

SESSION OF 2026

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE ON HOUSE BILL NO. 2652

As Recommended by House Committee on
Judiciary

Brief*

HB 2652 would require the Clerk of Appellate Courts (Clerk) to publish a list of cases of the appellate courts every month in which the following has not occurred within six months of submission:

- A decision has not been entered and filed; or
- A petition for review has not been granted or denied.

The bill would require the Clerk to include the case name, case number, and date of filing or petition, and such lists would be required to be publicly available on the Supreme Court's website. The posting would be publicly viewable until a filing is decided or a petition is granted or denied.

The bill would require the Clerk to transmit each list to the standing judiciary committees of the House of Representatives and the Senate, Speaker of the House of Representatives, President of the Senate, the Attorney General, and the Governor.

The bill also would deem a petition for review to be submitted for decision on the earlier date of any filing of a responsive pleading to the petition or the time for filing any responsive pleading expires.

*Supplemental notes are prepared by the Legislative Research Department and do not express legislative intent. The supplemental note and fiscal note for this bill may be accessed on the Internet at <https://klrd.gov/>

Background

The bill was introduced by the House Committee on Judiciary at the request of a representative of the Attorney General's Office.

House Committee on Judiciary

In the House committee hearing, **proponent** testimony was provided by a representative of the Office of the Attorney General. The proponent generally stated the bill would promote efficient administration of justice and reduce uncertainty for litigants.

No other testimony was provided.

Fiscal Information

According to the fiscal note prepared by the Division of the Budget on the bill, the Office of Judicial Administration indicates enactment of the bill would increase expenditures of the Judicial Branch. The Office states the bill's provisions would require the Clerk to track and publish certain appellate case decisions that are past due, which would increase the workload for the Clerk and their staff. However, a precise fiscal effect cannot be estimated. Any fiscal effect associated with HB 2652 is not reflected in *The FY 2027 Governor's Budget Report*.

Appellate courts, Supreme Court; Court of Appeals; case list