## MEMORANDUM

To: House Committee on Education

• Funice C Peters Assistant Peris

							Evaluation system	SB 361	Differences from	TOPIC	Fro Re: Dat
h	4. Provide those who receive a rating of "progressing" or "ineffective":  a. Plan of assistance further includes a process by which the employee can correct such deficiencies within 90 days from the date the plan is implements; and  b. an in-person conference with the superintendent to discuss the evaluation.	and c. 10% based on employee contributions to the profession. 3. Plan of assistance provided to teachers with a timeline when recommended	<ul><li>2. Kating categories based on following allocations:</li><li>a. 50% based on growth in student achievement;</li><li>b. 40% based on input received from supervisors, peers, parents and students;</li></ul>	a. rignly effective; b. effective;	22 (2)	Evaluations are no longer a mandatory negotiable item in collective bargaining agreements.	Evaluation policies adopted by the boards of education shall provide, at the minimum, the guidelines described in KEEP.	Removes the requirement of posting the summary of the evaluation on the school's website.	Existing statutes retain the current law's referral to school district finance and quality performance act compared to the "excellence in education act."	BILL'S PROPOSED LANGUAGE	From: Eunice C. Peters, Assistant Revisor  Re: HB 2634 (summary)  Date: February 9, 2012
Secs. 4 and 15					Secs. 3, 13(h) and 14	Sec. 10	Sec. 12	Sec. 14	Sec. 8	SECTION(S)	
July 1, 2013				July 1, 2013				Date		2 (9//2	ommittee

	<b>Severability</b> "If any provision of this act, or the application thereof, is held invalid it shall be conclusively presumed that the legislature would have enact the act without such invalid or unconstitutional problem."	<b>programs)</b> State board grants changed from providing stipends to "mentor teachers" to providing for costs of attending the research-based mentoring programs.		<b>Professional</b> Expands to include administrative employees.	licensure the secondary level:  1. Teach for America participants;  2. STEM areas of instruction; or  3. CTE	<b>Teacher</b> Provides alternative licensure for persons qualifying in the following	incentive receiving a rating designation of "highly effective" under the proposed evaluation system.  (\$5,000)		"Ineffective" A pupil shall not be instructed for two consecutive school years by two consecutive teachers receiving a rating designation of "ineffective."
2	s held invalid or unconstitutional, uld have enacted the remainder of	mentor teachers" to providing for is.	ards of education using "mentor wed by the state board.			he following categories to teach at	ominated teacher or teacher teams r the proposed evaluation system.	for two consecutive years and professional development may be	ol years by two consecutive
	Sec. 16	Secs. 7, 8 and 9	Secs. 6 and 8	Sec. 6		Sec. 1	Sec. 2	Sec. 13(g)	Sec. 5
	July 1, 2013		,	July 1, 2013	·	July 1, 2013	July 1, 2013		July 1, 2013