Jenna Krehbiel

785-452-2034

1/13/2025

House Committee on Child Welfare and Foster Care

Joint Committee on Child Welfare System Oversight

My name is Jenna Krehbiel and I am a resident of Kansas. I am mother to three and previous foster parent. I have worked in the mental health field for over 18 years. I own a private practice in Salina, KS and have specialized in treating children of trauma for most of my career. I have been a licensed social worker for all my career.

I am testifying on the absolute failure and incompetency of the child welfare system. It is my opinion the statement, "Children in the foster system are being let down at every turn and left to fend for themselves in a broken system" (Center for the study of Social Policy) is 100% accurate as well as the system being grossly negligent. Not only do I have experience working alongside the child welfare system providing mental health services for youth in care; I also have experience as a foster parent working alongside the welfare system. I am specifically testifying about my experience as a foster mother to a young boy while in our care from October 2023 to July 2024.

The attached document details specific events and chronicles the frustrations of caring for a child in the welfare system.

I truly think the problems and issues that occurred while "L" was in our care, could have easily been managed had the welfare agency been consistent, improved communication, and had some standard of accountability not only for their work but also the birth parent of said minor. The policies and procedures of child welfare have no benefit when the standard is not applied across the board and/or is ignored by case teams.

Thank you for taking the time to read my testimony. I sincerely hope my testimony will impact the committee and that needed and necessary changes will be implemented in the welfare system. Your consideration of this is very much appreciated.

Jenna Krehbiel

# JOINT COMMITTEE ON CHILD WELFARE SYSTEM OVERSIGHT

TOPEKA, KS

My family was approached on October 30, 2023, to take foster placement of a then two-year old boy, "L." He was placed into our care due to his biological mother being unable to care for him in addition to parental substance abuse. He had initially been placed into police protective custody (PPC) due to his mother, "S", being admitted to the hospital for psychiatric care. The DCF report stated mother had a "history of mental illness, emotional instability, legal charges, history of substance dependence, and lack of stable housing or employment." The PPC placement was a prior friend of the mother who could not care for "L" long term, and she mentioned concerns regarding the biological mother and alleged threats the mother had made. It was also noted mother had previously "given up" custody of two older children, two girls.

Placement repeatedly asked "L" case team about where these siblings are and potential placement of "L" with them. There was never a response from his case team regarding these two siblings.

Per the DCF referral and child functioning, "L" mother indicated suspicion of autism spectrum disorder, difficulty interacting socially with peers, understanding verbal and non-verbal cues, and aggression. The referral also stated "L" had a peanut allergy and carried an Epi-pen.

"L" arrived at our home with the clothes on his back, one blanket, and a backpack of various items. He did have an Epi-Pen in his bag, but it was just the pen, no box and no name on it. Our first week with "L" in our home was challenging. "L" had clearly not had experience sleeping in a bed, no understanding of a bedtime routine, and was observed to get up at all hours of the night and attempted to elope from our home in the dark early morning hours.

Our observations and experience with "L" and food was another challenge. We were told "L" had a peanut allergy and his birth mother requested he be given no fast food, no chips, no food dyes, no dairy products, and no juice. We did the best we could with these restrictions. We ultimately asked his case team to reach out to mom to find out what "L" would eat, because he was not eating anything we (or daycare) provided. The response provided to us, "chicken nuggets, applesauce, French fries." In our experience, "L" would only eat the above quoted foods and snack time/meals were extremely difficult as he had not been exposed to other foods. We observed countless meals where "L" would throw everything on his plate to the floor or across the room in demand of chicken nuggets, apples, and fries. "L" would refuse most meals at daycare as well. There will be further comments regarding his diet and nutrition in this letter.

"L" started at daycare and one requirement of daycare was to get his immunization history as well as a prescription box for the epi-pen that provided clear instructions of administration. Placement asked the case team to verify with mom where the prescription came from, who in turn stated the prescription was at Walgreens after an ER visit. We checked at Walgreens who had no record of this prescription. We asked case team to ask again, to which mom said it was the ER who gave it, only later to state it was from CVS. CVS had no record either. We were unable to get a definite answer on this and had to wait until he could see his new doctor in December 2023 for a new prescription. He was also behind on immunizations not having had any since infancy.

From the time "L" entered our home until February 2024, most if not all contact with his mother was via video. We were asked multiple times to supervise these video contacts despite our clear statement we were uncomfortable doing so. At the initial case plan mom claimed virtual visits would be "torture" and she would refuse. However, virtual visits did occur, though inconsistently.

November 2024, "L" had a developmental screen. He passed all evaluations and did exceptionally well on the academic part. There was no indication of Autism.

"L" arrived at our home with a rather large scar on his left leg. We asked his case team about this injury to which they stated, "mom told me he was climbing in the closet and fell on a mirror that was standing up and it shattered when he fell on it." Prior to "L" starting daycare in November 2024, it was required he have a physical. We took him to urgent care and the provider that saw him stated the scar looked like a human bite that had healed. Months later, "L" stated at dinner on 6/13/24, "my mommy bited me." He pointed to a large scar on his left knee. This specific incident was reported to St. Francis Ministries (SFM) and DCF. "L" subsequently began sharing this with nearly anyone he would meet. DCF worker (W.C.) did interview "L" and shared with placement the worker would be referring for a forensic interview. This never occurred and the issue was seemingly dropped. Coincidentally, the local child advocacy center was going through staffing issues and had no director or interviewer available at the time. We were later informed by SFM his mother had blamed placement for this scar, followed by "L" therapist stating mom had shared an entirely different story with her about this scar. We were also informed by SFM (AS) that mother was attempting to convince "L" at a visit the scar on his leg was not from her.

In December 2024, "L" saw our primary care physician to establish services. He was started on multi vitamin and melatonin. Additionally, he was referred for: speech therapist to address his feeding issues (i.e. feeding clinic) and occupational therapy for his tantrums. He also was referred to an allergist due to the alleged peanut allergy.

Placement set up individual play therapy for "L" at Wellspring Psychotherapy, LLC. We had asked his case team in late November 2023 to schedule play therapy with Ms. McMillen. He subsequently started therapy at Wellspring in January 2024, after placement scheduled the appointment. Ms. McMillen reached out to his case team and she requested mother schedule so Ms. McMillen could get background information and start family therapy. Our understanding is SFM ignored Ms. McMillen's requests for family sessions for quite some time. It was not until April 2024 that family therapy started, and those appointments were extremely difficult and ultimately Ms. McMillen suspended family therapy due to mother's inappropriate behavior and

poor boundaries. Ms. McMillen shared with placement "L" mother seemed to know multiple intimate details of our home. Ms. McMillen shared with placement mom brought in a document to show her our full name and full address. Additionally, mom had made comments about our home, livestock, play area, etc. Ms. McMillen shared with placement she was concerned about placement safety as well as "L" safety as mom appeared to be irrational, delusional, and poor boundaries. Ms. McMillen shared her concerns with SFM who again, never responded to her, or us, regarding the safety issues.

March 2024, SFM (AS) told us "L" would be visiting his mother in Missouri over Easter, for several nights, unsupervised. Up to this point, and even after, all visits were supervised. There were many concerns regarding the supervised contact. We contacted his GAL immediately to express our concerns over this as 1) out of state, 2) unsupervised, 3) SFM had not confirmed the location or safety of mother's home, impossible for a walk through as would typically be done. Our concern over this Easter visit went to court which in turn court made orders and did not give any permission for this visit to occur.

"L" saw the allergist in March 2024 and was started on several allergy medications. This doctor did confirm a peanut allergy. The allergist was pleased "L" had an ENT appointment pending. "L" saw an ENT physician in March 2024 as his speech therapist had recommended due to observations she made during feeding clinic. The ENT physician took an Xray and found "L" had enlarged adenoids and recommended they be removed. "L" has chronically poor sleep and snored most nights. He was very restless at night and was frequently up in the early morning hours. Additionally, he never would nap at placement or at daycare.

Through all this time, "L" suffered chronic and severe diarrhea primarily due to his diet. His primary care physician recommended he be dairy free, peanut free, and fruit free. "L" suffered explosive diarrhea for months on end and it was finally starting to be managed early May 2024. It was often obvious when he would have a reaction to food because his cheeks would turn bright red.

"L" did not start in person visits with mother until February 2024. Our experience from February to July 2024 was extremely difficult, disheartening, and frustrating. First, the visits were often

inconsistent. Some visits were via video, and some were in person in Topeka or Salina. We protested to the court about the inconsistency and court eventually ordered visits to be in person, in Salina, and supervised. That entire court order was a debacle as well. Placement attended the court hearing and wrote down the court orders. SFM (LM) sent an email out to his team following the court hearing with the same orders, but later rescinded her email and stated she was wrong, per her supervisor. Placement protested this and asked SFM to get a copy of the journal entry for clarification. SFM told placement "It would take too long to get it." Placement emailed the GAL directly who sent out the journal entry within a day. The orders were as placement heard. Placement notified SFM of the journal entry, and SFM asked the placement to send SFM the journal entry. Placement did not respond to the request, as it is not our job to get SFM the documents they need.

Despite this court order, our experience is the court had zero follow through, and visits continued to be inconsistent which further exacerbated the problems "L" already had. Additionally, "L" mom was adamant she would give "L" anything to eat she wanted at visits because she is "his mother and has the right "(per SFM AS). "L" would frequently come home sick and with diarrhea as mom would not follow doctor orders (peanut free, dairy free, fruit free) or even her own diet requests. It got so bad SFM requested placement create a list of food "L" could and could not have, and mom was to follow it. Placement spoke against writing this list as mom had the opinion, she would do what she wanted, and the case team demonstrated zero accountability for anything that transpired during supervised visits. Despite the frustration, placement did write a list of food, and this list was sent to "L" entire team and the SFM supervisor said visits would be stopped immediately if mom attempted to give him food not on the approved list. Again, zero accountability, and there were multiple instances mom was allowed to give him unapproved food. "L" would frequently tell placement what mom gave him and SFM (AS) would deny it, or say "L" was lying, or mom didn't give it.

Secondly, SFM case team was a chaotic mess. The family support worker (AS) was almost always the individual responsible for supervision of the visits. We found her to be dishonest, inexperienced, and unethical. She would frequently comment about how inappropriate she found the mother to be, but visits were still allowed to continue and no accountability for the mother. We required our case worker to be present any time this worker was going to be in our

home due to the issues. This specific worker (AS) seemed to have an odd relationship with the mother. This worker would tell placement about all the strange and inappropriate things mother would do at visit with "L", but never would stop the visit or redirect the mother. This worker told placement mom would frequently blame placement for a whole host of issues such as: telling "L" placement was locking him in his room, breaking his toys, forcing him to sleep, using him as a farm hand, forcing him to drink from well water, physically abusing him, force feeding him, starving him, medical neglect, etc. This continued throughout the entire time "L" was in our home, and not once did SFM stop the mother, redirect her, or call her out. "L" was always present and hearing this nonsense. Despite us requesting SFM stop this behavior, SFM never bothered to.

The tipping point came in May 2024. On 5/20/24, placement went to Ms. McMillen's office to pick up "L" around 6:30pm, after a family therapy session. Upon arrival, placement immediately observed "L" to appear miserable, bloated, and extremely uncomfortable. Placement asked Ms. McMillen what was going on and she stated "L" had repeatedly asked his mother for food and he had been given "pizza" (NOT on the approved food list) during their visit while at "The Alley." Ms. McMillen also reported "L" cheeks were bright red when he arrived at her office which is indication he has been given a food he is not to have. Placement stated to Ms. McMillen that "L" cannot decipher between hunger pain and stomach pain, and thus he very likely had a stomachache considering his physical appearance. Ms. McMillen stated "L" mother gave him multiple snacks prior to their family session (SFM staff left them unsupervised), and it was food the mother had allegedly told Ms. McMillen he could not have during a prior session. Ms. McMillen stated there was multiple wrappers in her waiting area and was under the belief "L" had eaten several packages of each snack. Ms. McMillen shared mom frequently would make negative statements and/or leading statements regarding "L" placement and she was constantly having to redirect the mom. Ms. McMillen was concerned about safety and asked placement to pick "L" up in a different location to avoid the mom seeing us or our vehicle.

Following the visit and therapy on 5/20/24, "L" arrived home and immediately went to bed. He was restless and uncomfortable throughout the night. The following morning, placement let his preschool teacher know he did not appear to be feeling well but had no fever. His preschool teacher immediately noted "L" appeared to be in a "fog" or "dazed" and this was observed for

several days. It was reported by preschool "L" did not eat well Tuesday or Wednesday of that week, which was atypical for him. On Wednesday, 5/22, "L" experienced a serious episode of explosive diarrhea the teacher reported was extremely foul smelling and much more intense than they had observed from him ever before. "L" did not eat much at placement either on Tuesday or Wednesday following the visit. It took "L" at minimum five days to get his stomach calm again and for him to eat normally. Preschool did send a letter to SFM about their concerns and observations following that visit, as they had noted "L" was perfectly fine and healthy prior to him leaving for that specific visit. It took nearly three weeks for placement to be informed cheese was on the pizza his mother had given him at the 5/20/24 visit. Placement repeatedly asked case team to confirm or not if cheese was on the pizza, so placement was aware if his reaction was due to dairy exposure. His case team chose not to respond to these requests. SFM was aware of the food restrictions and physician ordered diet.

On 5/20/24, SFM case manager (LM) emailed placement the court ordered a second opinion for "L" regarding his recommended adenoid surgery. SFM indicated mother requested "L" see a "pediatrician who could determine if "L" needed a referral to an ENT." Placement had taken "L" to all required appointments and screenings and provided documentation to SFM. This is a quideline placement is required to follow per SFM and DCF rules. SFM reported "L" mother had conflict or issue with every single provider placement took "L" to see. Mother was known to contact all providers to dispute the placement reports and frequently would blame the placement for all medical issues. Despite placement best efforts, "L" mother remained convinced placement was neglecting his medical care and lying to providers. Placement had a phone call with SFM following this email to ask questions. SFM indicated "L" mother has medical authority to choose any provider, for any service, within the state of Kansas for any service or appointment she deems necessary. Placement was uncomfortable with this as it would be possible for "L" to see dual providers for dual services, and further confuses him on additional providers and unnecessary appointments. Placement related concerns regarding potential liabilities and allegations (that could trickle down on placement) made by "L" mother stemming from additional medical provider involvement if placement is excluded from any of "L" medical appointments, as we are responsible and accountable for his medical care. SFM

ensured we can attend and/or participate by phone. We again reiterated our concern of being excluded from appointments due to our work schedules (appointment scheduled on mom's schedule) and concern we would be given medical information on "L" third party, or potentially not at all. We expressed deep concern for the potential of miscommunication, lack of communication, misinterpretation, etc. As a result of this confusing situation, placement asked SFM if it would be possible to schedule all medical appointments on scheduled visit days so mother can attend and take responsibility for these appointments and have more control and input. SFM indicated that was a great option. We requested we participate by phone for all medical appointments and medical documents provided to us for record keeping. SFM agreed and was hopeful this would alleviate the constant allegations the mother was making against placement.

Provider asked SFM (AS) on 6/10/24 about "L" mother taking him to his next play therapy appointment per our arrangement. SFM AS reported "L" mother requested a new play therapist so was unsure the status of appointments. His play therapy appointment on 6/17 was cancelled due to a medical appointment in Osage City made by his mother. SFM (LM) contacted placement on 6/14/24 and notified placement to take "L" to all play therapy appointments until told otherwise, despite the agreed upon plan.

On 6/3/24, "L" had a follow up appointment with the allergist. The prior arrangement with case team was SFM (AS) would call placement to participate by phone as mother (and SFM) was taking "L". Our worker, SFM (DG) confirmed via text message the morning of 6/3/24 with SFM (AS), regarding contacting placement (and our worker) to participate by phone. Placement (nor our worker) was never contacted and therefore excluded from this medical appointment. Placement waited for two hours by the phone. SFM (LM) later stated SFM (AS) "had forgot to call." Placement never received a phone call, text message, or any follow-up regarding this appointment until placement contacted SFM (LM) the following day. Placement was told third party by Ms. McMillen, as SFM (AS) had asked her to deliver the message of how "L" appointment went. SFM AS worker told Ms. McMillen, "I didn't know placement was supposed to participate."

On 6/4/24, SFM (LM) let placement know "L" was scheduled to see a "pediatrician, Dr. Buckman, in Osage City for his second opinion on 6/11/24, scheduled by mom." Placement asked for contact information to ensure the office had our contact information. Placement contacted the office on 6/10 to confirm the appointment and let the office know we would participate by phone. The office informed us "L" mother had cancelled the appt with Lindsay Buckman, physician assistant, and rescheduled for 6/17 with Dr. Jenks. Placement was notified on 6/16/24 by SFM the appointment for 6/17/24 was cancelled and a referral to an ENT physician in Topeka was sent.

Meanwhile, "L" was to be participating in OT and feeding clinic weekly. These appointments never occurred despite SFM agreeing to the plan.

On July 15, 2024, "L" had a medical appointment with an ENT in Topeka, KS regarding second opinion for adenoid removal. His mom and SFM (AS) transported him. SFM (AS) called placement to put on speaker phone for the appointment and AS stated mother had taken "L" to the restroom (unsupervised) so mom was unaware placement would be on the phone. The mother was rude, disrespectful, and directly blamed placement for all of "L" medical issues. She adamantly denied any prior health concerns. The mom stated "L" had zero medical issues prior to placement and placement was solely responsible for his issues. Mom stated she would not "ever consent" to adenoid removal and especially not while he is in placement. Placement provided details of "L" symptoms and history, to which the physician stated it was his opinion adenoid removal was the most logical next step. Mom again reiterated she would not ever consent to this surgery and requested another Xray because "placement lied about the first xray." The physician declined the Xray request mom asked for and stated it was not appropriate to subject "L" to more radiation. Mom requested any other alternative for surgery and physician agreed to try a nasal spray for 30 days. SFM told placement to go ahead and schedule the adenoid removal despite placement stating mom was declining the surgery and SFM stated they were sure the judge would go ahead and order the surgery. The Judge did not in fact sign an order for removal and to date "L" still has enlarged adenoids, which we know the current placement will speak on.

Around this same time, "L" mother asked SFM (AS) to ask placement why he had blisters on his feet. Placement was unsure as there was nothing wrong with his shoes or socks, but perhaps it was from water play at school if his shoes got wet. One day, placement noticed a different pair of shoes at daycare. Placement asked daycare about the shoes who informed us "L" came back from a visit with the shoes and was told the mother wanted him to wear these shoes. The shoes were two sizes too small and thus the blisters. SFM (AS) never informed placement of the shoes. Daycare shared with placement that the following visit day, SFM (AS) switched "L" shoes prior to the visit so as "not to anger mom."

It was typical for SFM (AS) to message placement during visit time between "L" and mother. It was always an insinuation from mother that placement was neglecting or abusing "L". One time, "L" came home with Vaseline in his hair. The mother alluded placement was not washing his hair or putting lotion on him, thus she put Vaseline on his scalp. That was extremely difficult to remove from his scalp. Placement requested SFM (AS) interject or redirect mother from negative talk about placement while in a visit with "L" present, that a better time is during a parent meeting, or any time "L" was not present. This request was dismissed by SFM. It created a difficult and confusing environment for "L" that was completely unnecessary and lazy on the part of SFM.

On June 28, 2024, we submitted our disruption notice for "L" and it is attached to this letter. A TDM was scheduled due to the disruption and several individuals were present on the zoom meeting, including the new placement. We received a copy of the TDM summary via email and was appalled several concerning things were left out. Placement responded to the email summary and requested these be added including: The SFM supervisor, (LM) and case team making specific statements about mother's behavior, in particular how difficult she has been to work with, the accusations she has made and continues to make, the lack of accountability from mom (as well as SFM); more specifically, the comments the supervisor made stating "L" mother is willing and capable of making "L" sick or otherwise harm him, in effort to put blame on the placement and/or daycare; placement concern we requested for multiple weeks to know what "L" consumed at the 5/20/24 visit and SFM refusing to respond; and lastly placement being intentionally excluded from the medical appointment on 6/3/24 despite that case worker being reminded the very same morning just hours before the appointment to contact placement. The

response from the TDM facilitator was dismal. "Thank you for your feedback on the summary that was submitted following our recent Team Decision Making meeting. I appreciate your input and am glad to hear that you found the summary to be a helpful representation of the discussion that took place. While the summary did not include direct quotes from participants, it was intended to provide a concise overview of the key points and reasons behind the final decision that was made. I believe that it accurately captured the main points of our discussion and decision-making process. I will make sure to include your feedback in our Client Management System for future reference. Please feel free to reach out if you have any further questions or concerns."

The new placement asked several hard questions for TDM team. One specific question asked by the new placement is who makes the medical decisions and appointments for "L". Without delay, the SFM (LM) supervisor quickly stated it is strictly up to the foster placement to schedule, transport, and follow recommendations. The only time the parent has more authority is when it comes to a surgical recommendation or a more serious medical event. I'd like to know why that was not offered to us and why we had to go through months of turmoil to get "L" the medical care he needed, which SFM failed at immensely. Safety was also discussed in this meeting, and it was decided the new placement would only use the SFM address and phone number to assist in preventing mom from locating the new placement. The new placement was encouraged to create a new email address specific to "L" as an additional layer of security as well as acquire security cameras around her residence.

Pertaining to the placement change, we are close friends with "S" and spend much time with the new placement. During the TDM, a professional who commented on similarities between our placement and the new placement, had the audacity to make a statement of how good for "L" to be switching placement, and the "only thing changing for him is where he lays his head at night." I thought, "Did I really just hear a social worker say that?" New placement heard the exact same thing and was shocked.

Placement reached out to the assigned GAL and county attorney regarding our decision to disrupt and sent them the email thread of the dispute about the TDM documentation.

Placement indicated if they were interested in meeting to discuss, placement would be more

than willing to meet and provide more specific details about concerns. They both did request to meet. Placement met with GAL and county attorney on July 15, 2024. Both indicated SFM had not informed them of the disruption and if they had been informed about the safety concerns, a protection order could have been put in place to prevent mom from lurking around our rural property. Placement did not mince words during this meeting and made it crystal clear to these two individuals "L" has and continues to be at risk of safety due to his mother, and the complete ignorant, unethical, incompetent behavior of SFM staff. Placement in fact stated if something doesn't change, and now, "L" is likely to be a statistic and will be on the state child death review board. They thanked placement for meeting and the investment into LG care. They did encourage us to be alert and cautious over the following weeks to month due to mother's behavior.

July 16, 2024 was the last email sent to his case team. We never received a response. The day before (7/15/24) he had a visit with his mom in Topeka, supervised by SFM (AS). "L" reported to placement sibling "mommy gave me lots of grapes." SFM (AS) reported "L" was given only pepperoni slices at the visit. While placement took "L" to daycare following the visit, he reported, "mommy took pictures of my butt" and pointed to his bottom. He then stated, "library doesn't have bathroom, only doctor." This was the day he saw the ENT as mentioned above. Yes, the visit where SFM (AS) allowed mom to take "L" to the restroom unsupervised. Grapes are not on the approved list, pepperoni is.

Placement has been a licensed social worker for over 17 years providing mental health services. Placement has extensive knowledge, experience, and education in child development. Placement worked nearly exclusively with foster children for a large chunk of career and is extensively trained in treating children of trauma. It is mind boggling and unacceptable how SFM has managed this case. They have been negligent, unethical, lazy, dismissive, and incompetent. As a result of this case, we closed our foster care license as we refuse to work in a system of such incompetence and negligence. We firmly believe if something bad had happened to "L", SFM would have had no problem throwing us entirely under the bus which was a risk we refused to take.

Multiple individuals and professionals involved in "L" case have contacted The Office of Victim Advocate, and to date, it does not appear they have done anything, other than continue to collect data and notate concerns.

"L", in our opinion, is being abused and continuously neglected while in state custody.

I am not hopeful this letter will change anything and have no expectation for it to. In the very least, it is further documentation we did everything within our power to ensure this little boy is safe. We desperately pray for "L" and that he remains safe and the powers at be step in to do their job. "L" should have a voice in this, and his voice is spoken through his foster home, his therapist, his CASA worker, and his teachers, the individuals that spend the most time with him!! Yet, even collectively, none of our experiences, observations, concerns have given SFM, GAL, or the court reason to step back and think maybe we know a thing or two. We have nothing to gain by speaking out. This little boy has a whole world to gain.

"The only thing necessary for the triumph of evil is for good men to do nothing." Sir Edmund Burke

SINCERELY.

JENNA KREHBIEL

## JENNA AND JOSH KREHBIEL

6/28/2024

St. Francis Ministries 225 W Euclid Salina, KS 67401

Re William DOB William

#### Dear St. Francis Ministries:

Due to recent events, we have made an extremely difficult, and necessary, decision to disrupt which placement in our home. The liability to continue to care for him is too great. We believe his medical and dietary needs are not being fully addressed, and at times neglected, which puts was at risk. This occurs out of our control, despite our frequent discussions regarding his dietary/medical requirements. Additionally, it has been brought to our knowledge that which mother is often irrational with unpredictable behavior, and apparently knows where we live and intimate details of our home. We are uncomfortable with this and firmly believe with this and our immediate family, as well as our livestock, are at risk.

We consulted with play therapist, which with as we do not want to add more stress on which due to a disruption in the event he has surgery soon. Which indicated she believes would do ok moving to a different home, especially if the move is with someone he knows, is knowledgeable about his medical and dietary needs, and would be willing to keep a connection with us.

We do not take this disruption lightly, and as stated, this was a heartbreaking decision for us to make.

Sincerely,

Jenna and Josh Krehbiel

#### PLACEMENT TDM SUMMARY REPORT



For youth needing day program/hub supports please complete ALL highlighted sections below and send to KansasScreeners@st-francis.org

### THIS MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO DAY PROGRAM/HUB SUPPORTS BEING UTILIZED

| Referral Date: 10/30/2                 | 2023                                    | Staffing Date: 7/8/202         | 4                                 |
|--|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <b>TDM Type:</b> □ Rout                | ine □ ER □ Pla                          | anned Move   Plan              | ned Disruption (14 – 30 days)     |
| TDM Facilitator:                       | Desiree Brown                           |                                | Emporia                           |
| Client Name:                           |   | DOB/Age:                       | MUDANAGADI BINGARANA NABANGA      |
| <b>Home County:</b>                    |   | Case Plan Goal: _1             |                                   |
| <b>Current Placement:</b>              | Joshua & Jenna Krehbiel                 | Address:                       | Salina KS<br>67401                |
| Phone:                                 | MANANAMA                                | E-mail:                        | UMMMMM                            |
| <b>Permanency Specialist:</b>          | William                                 | Supervisor:                    | MMM, LBSW                         |
| FSW:                                   | * |                                | MMM, FCHW  WHAT  , FCH Supervisor |
| PARTICIPANTS                           |   |                                |                                   |
| Name                                   | Relationship to Child                   | Name                           | Relationship to Child             |
| S. MWWWW                               | Respite                                 | Ashley love                    | Case Manager                      |
| Lisa Mille                             | Supervisor                              | Deanna Gale                    | Foster Care Homes Worker          |
| Ember Ward                             | DCF                                     |                                | Placement                         |
| Megan H                                | Foster Care Homes<br>Supervisor         | Sheri Adam                     | Placement Coordinator             |
|  |   |                                |                                   |
| ERVICES                                |   |                                |                                   |
| Level of Care (LOC):                   |   |                                |                                   |
|  |   | ☐ Medication Manager           | ment                              |
| ☐ Case Management                      | ☐ Psychoeducational Group               | ☐ Waiver Services              | ⊠ Other                           |
| CMHC and/or Private P<br>CDDO and TCM: | roviders: WILLIAM NAMA                  | <b>իլի</b> լ Play therapist    |                                   |
| Ceam Discussion (to inclu              | de reason for TDM Occurring             |                                |                                   |
|  | to discuss the reasons for the dis      |                                | placement. Placement reports      |
| that a disruption notice wa            | s submitted due to safety concer        | ns. The placement family is    | concerned about their liability   |
| over accusations being ma              | de about things they can not con        | trol. It has come to the famil | les attention that                |

Clinical Services Forms Placement TDM Summary Report Clinical Utilization Revised: August 2, 2022

Page 1 of 5

|   | was the same than the same tha |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Medical providers recommer respite provider, that his more is allowed to eat these foods is concerned that the case teathey can no longer provide con their part. Placement's abseek out providers for him. It to the placement provider be have his adenoids sur opinion and is seeking out a providers she has not obtained obtained her home address finot being positive.  Respite provider, have a consuming dietary needs and redacted from any medical rewhile have made to move meeting between mom and following have dietary needs are provided medical needs are provided medical needs will be made mom will be included. The medical records for the provider of the provider respite for than August 1, 2024. | ther gives him pizza and ice creations, although he shouldn't be, became is not addressing his dietary are for the Placement is could have not been attending he ing told that mom will be obtained any to this day. Placement recombined medical provider. Since medical provider and is into the does well in her home. The does well in her home. The ecords or documents that moments home awaiting a move of the case team observes due to her non-compliance. Placement provider. If so medical appointments will be ball results of the appointment that their personal address is  | iry, peanuts and fruit. It will adamantly ause his mother is providing an needs during visits. Due to incerned that the medical concare has been hindered by rowning new providers. A medical hault to this surgery and in moment was provided the oppose ports that she was provided by this erested in being placement of the can obtain. We would like to her home, to help him transvoider. The case team will main supervised. The case to mome providing with lacement will have the ability apy and feeding clinic apposing ificant medical decisions the attended by the placement. Placement will provide San not compromised. The current compromised. The current will provide San not compromised. | tunity to seek out new medical information that mom has a due to interactions with mom for the first information be a to continue with respite care unsition smoothly. Schedule an Ice-Breaker eam will ensure mom is foods he should not be ty to ensure that all of intments. Decisions for s need to be made (surgery), not provider, and mom will be int Francis Ministries address to |
| Team Decision   | Remain in CURRENT placer   | ment Move to I   | LATERAL placement  |
|   | Move to MORE restrictive   |  | LESS restrictive   |
| Additional Participants Inv   |  |  | 2200 1001101110  |
| Name  | Relationship to Child  | Name   | Relationship to Child  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
| Next Steps Supporting Decisi  | on (action steps, persons res  | nonsible completion detec  | )  |
| Final Recommendations: Disr   | ruption will remain- Move before   | ore August 1   | 7  |
| will move to the  | home   | A August 1   |  |
| ANAA  | , nome   |  |  |
| Case Team Tasks: Ensure more meeting for white and mom  | m is following medical recomm  | nendations for MM at visi  | ts, schedule an ice-breaker  |
| Placement tasks: resume OT  | and Feeding clinic appts   |  | 77   |

Clinical Services Forms Placement TDM Summary Report Clinical Utilization Revised: August 2, 2022 Page 2 of 5

| MENTAL HEALTH AND MEI  | DICAL               |                         |                                |               |   |   |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|---|---|
|  | vn currently-asked  | l therapist             |                                |               |   |   |
| Child's Medications:   | was prescribed m    | elatonin but is         | n't taking it on               | a normal b    | asis as he is                           | sleeping better                         |
| but do   | es wake up at nig   | ht crying. He c         | loes have an ep                | oi pen that v | vas prescribe                           | ed to use when                          |
| needec   | for his allergies   | to food.                | also takes mu                  | ltivitamins e | each day to e                           | ensure health.                          |
| White .  | was prescribed In   | odium to be t           | aken two time                  | s a day whe   | n his stools                            | are loose.                              |
| has an   | albuterol inhaler   | and is taking Z         | yrtec for his al               | lergies.      |   |   |
|  |                     |                         |                                |               |   |   |
| Needs Filled:  | ☐ Immed             | liately [               | ☐ 7 Days                       | □ 14 Da       | avs [                                   | □ N/A                                   |
| <b>Child's Medication Provider:</b>  |                     | j                       | and the control of the control |               | -                                       |   |
| Child's Mental Health Provide  | er(s):              |                         |                                |               |   |   |
| CDDO:  |                     |                         |                                |               |   |   |
| Drug Use/Drug of Choice:   | Vone                |                         |                                |               |   |   |
| Services Receiving:  | ☐ Individ           | dual Therapy            | ☐ Family Th                    | erapy         | ☐ Medication                            | on Management                           |
|  | □ Drug/A            | Alcohol                 | ☐ Case Man                     |               |   | er Services                             |
|  | _                   | education Gro           |                                |               | _ ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |   |
| Child's Medical Completed:   |                     | liate Assessme          |                                |               | ☐ Vision                                | ☐ Dental                                |
| Child's Primary Care Physicia  |                     | MISWAYYAMA              |                                | оп            | □ VISIOII                               | □ Dentai                                |
| Any Known Medical Condition  |                     | AND MICHAEL & CANADA    |                                |               |   |   |
| The Transaction of the Business and Berlin Annieth and Control Section Section (1997).   | is. A res           |                         | □ No                           |               |   |   |
| If YES, please list:   |                     |                         |                                |               |   |   |
| Food/Drug/Environmental Allo   | Allorgic            | to posput butt          | er and Red Dy                  | o's Thoroi    | s an official                           | food list to be                         |
| 1 ood/Di ug/Environmentai And  |                     |                         |                                | e s – There i | s an official                           | 1000 list to be                         |
| Child Height/Weight: 38lbs   | and 33 inches tall  | l- no dairy, pe         | anuts or iruit                 |               | - Hart-XV-11-1                          |   |
| Section Updated:   | and 33 menes tan    |                         | Updated By:                    |               |   |   |
| Section opuateu.   |                     |                         | Opuated by                     |               |   |   |
| SCHOOL AND DAY SUPPORT   | rs                  |                         |                                |               |   |   |
|  | Daycare             |                         |                                |               |   |   |
| School Address:  | Salina KS 6740      | 1                       |                                |               |   |   |
| Grade: Pre K   | a, 3aiiia, K3 0740. | L.                      |                                |               |   |   |
|  | ☐ IEP ☐ 504         | □ Cassala               | ☐ Mainstre                     | ПС            | 1101                                    | 01                                      |
| School Transportation Plans:   |                     | Speech                  | □ Mainstre                     | am 🗆 S        | pecial Educa                            | tion Classroom                          |
| School Times:  | Needs transport     | to and from             |                                |               |   | *************************************** |
| Parent/Ed Advocate:  |                     |                         |                                | 200           |   |   |
| The state of the s | nds Daycare         | DN1-D-                  |                                | / *           |   |   |
| Daycare Provider:  | nus Daycare         | ☐ Needs Da              | ycare $\square$ N              | A             |   |   |
| School/Daycare Concerns:   |                     |                         |                                |               |   |   |
| Upcoming School Events/Days  | Off.                | y                       |                                |               |   |   |
| Attended Day Program/Hub Pr  |                     |                         |                                | ⊠ No          |   |   |
| Been Dismissed from Day Program/Hub I  |                     | ualm D                  |                                |               |   |   |
|  |                     | usly:                   | res                            | ⊠ No          |   |   |
| Alternative Options From Day   |                     | TL: 37                  |                                |               |   |   |
| Other Youth in Day Program/I   |                     |                         | 1                              | 1 1           | c 11                                    |   |
| Child's Daily Routine: Gets u  |                     |                         |                                | eds animals   | on tarm, din                            | iner, bed                               |
| Special/Behavioral Needs: IC   | D did not recom     | mend any se             | rvices,                        |               |   |   |
|  | MAL                 | .1 15                   |                                |               |   |   |
| YV0  | attends Hea         | rtland Pediat           | rics for feeding               | ig clinic we  | eekly and O                             | T. He also                              |
| se   | es . Namanamam      | <b>M</b> for individual | dual therapy                   |               |   |   |

Clinical Services Forms
Placement TDM Summary Report
Clinical Utilization
Revised: August 2, 2022
Page 3 of 5

| Identified Supportive Adults: Placement, Mom,  | therapist       |   |
|--|-----------------|---|
| Section Updated:   |                 | ated By:  |
|  |                 |   |
| CHILD SPECIFIC   |                 |   |
| Level of Supervision Needed: Supervised  |                 |   |
| Child's Likes/Dislikes/Hobbies:  | -               |   |
| Child's Strengths: Recognizes colors, shape  | es and letters. | He is potty training currently and working on   |
| socialization skills in pre-school   | ol. He also has | an alarm clock that has colors light up when he |
| goes to bed, and when he can   | get up.         |   |
| Behavioral Triggers:   |                 |   |
| Specific De-escalation/Positive Reinforcers for the  | Child:          |   |
| Who/What Best to Calm the Child:   |                 |   |
| Any Problematic Time of Day (Transitions):   | eli-            |   |
| Hygiene Concerns   | □ Yes           | ⊠ No  |
| Specific Concerns:   |                 |   |
| Specialized Supervision/Safety Plan:   | ☐ Yes           | ⊠ No  |
| If YES, please attach current plan   |                 |   |
| Suicidal Ideation or Attempts  | ☐ Yes           | ⊠ No  |
| If YES, please describe  |                 |   |
| Self Harm  |                 |   |
|  | ☐ Yes           | ⊠ No  |
| If YES, please describe  |                 |   |
| History of Aggression  |                 |   |
| The state of the s | □ Yes           | ⊠ No  |
| If YES, please describe  |                 |   |
| Prior Criminal Charges   | □Yes            | ⊠ No  |
| If YES, please describe  | □ res           | △ INO   |
| 11 125, pieuse deseribe  |                 |   |
| Sex Offender Registration Requirements   | □ Yes           | ⊠ No  |
| If YES, please describe  | LI TES          | ≥ 140   |
|  |                 |   |
| History of Inappropriate or Sexualized Behaviors   | □ Yes           | □ No  |
| If YES, please describe  | ш т cs          | □ No  |
|  |                 |   |
| History of being absent  | ⊠ Yes           | □No   |
| If YES, please describe  |                 | L 110   |
| Has run off and out of the house in middle of the ni   | ight            |   |
| No run ordered in place  | □Yes            | ⊠ No  |
| If YES, please attach a copy   |                 |   |
| Other court orders:  | □Yes            | ⊠ No  |
| If YES, please attach a copy   |                 | 110   |
| Restrictions (Ex: No electronic Device):   | □ Yes           | ⊠ No  |
| If YES, please describe:   |                 | E3 110  |
| Approved/Unapproved Contacts:  | ☐ Yes           | ⊠ No  |
| What keeps child from going home:  | ⊠ Yes           | □ No  |
| Section updated:   |                 | dated By:                                       |
|  | Ор              | uateu Dy.                                       |

Clinical Services Forms Placement TDM Summary Report Clinical Utilization Revised: August 2, 2022 Page 4 of 5

| PLACEMENT SPECIFI                | C                        |             |                |              |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|
| Previous Successful Pla          | cements:                 |             |                | 11-12-11-12- |
| Room Share                       | ☐ Yes                    | □ No        | ☐ With Caution |              |
| <b>Specific Concerns:</b>        |                          |             |                |              |
| <b>Child Self Identifies as:</b> | -                        | *           |                |              |
| <b>Description on LGBTQ</b>      | +                        |             |                |              |
| Pets OK:                         | □ Yes                    | □ No        | ×              |              |
| Specific Concerns:               |                          |             |                |              |
| Other youth who this yo          | outh should NOT be place | d with:     |                |              |
| <b>Self-Care Time:</b>           | ☐ Yes                    | □ No        |                |              |
| If YES, please describ           | e                        |             |                |              |
| Human Trafficking Cor            | ncerns                   | □No         |                |              |
| If YES, please describ           | e                        |             |                |              |
| Need Clothes/Hygiene             | □ Yes                    | □ No        |                |              |
| Items Needed:                    |                          |             |                |              |
| Section Updated:                 |                          | Updated By: |                |              |
| UPCOMING APPOINT                 | MENTS                    |             |                |              |
| Appointment Type                 | Child                    | Date/Time   | Location       |              |
|                                  |                          |             |                |              |
| •                                |                          |             |                |              |
|                                  |                          |             |                |              |
|                                  |                          |             |                |              |
|                                  |                          |             |                |              |

**Updated By:** 

Section Updated: