy (Sheet W-1 to W-3) of my comments regarding a recent Eagle story involving Eagle society columnist Bonnie Bing's husband Dick Honeymann from the law firm of Hite Fanning Honeymann which until recently controlled the KBA's selections for Supreme Court Justices. I have also attached a copy (Sheet Z-6) of a 2/20/2009 email that I received from Mary Kay Culp, the Executive Director of Kanans for Life regarding the friendship of Supreme Court Justice Carol Beier & Honeyman's law partner, Gaye Tibbetts, who is married to Eagle courthouse reporter Ron Sylvester. Unfortunately pro life groups are not serious about removing Beier by exposing corruption for fear of exposing corrupt Wichita pro life judges who were endorsed by David Gittrich's KFL PAC.

I understand that the bipartisan judicial corruption & nepotism between attorneys, judges & psychologists has existed for many generations & requires a bipartisan political solution. I have attached

emails (sheets M-2 to M-6) between myself & Judge Tony Powell who is an elected official accountable to the Sedgwick County voters. The email in which Powell tried to informally ban me & a subsequent court order in which he banned me from the family law courts on the 4th floor because he did not want me lobbying attorneys, court employees & judges about the criminal racketeering. Judge Powell's reason to ban me was because I was sending emails or leaving telephone messages about the corruption to court employees & judges. Judge Powell has always been courteous to me when I visited with him in his office or at political events, but he is afraid of free speech despite the cross examination questions of me by Powell's attorney s Paul Rhodes trial. The emails demonstrate that all judges should be elected because it requires them to communicate with voters about corruption issues.

I have attached a 2000 story (Sheet Z-1 & Z-2) in which House GOP Whip Tony Powell & Senator Sue Wagle sought to subpoena Attorney General Carla Stovall to testify under oath before a house committee. Unless Powell & Wagle are hypocritical dishonest politicians posing as pro life family value Republicans, they should support my request & Jim Morrison's goals of having dishonest judges, prosecutors , attorneys & testify under oath before legislative committees .

I have attached a 4/13/2009 email (Sheet Z-5) that I have received from Senator Julia Lynn & 2/24/2009 email (Sheet Z-4) from State Rep. Peggy Mast supporting Jim Morrison's request for subpoena powers. I understand that in the 2008 election cycle Senator Lynn accepted a $60,000 campaign contributions from Senator John Vratil's I hope Lynn will start advocating for children & families instead of posing before TV cameras as a concerned legislator. Also attached is an 1/11/2011 email (Sheet Z-3) that I received 2 weeks ago from national syndicated columnist Cal Thomas referring the corruption allegations to Fox News. Assuming that Kansas will always vote GOP, O'Reilly & Huckabee should have no loyalties to Kansas Republican incumbents if they can take down Kathleen Sebelius by exposing the systemic bipartisan corruption in Kansas.

I have also attached a copy (Sheet X-1 & X-2) of Senator Haley's 2006 SB 137 regarding a new law against the crime of deprivation of rights under the color of law. It's ironic that Cynthia Rader, a black woman, had to turn to me, a middle aged upper middle class white man to advocate for her son rather a black attorney like Haley. I also attached Senator Wagle's 2006 Senate Concurrent Resolution 1622 (Sheet X-3 to X-6) to change the way Supreme Court Judges are selected along with testimony (Sheet X-7 to X-11) from constitutional law professor Kris Kobach who has remained silent about the judicial criminal racketeering when he served as the State GOP Party Chairman.

Do GOP politicians really want accountability & transparency? The Kansas GOP should worry more about Soviet style justice & psychiatry than about illegal immigration or voter fraud. Last session Attorney-Senator Jay Emler's Appropriations Committee introduced a bill to raise the required signatures for a citizen grand jury petition from 2% to 10% of the number of voters in the last governor's election. I commend Senators Haley & Pilcher cook for defeating the measure. I hope the attorney-politicians that control the Senate do not introduce a similar bill unless they want hundreds of angry citizens to converge on the capitol. I would be happy to take any questions from committee members especially pro family values GOP Senator Pilcher Cook who publishes the internet magazine, Kansas Liberty, which claims to advocate for transparency & accountability. I have a scoop for her.

YAHOO! MAIL Classic

Re: Testimony in Tomorrow's Hearing in Place of Barry Simpson Thursday, December 18, 2008 9:05 AM

From: "Martha Dorsey" <MarthaD@klrd.state.ks.us>

To: "bill mckean" <kiakahahaha@yahoo.com>

Mr. McKean,

I have received your message and have put your name on the agenda in place of Mr. Simpson. Thank you for letting me know.

-Martha Dorsey

Martha Dorsey, Principal Analyst
Kansas Legislative Research Department
300 SW Tenth Avenue
Room 010-W
Topeka, KS 66612-1504
Ph: 785-296-4419
FAX: 785-296-3824

>>> bill mckean <kiakahahaha@yahoo.com> 12/18/2008 8:18 AM >>>
Dear Ms. Dorsey:

Barry Simpson had asked me to testify in his place tomorrow morning at the 10AM hearing in Topeka. Barry has sentenced to jail for 88 days last Tuesday by Judge Tony Powell for contempt of court.

I have lobbied Senator Lynn & Senator Haley about the court house corruption issues in Wichita for the past 2 years. I have tried to tell the SEdgwick Copunty delegation about the story of Joe Liddle, a disabled air force veteran from Des Moines Iowa whose constitutional rights and 14 year old sons' civil rights were violated by Nola Foulston's prosecutors and Judge Pilshaw, Henderson & Fleetwood over a 8 year period from 1999 to 2007. I believe that Rep. Bill Otto had e-mail correspondence with Bil Otto in 2005 after the Veteran's Administration threatened to take away his medical benefits due to a falsely sworn felony warrant for child support that was based on perjured testimony of the court trustee.

I know that I am asking for an exception, but Barry & Joe have powerful documented stories that must be told. Barry visited with the Wichita office of the FBI two weeks ago. Please ask Rep. Jim Morrison if I am a credible and worthwhile witness. I have been working with Jim Morrison for 2 years.

Before we can have JUSTICE we must have TRUTH. ACCOUNTABILITY & TRANSPARENCY are the only antidote to the bipartisan fascism in the Wichita Courts.

Bill McKean
1726 Sylvan DR.
Arlington, TX 76012
cell 316 293-6079