

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE CORRECTIONS AND JUVENILE JUSTICE COMMITTEE

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Pat Colloton at 1:30 p.m. on March 12, 2009, in Room 535-N of the Capitol.

All members were present.

Committee staff present:

Sean Ostrow, Office of the Revisor of Statutes
Jason Thompson, Office of the Revisor of Statutes
Athena Andaya, Kansas Legislative Research Department
Jerry Donaldson, Kansas Legislative Research Department
Jackie Lunn, Committee Assistant

Conferees appearing before the Committee:

Jennifer Roth, Kansas Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers
Honorable Mike O'Neal, Speaker, House of Representatives
Patti Biggs, Kansas Parole Board
Barry Wilkerson, Kansas County & District Attorneys Association

See attached list.

HB 2333 - Creating the crime of use of a controlled substance endangering a child.

Chairperson Colloton opened by recognizing Chris Mekler, Office of Judicial Administration. Ms. Meckler stated in regard to yesterdays testimony on **HB 2333** she has information regarding the discussion of the Committee and the proponents. She stated that a pregnant woman seeking treatment for SRS funded care, n IV drug users and Aids positive get moved to the list for treatment and care for substance abuse problems.

Chairperson Colloton called the Committee's attention to the handout from Kansas Legislative Research Department reviewing some of other states laws and policies regarding controlled substance use by a pregnant woman endangering the child she is carrying. (Attachment 1)

Chairperson Colloton opened the continued hearing on **HB 2333** and introduced Jennifer Roth, Kansas Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers, to give her testimony as an opponent of the bill. Ms. Roth provided written copy of her testimony (Attachment 2) and also written copy of answers to questions raised in the hearing yesterday. (Attachment 3) Ms. Roth explained about a bill in 2005 regarding an "internal possession" as a crime. The bill did not pass. She also stated she could not find a state that carried drug use of pregnant women as a separate crime. She stated they have several concerns with this bill including it would be a barrier for pregnant women seeking health care and does not address alcoholism. In closing, Ms. Roth made reference to the Substance Abuse Center of Kansas, Inc. which is located in Wichita that offers a *Health in Pregnancy Program (Sedgwick County)*

Upon the conclusion of Ms. Roth's testimony, Chairperson Colloton called the Committee's attention to the "written only" proponent testimony of Rene Smith, a private citizen. (Attachment 4)

There being no others to testify, Chairperson Colloton closed the hearing on **HB2333**.

Chairperson Colloton announce to the Committee the next order of business is to approve the Committee minutes for January 26th, January 27th and January 28th.

Representative Bethell made a motion to approve the minutes. Representative Frownfelter seconded. Motion carried.

HB 2340 - Sub. For H 2340 by Committee on Corrections and Juvenile Justice - Legislative review of parole board factors and rationale for granting or denying parole.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Minutes of the House Corrections and Juvenile Justice Committee at 1:30 p.m. on March 12, 2009, in Room 535-N of the Capitol.

Chairperson Colloton opened the hearing on **HB2340** and called on Jason Thompson, Office of the Revisor, to explain the bill for the Committee. Mr. Thompson stated the bill would abolish the Parole Board and create a new board, the Prison Review Board, in the Department of Corrections; under the direction of the Secretary of Corrections who would appoint three existing employees to the board. Everything that exist now would be transferred from the Parole Board to the Prison Review Board.

Chairperson Colloton introduced the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Mike, O'Neal, to give his testimony as a proponent of the bill. Speaker O'Neal provided written copy of his testimony. (Attachment 5) Mr. Speaker stated his testimony is a letter that he wrote to the Administrator of the Kansas Parole Board in response to a letter he received regarding an inmate that was passed over for a review for probation. The Speaker stated it is his opinion that 2008 SB 411 has not been carried out by the Parole Board which causes him great concern.

A discussion followed.

Chairperson Colloton introduced Patti Biggs, Kansas Parole Board, to give her testimony as an opponent of the bill. Ms. Biggs provided written copy of her testimony. (Attachment 6) Ms. Biggs stated the Parole Board opposes the provisions of the bill not from a self-serving perspective but from a well-balanced criminal justice system perspective with emphasis on differing missions and roles for differing participants in the system. She reviewed her testimony for the Committee. During her testimony Chairperson Colloton asked that Ms. Biggs would step aside until Monday and allow the opponents to testify that cannot return to the meeting on Monday.

Chairperson Colloton introduced Commissioner Biggs, Kansas Securities Commission, to give his testimony as an opponent of **HB 2340**. Commissioner Biggs provided written copy of his testimony. (Attachment 7) Commissioner Biggs stated doing away with the Kansas Parole Board would be an injustice to victim's families and game information on the inmate, Nathaniel Ellibee. In closing, he stated there must be the ability to look beyond just the offense of conviction within lawful parameters to determine when the most violent offenders should be released. He called the Committee's attention to a letter from Donna Heintze, a family member of a victim of a violent offender. (Attachment 8) Lastly, he stated if the Board is moved to the Department of Corrections, the bed count will control the release of offenders.

Chairperson Colloton introduced Secretary Werholtz, Department of Corrections, to give his testimony as an opponent of the bill. Secretary Werholtz provided written testimony. (Attachment 9) He stated it was his understanding that this bill would abolish the Kansas Parole Board and establish a Prisoner Review Board under the Department of Corrections. They will do their best to carry out any new policy established. He stated that the relationship between the agencies has been very productive and hoped there would be a way for all parties to get together and reach an agreement that would solve the present issues.

Chairperson Colloton introduced Tom Stanton standing in for Barry Wilkerson, Kansas County & District Attorneys Association, to give his testimony as an opponent of **HB 2340**. Mr. Stanton provided written testimony. (Attachment 10) ~~Mr. Stanton~~ stated the boards longstanding independence reflects the recognition that the public welfare is best served by having an entity-separate and distinct from the inmates' keepers-evaluate the propriety of an inmate's release, release revocation or the conditions of release. It is the best interest of safety for the community to leave the Kansas Parole Board as it is.

Chairperson Colloton opened the floor for questions.

Chairperson Colloton announced we would continue on Monday with Patti Biggs continuing her testimony as an opponent of **HB 2340**. She adjourned the meeting at 3:10 p.m. with the next scheduled meeting being March 15, 2009 at 1:30 p.m. in room 535 N.

CORRECTIONS & JUVENILE JUSTICE GUEST LIST

DATE: 03-12-09

NAME	REPRESENTING
Chris Meehan	GA

KANSAS LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

010-West-Statehouse, 300 SW 10th Ave.
Topeka, Kansas 66612-1504
(785) 296-3181 ♦ FAX (785) 296-3824

kslegres@klrd.state.ks.us

<http://www.kslegislature.org/klrd>

March 12, 2009

To: House Committee on Corrections and Juvenile Justice

From: Athena Andaya, Principal Analyst

Re: HB 2333, Creating the Crime of Use of a Controlled Substance Endangering a Child

I was asked to find language from other states with similar statutes penalizing a woman or other person for use of a controlled substance that would endanger a child, including an unborn child, as defined in Alexa's law as a living individual organism of the species *Homo sapiens, in utero*, at any stage of gestation from fertilization to birth. Alternatively, I was asked to find language from other states and their treatment of the issue.

I was unable to find any states that "specifically" criminalize drug use by a pregnant woman. That fact is supported by the enclosure provided by Ms. Jennifer Keller, conferee on March 11, 2009, on the bill. http://www.guttmacher.org/statecenter/spibs/spib_SADP.pdf (Attachment 1). This source also provides the following highlights on other states' laws:

- 15 states consider substance abuse during pregnancy to be child abuse under civil child-welfare statutes, and three consider it grounds for civil commitment;
- 14 states require health care professionals to report suspected prenatal drug abuse, and four states require those professionals to test for prenatal drug exposure if they suspect abuse;
- 19 states have either created or funded drug treatment programs specifically targeted to pregnant women, and nine provide pregnant women with priority access to state-funded drug treatment programs; and
- Four states prohibit publicly funded drug treatment programs from discriminating against pregnant women.

I did find, however, in 2001, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled for the first time in a case directly related to the criminal prosecution of pregnant women for alleged fetal harm. See *Ferguson v. City of Charleston*, 532 U.S. 67 (2001), where the Medical University of South Carolina (MUSC) started to cooperate with the City of Charleston to formulate a policy to prosecute mothers whose children tested positive for drugs at birth. <http://www.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/99-936.ZS.html> (Attachment 2). MUSC obstetrical patients were arrested after testing positive for cocaine. A number of the patients filed suit challenging the policy's validity on the theory that warrantless and nonconsensual drug tests conducted for criminal investigatory purposes were unconstitutional searches in violation of the Fourth Amendment. Among the District Court's actions was an instruction to the jury to find for the patients unless they had consented to such searches. The jury found in favor of the city. In affirming, the Court of Appeals held that the searches were reasonable, reasoning that special needs may, in certain exceptional circumstances, justify a search policy designed to serve non-law-

Corrections and Juvenile Justice

Date: 3-12-09

Attachment # 1-1

enforcement ends. The U.S. Supreme Court held that the diagnostic tests constituted an unreasonable search if the patient has not consented to the procedure. It is important to note that this case addressed only the issue of evidence collection for criminal prosecution of pregnant women, not the more general question of whether prosecutions are permissible. Lisa H. Harris, MD, and Lynn Paltrow, JD, "The Status of Pregnant Women and Fetuses in US Criminal Law," JAMA. 289 (2003): 1697-1699. <http://jama.ama-assn.org/cgi/content/full/289/13/1697> (Attachment 3).

I also have enclosed information found at the National Conference of State Legislatures website regarding the most recent update on states' treatment and legislation on drug use by a pregnant woman. See "State Responses to Maternal Drug and Alcohol Use: An Update," by Dan Steinberg and Shelly Gehshan, January 2000 (Attachment 4). <http://www.ncsl.org/programs/health/forum/maternalabuse.htm>.

Attachment 4 provides a list of statutes in all 50 states affecting women who use alcohol or drugs. Unfortunately, it was last updated in 1999. KSA 65-1,160 requires the Secretary of Health and Environment to conduct an ongoing public awareness campaign directed to both men and women regarding the preconceptual and perinatal effects of the use of tobacco, the use of alcohol, and the use of any controlled substance as defined in schedule I, II or III of the uniform controlled substances act for nonmedical purposes.

I hope this information is responsive to your request. If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

AEA/kal

Enclosures

■ Substance Abuse During Pregnancy

BACKGROUND: Since the late 1980s, policymakers have debated the question of how society should deal with the problem of women's substance abuse during pregnancy. No state specifically criminalizes drug use during pregnancy. However, prosecutors have attempted to rely on a host of criminal laws already on the books to attack prenatal substance abuse. Only the South Carolina Supreme Court has upheld such a conviction, ruling in *Whitner v State* that a woman's substance abuse late in pregnancy constitutes criminal child abuse. Meanwhile, several states have expanded their civil child-welfare requirements to include prenatal substance abuse, so that prenatal drug exposure can provide grounds for terminating parental rights because of child abuse or neglect. Further, some states, under the rubric of protecting the fetus, authorize civil commitment (such as forced admission to an inpatient treatment program) of pregnant women who use drugs; these policies sometimes also apply to alcohol use or other behaviors. A number of states require health care professionals to report or test for prenatal drug exposure, which can be used as evidence in child-welfare proceedings. Finally, a number of states have placed a priority on making drug treatment more readily available to pregnant women.

HIGHLIGHTS:

- 15 states consider substance abuse during pregnancy to be child abuse under civil child-welfare statutes, and 3 consider it grounds for civil commitment.
- 14 states require health care professionals to report suspected prenatal drug abuse, and 4 states require them to test for prenatal drug exposure if they suspect abuse.
- 19 states have either created or funded drug treatment programs specifically targeted to pregnant women, and 9 provide pregnant women with priority access to state-funded drug treatment programs.
- 4 states prohibit publicly funded drug treatment programs from discriminating against pregnant women.



Advancing sexual and reproductive health worldwide through research, policy analysis and public education.

125 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
212.248.1111
www.guttmacher.org
info@guttmacher.org

1301 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
202.296.4012
www.guttmacher.org
policyworks@guttmacher.org

© 2009, Guttmacher Institute

CONTINUED

STATE POLICIES ON SUBSTANCE ABUSE DURING PREGNANCY

STATE	SUBSTANCE ABUSE DURING PREGNANCY CONSIDERED:		WHEN ABUSE SUSPECTED, STATE REQUIRES:		DRUG TREATMENT FOR PREGNANT WOMEN		
	Child Abuse	Grounds for Civil Commitment	Reporting	Testing	Targeted Program Created	Pregnant Women Given Priority Access in General Programs	Pregnant Women Protected from Discrimination in Publicly Funded Programs
Alaska			X				
Arizona			X				
Arkansas	X					X	
California					X		
Colorado	X				X		
Connecticut					X		
Florida	X				X		
Georgia						X	
Illinois	X		X		X		
Indiana	X						
Iowa	X		X	X			X
Kansas						X	X
Kentucky				X	X		
Louisiana	X		X		X		
Maryland					X		
Massachusetts			X			X	
Michigan			X				
Minnesota	X	X	X	X	X		
Missouri					X	X ^Ω	X
Montana			X				
Nebraska					X [†]		
Nevada	X						
New York					X		
North Carolina					X		
North Dakota			X	X			
Ohio					X		
Oklahoma			X			X	X
Oregon					X [‡]		
Pennsylvania					X		
Rhode Island	X		X				
South Carolina	X [†]						
South Dakota	X	X					
Texas	X						
Utah			X			X	
Virginia	X		X		X		
Washington					X		
Wisconsin	X	X				X	
TOTAL	15	3	14	4	19	9	4

* The South Carolina Supreme Court held that a viable fetus is a "person" under the state's criminal child-endangerment statute and that "maternal acts endangering or likely to endanger the life, comfort, or health of a viable fetus" constitute criminal child abuse.

† Applies only to women and newborns eligible for Medicaid.

‡ Establishes requirements for health care providers to encourage and facilitate drug counseling.

Ω Priority applies to pregnant women referred for treatment.

FOR MORE INFORMATION:


For information on state legislative and policy activity click on Guttmacher's [Monthly State Update](#) and for state level information and data on reproductive health issues, click on [Guttmacher's State Center](#).

Figdor E and Kaeser L, [Concerns mount over punitive approaches to substance abuse among pregnant women](#), *The Guttmacher Report on Public Policy*, 1998, 1(5):3-5.

Dailard C and Nash E, [State responses to substance abuse among pregnant women](#), *The Guttmacher Report on Public Policy*, 2000, 3(6):3-6.

The State Policy in Brief series is made possible in part by support from The John Merck Fund and the Brush Foundation.

1-1



Search Law School Search Cornell

LII / Legal Information Institute

Supreme Court collection

FERGUSON V. CHARLESTON (99-936) 532 U.S. 67 (2001) 186 F.3d 469, reversed and remanded.

Syllabus	Opinion [Stevens]	Concurrence [Kennedy]	Dissent [Scalia]
HTML version PDF version	HTML version PDF version	HTML version PDF version	HTML version PDF version

Syllabus

NOTE: Where it is feasible, a syllabus (headnote) will be released, as is being done in connection with this case, at the time the opinion is issued.

The syllabus constitutes no part of the opinion of the Court but has been prepared by the Reporter of Decisions for the convenience of the reader.

See United States v. Detroit Timber & Lumber Co., 200 U.S. 321, 337.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FERGUSON et al. v. CITY OF CHARLESTON et al.

CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 99—936. Argued October 4, 2000—Decided March 21, 2001

In the fall of 1988, staff members at the Charleston public hospital operated by the Medical University of South Carolina (MUSC) became concerned about an apparent increase in the use of cocaine by patients who were receiving prenatal treatment. When the incidence of cocaine use among maternity patients remained unchanged despite referrals for counseling and treatment of patients who tested positive for that drug, MUSC staff offered to cooperate with the city in prosecuting mothers whose children tested positive for drugs at birth. Accordingly, a task force made up of MUSC representatives, police, and local officials developed a policy which set forth procedures for identifying and testing pregnant patients suspected of drug use; required that a chain of custody be followed when obtaining and testing patients' urine samples; provided for education and treatment referral for patients testing positive; contained police procedures and criteria for arresting patients who tested positive; and prescribed prosecutions for drug offenses and/or child neglect, depending on the stage of the

1-5

Attachment 2

defendant's pregnancy. Other than the provisions describing the substance abuse treatment to be offered women testing positive, the policy made no mention of any change in the prenatal care of such patients, nor did it prescribe any special treatment for the newborns. Petitioners, MUSC obstetrical patients arrested after testing positive for cocaine, filed this suit challenging the policy's validity on, *inter alia*, the theory that warrantless and nonconsensual drug tests conducted for criminal investigatory purposes were unconstitutional searches. Among its actions, the District Court instructed the jury to find for petitioners unless they had consented to such searches. The jury found for respondents, and petitioners appealed, arguing that the evidence was not sufficient to support the jury's consent finding. In affirming without reaching the consent question, the Fourth Circuit held that the searches in question were reasonable as a matter of law under this Court's cases recognizing that "special needs" may, in certain exceptional circumstances, justify a search policy designed to serve non-law enforcement ends.

Held: A state hospital's performance of a diagnostic test to obtain evidence of a patient's criminal conduct for law enforcement purposes is an unreasonable search if the patient has not consented to the procedure. The interest in using the threat of criminal sanctions to deter pregnant women from using cocaine cannot justify a departure from the general rule that an official nonconsensual search is unconstitutional if not authorized by a valid warrant. Pp. 8–18.

(a) Because MUSC is a state hospital, its staff members are government actors subject to the Fourth Amendment's strictures. *New Jersey v. T. L. O.*, 469 U.S. 325, 335–337. Moreover, the urine tests at issue were indisputably searches within that Amendment's meaning. *Skinner v. Railway Labor Executives' Assn.*, 489 U.S. 602, 617. Furthermore, both lower courts viewed the case as one involving MUSC's right to conduct searches without warrants or probable cause, and this Court must assume for purposes of decision that the tests were performed without the patients' informed consent. Pp. 8–9.

(b) Because the hospital seeks to justify its authority to conduct drug tests and to turn the results over to police without the patients' knowledge or consent, this case differs from the four previous cases in which the Court considered whether comparable drug tests fit within the closely guarded category of constitutionally permissible suspicionless searches. See *Chandler v. Miller*, 520 U.S. 305, 309; see also *Skinner*, *Von Raab*, and *Acton*. Those cases employed a balancing test weighing the intrusion on the individual's privacy interest against the "special needs" that supported the program. The invasion of privacy here is far more substantial than in those cases. In previous cases, there was no misunderstanding about the purpose of the test or the potential use of the test results, and there were protections against the dissemination of the results to third parties. Moreover, those cases involved disqualification from eligibility for particular benefits, not the unauthorized dissemination of test results. The critical difference, however, lies in the nature of the "special need" asserted. In each of the prior cases, the "special need" was one divorced from the State's general law enforcement interest. Here, the policy's central and indispensable feature from its inception was the use of law enforcement to coerce patients into substance abuse treatment. Respondents' assertion that their ultimate purpose—namely, protecting the health of both mother and child—is a beneficent one is unavailing. While the ultimate goal of the program may well have been to get the women in question into substance abuse treatment and off drugs, the immediate objective of the searches was to generate evidence for law enforcement purposes in order to reach that goal. Given that purpose and given the extensive involvement of law enforcement officials at every stage of the policy, this case simply does not fit within the closely guarded category of "special needs." The fact that positive test results were turned over to the police does not merely provide a basis for

distinguishing prior “special needs” cases. It also provides an affirmative reason for enforcing the Fourth Amendment’s strictures. While state hospital employees, like other citizens, may have a duty to provide the police with evidence of criminal conduct that they inadvertently acquire in the course of routine treatment, when they undertake to obtain such evidence from their patients *for the specific purpose of incriminating those patients*, they have a special obligation to make sure that the patients are fully informed about their constitutional rights, as standards of knowing waiver require. Cf. *Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 U.S. 436. Pp. 9–18.

186 F.3d 469, reversed and remanded.

Stevens, J., delivered the opinion of the Court, in which O’Connor, Souter, Ginsburg, and Breyer, JJ., joined. Kennedy, J., filed an opinion concurring in the judgment. Scalia, J., filed a dissenting opinion, in which Rehnquist, C. J., and Thomas, J., joined as to Part II.

1-7



The Journal of the American Medical Association

April 2, 2003

msJAMA ARTICLE

TABLE OF CONTENTS >

JAMA

• Online Features

This Article

- PDF
- Send to a friend
- Save in My Folder
- Save to citation m
- Permissions

Citing Article

- Citation map
- Citing articles on F
- Citing articles on I
- Contact me when article is cited

Related Cont

- Similar articles in J

Topic Collect

- Pregnancy and Bre Feeding
- Alert me on article topic

The Status of Pregnant Women and Fetuses in US Criminal Law

Lisa H. Harris, MD
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

Lynn Paltrow, JD
National Advocates for Pregnant Women, New York, NY

JAMA. 2003;289:1697-1699.

In 2001 the US Supreme Court ruled for the first time in a case directly related to the criminal prosecution of pregnant women for alleged fetal harm.¹ In *Ferguson v City of Charleston* the Supreme Court considered whether women retain constitutional rights in pregnancy, specifically, the Fourth Amendment right to be free from unreasonable or warrantless searches. The court concluded that drug testing of pregnant women for the purpose of criminal investigation may not be conducted without a warrant or explicit consent, even when justified by the benign purposes of protecting fetuses and promoting drug treatment. This case addressed only the issue of evidence collection for criminal prosecution of pregnant women, not the more general question of whether prosecutions are permissible.

Legal activity on this broader question has occurred at the state level for more than 2 decades.²⁻³ To date, no state legislature has adopted a law that creates unique criminal penalties for pregnant women who are addicted to, dependent on, or using drugs.⁴ This is consistent with longstanding Supreme Court decisions recognizing that addiction is an illness and that criminalizing it violates Eighth Amendment prohibitions against cruel and unusual punishment.⁵⁻⁶ Numerous court cases have arisen, however, as a result of individual prosecutions of pregnant women. When pregnant women are prosecuted for potential fetal harm, courts are asked to determine if existing criminal statutes can be expanded and applied to pregnant women's behavior and health conditions.

In order to clarify the status of pregnant women and fetuses in US criminal law, we examined written legal opinions in cases in which women were criminally prosecuted based on claims that their action or inaction risked fetal harm. We located cases by searching LexisNexis and Westlaw using the following terms: *pregnant, pregnancy, drug(s), illegal drug(s), cocaine, heroin, alcohol, (meth)amphetamine, addiction, unborn child, prenatal, drug delivery, child, child abuse, fetus, fetal, homicide, feticide, maternal, perinatal, and neonatal*. We searched only for published State Appellate or State Supreme Court opinions as these cases set legal precedent. We also consulted with agencies and attorneys called upon to represent women when they are prosecuted. We found 22 opinions in 16 states, and 1 opinion in the military court system.⁷⁻²⁹

1-8

Attachment 3

Women were generally charged with 1 of 3 types of crimes: child endangerment/abuse⁷⁻²¹; illegal drug delivery to a minor²²⁻²⁵; or fetal murder/manslaughter.²⁶⁻²⁹ In all abuse cases, prosecutors equated viable fetus with a child and argued that pregnant women's drug use or addiction constituted child abuse. In all drug delivery cases, women were accused of trafficking drugs to the fetus through the umbilical cord. Some prosecutors, conceding that fetuses are not legal persons, argued that the drug "delivery" occurred in the moments after the infant was born, but before the umbilical cord was clamped and cut.^{22-23,25} In all murder and attempted murder cases, prosecutors again equated the viable fetus with a child and argued that existing murder laws applied to women's actions.

When questions arise about the meaning or application of a criminal law, the court's first function is to determine and enforce the legislature's intent. In all of the cases we examined, with the exception of those in South Carolina, courts declined to expand existing state laws to punish pregnant women based on claims of fetal rights, finding no evidence that legislatures intended existing laws to be used this way. Judges determined that the plain meaning of words like "child" does not include fetuses, that the terms "parent" or "guardian" do not include pregnant women in relation to the fetus, and that the word "delivery" does not include drug transmission through umbilical circulation.^{7-15,17-26,28-29} Courts point out that when legislatures intend to discuss a fetus, they use the word "fetus."^{7-8,12-14,19-20,24, 28} Some courts also held that judicially rewriting existing laws to make them suddenly applicable to pregnant drug-using women would violate constitutional due process principles of fair warning and notice.^{7, 11-19, 24, 26} Several courts noted that such a revision to the law would make them unconstitutionally vague, permitting prosecution for a limitless number of situations, from smoking cigarettes to giving birth at an advanced maternal age, to employment at a potentially hazardous job.^{7, 11, 15, 28} Many courts also recognized that such expansion of the law could unwittingly encourage women to have abortions or to avoid seeking prenatal or addiction care.^{8-9,12-13,25, 28} Only South Carolina's high court has come to a different conclusion, interpreting the word "child," in its criminal child endangerment statute, to include viable fetuses.¹⁶ By doing so, the court made its child endangerment statute applicable to pregnant women whose actions risk harm to a viable fetus, and created precedent for applying other South Carolina criminal laws to pregnant women as well.²⁷

Even in South Carolina, where using drugs or being addicted to drugs during pregnancy has effectively been criminalized, pregnant women retain their Fourth Amendment freedom from unreasonable and warrantless searches. In *Ferguson v City of Charleston* the Supreme Court held that "performance of a diagnostic test [urine drug screen] to obtain evidence of a patient's criminal conduct for law enforcement purposes is an [unconstitutional] unreasonable search if the patient has not consented to the procedure."¹ This case challenged a policy that began in 1989 at Medical University of South Carolina. This institution, in collaboration with local police and prosecutors, initiated a policy of conducting urine drug screens on selected pregnant women, without their consent, to look for evidence of cocaine use. Women testing positive were reported to police, and health care providers coordinated their in-hospital arrests on charges of child abuse or drug delivery. Thirty women were arrested. In 1993, 10 women challenged the policy on several constitutional and statutory grounds. Ultimately the Supreme Court addressed only the "search and seizure" claim, concluding that the hospital policy of collecting evidence did violate the women's constitutional rights. The court then set an extremely high standard for consent: "when [state hospital employees] undertake to obtain evidence [of criminal conduct] from their patients for the specific purpose of incriminating those patients, they have a special obligation to make sure that the patients are fully informed about their constitutional rights."¹ Hospitals and physicians who do not follow this obligation may face civil liability, as the Fourth Circuit Court indicated when it allowed 8 of these plaintiffs to proceed with monetary damages claims against the hospital and individual staff members.³⁰

Thus, in all states but South Carolina, using drugs during pregnancy is not a crime. Even in South Carolina physicians may not subject a woman to nonconsensual drug testing for the purpose of such criminal prosecution, as the Supreme Court determined. Although the state of the law has been made very clear by the courts, some potentially confusing issues remain.

1. Some bioethical models assert that physicians have moral obligations to fetal "patients," separate obligations to pregnant women.³¹ These models may imply that fetuses have a right to medical attention independent of pregnant women's consent. However, even when moral obligations exist, they do not equal legal obligations.³² In the Angela Carder case the court provided clear standards regarding fetal medical interventions on behalf of a viable fetus. In this case a cesarean delivery was performed on a critically ill woman at 25 weeks' gestation, over her own objections and those of her family and attending physicians. Both Ms Carder and the neonate died shortly afterward. The appellate court in this case ruled that the hospital was wrong to perform the surgery and that women do not lose their rights to bodily integrity and informed consent upon becoming pregnant. The court further concluded that neither fetal rights nor state interests on behalf of the fetus supersede women's rights as ultimate medical decision maker.³³⁻³⁴ Two subsequent appellate courts have ruled similarly.³⁵⁻³⁶

2. Numerous civil laws appear to create fetal rights separate from pregnant women's. The recent federal action to expand the States Child Health Insurance Program to include fetuses is an example. This act does not change the legal status of the fetus, although it may give the erroneous impression of doing so.³⁷ Feticide statutes and civil wrongful death statutes that permit punishment of third parties who attack pregnant women are further examples.³⁸⁻³⁹ These laws, however, hold only third parties accountable for causing pregnancy loss. Courts have declined to extend these laws to pregnant women recognizing that pregnant women's actions with respect to their own bodies raise different legal and issues.⁴⁰

Civil commitment laws and civil child welfare laws addressing the subject of drug use during pregnancy may intensify confusion regarding physicians' legal obligations. Three states allow civil commitment or detention of pregnant women using drugs, although they do not necessarily mandate reporting.⁴¹⁻⁴³ Other states address pregnant women's drug use through civil child abuse laws.⁴⁴ These laws vary considerably: In some states drug use during pregnancy triggers an evaluation of parenting ability; in others it is a basis for presuming neglect. None of these laws mandates universal drug screening. Clinicians need to be aware of their own state's mandates but should also realize that these laws are intended to protect children, not to punish parents or to establish fetal rights.

3. Health care personnel may hear contradictory information about the effects of prenatal drug exposure or appropriate responses to addiction. Some early media reports depicting significant neonatal effects from cocaine are now understood to have been exaggerated.⁴⁵ Recent child development research has not found the effects of prenatal cocaine exposure that earlier uncontrolled studies reported.⁴⁶ Poverty and its concomitants—poor nutrition and inadequate health care—are now known to overshadow many of the effects popularly attributed to cocaine exposure.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁸ Addiction research has found that treatment and education strategies are effective and less costly than punitive ones.⁴⁹⁻⁵¹

In summary, neither US state laws nor the courts support criminal prosecution of pregnant women for prenatal actions, except in South Carolina. Even in South Carolina, pregnant women may not be subjected to nonconsensual drug testing for the purpose of criminal prosecution. There is a possibility that legislatures will consider new proposals to criminalize pregnant women's behavior or health conditions; however, to date they have declined to adopt such a strategy, which is in keeping with evidence-based medical recommendations that support treatment approaches to drug use or addiction, not punitive (

1-10

Physicians do need to be aware of state civil mandates regarding pregnant women, guidelines for addiction treatment, and confidentiality laws regarding patients with substance abuse problems.⁵²⁻⁵³ However no state authorizes or expects physicians to use medical evidence of addiction for criminal prosecution. As the Supreme Court recently indicated, physicians caring for pregnant women are not required to act as agents of the criminal justice system; they should serve medical, not legal, roles in the care of pregnant women.

The authors would like to acknowledge the following individuals for their contributions to this article: Bannister, JD, and Emily C. Feinstein (citation checking); Janet Gallagher, JD, Richard Harris, MBA, MD, PhD, and Peter Parolin, PhD (editing).

REFERENCES

1. *Ferguson v City of Charleston*, 532 US 67 (2001).
2. Hodge JG. Prosecution of Mother for Prenatal Substance Abuse Based on Endangerment of or Delivery of Controlled Substance to Child. *American Law Reports*. 70 ALR 5th 461 (1999).
3. Nelson LJ. Criminalization of prenatal substance abuse and drug addiction. In: Nelson LJ, Marshall eds. *Ethical and Legal Analyses of Three Coercive Policies Aimed at Substance Abuse by Pregnant Women* (1998).
4. Paltrow LM, Carey CA, Cohen DS. Governmental Responses to Pregnant Women Who Use Alcohol and Other Drugs. October 2000. Available at: <http://www.advocatesforpregnantwomen.org/articles/index.htm>. Accessed March 6, 2003.
5. *Robinson v California*, 370 US 660 (1962).
6. *Linder v United States*, 268 US 5 (1925).
7. *Reinesto v State*, 894 P 2d 733 (Ariz Ct App 1995).
8. *Reyes v Superior Court*, 75 Cal App 3d 214 (Ct App 1977).
9. *State v Gethers*, 585 So2d 1140 (Fla App 1991).
10. *Herron v State*, 729 NE 2d 1008 (Ind Ct App 3rd Dist 2000).
11. *Commonwealth v Welch*, 964 SW 2d 280 (Ky 1993).
12. *Sheriff, Washoe County, Nevada v Encoe*, 885 P 2d 596 (Nev 1994).
13. *People v Morabito*, 151 Misc 2d 259, 580 NYS 2d 843 (Geneva City Ct Jan 29, 1992), *aff'd slip op. (Ont Cty Ct, Ont Cty, NY, Sept 24, 1992)*.
14. *State v Gray*, 584 NE 2d 710, 713 (Ohio 1992).
15. *Commonwealth v Kemp*, 75 Westmoreland LJ, slip op. (Ct CP Westmoreland Cty, Pa Dec 16, 1993), *aff'd No. 114 Pittsburgh 1993, slip op. (Super-Ct Pitts Dist, Pa Feb 22, 1994)*, *aff'd 647 A 2d 264*.
16. *Whitner v South Carolina*, 492 SE 2d 777 (SC 1997), cert. denied, 118 S Ct 1857 (1998).
17. *Crawley v Evatt*, No. 97-MO-117 (SC Dec 1, 1997) (mem.), cert. denied, 118 S Ct 1857 (1998).
18. *State v Peppers*, Opinion No. 25330 (SC Sp Ct July 23, 2001).
19. *Collins v State*, 890 SW 2d 893 (Tex Crim App 1994).
20. *State v Dunn*, 916 P2d 952 (Wash App 1996) review denied 928 P 2d 413 (Wash 1996).
21. *United States v Foreman*, 1990 WL 79309 (AFCMR 1990).
22. *Johnson v State*, 602 So 2d 1288, (Fla 1992).
23. *State v Carter*, 602 So 2d 995 (Fla Dist Ct App 1991).
24. *State v Luster*, 419 SE 2d 32 (Ga App 1992) cert denied (1992 Ga LEXIS 467(June 4, 1992)).
25. *People v Hardy*, 469 NW 2d 50 (Mich App 1991) (leave to appeal denied, 437 Mich 1046, amend 471 NW 2d 619 (Mich 1991)).
26. *State v Ashley*, 701 So 2d 338 (Fla 1997).

27. *State v McKnight*, slip. Op No 25585 (January 27, 2003).
28. *State v Deborah JZ*, 596 NW 2d 490 (1999 Wisc Ct App).
29. *State v Osmus*, 276 P 2d 469 (Wy 1954).
30. *Ferguson v City of Charleston*, 308 F 3d 380 (4th Cir 2002), No 97-2512 slip op (Fourth Cir Oct 2002). Available at: <http://pacer.ca4.uscourts.gov/opinion.pdf/972512A.P.pdf>.
31. Chervenak FA, McCullough LB. Perinatal ethics: a practical method of analysis of obligations to mother and fetus. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1985;66:442-446. PUBMED
32. Harris LH. Rethinking maternal-fetal conflict: gender and equality in perinatal ethics. *Obstet Gyn* 2000;96:786-791. PUBMED
33. *In Re AC*, 573 A 2d 1235 (1990).
34. Annas G. Foreclosing the use of force: A.C. reversed. *Hastings Cent Rep*. 1990; 20:27-29.
35. *In re Baby Boy Doe*, 632 NE 2d 326 (Ill App 1 Dist 1994).
36. *In re Brown*, 689 NE 2d397 (Ill 1st Dist 1997), appeal denied, 698 NE 2d 543 (1998).
37. State Children's Health Insurance Program; Eligibility for Prenatal Care and Other Health Services for Unborn Children; Final Rule, 67 *Federal Register*. 61955-61974 (2002) (to be codified at 42 CFR pt. 457).
38. Cal Penal Code §187 (a) (West 1999).
39. Ga Code Ann §16-5-80 (1998).
40. *Stallman v Youngquist*, 125 Ill 2d 267,278-9,531 NE 2d 355,360 (1988).
41. Minn Stat Ann §626.5561 (2).
42. SD Codified Laws Ann §34-20A-63.
43. Wisc Stat §48.133, 48.135, 48.981(3).
44. Ariz Rev Stat Ann §13-3620(B); Cal Penal Code §11165.13; Fla Stat Ann §39.01(30)(g); Ind Code §31-34-1-10, 11; Iowa Code Ann §§232.68(2)(f), 232.77(2); Md Code Ann, Fam. Law §5-313(d)(1)(iv); Mass Gen Laws Ann ch. 119, §51A; Mich Comp Laws §722.623a; Nev Rev Stat Ann §432B.330(1)(b); Stat Ann tit. 10, §7103(A)(2); RI Admin Code §03-040-420.II.D.4.a; id. §03-141-000.II.F.2.c.1.; SC Code Ann §20-7-736; Tex Fam Code Ann §261.001(1) & (7); Utah Code Ann §62A-4-404; Va Code Ann. §54.1-2403.1, 63.1-248.3(A1); Wisc Stat Ann §146.0255.
45. Humphries D. *Crack Mothers, Pregnancy, Drugs, and the Media*. Columbus: Ohio State University Press; 1999.
46. Rose-Jacobs R, Cabral H, Posner MA, Epstein J, Frank DA. Do "we just know"? masked assessors' ability to accurately identify children with prenatal cocaine exposure. *J Dev Behav Pediatr*. 2002;23:340-345. PUBMED
47. Frank DA, Augustyn M, Knight WG, Pell T, Zuckerman B. Growth, development, and behavior in childhood following prenatal cocaine exposure: a systematic review. *JAMA*. 2001;285:1613-1625. FREE FULL TEXT
48. Chavkin W. Cocaine and pregnancy—time to look at the evidence. *JAMA*. 2001;285:1626-1628. FULL TEXT
49. American Medical Association. Motion of American Medical Association for Leave to File Brief as Amicus Curiae in Support of Neither Party. June 2, 2002. Available at: http://www.reproductiveright/crt_preg_ferguson.html. Accessed March 6, 2003.
50. Poland ML, Dombrowski MP, Ager JW, Sokol RJ. Punishing pregnant drug users: enhancing the focus from care. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 1993;31:199-203. FULL TEXT | ISI | PUBMED
51. Marwick C. Physician leadership on national drug policy finds addiction treatment works. *JAMA*. 1998;279:1149. FREE FULL TEXT
52. US Dept of Health and Human Services. Publication (SMA) 93-1998. Center for Substance Abuse Treatment, Pregnant Substance-Using Women 6 (1993).
53. Federal Drug Treatment Confidentiality Statute Title 42 USC §290dd-2.

1-12

THIS ARTICLE HAS BEEN CITED BY OTHER ARTICLES

Effects of Prenatal Cocaine Exposure on Special Education in School-Aged Children

Levine et al.

Pediatrics 2008;122:e83-e91.

ABSTRACT | FULL TEXT

The Furor Over Maternal Homicide: Are We Losing Sight of the Primary Issue?

Fontaine and Parmley

Criminal Justice Policy Review 2007;18:153-167.

ABSTRACT

Impact of Prenatal Cocaine Exposure on Child Behavior Problems Through School Age

Bada et al.

Pediatrics 2007;119:e348-e359.

ABSTRACT | FULL TEXT

Prenatal Cocaine Exposure and Child Welfare Outcomes

Doris et al.

Child Maltreat 2006;11:326-337.

ABSTRACT

Status of Pregnant Women and Fetuses in U.S. Law


JWatch Women's Health 2003;2003:6-6.

FULL TEXT

[HOME](#) | [CURRENT ISSUE](#) | [PAST ISSUES](#) | [TOPIC COLLECTIONS](#) | [CME](#) | [SUBMIT](#) | [SUBSCRIBE](#) | [HELP](#)
[CONDITIONS OF USE](#) | [PRIVACY POLICY](#) | [CONTACT US](#) | [SITE MAP](#)

© 2003 American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved.

1-13



State Responses to Maternal Drug and Alcohol Use: An Update

by

Dan Steinberg

Shelly Gehshan

January 2000

Support for this report was provided by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation.

Executive Summary

Introduction

Prevalence and Effects of Maternal Drug Abuse

"Crack" Cocaine and Pregnancy: The Good News is Bad News is Wrong

State Approaches to Maternal Drug Use

Examples of State Legislation on Maternal Substance Use

Recent Court Decisions

Conclusion

Appendix A: Statutes Affecting Women Who Use Drugs or Alcohol During Pregnancy in the 50 States (as of July 31, 1999)

Appendix B: Sources and Further Reading

Notes

Executive Summary

Each year, millions of women in the United States use alcohol or other drugs while pregnant. While not all of these incidents have health consequences, maternal drug use can lead to low birth weight and other complications, and alcohol use can cause serious birth defects. The direct and indirect costs of this problem to the states are substantial.

Key issues in addressing the problems of pregnant women who use alcohol and other drugs include:

- **Addiction to alcohol and other drugs is a biochemical process.** Many addicted women wish to quit using drugs or alcohol but are physically unable to stop.
- **Many women face barriers to receiving treatment.** Women may not seek treatment because they do not have transportation to and from programs, insurance, money to pay for treatment, child care or treatment programs available to them. Additionally, women may not seek help because they fear domestic violence,

1-14
Attachment 4

criminal prosecution or losing custody of their children.

- **Addiction treatment is more effective when it is designed to account for women's needs.** Addiction treatment counselors find that gender-specific treatment is much more effective than mixed-gender approaches. For seriously addicted women, the most effective treatments are residential and long-term. Also, low-income women frequently have a variety of other service needs, such as the need to learn parenting and career skills.

- **This issue will continue to be important.** Because states have only recently crafted their responses to perinatal substance use, monitoring and assessment of state policies are needed. States could benefit from revisiting this issue and evaluating the effectiveness of their state programs.

While less attention has been devoted to the problem of maternal chemical dependency in recent years, pregnant women continue to use substances that may damage their own health and that of their newborns. Policymakers and legislators coping with this issue must grapple with many complex legal, ethical, emotional and moral issues. Because of these complexities, coordination among agencies that deal with this problem and a consensus on the best approach are difficult to achieve. Nevertheless, state legislators must consider the contributions and roles of police, courts, social services, health departments and many other agencies in addressing this problem and need to be aware of the many barriers women face in getting treatment for their addictions.

Introduction

The issue of women who use alcohol and other drugs during pregnancy has been problematical to state governments. Maternal drug use complicates pregnancies and damages the health of newborns, driving up state expenditures on obstetrical and neonatal health care. After birth, other costs may occur. Children born to drug-using mothers frequently require the attention of child protective services or juvenile courts, further increasing costs to the states. In 1996, the *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* put first-year costs to states of births affected by maternal substance use as high as \$50,000 each above "usual" births. State expenses for public assistance and foster care for each year after the first can be as high as \$20,000.¹

Responding to media coverage of the issue, many states began to introduce relevant legislation in the mid-1980s. Bills attacked the problem on many fronts. Some affected the health profession, and required doctors to report incidents of maternal substance use to state agencies; others required social services agencies to assess families affected by alcohol or drugs for abuse and neglect; and still others required commercial vendors who sell alcohol and tobacco to post warnings about the effects of these substances on pregnant women. Some states formed interdisciplinary commissions to monitor and study the problem and report to the legislature with further suggestions. These and other innovations were designed to prevent women from using unhealthful substances while pregnant, minimize the harm that can result, or provide families with needed services.

This document highlights some ongoing problems states experience as they attempt to cope with perinatal drug use, discusses some options states have in addressing the problem, and provides an overview of some recent statutory and judicial developments. The appendix contains a compendium of state laws on the topic, and provides full citations and a brief summary for each.

The issue of maternal substance abuse produces powerful reactions and emotions in many people. One of our strongest instincts is to protect our children, and this is as true for nations and governments as it is for families and individuals. As a consequence, many states took a long time to plan their response to the problem as legislatures debated passionately about how best to approach the issue. Because no national initiative on addiction treatment for pregnant or parenting women currently exists, this paper reviews the options open to states in order to assist them in addressing this complex public health challenge.

1-15

Prevalence and Effects of Maternal Drug Use**Alcohol**

Alcohol is the most commonly used addictive substance in the United States. One study estimated that of the approximately 4 million women who give birth each year in the United States, 2.6 million use some amount of alcohol during their pregnancy,² and another study has estimated that up to 22,000 children per year (approximately one-half of 1 percent of all births) experience mild, moderate or severe adverse effects as a result of their mothers' alcohol ingestion.³ Among the problems children may suffer are fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS), which produces slowed growth, damage to the nervous system, facial abnormalities and mental retardation; and fetal alcohol effects (FAE), which produces a milder group of mental and behavioral problems, including short attention spans, memory problems and disorganization.

Tobacco

Tobacco use during pregnancy has decreased in recent years, from 18.4 percent in 1990 to 13.6 percent in 1996 (approximately 530,000 births),⁴ and the women who do smoke during pregnancy also smoke fewer cigarettes than they did in 1990. Smoking during pregnancy can introduce toxic chemicals-such as nicotine, hydrogen cyanide and carbon monoxide- into the fetal blood supply and can cause problems in newborns such as low birthweight, preterm delivery, slow fetal development and infant mortality. The state and federal responses to the problem have relied almost exclusively on public awareness campaigns. The federal government also requires tobacco companies to print warnings on cigarette packages and advertisements, but this approach is not available in the case of illegal drugs.

Illegal Drugs

Figures on the frequency of illegal drug use among pregnant women differ, ranging from 221,000⁵ to 739,000⁶ births per year (between 5.5 percent and 18 percent of all births). In a 1992 study, the National Institute on Drug Abuse estimated the frequency of drug use by pregnant women for specific types of drugs as shown in table 1.

Table 1. Frequency of Drug Use by Pregnant Women, 1992

Type of Drug	Pregnant women using given drug (%)	Pregnant women using given drug (#)
Marijuana	2.9	118,700
Cocaine	1.1	45,100
Improper use of prescription drugs	1.5	61,000
Heroin	0.1	3,600

Source: National Institute on Drug Abuse, 1992.

Birth complications attributed to drug use include shortened gestational periods, low birthweight, smaller-than-normal head size, miscarriages, genital and urinary tract deformities, and nervous system damage. Some studies have suggested that environmental factors can significantly moderate the effects of prenatal drug use. Children also may experience fewer negative outcomes, depending on their genetic vulnerability to exposure, the type of drug to which they were exposed, the frequency and magnitude of drug use, and the quality of prenatal and postnatal medical care.⁷

1-16

"Crack" Cocaine and Pregnancy: The Good News is the Bad News is Wrong

The issue of perinatal substance use received heightened public attention in the mid-1980s. Although the fetal effects of cigarette smoking already were widely discussed, national media outlets began to inform the public about mothers who used "crack" cocaine—a cheap, smokable form of cocaine—during pregnancy. By the mid-1990s, the "crack baby" phenomenon had become ingrained into shared national consciousness. News outlets, no longer perceiving the need to publicize the crisis, covered the issue less frequently. By 1992, most states had crafted their responses to the health concern and public outcry, and legislatures focused on other issues.

Much of the media coverage of this phenomenon, however, was inaccurate or premature. News outlets propagated many misconceptions about the nature of cocaine use, especially by pregnant women, and never effectively retracted or corrected them. Most of the public remains unaware of the following facts.

- **Addiction is an illness, requiring professional treatment.** Not all drug users are addicted. An addict is a chronic user of alcohol and/or other drugs whose brain and body chemistry become dependent on alcohol or drug intake to remain stable.⁸ Addicts who try to stop using drugs or alcohol or who are unable to obtain them and do not receive medical treatment may experience a wide range of dangerous and painful withdrawal symptoms, depending on the type of drug and the severity of addiction. Withdrawal symptoms include anxiety, nausea and cardiac irregularities, and may be fatal in extreme cases.
- **Cocaine is not the most frequently used drug during pregnancy that can negatively affect birth outcomes.** According to the National Institute on Drug Abuse, more than 40 times as many women use alcohol than use crack or powder cocaine during pregnancy. Although most women who use alcohol during pregnancy do not complicate their deliveries or their fetus' long-term health, the effects of heavy or chronic alcohol use often are significant and can interfere with the child's long-term health and well-being much more than the effects of cocaine use. Also, more than 10 times as many women use cigarettes, which like crack are associated with low birthweight and preterm delivery.
- **Children born to mothers who used crack during their pregnancy can recover from the physical and mental effects of prenatal cocaine exposure.** Recent research suggests that most cocaine-exposed babies catch up to their peers in physical size and health status by age 2.⁹ Some evidence exists that intellectual and behavioral deficits remain, but studies on these observations have not been conclusive. Many of the other effects attributed to cocaine use during pregnancy—short attention spans, poor impulse control or lower intelligence—are hard to separate from the effects of poverty or family environment and may occur even in the absence of cocaine use.
- **Many women who use alcohol or other drugs during pregnancy are very concerned about the health of their fetuses, but have serious barriers to treatment.** Although many people perceive drug-using mothers as unemotional or uncaring toward their children, interviews with these women reveal that they frequently understand that there is a connection between drug use and the health of their fetuses, and many try to reduce or quit drug use during pregnancy. In a 1999 book, for example, authors Sheigla Murphy and Marsha Rosenbaum interviewed 120 pregnant women drug users and found that virtually all were concerned about their fetuses and the health of their pregnancies.¹⁰ Many of those women also tried to stop or reduce their drug consumption.

State Approaches to Maternal Drug Use

States have used a variety of approaches to address the problems created by perinatal alcohol and drug use, including criminal prosecution for the harm they cause or risk causing their children, civil interventions by agencies such as Child Protective Services, and public health initiatives that provide preventive education, treatment or support. Increasingly, states are combining elements of punitive and treatment options through institutions such as drug courts, which offer offenders the option of either serving jail time or committing to treatment.

Punitive Approaches

At this time, no legislature has criminalized drug addiction during pregnancy per se. Such a statute would criminalize the woman's status and identity (that is, her identity as a woman who is pregnant and as a person suffering who is

LM

from drug or alcohol addiction), rather than a specific criminal act. Although district attorneys sometimes apply criminal statutes dealing with child abuse, assault, murder or drug dealing to pregnant women who use drugs, many are reluctant to pursue these cases because they believe juries will be sympathetic to drug-using mothers or because they recognize that other methods of coping with the problem are available.¹¹

In a few states, district attorneys have tried to hold women who use drugs during pregnancy accountable under statutes forbidding the delivery of drugs to a minor.¹² Prosecutors have encountered problems when applying these statutes, however, because the language of relevant statutes does not explicitly include "fetuses" or define "minors" to include fetuses. Most judges have been unwilling to interpret these statutes more broadly to include fetuses, because they believe this inclusion to be the responsibility of their state legislatures. District attorneys in Florida and Nevada, among other states, have avoided this difficulty by arguing that drug delivery takes place through the umbilical cord after the delivery of the child, but before the cord is severed. Nevertheless, judges have objected to the legal foundations of these prosecutions, and no prosecutor has yet succeeded in applying these statutes in this context.¹³

Civil Interventions

All states have mechanisms for intervening when parents are unable to care for their children. Sixteen states consider alcohol and drug ingestion during the perinatal period alone as child abuse or neglect, triggering at a minimum an investigation of parental fitness.¹⁴ In these states, substance use during pregnancy is confirmed through testing for the presence of cocaine, opiates, alcohol or derivatives in the child's body at birth. In cases where the screening reveals drug use, the infant may be placed in foster care and the mother is referred to treatment. Other children under the mother's care may be placed in foster care as well. If the mother fails to complete addiction treatment, child protective services may permanently remove the children from the home.

Addiction counselors warn that despite the popular perception of addicted mothers as unconcerned parents who have no emotional attachment to their children, many women report a hesitancy to seek counseling and treatment because they fear losing their children. In a 1993 survey, for example, substance using women in southern states cited fear of losing their children as their primary barrier to seeking care. Although most women in the survey were able to find child care (often provided by parents or other family members), many also expressed an unwillingness to be separated from their children during long-term or inpatient treatment.¹⁵ Although the policy goal in states that use these methods of intervention is to protect children rather than to punish the mother, many women fear losing custody or parental rights more than they fear criminal penalties. While interventions may be necessary to protect children, most agencies view removing children from their homes as a last resort, and many programs view reunification of the family as one measure of success of their programs.¹⁶

Treatment Approaches

States spend a considerable amount of money on drug and alcohol addiction treatment. In 1997, states spent approximately \$2 billion on treatment, and the federal government supplied states with nearly \$1.5 billion more.¹⁷ Federal funds come in many forms. The Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment (SAPT) Block Grant, for example, provided the states with nearly \$1.2 billion in 1997.¹⁸ Under federal law, 5 percent of the SAPT grant must be used to improve treatment access for pregnant women unless the state can demonstrate that pregnant women already are adequately served.¹⁹ States also may use Transitional Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) funds to provide treatment if these funds are used for "non-medical" services such as those provided by counselors, psychologists and social workers. These programs served 1.8 million people in 1997. Of those served, about one person in four admitted to alcoholism treatment programs, and one person in three admitted to drug addiction treatment programs, were women.²⁰

Men and women who suffer from addiction to alcohol or other drugs have different treatment needs. Most people suffering from addiction deny that they have a problem or deny that their drug use has negatively affected their lives. Many treatment programs respond to denial by using direct confrontation, an approach that involves a degree of harshness that may be inappropriate for female addicts. Many women who use drugs have been involved in incidents of domestic violence or childhood abuse at some point in their lives. They may respond poorly to such treatment methods, becoming more defensive, depressed, or unwilling to trust treatment counselors.²¹

1-18

Gender-specific treatment also can accommodate women with children. Women are more likely than men to be responsible for taking care of children,²² and many women will not enter treatment either because they fear their children will be placed in foster care if they admit to having a problem or because they do not wish to be separated from their children during treatment. Very few residential drug treatment programs provide child care, which may otherwise be unavailable or prohibitively expensive. Some residential programs may provide care for one or two children only, a policy that forces mothers with more children to delay or avoid treatment until suitable care for other children can be found. Child care is also a concern for women who are pursuing outpatient (non-residential) addiction treatment.

Studies show that, for women who are most severely affected by their addictions, the most effective treatment is residential, long-term and integrated with other services.²³ Residential treatment involves establishing a community where residents live, eat, sleep and receive treatment.

For many addicts, the first step is detoxification, a process that treats the biological effects of withdrawal from alcohol or narcotics. Usually provided in an inpatient setting, detoxification is a short-term way to eliminate chemical dependence, but does not treat the more pervasive psychological and behavioral aspects of substance addiction. As well as the co-occurring emotional problems discussed above, many addicted women need to make fundamental changes in their lives in order to stop using drugs permanently. These changes can occur only over longer periods of time, during which patients receive counseling, therapy and instruction.

Integrative treatment refers to the philosophy of simultaneously coping with patients' needs in a variety of areas. In addition to needing treatment for substance addiction and the mental and emotional needs discussed above, many substance using mothers lack the parenting and professional skills necessary to provide for their children.

Treatment approaches have been found to be both fiscally and therapeutically effective. California and Oregon, for example, have found that investments in treatment programs have saved their states significant amounts of money in criminal justice, public assistance and other costs. California found that for every \$1 it spent on treatment initiatives, it saved \$7, while Oregon saved about \$5.60.²⁴ Although attrition from treatment programs is a concern, 75 percent of women who complete treatment successfully remain drug free.²⁵

Although many women experience incidents of relapse, the Center for Substance Abuse Treatment advises that "chronic relapse-which often is viewed as the client's fault-should instead be viewed as a preventable part of the recovery process."²⁶ Continued relapses may be a sign of serious psychiatric problems, which may be related to present or past incidents of sexual abuse or domestic violence. These issues also may require treatment, including therapy.

Drug Courts

Many states are using the approach of establishing drug courts for drug offenders. A typical drug court offers its client/defendants the opportunity to contract with the court to seek treatment instead of receiving a jail sentence. Participants are referred to drug courts through the county's regular judicial system, the department of health or another government agency. Most drug courts accept only offenders who have committed nonviolent, drug-related crimes, such as theft or possession.²⁷ Some courts do not accept drug dealers. Participants are offered the choice of seeking detoxification and treatment or an extended jail sentence. Those who agree to seek treatment receive inpatient detoxification services, and in the case of pregnant women, medical treatment to prevent injury to the fetus during withdrawal. After detoxification, participants begin a one- to two-year process of outpatient treatment and aftercare, culminating with educational, job training or work programs.

During the entire course, participants report to a case manager on a regular basis, as well as to the drug court judge. Participants often are tested for drug use at least once a week. Some courts will drop people from the program for any drug use and sentence them to jail time for the crime they originally committed. Others show leniency for relapses. Most courts will jail those who lie about their drug use or other aspects of their progress.

At this time, 195 drug courts in 43 states and territories have been in operation for at least two years. Between 50 percent and 65 percent of those who choose to participate in treatment programs instead of going to prison satisfy the terms of completion and "graduate," varying with jurisdiction.²⁸ Although no long-term studies are yet possible,

1-19

many drug courts monitor the progress of their graduates, and initial results seem promising. One drug court in Pensacola, Florida has produced 41 graduates after only slightly more than one year of existence; 22 participants terminated the program unsuccessfully. Judge John T. Parnham of Pensacola's drug court notes that success can really be measured only in the long term, after determining whether graduates remain permanently free of drug use.

Examples of State Legislation on Maternal Substance Use

Child Abuse and Neglect Statutes

Sixteen states have legislation that address substance use and its relationship to child neglect and child abuse. Of these states, South Carolina's statute is perhaps the most prescriptive: it establishes a "presumption" of abuse or neglect in the presence of a positive toxicology, and affirms a belief that " ... the child cannot be protected from further harm without being removed from the custody of the mother." California's relevant statute, in contrast, states that " ... a positive toxicology screen at the time of the delivery of an infant is not in and of itself a sufficient basis for reporting child abuse or neglect," but will trigger an assessment of the needs of the mother and child. If, after this assessment, health officials deem the child to be at risk, they are asked to file a report " ... only to county welfare departments and not to law enforcement agencies."

Advisory Committees

Several states have legislatively created advisory panels or task forces on maternal substance use. Some, like the New Hampshire Perinatal Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use Task Force were asked only to study the problem and make recommendations to the legislature on future activities. Others, like Kentucky's now-defunct Substance Abuse and Pregnancy Work Group, were asked not only to recommend courses of action but to actively " ... identify, develop, and coordinate resources available and needed for infants exposed to alcohol and drugs during pregnancy." Other states with legislatively chartered commissions include Arkansas, California and Iowa.

Other Approaches

Other approaches to reducing maternal substance use include requiring warning signs wherever alcohol is sold (New York, Washington, D.C.); requiring that departments of health establish treatment policies specific to pregnant women (Louisiana, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania); and the establishment of alternative sentencing programs for prenatal substance users (California and Ohio).

1999 Legislation

Although most legislation on perinatal alcohol and drug use was enacted in the late 1980s, three states passed legislation in their 1999 legislative sessions. Maryland's H 62 creates a task force charged with creating a strategy to increase the funding and availability of substance abuse programs in the state. One duty of the task force will be to examine the availability of programs for women, pregnant women and women with children. Nevada's S 197 creates a fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS) advisory subcommittee of the Maternal and Child Health Advisory Board. The subcommittee is charged with identifying the most effective methods of preventing FAS and collecting information on the incidence of FAS in Nevada. Arkansas' H 163 establishes the Arkansas Prenatal and Early Childhood Nurse Home Visitation Program, one goal of which is to reduce drug use (including alcohol and nicotine use) by mothers, both during pregnancy and the first few years of their children's lives.

Recent Court Decisions

Decisions rendered recently in two court cases—one in which a state tried to prevent harm by taking custody of the fetus, another in which a state sought to punish a woman for the physical harm her baby could suffer as a result of her drug use—have refocused attention on the issue of treatment versus punishment in cases of perinatal substance use.

Angela M.W. vs. Kruzicki, and Wisconsin's Response

In 1995, Wisconsin's Waukesha County Department of Health and Human Services sought a protective custody order over the fetus of a woman known through her court case as Angela M.W. This detention, of course, necessitated the

1-20

detention of Angela herself. Angela's obstetrician referred her to the department after she had repeatedly tested positive for cocaine, but she declined her doctor's advice to seek inpatient treatment for her drug use. The juvenile court granted the custody order, and the Wisconsin Court of Appeals upheld the lower court's decision, finding that Chapter 48 of Wisconsin's statutory laws-known as the Children's Code-applied to the unborn. The Wisconsin Supreme Court overturned the Appeals Court's decision, however, holding that the intent of the Legislature in drafting the Children's Code was to exclude fetuses.

The 1998 Wisconsin Legislature responded by extensively redrafting the Children's Code to include explicitly " ... children at that state of fetal development when there is a reasonable likelihood of sustained survival outside the womb, with or without artificial support." All references in the code to "child abuse or neglect" were amended to read "child abuse or neglect or unborn child abuse," and detention of expectant mothers now is permissible under the same circumstances that children may be taken into protective custody.

The effects of these changes in the code, however, have not been dramatic. In only a small number of cases, agents of the courts have used the statute to impose custody over pregnant women. Wisconsin's Legislative Fiscal Bureau, in speculating on the administrative and litigation costs of the new measures, hypothesized that district attorneys would pursue approximately 250 cases of perinatal substance use each year. In practice, far fewer were filed in the first year, and none were appealed beyond the trial level.

Whitner vs. South Carolina

To date, only one state supreme court has upheld the prosecution of a mother who used drugs while pregnant. In 1992, Cornelia Whitner was tried for using cocaine during her third trimester of pregnancy. She was convicted under a child neglect statute, which states that anyone who has legal custody of a child but neglects to provide "proper care and attention" such that the caretaker compromises "the life, health or comfort" of the child is guilty of a misdemeanor. The state Supreme Court upheld the conviction, and the U.S. Supreme Court declined to hear the case. The result is that *Whitner* now enables South Carolina district attorneys to prosecute such cases. Also, prosecutors in other states may gain support for arguments that perinatal substance abuse is a criminal act worthy of jail time. Judges in other jurisdictions, however, may not agree to hear such cases, given that precedents from other jurisdictions are not binding.

Conclusion

Although less attention has been devoted to the problem of maternal chemical dependency in recent years, some pregnant women continue to use substances that may damage their own health and that of their newborns. Policymakers and legislators who are coping with this issue must grapple with many complex legal, ethical, emotional and moral issues.

This report documents a variety of approaches states have taken to fund treatment, protect children from abuse or neglect, and divert people convicted of drug related crimes to treatment. State district attorneys also have used several types of statutes to prosecute pregnant addicted women, and two state supreme courts have issued rulings that reflect sharply conflicting policies and practices. Few legislatures have revisited this issue in recent years, which may indicate that the current trend toward treatment, protecting children and spelling out penalties for drug-related criminal behavior are addressing this issue adequately. States that have had initiatives in place for a few years also may wish to revisit this issue to evaluate their programs' effectiveness and the status of women's drug use in their states.

Appendix A: Statutes Affecting Women Who Use Drugs or Alcohol During Pregnancy in the 50 States (as of July 31, 1999)

This overview of state legislation is not comprehensive, but is intended to provide an indication of state approaches. For a more thorough list of state legislation, see National Advocates for Pregnant Women, *1999 Overview of State Statutes Affecting Pregnant Women Who Use Drugs or Alcohol*. That document is available from:

The Women's Law Project
125 South 9th Street, Suite 300
Philadelphia, PA 19107

NAPW1@aol.com

Arizona

Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 13-620(B) (West 1998). Requires health professionals who have a reason to believe that a newborn has been affected by drugs or alcohol to report their findings to child protective services.

Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 36-697 (West Supp. 1998). Establishes the administration and structure of the Health Start pilot program, one goal of which is to reduce drug dependency among expectant and new parents.

Arkansas

Ark. Code Ann. § 20.85.101 (Michie 1997). Creates a cooperative program with the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences called the Family Treatment and Rehabilitation Program for Addicted Women and their Children. Charges the program with "develop[ing] a statewide program of treatment, rehabilitation, prevention, intervention, and relevant research" on maternal drug use as well as "resources for local treatment and rehabilitation."

California

Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 11757.50 et seq. (West 1991). Creates the Office of Perinatal Substance Abuse as well as an interagency task force on perinatal substance abuse.

Cal. Penal Code § 1174 (West 1999). Appropriated \$15 million dollars in 1994 to construct alternative sentencing facilities for pregnant and parenting women convicted of drug offenses.

Cal. Penal Code § 11165.13 (West 1992). Mandates that a positive toxicology screen at the time of a child's delivery triggers a needs assessment.

Colorado

Colo. Rev. Stat. § 25-1-203 (2) (g) (West Supp. 1998). Orders the Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse (DADA) within the Department of Human Services to provide services to pregnant women through demonstration and evaluation projects.

Colo. Rev. Stat. § 25-1-212 (West Supp. 1998). Creates special treatment programs for women who abuse drugs while pregnant.

Colo. Rev. Stat. § 25-1-213 (West Supp. 1998). Lists necessary components of treatment programs for women who abuse drugs while pregnant.

Colo. Rev. Stat. § 25-1-214 (West Supp. 1998). Permits DADA to cooperate with public and private entities in providing services under § 25-1-212.

Colo. Rev. Stat. § 25-1-215 (West Supp. 1998). Requires data collection and assessment of these programs.

Colo. Rev. Stat. § 26-4-508.2 (West Supp. 1998). Encourages (but does not explicitly require) health care practitioners to report suspected incidents of substance abuse by pregnant women.

Connecticut

Conn. Gen. Stat. § 17a-710, 711 (West 1998). Requires the Department of Mental Health to provide care to pregnant women who are addicted to drugs or alcohol.

Delaware

Del Code Ann. tit. 16, § 190, tit. 24, § 1770 (Michie 1995). Requires any professional who treats or counsels

1-22

pregnant women to warn them of the dangers of abusing alcohol, cocaine, marijuana or other narcotics while pregnant.

District of Columbia

D.C. Code Ann. § 25-147 (Michie 1996). Requires liquor stores to post notices about the dangers of consuming alcohol when pregnant.

Florida

Fla. Stat. Ann. § 39.01(30)(g) (West Supp. 1998). Includes perinatal substance use under the definition of "harm" to a child.

Fla. Stat. Ann. § 381.0045 (West Supp. 1999). Creates the Targeted Outreach for Pregnant Women Program, a two-year pilot program that involves outreach, education, and peer and cultural counseling and encourages HIV testing.

Georgia

Ga. Code. Ann. § 3-1-5 (Michie 1990). Requires warnings about fetal alcohol syndrome to be posted in liquor stores.

Ga. Code. Ann. § 26-5-20 (Michie Supp. 1998). Grants drug-dependent pregnant women priority admission to drug treatment programs.

Illinois

Ill. Comp. Stat. Ann. ch 325 para. 5-7.3b (West Supp 1999). Requires social workers, hospital workers and others to refer pregnant addicted women to authorities within the Department of Health.

Ill. Comp. Stat. Ann. ch. 325 para. 3-5 (West Supp. 1999). Defines neglect of a child to include prenatal substance abuse, as evidenced by a positive toxicology at birth in the bloodstream or meconium.

Ill. Comp. Stat. Ann. ch. 720 para. 570-407.2 (West Supp. 1999). Extends the prison sentence for selling drugs to twice the maximum in cases where the seller knew the woman purchasing drugs was pregnant.

Indiana

Ind. Code § 31-34-1-10 (West 1999). Defines "child in need of services" to include children born to a mother using drugs or alcohol.

Ind. Code § 31-34-1-12 (West 1999). Makes an exception for mothers who use "legend" drugs (drugs that are illegal unless prescribed by a physician) in good faith.

Ind. Code § 31-34-1-13 (West 1999). Makes an exception for mothers who use controlled or prescription drugs in good faith.

Iowa

Iowa Code § 232.68 (2)(f) (West 1998). Includes incidents where "an illegal drug is present in a child's body as a direct and foreseeable consequence of the acts or omissions of the person responsible for the care of the child" under the definition of "child abuse."

Iowa Code § 232.77 (2) (West 1998). Instructs attending physicians to conduct a "medically relevant test" on children they suspect have been exposed to drugs or alcohol and report their findings to the state.

Iowa Code § 235C.1 (West 1994). Creates the Council on Chemically Exposed Infants and Children (CCEIC).

Iowa Code § 235C.2 (West 1994). Specifies membership of CCEIC.

Iowa Code § 235C.3 (West 1994). Sets frequency of meetings of CCEIC at twice yearly.

1-23

Kansas

Kan. Stat. Ann. §§ 65-1,160 et seq. (1992). Requires the secretary of Health and Environment to publish informational materials on substance abuse during pregnancy.

Kentucky

Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 214.160 (Michie 1995). Authorizes drawing blood from pregnant women to test for the presence of alcohol, controlled substances or listed drugs.

Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 214.170 (Michie 1995). Requires results of blood test described in

§ 214.160 to be sent to the Cabinet on Human Resources within one week.

Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 214.175 (Michie 1995). Requires accurate data and record-keeping on such blood testing.

Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 222.021 (Michie 1995). Established the Substance Abuse and Pregnancy Work Group. Charged the group with tracking the prevalence of substance abuse among pregnant women in the state, developing and coordinating resources for addressing the problem, and reporting to the legislature biennially. Specified that the group was to dissolve July 15, 1998.

Louisiana

La. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 46-2505 (West Supp. 1999). Adopts explicitly a state policy of addressing perinatal substance abuse through prevention and treatment rather than punitive measures. Instructs the Department of Health and Hospitals to structure appropriate programs and combat the public perception that substance abuse during pregnancy is a problem only among minority populations.

Maryland

Md. Code Ann., Health-Gen. § 8-403.1 (Michie 1994). Requires state-operated drug abuse treatment centers to accept pregnant or postpartum women on a priority basis.

Md. Code Ann., Cts. & Jud. Proc. § 3-801 (Michie 1994). Creates the legal presumption that any child born addicted to cocaine, heroin or derivatives is not receiving "ordinary proper care and attention."

Massachusetts

Mass. Gen. L. ch. 119, § 51A (Law. Co-op. 1986). Requires that children found to be addicted at birth be reported as abused children.

Michigan

Mich. Comp. Laws § 722.623a (1998). Requires any person required to report the incidence of child abuse also to report the knowledge or reasonable suspicion of the presence of drugs or alcohol in a child's body except when ingestion occurred in the course of medical treatment.

Minnesota

Minn. Stat. § 253B.02 (2) (1996). Defines pregnant women who exhibit an "habitual or excessive" use of cocaine, heroin or amphetamines as "chemically dependent."

Minn. Stat. § 254B.01 (Supp. 1997). Requires treatment initiatives for pregnant women to include halfway houses, aftercare, psychiatric services and case management.

Minn. Stat. § 626.556 (2) (c) (1996). Defines prenatal substance abuse as neglect.

Missouri

Mo. Rev. Stat. §§ 191.725 et seq. (West 1996). Requires doctors to be educated about the dangers of perinatal

1-24

substance abuse and to counsel patients about perinatal substance abuse. Grants Pregnant women priority at drug treatment centers. Establishes a hotline on perinatal substance use. Mandates that other services addressing the issue shall be offered.

Nevada

Nev. Rev. Stat. § 200.220 (Michie 1997). Defines prenatal substance abuse as manslaughter only if the mother intended to abort the fetus through drug use and the fetus was viable at the time of drug use.

New Hampshire

N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 132.19 (Michie 1996). Establishes the Perinatal Substance Abuse Task Force. In 1997, legislation was passed to amend the statute such that it did not expire of its own terms that year.

New Jersey

N.J. Rev. Stat. § 33:1-12a (West 1994). Requires posting of warnings to pregnant women in places where alcohol is sold.

New Mexico

N.M. Stat. Ann. § 60-6A-30 (Michie 1998). Requires posting of warnings to pregnant women in places where alcohol is sold.

N.M. Stat. Ann. § 66-8-101.1 (Michie Repl. 1998) . Establishes additional penalties for driving under the influence if a pregnant woman is injured.

New York

N.Y. Alco. Bev. Cont. Law § 105-b (West Supp. 1999). Requires posting of warnings to pregnant women in places where alcohol is sold.

N.Y. Pub. Health. § 2522 1.d (West 1993). Requires the public health department to distribute information concerning alcohol, tobacco and drug use to both parents in prenatal assistance programs.

As noted under the comments to N.Y. FCA § 1012, New York has judicially defined prenatal substance abuse as child abuse. Heavy drug use is evidence of such abuse, and a newborn's positive toxicology is legal proof.

Ohio

Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 2925.11 Section (H) (Anderson 1996). Provides that prenatal substance abuse occurring before July, 1, 1996, is a crime, but alternative punishment involving treatment may be imposed. Applies only to incidents occurring before that date.

Oklahoma

Okla. Stat. tit. 10, § 7103(A)(2) (West 1998). Requires health professionals to report the birth of children born with a dependence on drugs or alcohol to the Department of Human Services.

Okla. Stat. tit. 43A, § 3-602(2) (West Supp. 1999). Requires women entering narcotic treatment programs to receive pregnancy tests.

Okla. Stat. tit. 43A § 3-417 (West Supp. 1999). Requires alcohol and other drug abuse treatment centers to have adequate services for pregnant women, including residential treatment centers.

Oregon

Or. Rev. Stat. §§ 430.900 et seq. (1997). Mandates that doctors conduct a risk assessment of women in their first trimester of pregnancy. Requires that demographic data only of these results be sent to the Department of Human Resources Advisory Committee, which will study the problem and create a statewide case management model

1-25

program.

Pennsylvania

71 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 553 (West Supp. 1999). Requires the Office of Drug and Alcohol Programs, in conjunction with the Department of Health, to create a residential program for pregnant women and mothers with dependent children.

South Carolina

S.C. Code Ann. § 20-7-736 (G) (Law Co-op. 1998). Establishes that children are presumed to be neglected, and unable to be protected from further harm without being removed from the custody of the mother if either mother or child is found to have any amount of alcohol or controlled substances in their bodies at the time of the child's birth.

South Dakota

S.D. Codified Laws Ann. § 26-8A-2(a) (LEXIS Supp. 1999). Defines prenatal substance abuse for alcohol and drugs as child abuse or neglect.

S.D. Codified Laws Ann. § 34-20A-63 (3) (LEXIS Supp. 1999). Authorizes civil commitment for pregnant women using alcohol or other drugs.

S.D. Codified Laws Ann. § 34-23B-1 (Michie 1994). Requires obstetricians and gynecologists to provide education to pregnant women about alcohol and other drug abuse. Instructs the Department of Health and Human Services to provide educational materials for these purposes.

S.D. Codified Laws Ann. § 34-23B-2 (Michie 1994). Creates an educational program for obstetricians and gynecologists.

S.D. Codified Laws Ann. § 34-23B-3 (Michie 1994). Requires "age-appropriate" information about alcohol and other drug use to be taught in grades one through 12.

S.D. Codified Laws Ann. § 34-23B-4 (Michie 1994). Creates a toll-free hotline for information about drugs, alcohol and pregnancy.

S.D. Codified Laws Ann. § 34-23B-5 (Michie 1994). Requires the Department of Health and Human Services to create educational materials for distribution to OB/GYNs.

Texas

Tex. Fam. Code Ann. § 261.001(7) (West Supp. 1999). Defines "born addicted to alcohol or a controlled substance" as substance abuse. The statute describing "child abuse or neglect," however, does not explicitly include children born addicted.

Utah

Utah Code Ann. § 62A-4a-404 (1998). Requires medical professionals to report all incidents of fetal alcohol syndrome or fetal drug dependency to the Division of Child and Family Services.

Virginia

Va. Code Ann. § 37.1-182.1 (Michie 1996). Requires the Board of Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Substance Abuse to develop timely and appropriate policies for perinatal substance abusers.

Va. Code Ann. § 16.1-241.3 (Michie 1998). Establishes that a positive screen for controlled substances forms the basis for a suspicion that a child is abused or neglected.

Va. Code Ann. § 63.1-248.3 (A1) (Michie 1998). Specifies that the presence in a newborn's blood or urine of a controlled substance not prescribed for the mother by a physician is a "reason to suspect that a child is abused or neglected." That definition also includes situations in which the child was born dependent on a controlled substance and has demonstrated withdrawal symptoms.

1-26

Washington

Wash. Rev. Code. § 70.83C.005 (West Supp, 1999). Outlines the intent of the Legislature to approach perinatal substance use through legislative rather than penal means.

Wash. Rev. Code. § 70.83C.020. Outlines prenatal substance abuse prevention strategies. Requires the secretary of the Department of Social Health Services to develop a strategy for three pilot programs, to locate pregnant women using drugs, educate them about the health risks to them and their fetuses, and refer them to treatment.

Wash. Rev. Code. § 74.09.790(6). Specifies that the Maternity Care Access Program must provide services for women addicted or at risk for addiction to alcohol or other drugs.

West Virginia

W. Va. Code § 60-6-25 (LEXIS Supp. 1999). Requires vendors of alcohol for consumption both on and off premises to post warnings about the dangers of using alcohol while pregnant.

Wisconsin

Wis. Stat. §§ 48.01 et seq. (West Supp. 1998). The state of Wisconsin has rewritten its entire Children's Code such that all its provisions concerning custody, child abuse, termination of parental rights, and all other provisions explicitly include unborn children and/or pregnant women where applicable. Both the code and revisions are extensive.

Wis. Stat. Ann. § 146.0255 (West 1998). Allows physicians to test any infant for exposure to controlled substances. Requires physicians to report all positive toxicology screens.

Appendix B: Sources and Further Reading

Abel, E., and B. Kintcheff. "Factors affecting the outcome of maternal alcohol exposure: I. Parity." *Neurobehavioral Toxicology and Teratology* 6, (1984).

Azuma, Scott D., and Ira J. Chasnoff. "Outcome of Children Prenatally Exposed to Alcohol and Other Drugs: A Path Analysis of Three-Year Data." *Pediatrics* 92 (1993): 396-402.

Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. *Pregnant, Substance-Using Women: Treatment Improvement Protocol Series 2*. Rockville, MD: DHHS, 1993.

Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. *Improving Treatment for Drug-Exposed Infants: Treatment Improvement Protocol Series 5*. Rockville, MD: DHHS, 1993.

Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. *Practical Approaches in the Treatment of Women who Abuse Alcohol and Other Drugs*. Rockville, MD: Department of Health and Human Services, 1994.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *National Vital Statistics Reports: Smoking During Pregnancy, 1990-1996* 47, no. 10. Atlanta, Georgia: CDC, Nov. 18, 1998.

Frank, Deborah, and Barry Zuckerman. "Children Exposed to Cocaine Prenatally: Pieces of the Puzzle." *Neurotoxicology and Teratology* 15 (1993): 298-300.

Gehshan, Shelly. *A Step toward Recovery: Improving Access to Substance Abuse Treatment for Pregnant & Parenting Women*. Southern Regional Project on Infant Mortality, An Initiative of the Southern Governors' Association, 1993.

Gomby, D., and P. Shiono. "Estimating the number of substance-exposed infants: The future of children." 1991.

Gomez, Laura E. *Misconceiving Mothers: Legislators, Prosecutors, and the Politics of Prenatal Drug Exposure*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1997.

Hunt, Christine. "Criminalizing Prenatal Drug Abuse: A Preventive Means of Ensuring the Birth of a Drug-Free Child." 127

Idaho Law Review 33 (1997): 451-480.

Kendell, Nicole, and Tracy Delaney. Issue Brief: Substance Abuse Treatment: A Look at Specific Populations. Washington, D.C.: National Conference of State Legislatures, October 1999.

Klein, Dorie, and Elaine Zahnd. "Perspectives of Substance-Using Women: Findings from the California Perinatal Needs Assessment." *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs* 29, no. 1 (January-March 1997).

Legal Action Center. Steps to Success: Helping Women with Alcohol and Drug Problems Move from Welfare to Work. Washington, D.C.: Legal Action Center, May 1999.

Leshner, Alan I., Ph.D., Director of the National Institute on Drug Abuse. The Drug Addiction Treatment Act of 1999, statement before the House Subcommittee on Health and Environment, July 30, 1999.

Murphy, Sheigla, and Marsha Rosenbaum. *Pregnant Women on Drugs: Combating Stereotypes and Stigma*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 1999.

National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. Alcohol Alert No. 30: Diagnostic Criteria for Alcohol Abuse and Dependence (PH359). NIAAA: October 1995.

National Institutes of Health. National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Pregnancy & Health Survey: Drug Abuse Among Women Delivering Livebirths. Rockville, MD: NIH, 1992.

National Women's Resource Center. A Reason for Hope: Substance abuse treatment during pregnancy has long-term benefits. Alexandria, VA: NWRC, September 1997.

Reinarman, Craig, and Harry G. Levine, eds. *Crack in America: Demon Drugs and Social Justice*. Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1997.

Schwartz, John R., and Linda Pedley Schwartz. "The Drug Court: A New Strategy for Drug Use Prevention." *Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics of North America* 25, no. 1 (March 1998): 255-268.

Streissguth, A. and C. Giunta. "Mental health and health needs of infants and preschool children with fetal alcohol syndrome." *International Journal of Family Psychiatry*, (1988).

Young, Nancy K., Sidney L. Gardner, and Kimberly Dennis. *Responding to Alcohol and Other Problems in Child Welfare: Weaving Together Practice and Policy*. Washington, D.C.: CWLA Press (1998).

Notes

1. M.T. French and R.F. Martin, "The costs of drug abuse consequences: A summary of research findings," *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 13, no. 6 (1996).
2. Deanna Gomby and Patricia Shiono, "Estimating the number of substance-exposed infants," *The Future of Children*. (Spring 1991): 17. The National Institute on Drug Abuse puts the figure much lower, at about 757,000, or 18.8 percent. National Institutes of Health, *National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Pregnancy & Health Survey: Drug Abuse Among Women Delivering Livebirths*. Rockville, Md.: NIH, 1992.
3. Ernest Abel and Barbara Dintcheff, "Factors Affecting the Outcome of Maternal Alcohol Exposure: I. Parity," *Neurobehavioral Toxicology and Teratology* 6, no. 5 (1984): 373-377. A. Streissguth and C. Giunta, "Mental health and health needs of infants and preschool children with fetal alcohol syndrome," *International Journal of Family Psychiatry*, (1988): 29-47.
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *National Vital Statistics Reports: Smoking During Pregnancy, 1990-1996* 47, no. 10 (Atlanta, Ga.: CDC, Nov. 18, 1998).
5. National Institute on Drug Abuse, *supra* note 1.
6. Gomby and Shiono, *supra* note 2. Note that many high estimates of maternal drug use have been criticized for not using typical samples or for being misinterpreted in the media or by other researchers. See, e.g., the discussion of the National Association of Perinatal Addictions Research and Education's 1989 study in Laura E.

1-28

- Gomez, *Misconceiving Mothers: Legislators, Prosecutors, and the Politics of Prenatal Drug Exposure* (Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1997), 22.
7. Center for Substance Abuse Treatment, *Improving Treatment for Drug-Exposed Infants: Treatment Improvement Protocol Series 5* (Rockville, Md.: DHHS, 1993), 3. See also Deborah Frank and Barry Zuckerman, "Children Exposed to Cocaine Prenatally: Pieces of the Puzzle." *Neurotoxicology and Teratology* 15 (1993): 298-300.
 8. Alan I. Leshner, Ph.D., director of the National Institute on Drug Abuse, *The Drug Addiction Treatment Act of 1999*, statement before the House Subcommittee on Health and Environment, July 30, 1999; see also National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, *Alcohol Alert No. 30: Diagnostic Criteria for Alcohol Abuse and Dependence*, PH359 (NIAAA: October 1995).
 9. Scott D. Azuma and Ira J. Chasnoff, "Outcome of Children Prenatally Exposed to Alcohol and Other Drugs: A Path Analysis of Three-Year Data," *Pediatrics* 92 (1993): 396-402.
 10. Sheigla Murphy and Marsha Rosenbaum, *Pregnant Women on Drugs: Combating Stereotypes and Stigma* (New Brunswick, N.J.: Rutgers University Press, 1999). See also Shelly Gehshan, *A Step toward Recovery: Improving Access to Substance Abuse Treatment for Pregnant and Parenting Women*. (Washington, D.C.: Southern Governors' Association, 1993). See also Dorie Klein and Elaine Zahnd, "Perspectives of Substance-Using Women: Findings from the California Perinatal Needs Assessment," *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs* 29, no. 1 (January-March 1997).
 11. Gomez, *Misconceiving Mothers: Legislators*.
 12. Christine Hunt, "Criminalizing Prenatal Drug Abuse: A Preventive Means of Ensuring the Birth of a Drug-Free Child," *Idaho Law Review* 33 (1997): 454 (fn. 10).
 13. Ibid. at 454 (fn 10).
 14. Arizona, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Oklahoma, Nevada, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Virginia and Wisconsin. For statutory references, see appendix.
 15. Gehshan, *A Step toward Recovery*.
 16. See, e.g., National Women's Resource Center, *A Reason for Hope: Substance abuse treatment during pregnancy has long-term benefits* (Alexandria, Va.: NWRC, September 1997), 3.
 17. National Association of State Alcohol and Drug Abuse Directors, *State Resources and Services Related to Alcohol and Other Drug Problems: An Analysis of State Alcohol and Drug Abuse Profile Data for Fiscal Year 1996 and Fiscal Year 1997* (Washington, D.C.: NASADAD, 1998), 105.
 18. Ibid., 103.
 19. 45 C.F.R. §96.124.
 20. NASADAD, *State Resources and Services Related to Alcohol and Other Drug Problems*.
 21. Murphy and Rosenbaum, *Pregnant Women on Drugs*.
 22. Ibid. at 21 (34 percent of pregnant, drug-using interviewees had been raised by single mothers, but only 3 percent had been raised by single fathers).
 23. See, e.g., Nancy K. Young, Sidney L. Gardner, and Kimberly Dennis, *Responding to Alcohol and Other Problems in Child Welfare: Weaving Together Practice and Policy* (Washington, D.C.: CWLA Press, 1998), 90-103.
 24. Ibid. at 89.
 25. Ibid. at 90 (citing Center for Substance Abuse Treatment, *Producing Results* (Rockville, Md.: CSAT, 1995).
 26. Center for Substance Abuse Treatment, *Practical Approaches in the Treatment of Women who Abuse Alcohol and Other Drugs* (Rockville, Md.: Department of Health and Human Services, 1994).
 27. No state makes drug use during pregnancy alone a crime. Such a statute would be a "status crime," i.e., would punish a woman for her identity as a pregnant women and a drug user, rather than for a specific act, such as purchasing or possessing drugs. Pregnant women would be referred to a drug court for a crime related to their addiction, such as drug possession, theft, or prostitution.
 28. John R. Schwartz and Linda Pedley Schwartz, "The Drug Court: A New Strategy for Drug Use Prevention," *Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics of North America* 25, no.1 (March 1998): 255.

1-29

William T. Pound, Executive Director

1560 Broadway, Suite 700

Denver, CO 80202

444 North Capitol Street, N.W., Suite 515

Washington, D.C. 20001

The National Conference of State Legislatures serves the legislators and staffs of the nation's 50 states, its commonwealths, and territories. NCSL is a bipartisan organization with three objectives:

- To improve the quality and effectiveness of state legislatures,
- To foster interstate communication and cooperation,
- To ensure states a strong cohesive voice in the federal system.

The Conference operates from offices in Denver, Colorado, and Washington, D.C.

©January 2000 by the National Conference of State Legislatures. All rights reserved.

ISBN 1-58024-075-5

© 2009 National Conference of State Legislatures, All Rights Reserved

Denver Office: Tel: 303-364-7700 | Fax: 303-364-7800 | 7700 East First Place | Denver, CO 80230 | [Map](#)

Washington Office: Tel: 202-624-5400 | Fax: 202-737-1069 | 444 North Capitol Street, N.W., Suite 515 | Washington, D.C. 20001

1-30

House Committee on Corrections and Juvenile Justice
March 11, 2009
Kansas Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers
Opponent of House Bill 2333

HB 2602 would create the crime of “use of a controlled substance endangering a child,” an unclassified misdemeanor requiring drug treatment. While **KACDL encourages drug treatment for pregnant women and new mothers**, KACDL opposes HB 2333 because:

It casts too wide a net: Any person who “knowingly permit(s)” a child (any individual under the age of 18) to introduce a controlled substance into his/her body can be prosecuted. What all will “knowingly” include? What all will “permit” mean? There are scenarios in which teachers, coaches, parents and other adults could be facing criminal prosecution.

Prescription drug users or parents of prescription drug users will suffer: While HB 2333 excludes prosecution for controlled substances legally used, the reality is people who take prescription drugs will suffer. The bill gives no guidance as to when or how a person will be tested. That in and of itself is ripe for abuse. Furthermore, some prescription drugs yield a positive result on a drug screen. For example, millions of people - many under 18 - take medicine for ADD/ADHD, which can yield a positive result for illegal stimulants. It does not matter if those people are never charged or convicted – many negative consequences occur at arrest: names appear in the paper, bond costs are incurred and people lose jobs and housing.

A criminal conviction creates barriers for mothers and makes it harder for families to survive: What sorts of jobs can a woman (or any parent or other adult) get with a conviction for this offense? She will have a criminal record for an offense involving both drugs and endangering children. She can’t be a nurse (K.S.A. 65-1120(a)(2) “unless applicant or licensee establishes sufficient rehabilitation to warrant the public trust”). She can’t have or work in a day care (K.S.A. 65-516(a)(1)(C) - endangering a child is currently K.S.A. 21-3608). Will this type of conviction make her ineligible for certain kinds of public assistance or financial aid?

It decreases women’s willingness to get prenatal care and treatment: If a pregnant woman knows she is guilty of a crime if she tests positive, she might choose to avoid prenatal care, going to the hospital with pregnancy problems or seeking treatment in the first place.

There are less criminalizing and more successful ways to address drug use by pregnant women. Currently pregnant women/new mothers can face child-in-need-of-care proceedings for having babies who test positive for drugs. They can have their children taken from them. Furthermore, we encourage funding of programs that provide treatment to pregnant women in a positive and hopeful way. Those programs exist and have a proven track record. It is good public policy to encourage pregnant women to seek treatment and the same should be funded, but not if it is tied to a criminal conviction.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Roth
Legislative Committee Chairperson, KACDL
rothjennifer@yahoo.com
(785) 550-5365

Corrections and Juvenile Justice
Date: 3-12-09
Attachment # 2

	Cost per person	Success rate
SB 67 (2001)	\$3,500.00 ⁱ	72% successful discharge ⁱⁱ
SB 123 (2003)	\$3,834.00 ⁱⁱⁱ	86.8% are not sent to prison ^{iv}
HIP program in Wichita <i>Health in Pregnancy</i>	Not certain how to do the math ^v	99% (81 out of 82 babies had negative drug tests at birth) ^{vi}
Prison (for drug offenders)	\$25,000.00/year ^{vii}	Unknown at this time
Jail (for drug offenders)	\$18,250.00/year ^{viii}	Unknown at this time

ⁱ Testimony of Dalyn Schmitt, Executive Director/CEO of Heartland Regional Alcohol and Drug Assessment Center, to the Senate Judiciary Committee on February 24, 2009 regarding SB 278. SB 67 (2001) provided for intensive treatment for 4th time DUIs. In SB 278, the Legislature is considering amending the law to require this treatment for 3rd time DUIs because “providing this level of supervision and service at an earlier conviction might be beneficial. This could avoid actual fourth, fifth and subsequent DUI convictions with interventions and treatment being required and implemented sooner.” (See report by the Substance Abuse Policy Board, January 2009, pg. 9: <http://www.governor.ks.gov/grants/policies/docs/SAPBreport.pdf>)

ⁱⁱ Testimony of Harold Casey, Substance Abuse Center of Kansas, Inc. (and member of the Substance Abuse Policy Board) to the Senate Judiciary Committee on February 24, 2009 regarding SB 278. Ms. Dalyn Schmitt also mentioned this success rate.

ⁱⁱⁱ Cost per offender in FY 2008. Kansas Sentencing Commission 2009 Report to the Legislature, pg. 15. In addition, the average cost of SB 123 inpatient treatment in FY 2008 was \$4,566 (when dividing total expenditures by the 937 offenders helped). <http://www.accesskansas.org/ksc/documents>.

^{iv} Average percentage of post-SB 123 drug possession offenders that are not revoked to prison. (In other words, from FY 2006 to FY 2008, an average of 13.2% of drug possession offenders were revoked from probation and sent to prison. The pre-SB 123 average was 25.3%.) *Id.*, pg. 13.

^v On February 13, 2008, Harold Casey, CEO of the Substance Abuse Center of Kansas, Inc. testified on HB 2602 in the House Federal and State Affairs Committee. He testified that the cost of the Health in Pregnancy Program is about \$200,000/year. At that time, the program had 45 participants. As of November 2008, the program had seen 82 babies born.

^{vi} Statistics on Health in Pregnancy Program (Sedgwick County) dated November 14, 2008. (see attached)

^{vii} See 2003 SB 123 Update Conference on December 4, 2008 (pg. 30) <http://www.accesskansas.org/ksc/sb123/SB123TopekaUpdateConf120408.pdf>

Corrections and Juvenile Justice

Date: 3-12-09

Attachment # 3-1

prepared by Jennifer Roth, KACOL

^{viii} It was difficult to find an estimate. This estimate comes from a Wichita Eagle article on 3/2/09, stating that other counties have to pay over \$50 per day to house inmates in the Sedgwick County Jail. (365 x \$50 = \$18,250)
<http://www.kansas.com/news/story/717848.html>



Substance Abuse Center of Kansas, Inc.

Specializing in the prevention and treatment of individuals and families affected by substance abuse.

731 N. Water, Suite 2 • Wichita, KS 67203 • Tel (316) 267-3825 • (316) 267-3843

November 14, 2008

Health in Pregnancy Program (Sedgwick County)

- In a 24 month period we have had 170 referrals to the Health in Pregnancy program. Up to date 186.
- 97 women have signed case plans in a 24 month period. In the first 12 months HIP maintained full caseloads for 30 participants. In the past 12 months HIP has had the capacity to serve 45 HIP participants maintaining full case loads.
- 25 out of the 170 referrals did not meet criteria due to being out of county or past 34 weeks gestation.
- 81 babies have all been born substance free in the past 24 months. 1 baby born positive out of 82.
- In the past 24 months 5 babies were removed and placed into state custody due to abuse/neglect cases being filed. Of these five babies only 1 baby has resulted in adoption and the other 4 reintegrated back with mothers.
- There have been 13 HIP participants with existing CINC cases prior to HIP involvement. After HIP involvement all 13 babies have been reintegrated back into their mother's custody.
- HIP has no waiting list for pregnant women due to the fact we have our SRCC case managers who work with any pregnant women who either are not eligible for HIP services or capacity of caseloads are full at the time of referral to the HIP program.
- All pregnant consumers are offered services at SACK.
- Eligible consumers are never denied services, or discharged from services unless they complete the program.

3-3

T. Reneé Smith
3315 Hinman Box
Dartmouth College
Hanover, NH 03755
Renee.Smith@Dartmouth.edu

March 10, 2009

To Whom It May Concern:

A few years ago, my parents decided to join a foster agency to accommodate children legally removed from their familial situation into our home. In the process, we were fortunate to receive two beautiful baby boys, Jaxon and Bo, taken from their mother who was abusing methamphetamine, marijuana, and potentially other legal and illegal substances during her pregnancy and at the time of birth. After a lengthy stay in our home and several unsuccessful attempts at reintegration with the parents, the boys became a significant part of our family. Currently, my parents are working to adopt Jaxon and Bo to make the children official members of our family given that my brothers and I already consider the boys our brothers and my parents view Jaxon and Bo as their sons. Because of my personal experience with the boys and my knowledge of the legal, social, and prenatal effects of illegal substances, I support the passing of Bill 2602 for several reasons from both a legal and personal perspective.

From the debates on the legislation, I understand that some pro-choice advocates argue that Bill 2602 infringes upon the rights of the mother. From a legal perspective, I argue that passing Bill 2602 enhances the rights of the mother, particularly in choosing to whether abort or not. A District Attorney for the state of Kansas informed me that the court cannot accept a termination of parental rights, for example, or other legal decisions made by an individual when under the influence of alcohol or illicit drugs. I would presume that these standards hold true for medical professionals' legal requirements for an abortion. By forcing the mother into mandatory rehab, the mother would gain the opportunity to make a sound medical decision to abort the fetus without being inhibited by substance use.

Furthermore, research on prenatal substance abuse, shows that spontaneous abortions do not occur significantly more often for maternal users than mothers not using any substances during pregnancy. However, drug-exposed infants are born with significantly more problems, such as low birth weight, preterm, and cognitive deficits. Mothers choosing to abuse substances to abort her pregnancy spontaneously, thus, will most likely not succeed and will bear an infant with permanent and often severe developmental problems. Forcing mothers into rehab gives the mother an opportunity to successfully abort the child without causing the mother or fetus potential problems at birth. The mothers would also be in a better position during and after rehab to keep the infant, if carried to full-term, or choose to put the child up for adoption.

Under the trimester framework established in Roe v. Wade (and later in Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey and Gonzalez v. Carhart), the Supreme Court held that the state may intervene, without imposing an undue burden on the mother, in the method and various procedures and limitations for having an abortion. The Court fails to recognize the fetus as a

Corrections and Juvenile Justice
Date: 3-12-09
Attachment # 4-1

person and as such inheriting certain fundamental rights enumerated in the Constitution, but the Justices assert that once a child reaches viability only can the mother obtain an abortion if carrying the child to term presents an imminent danger to the mother's health. These decisions set a precedent that, in the case of pregnancy, states may intervene under certain conditions to protect the life of the fetus and ensure that the mother makes an informed, well thought out, and uninhibited decision to abort her child. By passing Bill 2602, the state of Kansas would not impose an undue burden upon the rights of the pregnant mother and would be exercising the ability to protect both the mother and the fetus from a rash decision made while under the influence of substances.

Legal jargon aside, I believe that Jaxon and Bo would have benefited had their mother been placed in mandatory treatment. These boys, my brothers, have suffered several medical complications given that are likely due to their prenatal drug exposure. The most prevalent of the boys' medical problems include rapid airway in both and an eye cataract in Jaxon (which nearly caused him loss of vision in his effected eye). Watching my brothers suffer and know that their complications most likely stem from prenatal drug exposure (and postnatal environmental drug exposure for Jaxon) is heartbreaking. Even though the Court does not recognize the fetus as a person, the protection of the fetus and the right the mother gains, as well as the possibility to deter the adverse effects of prenatal drug exposure, in my opinion, far outweigh any arguments for striking down Bill 2602.

Mandatory rehab only ensures that the mother makes sound decisions regarding her pregnancy and that the state of Kansas promotes the health and well-being of fetuses and most importantly infants. I constantly hear that "children are the future", thus, protecting fetuses from drug exposure will decrease the amount of children born with cognitive and developmental deficits that could have been prevented had the mother ceased abusing substances, such as alcohol, tobacco, and illicit drugs. I ask that you consider the future of Kansas and give children a chance to be born without the negative side effects of drugs and alcohol. Infants, like Jaxon and Bo, deserve a chance at a healthy life and Kansas can give children at risk of prenatal drug exposure that chance by forcing substance abusing mothers into mandatory rehab and passing Bill 2602.

My beautiful baby brothers were fortunate given the amount and severity of adverse side effects associated with prenatal drug exposure to only be born with rapid airway and an eye cataract. These boys deserve better and the state of Kansas has failed them by failing to protect their health and well-being. Unfortunately, I am finishing my finals this week at Dartmouth College and cannot speak on my brothers' behalf, but I implore you to consider passing Bill 2602 and improving the lives of children and ultimately the future of Kansas.

Sincerely,

T. Reneé Smith

5



KANSAS
PAROLE BOARD

Kathleen Sebelius, Governor

Paul Feleciano, Chairperson
Robert Sanders, Member
Patricia Biggs, Member

August 5, 2008

Lansing Correctional Facility
P.O. Box 2
Lansing, Kansas 66043

Dear

I am writing in response to your letter received on July 29, 2008.

Your request to reconsider is denied because you have not presented any new information to warrant reconsideration by the Board regarding the decision to "pass to August 2010. **Recs: remain disciplinary report free; enter and successfully complete a cognitive skills program and send report to KPBB thirty (30) days prior regarding Reno County convictions**".

Furthermore, the 2008 amendments to K.S.A. 22-3717(h) do not constitute "new information that was unavailable at the prior hearing." Additionally, Senate Bill 411 contains no provision for the retroactive application of these amendments.

Please contact your unit team counselor if you have any further questions. Thank you.

Sincerely,



Libby Scott, Administrator
Kansas Parole Board

Cc: file

STATE OF KANSAS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MICHAEL R. (MIKE) O'NEAL

104TH DISTRICT
HUTCHINSON/NORTHEAST RENO COUNTY

LEGISLATIVE HOTLINE
1-800-432-3924



CHAIRMAN:
JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

VICE CHAIRMAN:
EDUCATION BUDGET COMMITTEE

MEMBER:
RULES AND JOURNAL COMMITTEE
UNIFORM LAW COMMISSION
KANSAS JUDICIAL COUNCIL
KANSAS JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE
COMMISSION

September 2, 2008

Libby Scott
Administrator
Kansas Parole Board
900 SW Jackson, 4th Floor
Topeka, KS 66612

RE: Parole Board Interpretation of 2008 SB 411

Dear Ms. Scott:

In my capacity as Chairman of the House Judiciary Committee and author of portions of SB 411 dealing with criteria the Parole Board is to consider at a parole hearing, it had been brought to my attention that a number of inmates have been notified that their request for reconsideration of parole board action has been denied on the basis of your claim that the provisions of SB 411 do not contain language providing for retroactivity of the amendments.

To say that I am dismayed by the Parole Board's position would be a gross understatement. I am aware of the Parole Board's stated opposition during the session to provisions in the bill requiring you to consider proportionality of the time the inmate has served to the sentence a person would receive under the Kansas Sentencing Guidelines for the conduct that resulted in the inmates incarceration. Those objections were taken under consideration and ultimately rejected in favor of the language that passed and was signed into law by the Governor.

The Parole Board's decision to refuse to consider this new language citing lack of retroactivity is, in my opinion, a deliberate effort to circumvent legislative intent. I would request that you provide me your legal authority for not applying the new language. The argument that the provisions lack a retroactivity provision are without merit. Specifically, the language above quoted does not require a specific retroactivity provision for it to be fully effective as of July 1, 2008. The reason for that is that the amendments are procedural and not substantive. The legislature merely added to the criteria that the Parole Board was to consider at each parole hearing subsequent to the effective date of the act. Because the amendment does not define a crime or set the length or type of sentence, it is not substantive. It is merely procedural and

TOPEKA ADDRESS

STATE CAPITOL BLDG., SUITE 121-W
TOPEKA, KS 66612
785-296-2679

website: reoneal.com

HUTCHINSON ADDRESS

BOX 2977
HUTCHINSON, KS 67504
620-662-0537

FAX: 620-669-9426


512

Libby Scott
September 2, 2008
Page 2 of 2

In my opinion, the Parole Board's refusal to apply the new language may give rise to an inmate action against the Board in *quo warranto*. It is further my opinion that such an action would be meritorious.

One of the stated concerns of the Parole Board at the time this language was considered was the potential for increased litigation. If the Parole Board refuses to carry out legislative intent, then certainly the Parole Board's concerns will be a self-fulfilling prophecy. The relevant provisions of SB 411 are a reasonable alternative to full retroactivity of the 1993 sentencing guidelines. I ask that you reconsider your position immediately and where appropriate, grant reconsideration of any paroles considered by the Parole Board subsequent to July 1, 2008 where the Board took the position that the provisions of SB 411 could not be considered.

Sincerely,



Michael R. (Mike) O'Neal

MRO:mjh

cc: Paul Feleciano
Robert Sanders
Patricia Biggs
Shannon Tullis-Meyer
Honorable John Grange

5-3




Kathleen Sebelius, Governor

Paul Feleciano Jr., Chairperson
Robert Sanders, Member
Patricia Biggs, Member

MEMORANDUM

To: Members of House Corrections & Juvenile Justice Committee:
Representative Pat Colloton, Chair; Representative Joe Patton, Vice-chair

From: Kansas Parole Board, Paul Feleciano Jr., Chair 

Date: March 11, 2009

Re: 2009 HB 2340: AN ACT concerning parole; abolishing the Kansas parole board

HB 2340 proposes to eliminate the Kansas Parole Board as an agency and proposes, in the alternative, that the Kansas Department of Corrections shall perform all such duties and functions presently carried out by the Parole Board from within its existing staff. The parole board opposes the provisions of this bill not from a self-serving perspective but from a well-balanced criminal justice systems perspective with emphasis on differing missions and roles for differing participants in the system.

Presented below are several areas of emphasis supporting our position. Following this (Section IV) is an outline of a response to the discourse of Inmate Nathaniel Ellibee which the Committee has reviewed. Attached to this testimony as appendices are the following documents:

- Appendix A – Kansas Sentencing Commission SFY 2009 Prison Population Projection of “Projected Violent Inmate Prison Population”
- Appendix B – Kansas Parole Board Proportionality Guide
- Appendix C – Kansas Sentencing Commission Kansas Sentencing Guidelines Desk Reference Manual 2008 Sentencing Ranges (Drug and Non-Drug grids)
- Appendix D – Kansas Department of Corrections KASPER sheets for the following offenders referenced by Inmate Ellibee:
 - Norman Krnac #0050350
 - Richard E. Stone #0055063
 - Mario S. Fisher #0056797
 - Christopher P. Opyr #0057590
 - Johnny E. Griffith #0042145
 - Frederick N. Dale #0046807

I. DIFFERING MISSIONS

Department of Corrections:

The Department of Corrections, as part of the criminal justice system, contributes to the public safety and supports victims of crime by exercising safe and effective containment and supervision of inmates, by managing offenders in the community, and by actively encouraging and assisting offenders to become law-abiding citizens. (emphasis added)

Corrections and Juvenile Justice

Date: 3-12-09

Attachment # 6-1

Parole Board:

Parole privilege shall be extended to those offenders who demonstrate suitability by having served incarceration time set forth by the courts and who have demonstrated a reduction in risk to re-offend such that revictimization is minimized and rehabilitation and successful reentry are maximized; similarly, parole privilege shall be rescinded in cases where an offender demonstrates increasing risk to the community. (emphasis added)

II. ADVANTAGES OF SEPARATE, DISTINCT, INDEPENDENT AGENCY

1. The establishment of a Prisoner Review Board under the authority of the Secretary of Corrections would remove a neutral third-party (Kansas Parole Board) from the process and create a conflict of interest for the Department of Corrections specifically in regards to final revocation hearings.
 - **Parole and Post-release violation and revocation** decisions become challenging in terms of due process requirements related to the withdrawal of community liberty interests when the party who is alleging the supposed violation behavior belongs to the same organization as the party who is hearing the likelihood of such allegations.
 - **Parole Suitability Determination:** Areas of potential conflict: Of the 10 statutory factors for consideration of parole suitability, 4 (40%) are directly influenced by KDOC staff:
 1. input from staff at the facility where the offender is housed,
 2. risk and needs assessment results (the LSI-R risk/needs instrument is administered by Department of Corrections staff),
 3. programmatic participation – which may be facilitated or not facilitated by Corrections staff,
 4. Disciplinary Reports – which are written by and processed by Department of Corrections staff
2. The conflict of interest as well as the appearance of impropriety and lack of due process would likely increase the amount of litigation against the Department of Corrections in regards to decisions issued by the Prisoner Review Board.
3. The Kansas Parole Board is provided with some judicial immunity; Department of Corrections staff does not have this immunity.
4. The establishment of a Prisoner Review Board under the authority of the Secretary of Corrections gives the Department of Corrections the power, or perceived power, to increase and decrease the state's prison population at will.
5. Currently, Parole Board members are appointed by the Governor and confirmed by the Kansas Senate. Provisions of HB2340 would eliminate a legislative voice and governmental balance in the selection and confirmation of the body that makes prison release and revocation decisions.

III CASE COMPLEXITIES

The Cases for which the Parole Board makes decisions are far more serious and complex than generally perceived. The Case Description presented below illustrates the distribution of case decisions made by the Board in FY 2008.

To assess the types of cases brought before the Kansas Parole Board, the Department of Corrections "offense grouping" was used. This method captures only the single most-serious-offense for the current incarceration and categorizes that one crime for each offender. Additionally, in our analysis we excluded revocation decisions and revocation waivers when those offenders were sentenced under the determinate sentencing structure.


In FY 2008, the Parole Board made 810 decisions for old-law and off-grid sentenced offenders. Of these decisions, 181 were decisions regarding liberty withdrawal for parole violators (old-law and off-grid only represented here) and 629 were considerations of parole suitability (i.e., "release decisions").

NOTE: The Board also rendered decisions in 487 determinate-sentenced (on-grid) revocation cases. These on-grid cases are not considered in the following analysis.

Of the 810 decisions made by the Board in FY 2008, the category of most serious offense is as follows:

- Person-Sex Crimes 28% approx 1 of 4 decisions
 - Of the Sex Crime cases,*
 - 48.5% were Rapes
 - 37.8% were Aggravated Indecent Liberties, Indecent Liberties and Aggravated Incest
 - 10.2% were Aggravated Sodomy
- Person Crimes excluding sex crimes 63% approx 3 of 5 decisions
 - Of the Person Crimes – exclusive of sex crimes:*
 - 64.3% were homicides
 - 16.3% were Aggravated Robbery and Aggravated Burglary
 - 14.1% were Aggravated Kidnapping and Kidnapping
- Drug Crimes 7% ... fewer than 1 of 10 decisions
- Property Crimes..... 2% only 1 of 50 decisions

The majority of the offenders seen in FY 2008 had multiple active offenses.



(*) excludes Determinate Revocation decisions and waivers
n=810 decisions (629 parole suitability decisions plus 181 indeterminate parole revocation decisions)

Additional Facts:

- **Since implementation of the new factors for consideration of parole suitability, the parole grant rate has risen from 35.6% in FY 2008 to 40.1% in FY2009 to date.** (As an aside, as resources available to KDOC for effective risk-reduction both in the prison facilities and in the community diminish, we anticipate the parole rate to drop.)
- **Appendix A** shows the most recent estimates by the Kansas Sentencing Commission of the expected growth of the violent inmate prison population through 2018.
- One of the founding assumptions within the philosophy of the Kansas Sentencing Guidelines is that **incarceration should be reserved for the most serious and violent offenders.**
- **Appendix B** presents the Parole Board's working document of "proportionality guide" which presents the offense severity level of the single most serious offense.
- The severity level for that most serious offense is used in conjunction with the offender's Criminal History to achieve placement on the drug or nondrug grid which are attached in **Appendix C.**

6-4

IV RESPONSES AND CLARIFICATIONS TO INMATE ELLIBEE'S DISCOURSE

A. Comparison Groups Factually Unequal

Ellibee statement:

"No matter how atrocious their behavior may be while incarcerated, and even if they refuse to participate in rehabilitative programs, "new law" offenders are entitled to a mandatory release from prison." (p. 1, column 1)

Response:

Both old law and new law sentenced offenders have mandatory release dates.

Old law sentences have Conditional Release Dates and Sentence Maximum Dates. Both these dates provide for mandatory release.

For example, a 5 – 20 year sentence provides for a Conditional Release date of ½ the maximum or at 10 years; the sentence maximum date is at 20 years – should an offender be incarcerated at either of these dates, s/he is required to be released.

Both old law and new law have sentences with the potential top-end of "life":

For example under the old law, an offender may have been sentenced to a term of 20 years to life; under the sentencing guidelines, an offender may be sentenced to a "Hard 20" term which equates to a mandatory minimum of 20 years and a maximum of life.

Policy Questions:

- Should there be mandatory release dates which ignore "atrocious behaviors" within a structured, confined prison setting?
- Should there be mandatory release dates which ignore an offender's unwillingness to participate in risk mitigation strategies?
- Should there be mandatory release dates when offender risk to the community has not diminished but may have, in the alternative, elevated?

B. Post-Incarceration Supervision Revocations

1. "New Law" offenders sentenced to *grid terms* for offenses committed on/after 07/01/1993 and who, during a term of post-release supervision are found guilty of allegations of violation of terms of supervision can face revocation to prison for a period of 6 months earnable down to 3 months with the application of goodtime prison credits.
2. "New Law" offenders sentenced *off-grid terms* for offenses committed on/after 07/01/1993 and who, during a term of post-release supervision are found guilty of allegations of violation of terms of supervision can face revocation to prison for a period indeterminate length.
3. "Old Law" offenders sentenced for offenses committed prior to 07/01/1993 and not subject to the retroactive application of the sentencing guidelines, who, during a term of parole supervision are

found guilty of allegations of violation of terms of supervision can face revocation to prison for a period indeterminate length.

"The average length of stay in prison for pre-guideline parole condition violators in FY 2008 is calculated to be 23.3 months ... The average length of stay for the guideline postrelease supervision condition violators in FY 2008 was 4.2 months (128 days)..."
(*Kansas Sentencing Commission Prison Population Projection Report SFY2009*, p.12).

Policy Question:

What is the purpose of a revocation term?

Risk Reduction: Pre-guidelines & Off-grid offenders. When the parole board revokes parole privileges for an average incarceration term of 23.3 months, that term is focused on engagement and accomplishment of risk reduction interventions.

Punishment? Temporary Incapacitation? Other? – On-grid sentenced offenders. When the parole board revokes parole privileges for an average incarceration term of 4.2 months, that term is insufficient to accomplish risk reduction. In fact, it is the belief of the board that within these short revocation terms, risk escalation occurs in that community supports erode (e.g., lost job, lost housing, lost social supports, etc.), and that incarceration term is insufficient to engage effectively in any risk reduction intervention. The "punishment" philosophy thus lends itself to increased risk.

C. Sample group inequity:

Ellibee makes a statement near the end of the first column on the second page: "Any doubts or challenges to what the author is asserting can be quickly resolved [sic] by requesting a Crystal report from the Kansas Department of Corrections, which will identify all Severity Level 1 "new law" offenders previously released under the KSGA and those "old law" offenders who remain incarcerated for the same offenses."

Primary Logic Flaws:

- a) There are comparable "old law" offenders who have been released. Inmate Ellibee is requesting the reader to compare one group of released offenders with another group of offenders who have been assessed as not suitable for parole release.
- b) There are severity level 1 "new law" offenders who remain incarcerated. Sentencing guidelines have been in operation since 1993 – approximately 16 years. The current presumptive prison term for severity level 1 extends from 155 months to 620 months and surpasses 16 years (192 months) when the offender in question accumulates a "G" Criminal History (i.e., has only 1 prior non-person felony). Again, inmate Ellibee is encouraging the reader to make comparisons of disparate groups.

A Policy Question is not available for this section as the premise is flawed.

D Specific Offender Cases sited:

Attached in **Appendix D** are KASPER printouts for the "old law" situated offenders referenced in the balance of page 2 and 3.

6-6

Memorandum to House Corrections & Juvenile Justice Committee: Representative Pat Colloton, Chairperson
From: Kansas Parole Board
March 11, 2009


Ref: 2009 HB 2340
APPENDICIES

6-17

APPENDIX A

**KANSAS SENTENCING COMMISSION SFY 2009 PRISON POPULATION PROJECTION
OF
"PROJECTED VIOLENT INMATE PRISON POPULATION"**

8-9



* Actual prison population on June 30, 2008.

This group accounts for 48.6% of the total projected prison population in FY 2018.

Memorandum to House Corrections & Juvenile Justice Committee: Representative Pat Colloton, Chairperson
From: Kansas Parole Board
March 11, 2009

Ref: 2009 HB 2340
APPENDICIES

6-9

APPENDIX B

KANSAS PAROLE BOARD PROPORTIONALITY GUIDE

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

Latest Admission Type: 0101010 New Court Commitment

6-10

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui dell nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
1	0006598	DAVIS, ANTHONY, L		04/11/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
2	0006791	COFFMAN, VERNON, K		03/09/95	45	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
3	0006929	WALKER, LESLIE, NORMAN		08/27/85	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
4	0006983	RICE, JERRY, D		06/20/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
5	0007144	MITCHELL, ROBERT, E		03/25/88	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
6	0007233	MURR, DAVID, B		05/04/93	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
7	0007300	MURDOCK, JERRY, L		05/23/83	45	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
8	0007562	BELL, JAMES, E		07/16/75	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
9	0007665	ELLIFRITZ, LESLIE		12/22/81	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
10	0007669	PENCEK, FRANK, J, JR		08/18/75	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
11	0007786	CRUME, JAMES, JR		05/04/82	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
12	0007889	PAYNE, JAMES, M		10/06/75	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
13	0007993	JOHNS, NATHANIEL		10/23/84	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
14	0008305	MURRAY, RANDALL, A		07/25/83	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
15	0008506	JOHNSON, NOBLE, L		04/15/76	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
16	0008924	OLIVER, CLEVELAND, JR		04/04/84	45	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
17	0009036	CARLINE, RUSSELL, A		08/26/76	15	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
18	0009160	ROSS, ROBERT, TOMMY		11/03/82	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
19	0009970	BALDWIN, REGINA, M		11/16/83	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
20	0013471	MOST, WILLIAM, R		01/24/90	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
21	0015081	JACKSON, CHARLES, L		08/19/93	5	15	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
22	0016970	GREEN, WILLARD, F		12/28/87	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
23	0017056	MCKIBBEN, LONNIE, S		05/14/85	30	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
24	0017564	HIRES, JACK, SPENCER		01/25/85	45	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
25	0018362	EKIS, KENNETH		06/01/81	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
26	0018824	PECKHAM, SR, LARRY, D		09/29/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
27	0018872	LANE, GARY		09/04/91	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
28	0019004	COLLINS, PRESTON, L		05/20/93	15	999	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
29	0019242	PATTERSON, FRANKLIN, E		04/07/87	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
30	0019596	HENDERSON, SELTH, H, JR		03/16/77	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
31	0020069	RASNIC, JERRY, W, SR		06/08/90	15	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
32	0020100	CARRIER, RAYMOND, RUSSELL		03/26/71	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
33	0020259	HONEYCUTT, DRURY		06/19/72	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
34	0020410	GOURLEY, DARREL, JOSEPH		10/07/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
35	0020547	GARRETT, STANLEY, NEIL		03/06/73	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
36	0020558	GROSS, LAWRENCE, R		05/21/73	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
37	0020905	GARRETT, ANTHONY, R		02/11/74	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
38	0020994	SUITS, AARON, R		11/27/73	5	20	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
39	0022208	NELSON, LARRY, L		08/18/89	15	50	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
40	0023442	MCCLANAHAN, ROY		06/11/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
41	0023495	MAYBERRY, M, C		01/08/90	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
42	0023498	MILLER, DUANE, L		08/18/77	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
43	0023861	LOVETT, CLYDE		12/04/86	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
44	0024045	BEECHUM, JEROME, M		10/15/90	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
45	0025072	BEEM, STEVEN, D		09/20/89	9	30	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
46	0025121	PYLE, MICHAEL, DUANE		07/14/72	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
47	0025131	MCMILLAN, CHARLES, RODNEY		06/22/84	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
48	0025514	VENDER, JACK, A		03/24/83	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
49	0025613	FITZGIBBON, JOSEPH, K		05/19/82	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
50	0025808	WATKINS, ARCHIE, JR		05/04/87	45	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
51	0025820	WILLIS, EDWIN, JR		06/18/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
52	0030297	SOLES, MICHAEL, R		02/02/77	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
53	0030561	BITNER, ALAN, L		06/09/77	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
54	0030779	JOHNSON, PRENTIS, B		10/12/77	7	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ——— Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

11-9

adtyp Latest Admission Type: 0101010 New Court Commitment

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	lataddate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense conca't'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditio n Violator Offense conca't'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Conditio n Violator Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
55	0030829	CRAWFORD,VINCENT,H	I	11/09/77	5	20	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
56	0030834	HIGDON,CALVIN,B	I	11/10/77	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
57	0031144	CARTER,MICHAEL,L	I	10/27/87	45	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
58	0031272	PORTER,EMERY	I	01/28/77	5	20	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
59	0031327	NEMECHEK,FRANCIS,D	I	03/23/77	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
60	0031547	BAKER,JAMES,E	I	11/23/77	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
61	0031558	SANDERS,RODNEY,A	I	12/08/77	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
62	0031675	LAMBERT,PAUL,F	I	04/06/78	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
63	0031681	EDWARDS,JOHN	I	04/17/78	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
64	0031834	NELMS,JIMMIE,K	I	10/02/78	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
65	0031835	MYRICK,WALTER	I	10/03/78	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
66	0031899	KEFFELER,MICHAEL,L	I	12/20/78	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
67	0032018	TUCKER,ARZO,JR	A	04/26/79	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
68	0032339	BOAN,BRADLEY,R	I	11/09/82	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
69	0032903	EDWARDS,ROY,D	I	01/02/81	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
70	0033333	BOHANNON,BRAZELL	I	08/24/78	5	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
71	0033489	WILLIAMS,ALBERT,D	I	11/30/78	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
72	0033610	RODRIGUEZ,JESSE,A	I	02/13/79	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
73	0033611	MCCLURE,MICHAEL	I	02/13/79	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
74	0033725	UNION,NORMAN,L	I	08/05/91	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
75	0033789	SNODGRASS,RANDY,E	I	05/23/91	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
76	0033841	HAGGARD,NATHAN,LEE	I	04/01/86	10	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
77	0033925	COSTA,JOHN	I	08/23/79	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
78	0033982	THOMAS,GODFREY,B	A	02/26/91	20	60	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
79	0034052	HANSARD,TERRY,R	I	04/03/92	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
80	0034062	CRAWFORD,VERYL,D	I	07/26/90	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
81	0034198	DENNIS,WILLIAM,GARY	A	03/11/80	13	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
82	0034558	SHAFFER,WILLIAM,A	I	03/13/80	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
83	0034560	VINCENT,CLIFTON	I	03/13/80	10	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
84	0034647	STAFFORD,LAWRENCE,C	I	07/28/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
85	0034677	COURTNEY,DAVID	I	07/03/80	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
86	0034904	HILL,DONALD,E	I	02/13/81	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
87	0035089	CRUMP,DANNY,E	I	06/29/81	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
88	0035105	TOPHAM,RANDOLPH,P	I	07/07/81	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
89	0035142	KORBEL,TRACY,B	A	08/10/81	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
90	0035265	LILLEY,DAVID,L	I	11/09/81	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
91	0035334	MIESBAUER,FRANK	I	12/18/81	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
92	0035366	RAINEY,GEORGE,E	I	01/12/82	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
93	0035841	SIMMONS,MICHAEL,E	I	07/10/80	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
94	0035862	TRUMBLY,MIKEL	A	07/21/80	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
95	0035885	EVERSON,THOMAS,E	A	08/01/80	10	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
96	0035830	RUBY,JAMES	I	10/21/80	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
97	0036005	QUARY,WILLIAM,M	I	02/05/81	5	20	21 -3502	Rape	N04	41 - 162	-		UNK	
98	0036090	CREASE,KENNETH	I	04/02/81	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
99	0036142	HAWLEY,JOHN,A	I	08/20/85	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
100	0036301	BRUNER,JOHN,D	I	07/17/81	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
101	0036385	SHERRER,ROBERT,J	I	03/14/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
102	0036565	HOBSON,SUEANNE	I	07/12/82	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
103	0036715	BAKER,LILA,JEAN	I	07/06/83	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
104	0037088	JONES,HAROLD	I	05/27/82	15	40	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
105	0037366	HUNTER,CHARLES	A	10/21/82	5	20	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
105	0037597	GANN,JAMES,MICHAEL	I	02/07/83	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
107	0037748	HILL,GARLAND,E,III	I	01/10/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
108	0037759	WILLIAMS,MICHAEL,A	I	01/06/89	12	30	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-		UNK	

10/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

.yp Latest Admission Type: 0101010 New Court Commitment

6-18
9

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Condit Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
109	0038030	DUPREE, MICHAEL, D	I	07/12/82	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
110	0038067	HILL, BURLE, E	I	08/10/82	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
111	0038102	HOBBS, JIMMY, L	I	10/10/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
112	0038178	REED, LUKE	I	10/15/82	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
113	0038269	DARDING, RALPH, H	I	12/03/82	10	20	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
114	0038279	WILLIAMS, DANNY, H	I	12/13/82	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
115	0038341	COOPER, JERRY, R, C	I	02/08/83	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
116	0038380	ROADENBAUGH, MICHAEL, A	I	03/02/83	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
117	0038578	MCCAINE, MELVIN, L	I	07/07/83	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
118	0038619	MURDOCK, BENNIE, R	I	08/05/83	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
119	0038655	GRANADO, EDWARD	I	09/09/83	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
120	0038659	JOHNSON, ROBERT	I	12/03/92	15	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
121	0038713	BARNES, HARRISON, S	A	10/14/83	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
122	0038737	ELLIOTT, JIM, C	I	10/25/83	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
123	0038791	POLLOCK, JAMES, M	I	12/02/83	45	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
124	0038838	JONES, CHARLES, EDWARD, JR	I	02/24/83	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
125	0038855	LONG, MICHAEL, E	I	04/06/83	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
126	0038880	JEFFRIES, KOHLER, ZIMBALIST	I	08/31/87	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
127	0039080	DIESSELHORST, RICHARD, D, JR	I	09/06/84	24	60	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
128	0039083	BORDERS, HOWARD, STEVEN	I	03/18/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
129	0039089	BRANSON, ROBIN, LEE	I	10/22/84	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
130	0039118	NEAL, ALDRED, BLAKE	I	08/04/92	30	999	21 -3415	Aggravated Battery Against Law Enforcement Officer	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
131	0039300	BOOTH, GREG, E	I	01/19/88	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
132	0039329	ROBERTSON, RONALD, RAY	I	07/25/86	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
133	0039425	JONES, THEODORE, ABBOTT	A	05/14/87	12	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
134	0039483	MATHIS, BILLY, C	I	06/17/87	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
135	0039663	GREEN, MICHAEL, H	I	04/27/88	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
136	0039725	PIOLETTI, RANDY, D	I	06/09/88	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
137	0039881	LONG, SCOTT, H	I	03/29/85	15	50	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
138	0039947	WOOD, DANIEL, ALLEN	I	08/05/83	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
139	0040097	CADE, MICHAEL, JAMES	I	10/07/83	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
140	0040208	PERICLES, STEPHAN, A	I	12/09/83	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
141	0040298	KIMREY, LARRY, D	I	11/04/93	5	20	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
142	0040413	PETERSON, MICHAEL, DOUGLAS	A	03/27/84	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
143	0040594	LIONBERG, BRIAN, CHARLES	I	07/03/84	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
144	0040595	LOFTON, JACKIE, PAUL, JR	I	07/05/84	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
145	0040711	KESSINGER, WILLIAM, P	I	10/08/84	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
146	0040739	KINGYON, TOMMY	I	10/23/84	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
147	0040766	HOUSE, DENNIS, R	I	11/13/84	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
148	0040834	PINK, YVONNE	I	11/17/83	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
149	0041092	ADKINS, TABOR, D	I	01/04/84	10	999	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
150	0041222	MORRIS, WAYNE, L	I	03/13/84	30	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
151	0041241	DAVIS, DERRICK, WAYNE	I	03/28/84	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
152	0041542	STOWELL, JOHN, WILLIAM	I	10/12/84	5	20	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
153	0041605	CLARK, SIDNEY, J, JR	I	11/21/84	45	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
154	0041615	WILLIAMS, WILLIE, J	I	11/28/84	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
155	0041715	BREAZEALE, JAY, D	I	01/25/85	30	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
156	0041777	PICKERILL, DANNY, R	I	04/02/85	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
157	0041826	STRAUCH, CHARLES, F	I	05/01/85	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
158	0041834	MCCOLLUM, MORRIS, K	I	05/15/85	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
159	0041876	RAMOS, LUIS	I	06/26/85	10	50	21 -3504	Agg Ind Lib With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
160	0041878	ANDREWS, DAVID, LEROY	I	06/26/85	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		

v08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

6-13

adtyp Latest Admission Type: 0101010 New Court Commitment

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	lataddate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concal'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concal'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
161	0041980	CHANEY,GEORGE,JR	A	09/19/85	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
162	0042050	WALKER,JAMES,A	I	01/11/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
163	0042073	LOWE,GARY,D	I	12/20/84	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
164	0042094	GRIMMIG,ROBERT,JOHN	A	03/26/92	15	30	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
165	0042171	JACKSON,JOEL,D	I	02/11/85	15	999	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
166	0042235	HOLDREN,STEVEN	I	03/11/85	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
167	0042251	ALEXANDER,DONALD,E	I	03/15/85	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
168	0042279	BRUCE,DONALD,E	I	08/20/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
169	0042354	DAVIS,GREGORY,M	I	04/29/85	15	999	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
170	0042537	JOHNSON,RORIE,GENE	I	07/18/85	10	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
171	0042807	TURLEY,JOHN,MICHAEL	I	10/25/85	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
172	0042987	PRIEST,MARTIN,D	I	01/09/86	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
173	0043308	STEWART,CHARLES,CURTIS	I	12/10/85	12	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
174	0043323	WORDS,CHESTER,J	I	12/27/85	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
175	0043401	SMITH,ROGER,M	I	02/27/86	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
176	0043408	LONG,TYRONE,J	I	03/04/86	15	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
177	0043495	MOBLEY,ALBERT,R	I	04/24/86	10	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
178	0043502	PARROTT,FREDERICK	I	05/02/86	3	10	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
179	0043628	MARTIN,FREDERICK,J	I	07/25/86	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
180	0043641	MCDANIEL,GARY	I	08/01/86	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
181	0043672	GUTH,KENNETH,EUGENE	I	08/21/86	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
182	0043834	REDFORD,RICKY,RAY	I	11/10/86	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
183	0043848	GRAY,KEVIN,E	I	11/20/86	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
184	0043849	BALDWIN,BOBBY,J	I	11/20/86	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
185	0043891	SHEHAN,JAMES,J	I	12/11/86	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
186	0044014	HARRISON,KENNETH	I	02/09/87	15	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
187	0044069	MORRIS,DOUG	I	03/06/87	15	999	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
188	0044102	GLOVER,NORDELL,F	I	03/20/87	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
189	0044108	COPELAND,JOHN,F	I	03/24/87	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
190	0044129	BROWNFIELD,CHRIS,ALLEN	I	04/03/87	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
191	0044166	HOLMAN,TERRY,R	I	04/17/87	30	999	21 -3415	Aggravated Battery Against Law Enforcement Officer	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
192	0044241	FOX,VIRGIL	I	05/28/87	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
193	0044273	KESTERSON,STEVE	I	06/12/87	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
194	0044281	SPRESSER,JEFFERY,A	I	06/12/87	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
195	0044292	STALLARD,TIMOTHY,G	A	06/22/87	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
196	0044299	NEWMAN,LLOYD,C	I	07/23/93	5	20	21 -3603	Aggravated Incest	N05	32 - 130	-	UNK		
197	0044303	HOLLOMAN,SULLIVAN,MACK	I	01/28/86	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
198	0044324	RUFF,HERBERT,L	I	04/11/86	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
199	0044362	MACOMBER,STEPHEN,A	I	02/20/86	15	20	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
200	0045083	WOODS,FRANK,LEON	A	03/26/87	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
201	0045111	THOMAS,LESTER,D	I	04/13/87	10	30	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
202	0045232	HORTON,WILLIAM,C	I	06/18/87	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
203	0045284	BEEBE,JOHN,E	I	07/14/87	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
204	0045341	VANDERLINDEN,SUSAN,	I	04/01/87	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
205	0045679	ROJAS,JOSE,I	I	08/26/87	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
206	0045725	LARKIN,STEPHEN,WAYNE	I	09/24/87	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
207	0045973	HALL,GARY,LEE	I	01/15/88	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
208	0046123	BATISTA,RAUL	I	04/01/88	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
209	0046164	TAYLOR,SHERWIN,W	I	04/26/88	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
210	0046215	AGEE,ROBERT,T	I	05/13/88	10	20	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
211	0046461	MINSKI,JAMES,E	I	10/27/04	15	999	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
212	0046698	WINEBRENNER,DAVID,P	I	10/15/87	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
213	0046766	STRATTON,STEPHEN,R	I	11/20/87	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
214	0046934	PRUITT,RONALD,JOEL	I	02/26/88	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

6-14
9

Latest Admission Type: 0101010 New Court Commitment

number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui dell nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_range Guidelines months range	cv_off Condition Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
215	0047015	GONZALES,TIMOTHY,C	I	03/31/88	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
216	0047312	JONES,JESSIE,LAVON	I	08/22/88	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
217	0047335	WIMBERLY,ORMOND,LEE,JR	I	08/26/88	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
218	0047804	RECTOR,GARY	I	08/10/88	12	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
219	0047808	WATERBURY,ROBERT	I	12/12/88	10	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
220	0047831	SPEARS,JACK,LEROY	I	08/29/88	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
221	0047909	JOHNSON,KEITH	I	10/24/88	15	999	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
222	0048051	ARTHUR,PHILLIP,E	I	02/13/89	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
223	0048115	SMITH,OLIVER,K	I	03/14/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
224	0048239	MARTINEZ,PABLO,M	I	04/04/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
225	0048240	HERMAN,SHAWN	I	04/04/89	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
226	0048247	GADELKARIM,NASIF,S	I	04/05/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
227	0048336	MONASMITH,TIMOTHY,L	I	07/12/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
228	0048389	FRITSCHEN,JAMES,F	I	04/28/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
229	0048398	MILLER,PATRICK,C	I	05/01/89	4	12	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
230	0048404	TYLER,STJOHN	I	05/02/89	45	60	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
231	0048450	CARROLL,MARK,EUGENE	I	05/31/91	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
232	0048463	JONES,MELVIN	I	05/11/89	12	999	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
233	0048485	WILLIAMS,WILLIE,L	A	05/16/89	3	10	65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss,Distrib Opiates,Opium,Narcotic Drugs	D03	15 - 49	-	UNK	
234	0048591	EDWARDS,RONALD	I	07/23/92	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
235	0048624	CORTER,MORRIS,E,JR	I	09/27/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
236	0048797	DIEHL,GARY,LEE,WAYNE	I	09/29/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
237	0049169	SEARLES,JIMMY,JACK	I	02/10/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
238	0049808	BIERMAN,SHARI,LYN	I	08/09/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
239	0050063	HAMONS,CORY,GLEN	I	07/26/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
240	0050064	HERNANDEZ,CONRAD, JOSEPH	I	07/27/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
241	0050082	LEWIS,DARRYL,L	I	07/31/89	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
242	0050105	HUTCHESON,EDWARD,K	I	08/03/89	5	20	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
243	0050135	OWENS,ARCHIE,E,JR	I	08/11/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
244	0050144	CADY,JOHN,RICHARD	I	08/15/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
245	0050219	POMPA,RAYMOND,A	I	08/29/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
246	0050222	DAVIS,WESLEY,E,JR	I	08/29/89	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
247	0050350	KRNAC,NORMAN,J	I	09/26/89	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
248	0050561	BRADSHAW,JOHN,D	A	11/09/89	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
249	0050567	PERKINS,DENNIS,D	I	12/29/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
250	0050690	THOMAS,ERNEST,LEE,JR	I	12/06/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
251	0050730	SCALES,EDDIE,D	I	12/14/89	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
252	0050766	HANNAH,DEAN,HALBERT	I	12/20/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
253	0050791	WILLIAM,JOHN	I	12/27/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
254	0051060	ENGLAND,RUSSELL,L	A	01/22/94	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
255	0051158	DIXON,CAIN,JR	I	03/15/90	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
256	0051200	HUPP,MARK,A	I	03/26/90	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
257	0051217	MCCLINTIC,PATRICK,	I	03/28/90	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
258	0051226	JI,CHEUN-PHON	A	03/29/90	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
259	0051446	BUFORD,TYRENCE,LEE	I	05/08/90	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
260	0051467	NGUYEN,HUNG,H	I	05/10/90	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
261	0051522	DEPRIEST,BRYAN,K	I	01/26/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
262	0051529	ODEMS,FLOYD	I	05/22/90	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
263	0051586	ZIMMERMAN,MARK,W	I	06/01/90	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
264	0051785	WILSON,KEVIN,TYRONE	A	07/02/90	10	20	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
265	0051790	SMITH,RONALD	I	07/03/90	10	20	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
266	0051802	MOSS,JOHN,L	I	07/06/90	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
267	0051820	VOUNG,PHUNG	I	07/10/90	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	

7/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

5-19

adtyp Latest Admission Type: 0101010 New Court Commitment

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Condit Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
268	0052003	BAKER, TYRONE	I	08/16/90	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
269	0052068	WOODS, LAMACEY	I	08/30/90	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
270	0052228	TAYLOR, STEPHEN	I	10/02/90	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
271	0052236	SCOTT, SEDRICK, E	I	10/02/90	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
272	0052267	SCHWEIGER, PATRICK, E	I	10/10/90	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
273	0052401	SWANSON, ROBERT, LEE	I	11/06/90	15	999	21 -3415	Aggravated Battery Against Law Enforcement Officer	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
274	0052433	DEHERRERA, HERMAN, R, SR	I	11/13/90	14	999	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
275	0052458	EVANS, JEFFREY, D	I	11/21/90	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
276	0052493	SPEED, TONY	I	11/29/90	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
277	0052610	RICHARD, DENNIS, L	I	12/20/90	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
278	0052727	EVANS, JOE	I	01/17/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
279	0052728	SPENCER, PETER	I	01/17/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
280	0052745	ROWRAY, JAMES, R	I	01/22/91	5	20	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
281	0052748	HARKNESS, GREGORY, RAYMOND	I	01/23/91	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
282	0052792	JORDAN, DAVID, C	I	01/31/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
283	0052798	JONES, ROBERT, W	I	01/31/91	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
284	0052838	STEWART, STURGEON	I	02/11/91	15	999	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
285	0052954	WERTZ, HOWARD, R	I	03/05/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
286	0052958	HOOKS, RODNEY, J	I	03/05/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
287	0053082	CHARLES, CHRIS	I	03/29/91	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
288	0053155	LINN, JASON, COREY	I	04/10/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
289	0053345	LARRY, JERRELL, EDWARD	A	05/20/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
290	0053368	BENFIELD, ROCKY	A	05/22/91	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
291	0053454	BELLAMY, RONNIE, A, JR	A	06/10/91	15	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
292	0053536	GRIMES, SAMUEL, I	I	06/27/91	15	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
293	0053679	YOUNG, CURTIS, L, JR	I	07/30/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
294	0053778	BROWN, KEITH	I	08/19/91	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
295	0053840	CRAWFORD, ACE, D	I	08/29/91	15	999	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
296	0053861	DYKES, LARNELL, L	I	09/04/91	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
297	0053865	ALVAREZ-FLORES, LEONARDO	I	09/05/91	15	50	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
298	0053888	MARTINEZ, HUMBERTO	I	09/10/91	5	20	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
299	0053925	YOUNG, BOBBY, L	I	09/16/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
300	0053928	KIM, JUNGSIK	I	09/16/91	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
301	0053950	NICHOLS, MICHAEL	I	09/19/91	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
302	0053955	DEAVERS, FRED, W	I	09/19/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
303	0053970	CALDWELL, LARRY, C	I	09/23/91	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
304	0054035	WARREN, WADDELL, O	I	10/04/91	45	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
305	0054048	ROYSE, DANIEL, RAY	I	10/08/91	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
306	0054050	HARRIS, DARRICK	A	09/23/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
307	0054107	QUICK, MICHAEL, E	I	10/22/91	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
308	0054123	KINGSLEY, ALAN, W	I	10/24/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
309	0054127	MARTINEZ, CHRISTOPHER	I	01/27/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
310	0054139	PEARL, GLEN, VONNELL	I	10/28/91	15	20	21 -3415	Aggravated Battery Against Law Enforcement Officer	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
311	0054143	KEESE, DOUGLAS, K	I	10/29/91	15	999	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
312	0054183	WOOLVERTON, NELDA, S	I	11/06/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
313	0054236	THOMAS, DAVID, G	I	11/14/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
314	0054254	SUSTAITA, MARTIN, RAY	I	11/19/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
315	0054270	JOHNSON, TROY, DALE	I	11/20/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
316	0054305	JERSCHIED, FRANK, J	I	11/25/91	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
317	0054458	MCCRACKEN, DONALD	I	12/20/91	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
318	0054459	DOVER, JOHNNY, L	I	12/20/91	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
319	0054474	PHILLIPS, TRAVIS, E	I	12/26/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		

10/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

6-16
6

Latest Admission Type: 0101010 New Court Commitment

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	lataadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Condit Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
320	0054497	JOHNSON,CHARLES,E		12/31/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
321	0054509	MCCALL,WILLIAM		01/06/92	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
322	0054585	BURT,CLIFFORD,F	A	01/22/92	15	999	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
323	0054774	CROMWELL,JAMES,M		02/06/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
324	0054828	TURNER,JASON		03/09/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
325	0054891	BROWN,CHRISTOPHER,A		03/19/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
326	0054965	TONEY,DEMENTRIUS,L		03/31/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
327	0055044	WOODWARD,DAVID,L		04/10/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
328	0055046	SHINGLETON,LARRY,RAY,JR		04/13/92	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
329	0055048	LEWIS,BOVI,LEO		04/13/92	15	999	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
330	0055052	ELLIBEE,NATHANIEL		04/13/92	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
331	0055063	STONE,RICHARD,E		04/14/92	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
332	0055113	HEAD,JERRY,D		04/21/92	5	20	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
333	0055168	JONES,COREY,E		05/01/92	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
334	0055177	MCCLLOUD,MICHAEL		05/04/92	8	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
335	0055187	DAVIS,CHRISTOPHER,D		05/05/92	10	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
336	0055242	MACK,PHILLIP,D		05/13/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
337	0055268	GUTIERREZ,LOUIS,JR		05/19/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
338	0055272	STRANDELL,ROBERT,O		05/19/92	10	20	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
339	0055319	BRADFORD,WATSON,K	A	05/27/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
340	0055417	DOTSON,FLOYD,A		06/15/92	15	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
341	0055456	DAVIS,DERRICK		06/24/92	15	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
342	0055489	JOHNSON-HOWELL,FAYE,A		07/01/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
343	0055490	PENNINGTON,AARON		07/01/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
344	0055658	JEWELL,WILLIAM		08/03/92	13	25	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
345	0055679	BOWEN,WILLIE,J	A	08/06/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
346	0055802	MCDANIEL,JOHN		08/31/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
347	0055906	MANCO,DARRYL,W		09/21/92	10	40	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
348	0055926	TUGGLE,MARCUS,JAMALL	A	09/22/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
349	0056006	TURNER,NATHANIEL,III		10/05/92	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
350	0056086	RICHARDSON,MEKA		10/22/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
351	0056093	SEXTON,STEPHEN,D		10/23/92	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
352	0056265	JACKSON,ANDRE,D		12/01/92	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
353	0056288	HOLBROOK,LARRY		12/03/92	15	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
354	0056371	STEELE,IRA,A,JR		12/17/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
355	0056382	BAILEY,STEVEN,C		12/21/92	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
356	0056415	GREEN,ANDREW		12/23/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
357	0056419	ROWELL,DONALD,W,JR		12/28/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
358	0056424	ZEPEDA,GABRIEL		12/28/92	1	5	21 -3405A	Aggravated Vehicular Homicide	N05	32 - 130	-		UNK	
359	0056494	WADDELL,KENNETH,D		01/11/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
360	0056553	RODRIGUEZ,FRED		01/25/93	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
361	0056587	BORREGO,JUAN,JR		01/29/93	15	50	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
362	0056631	BERKENMEIER,RICHARD,E		02/08/93	14	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
363	0056669	MOSES,STEVE		02/12/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
364	0056726	PRATT,DAVID,R		02/23/93	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
365	0056733	JACKSON,WILLIAM		02/24/93	30	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
366	0056742	SIMS,ERIC,D		02/26/93	12	25	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
367	0056785	CALDWELL,RONNIE,A		03/08/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
368	0056797	FISHER,MARIO,S		03/09/93	15	999	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
369	0056867	COOK,CARLE		03/22/93	15	999	21 -3415	Aggravated Battery Against Law Enforcement Officer	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
370	0056912	REVELS,TERRY		03/26/93	30	40	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
371	0056991	FORD,HAROLD,GLEN,JR		04/08/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
372	0057075	WALTER,KEITH,A		04/26/93	3	10	21 -3516	Sexual Exploitation of a Child	N05	32 - 130	-		UNK	
373	0057096	FOSTER,LEONARD,C		04/27/93	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-		UNK	

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

6-17

adtyp Latest Admission Type: 0101010 New Court Commitment

number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
374	0057106	PAYNE,ADDISON,L		04/29/93	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
375	0057187	PLUNKETT,BENNIE,L,JR		05/13/93	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
376	0057224	BUTLER,JOEL,D		05/20/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
377	0057225	SWAFFORD,ARTIS		05/20/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
378	0057226	ANTHONY,ANDREW,J		05/20/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
379	0057260	HEGWOOD,ANTHONY		05/25/93	15	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
380	0057319	JOHNSON,ARTHUR,FRANK,II		06/04/93	5	20	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
381	0057431	REED,BILLY,T		06/29/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
382	0057546	SCHINSTOCK,TERRILL,L		07/26/93	15	999	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
383	0057564	SYKES,VICTOR,S		07/28/93	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
384	0057567	SNAVELY,WILLIAM,III		07/28/93	15	35	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
385	0057573	JONES,BRILON,S		07/29/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
386	0057590	OPYR,CHRISTOPHER,P		08/03/93	15	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
387	0057607	FOX,TURIK,C		08/06/93	15	999	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
388	0057633	SMITH,VICTOR,J		08/12/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
389	0057694	CLARE,CHARLES,E		08/24/93	5	20	21 -4219C	Criminal Discharge of Firearm,Occupied Dwell,harm	N05	32 - 130	-	UNK	
390	0057695	ALBERTSON,CARLTON,F		08/24/93	5	20	21 -4219C	Criminal Discharge of Firearm,Occupied Dwell,harm	N05	32 - 130	-	UNK	
391	0057698	PORTER,DARRYL,W		08/24/93	15	20	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
392	0057800	BROWN,DAVID,C		09/15/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
393	0057845	ALFORD,BRENT,L		09/23/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
394	0057847	HUNT,JAMES,L		09/23/93	45	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
395	0057858	JOHNSON,JOE,III		09/27/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
396	0057859	JOHNSON,DONISE,M		09/27/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
397	0057875	SANDERS,JAMES,C		09/29/93	10	20	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
398	0057947	HALLOWAY,JOHN,L		10/12/93	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
399	0057995	CHRONISTER,PATRICK,W		10/21/93	2	10	21 -3516	Sexual Exploitation of a Child	N05	32 - 130	-	UNK	
400	0058016	PHILLIPS,BRIAN,D		10/26/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
401	0058077	PIERCE,CHRISTOPHER		11/12/93	15	999	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
402	0058125	CHEEKS,JEROME		11/19/93	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
403	0058153	WADE,CURTIS	A	12/01/93	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
404	0058203	COLLINS,TIMOTHY,L		12/09/93	30	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
405	0058216	JAVIER,DAVID,J		12/13/93	5	20	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
406	0058242	SMITH,SHELBERT,L		12/16/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
407	0058296	MASON,ANTHONY,E		01/03/94	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
408	0058346	OWENS,ALPHONSO,JR		01/12/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
409	0058361	STOFFERAN,ALLEN		01/18/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
410	0058370	JOHNSON,JOSEPH		01/20/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
411	0058406	NANCE,STEVEN,W		01/27/94	5	20	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
412	0058484	LEE,KIMBERLEE		02/14/94	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
413	0058502	VO,CHINH		02/16/94	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
414	0058508	BRYAN,LARRY,A		02/17/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
415	0058570	HAMILTON,JOSEPH		03/04/94	3	10	21 -3603	Aggravated Incest	N05	32 - 130	-	UNK	
416	0058620	GAINES,ALVIN,L		03/14/94	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
417	0058700	HARRIS,RAY,A		03/30/94	15	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
418	0058776	COLBERT,BRYANT		04/14/94	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
419	0058793	BURPEE,DAVID,R		04/18/94	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
420	0058885	KAISER,JOSHUA,B	A	05/05/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
421	0058966	EWING,BOB		05/20/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
422	0059016	SCHAEFFER,JASON,W		06/02/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
423	0059050	WALKER,CURTIS,D		06/09/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
424	0059066	WILSON,WILLIE,J	A	06/13/94	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
425	0059193	TACKETT,JAMES,E		07/12/94	5	20	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
426	0059259	MATSON,MICHAEL,C		07/28/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	

10/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

81-9

latadtyp Latest Admission Type: 0101010 New Court Commitment

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
427	0059436	VANDERVEEN,KENT,P	I	01/13/95	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
428	0059704	LAUGHLIN,DERRICK,L	I	11/01/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
429	0059770	MCGIVERN,CASEY	I	11/17/94	9	20	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
430	0060165	KIMSEY,MAURICE,G	I	04/16/93	30	999	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
431	0060192	HADDOCK,KENNETH,E	I	11/10/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
432	0060202	PHILIPPI,ROBERT,E	I	12/29/04	30	40	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
433	0060276	HENRY,DUANE,A	I	10/10/97	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
434	0060307	PARKS,PHILLIP,R	I	12/22/99	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
435	0060325	LEE,UNDR,A	I	09/16/97	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
436	0060434	CHAVARRIA,JUAN	I	06/21/05	15	45	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
437	0060505	SOUTHARD,BOBBY,K	A	01/11/95	14	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
438	0060947	BOWMAN,TODD,E	A	04/06/95	3	5	21 -3518	Aggravated Sexual Battery	N05	32 - 130	-		UNK	
439	0061380	NINCI,MICHAEL,D	I	06/22/95	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
440	0061602	LAWTON,ITHIEL	I	08/09/95	15	999	21 -3415	Aggravated Battery Against Law Enforcement Officer	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
441	0061831	ANDERSON,CHARLES,F	A	09/20/95	5	15	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
442	0063058	ZAMORA,GUADALUPE,N	I	05/14/96	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
443	0064572	DREILING,MIKEL,J	I	03/05/97	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
444	0064573	FLYNN,DANA,L	I	03/05/97	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
445	0065689	PREWETT,NOLAN,D	I	11/07/97	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
446	0067366	CROCKER,DANIEL,L	I	01/28/99	15	40	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
447	0077033	LACKEY,ROBERT,HENRY,II	I	04/03/03	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
448	0083707	RADER,DENNIS,L	I	08/19/05	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
449	0086089	RAISCH,MELINDA,JEAN	I	05/23/06	10	20	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
450	0086100	MANGELSDORF,MARK	I	05/24/06	10	20	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
Total	N		450	450	450	450	450	450	450	450	450	450	450	

10/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

6-19

adtyp Latest Admission Type: 0102010 Proba Condition Violator

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
1	0044233	GRAHAM, RONALD	A	05/12/99	15	999	65 -4127A2		49 - 78	-		UNK		
2	0047542	WILSON, CRAIG, S	I	10/28/88	15	999	21 -3506	Manuf/Poss/Distrib Opiat, Opium, Narcot Drugs (2ND)	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
3	0048926	WAGNER, NICHOLAS, R	I	12/09/88	10	25	21 -3420	Aggravated Sodomy Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
Total	N		3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	

10/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

Latest Admission Type: 0102020 Proba Viola w/New Sentence

06-20
6-9

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	lataddate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
1	0031450	LOVELACE,WILLIAM,N		02/08/79	45	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
2	0036485	GRIFFIN,JEFFREY,L		02/03/84	45	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
3	0037933	GARCIA,ROBERT,G		05/20/82	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
4	0044527	GOSS,JOHN		02/26/88	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
5	0055689	STALLINGS,ANTHONY,D		07/05/95	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
Total	N		5		5	5	5		5	5	5	5	5	

10/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ——— Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

15.9

atadlyp Latest Admission Type: 0104010 Compact Inmate received

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
1	0018396	STEWART,JOHN		10/31/80	999	999	-		UNK			UNK		
2	0025361	ROBINSON,KENNEETH		05/12/98	14	14	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
3	0032158	MARTINEZ,SOLOMAN		06/10/92	11	11	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
4	0034544	OLSON,DALE,M		02/29/80	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
5	0038552	GIBSON,FRANK,R		06/10/83	999	999	-		UNK		-	UNK		
6	0039957	CREEKMORE,DARREN,C		10/28/87	60	60	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
7	0041369	KYLE,JOHN		06/25/84	5	5	-		UNK		-	UNK		
8	0041886	BOYD,KENNETH,L		07/02/85	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
9	0043552	CLAY,JACKIE,OLIVER		06/12/86	20	20	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
10	0044032	CLARK,ROBERT,PAUL		02/18/87	10	12	21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162	-	UNK		
11	0044076	WIGGINS,JOHNNY,CLINT		03/11/87	30	30	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
12	0044222	LEWIS,DENNIS,WAYNE		05/15/87	999	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
13	0045617	ANDERSON,RICHARD,P		11/04/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
14	0045750	HOOK,JAMES,EDWIN		09/28/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
15	0046339	MILLER,RICHARD,JOHN		04/12/89	30	30	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
16	0046377	SCHMITT,RONALD,J		11/04/89	22	22	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
17	0046402	CRITZER,STEVEN,L		02/28/90	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
18	0046411	MCDADE,DEXTER,TYRONE		04/26/90	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
19	0046418	SHRINER,EARL,K		06/10/90	99	131	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
20	0053293	THOMAS,JACK,R		05/06/91	999	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
21	0055468	MERCER,CALVIN		06/26/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
22	0055706	GAMBLER,JAMES,DAVID		08/12/92	75	75	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
23	0058558	CANON,SABASTIAN		03/03/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
24	0059684	WILLIAMS,JO,HELEN		11/01/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
25	0060163	LUBESKY,ROGER		02/18/93	25	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
26	0062262	LOWRENCE,EDDIE,R		12/13/95	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
27	0062277	MEUDT,DAVID,L		12/14/95	15	15	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
28	0062578	GRIFFIN,ANTHONY,W		02/23/96	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
29	0063982	WRIGHT,KENNETH,R		11/14/96	999	999	21 -3401	Murder in the First Degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
30	0064916	KRACKE,DAWN,R		05/14/97	25	25	21 -3609	Abuse of a child	N05	32 - 130	-	UNK		
31	0066068	ZARSKA,ERIC,A		02/18/98	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
32	0068602	PETERS,MARK		10/07/99	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
33	0072482	WHITED,LARRY,WAYNE		06/25/01	28	28	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
34	0075145	FINLEY,TIMOTHY,DANIEL		07/17/02	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
35	0080594	GREEN,ROBERT		07/14/04	70	999	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
36	0089641	MATUS,GABRIEL		08/23/07	21	21	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
37	0091392	FLORES,NARCISO,TELES		04/21/08	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
Total	N	37	37	37	37	37	37		37	37	37	37	37	

10/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ——— Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

6-22
9

Latest Admission Type: 0201010 Parole Condition Violator

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Condit Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
1	0006493	SANDERS,LYLE,C	I	02/17/93	999	999	-		UNK		21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF
2	0006524	SMITH,RONNIE,A	I	08/24/01	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620
3	0006704	ONEAL,STEVEN,A	I	08/29/05	15	30	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
4	0006798	MCBRIDE,EDDIE,L	I	05/27/08	3	15	-		UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130
5	0006920	RAIGOZA,RICHARD,A	I	09/06/07	45	999	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
6	0006960	MCCLAIN,TERRY,LEE	I	12/19/06	999	999	-		UNK		21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF
7	0007168	HUNTER,WILLIAM,E	I	07/02/07	10	40	-		UNK		21 -3719	Aggravated Arson	N03	59 - 233
8	0007355	CABRAL,LOUIS	I	02/23/05	15	30	-		UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467
9	0007371	JONES,THADDEUS	I	10/31/06	999	999	-		UNK		21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF
10	0007496	RICHARDSON,C,J,JR	I	04/11/06	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
11	0007554	JOHNSON,STEPHEN,D	I	01/08/08	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162
12	0007557	BARGE,JEFFERY	I	07/24/07	5	999	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
13	0007620	BRADLEY,TYRONE	I	09/29/05	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
14	0007680	CHRISTIE,ROBERT,F	I	07/30/03	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
15	0007692	JESSEPE,FRANCIS	I	04/29/08	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
16	0007862	WALKER,DONALD,E	I	06/30/08	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
17	0008077	KRENTZEL,KRIS,L	I	11/27/07	5	999	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
18	0008323	RALL,TIMOTHY,E	I	04/30/08	4	12	-		UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130
19	0008765	MORRIS,RONALD,A	I	02/01/08	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N04	41 - 162
20	0008787	GOLSTON,CHARLES,E	I	01/24/07	9	999	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
21	0008807	GRAVES,CARL	I	10/02/01	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
22	0008808	REDMOND,THEODORE,JR	I	01/20/05	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
23	0009086	JONES,WILLIAM,M	I	11/20/07	4	15	-		UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos	D03	15 - 49
24	0009268	WILSON,JOHN,A	I	07/20/05	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
25	0009283	JOHNSON,BENJAMIN	I	06/28/85	5	999	-		UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
26	0019448	BLOUCH,LEIGH,L	I	04/14/00	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
27	0019802	THORTON,MARVIN,L	I	03/04/08	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620
28	0019842	MARTIN,RONALD,E	I	10/06/05	999	999	-		UNK		21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF
29	0019917	HILL,LLOYD,D	I	08/15/80	10	999	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
30	0020126	RANDOL,ALLEN,DALE	I	11/15/07	999	999	-		UNK		21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF
31	0020737	TOWNSLEY,JOHN,H	I	02/04/81	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467
32	0022734	HOLSTINE,JOHN,W	I	06/22/06	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
33	0023753	FURTHMYER,GARY,L	I	08/23/05	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233

Case Summaries: Offenders Incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number — Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

06/30/08

atadtyp Latest Admission Type: 0201010 Parole Condition Violator

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadddate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concal'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concal'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
34	0024039	JACKSON,VINCENT,H	I	06/05/07	3	10	-		UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos	D03	15 - 49
35	0024132	TAYLOR,GARY,D	I	03/14/89	999	999	-		UNK		21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620
36	0024177	SHEPHERD,DENNIS,M	I	11/13/03	20	40	-		UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
37	0024299	COLLINS,RICHARD,W	I	04/10/07	7	22	-		UNK		21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162
38	0024565	LONG,JAMES,L	I	04/01/08	5	999	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
39	0024700	MILLS,LEONARD,H	I	06/15/05	10	999	-		UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467
40	0024789	CASTEEL,BRUCE,A	I	05/03/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
41	0024831	STANFIELD,SHAWN,ELLIOTT	A	12/07/05	10	40	-		UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos	D03	15 - 49
42	0024857	HOLCOMB,BENJAMIN,L	I	05/24/05	15	30	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
43	0025002	ROACH,ANTHONY,D	I	10/12/05	5	999	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
44	0025101	KNITTEL,DAVID,R	I	09/26/77	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
45	0025414	SINNETT,DAVID,E	I	11/10/05	5	20	-		UNK		65 -4127BB	Manuf,Poss, AD,Distr,Sale Depres,Stim, Hall,Steroids	D03	15 - 49
46	0025569	ELDER,JAMES,L	I	10/22/07	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620
47	0025645	GREGG,RICKY	I	02/15/02	10	999	-		UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467
48	0030352	GOODWIN,MARCUS,C,JR	C	08/02/05	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
49	0030376	MUELLER,STEVEN,PAUL	I	12/21/07	10	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
50	0030398	GLENN,MARQUIN,T	I	09/11/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
51	0030411	YOUNG,JACKIE	I	12/10/07	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
52	0030436	REED,RICKY	I	07/13/06	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130
53	0030688	WILLIAMS,HENRY,LEE	I	07/29/03	5	999	-		UNK		21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620
54	0030771	WEHMEYER,WESLEY,W	I	05/22/08	3	10	-		UNK		65 -4127BB	Manuf,Poss, AD,Distr,Sale Depres,Stim, Hall,Steroids	D03	15 - 49
55	0030900	LAUBER,RANDY,D	I	03/14/07	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3518	Aggravated Sexual Battery	N05	32 - 130
56	0030978	GATSON,MARK,L	I	07/26/07	8	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
57	0031137	EPPS,RANDY	I	11/01/07	5	999	-		UNK		21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620
58	0031362	MCCOLPIN,GARY,L	I	03/28/01	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
59	0031365	COTTON,ROBERT,L	I	12/13/05	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620

10/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders Incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

Latest Admission Type: 0201010 Parole Condition Violator

number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui del nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Condit Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
60	0031620	JORDAN,LAWRENCE,L	I	05/12/08	15	999	-	UNK		21 -3415	Aggravated Battery Against Law Enforcement Officer	N03	59 - 233
61	0031694	COLLINS,EDWARD,C	I	05/10/06	15	999	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
62	0031712	IVORY,CHARLES,JR	I	03/24/08	999	999	-	UNK		21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620
63	0031809	SZCZYGIEL,STAN,E	I	12/10/02	5	999	-	UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
64	0032317	BENNETT,JAMES,R	I	01/25/05	5	999	-	UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
65	0032358	ALEJOS,DAVID,G	I	09/05/06	999	999	-	UNK		21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF
66	0032571	BAKER,ROBERT,L	I	05/20/08	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
67	0032696	COOK,RONALD,DEAN	I	12/13/05	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
68	0032810	BECK,DAVID,L	I	03/06/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
69	0032939	THOMPSON,MICHAEL,D	I	12/27/99	10	20	-	UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467
70	0033054	TYLER,WILSON,JR	I	02/19/99	9	30	-	UNK		21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620
71	0033106	WILSON,FREDDIE	I	04/23/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
72	0033108	HEAVEY,THOMAS,C	I	10/16/07	15	60	-	UNK		21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
73	0033202	RICHARDSON,WILLIE,A	I	07/16/07	8	30	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
74	0033219	BRALEY,GORDON,O	I	09/18/07	2	10	-	UNK		21 -3716	Aggravated Burglary	N05	32 - 130
75	0033428	LUARKS,L,V,JR	I	11/27/07	15	999	-	UNK		21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620
76	0033451	BERNAL,PETER	I	02/27/08	1	20	-	UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
77	0033499	PEOPLES,RONALD,A	I	09/29/05	999	999	-	UNK		21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF
78	0033529	TURNER,BARRY,LYNN	I	03/23/07	7	999	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
79	0033728	GRISSOM,RICHARD,JR	I	11/09/89	999	999	-	UNK		21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF
80	0033783	HUTCHISON,KENNETH	I	01/25/08	10	999	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
81	0033791	PURDY,JOHN,ALLEN	I	06/16/08	999	999	-	UNK		21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF
82	0033803	TATE,PERVIS,JR	I	01/05/82	45	999	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
83	0033816	MOSES,PAUL,D	I	06/29/06	999	999	-	UNK		21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620
84	0033837	HILL,BILLY	I	11/01/85	25	30	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
85	0033842	PRICE,LARRY	I	04/17/08	5	999	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
86	0033856	SMITH,ROBIN,R	I	04/24/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
87	0033964	WRIGHT,STEVEN,A	I	04/04/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
88	0033999	ANTHONY,LEONARD,G	I	03/21/08	5	999	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
89	0034025	DIGGS,RONALD,L	I	02/14/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162

6-2-9

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ——— Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

56-9

Latest Admission Type: 0201010 Parole Condition Violator

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui dell nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Condit Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
90	0034069	GANN,RICHARD,E	I	12/08/00	5	999	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
91	0034146	MITCHELL,KEVIN,L	I	06/20/07	5	999	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
92	0034190	MINOR,SAMUEL	I	09/26/06	999	999	-	UNK		21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	
93	0034215	GUILLORY,CARLOS,E	I	09/07/04	10	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
94	0034450	HUDSON,SHEILA,DENISE	I	08/23/07	3	10	-	UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Drugs	D03	15 - 49	
95	0034575	PERKINS,RICKY	I	07/19/04	15	999	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	
96	0034698	WATSON,WAYNE,B,JR	A	12/01/05	5	999	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
97	0034979	JOHNSON,STANLEY,JR	I	04/19/07	999	999	-	UNK		21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	
98	0034989	FOX,HERBERT,L	I	12/28/04	6	20	-	UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	
99	0035123	WILLIAMS,LARRY,B	I	12/13/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130	
100	0035241	TROTTER,HERMAN,JR	I	06/02/05	999	999	-	UNK		21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	
101	0035302	GRIFFIN,RAYMOND,R	I	01/23/07	999	999	-	UNK		21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	
102	0035655	LANDRUM,EDWIN	I	09/21/04	8	20	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	
103	0035717	GILKEY,STEVEN,A	A	04/14/04	3	10	-	UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Drugs	D03	15 - 49	
104	0035793	ELIAS,DONAVAN,MANNS	I	01/25/07	15	999	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	
105	0035905	MORSE,PERCY,B	I	09/02/04	6	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
106	0035926	ALIRES,TERRY	I	10/22/07	30	60	-	UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	
107	0035953	PERCIADO,CARMEN,E	I	04/21/08	3	20	-	UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130	
108	0036018	MITCHELL,STEVEN,P	I	02/09/06	7	30	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
109	0036167	BIGELOW,ROBERT,L	I	08/01/06	10	30	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
110	0036287	HARRIS,WILEY	I	06/15/06	15	999	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
111	0036388	HANKINS,ROBERT,L	I	04/26/91	999	999	-	UNK		21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	
112	0036418	BASKIN,JOHNELL	I	07/13/06	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N05	32 - 130	
113	0036443	NORMAN,WALTER,LEE	A	08/05/03	999	999	-	UNK		21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	
114	0036563	HOWARD,KATHERINE	I	06/05/01	10	25	-	UNK		21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	
115	0036827	QUIRK,GARY,JOSEPH	I	06/27/08	15	999	-	UNK		21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	
116	0036868	COX,KEVIN,L	I	05/10/05	10	40	-	UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130	
117	0036907	HULL,GARLAND,T	I	05/15/06	5	40	-	UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Drugs	D03	15 - 49	
118	0036915	CURRY,SYLVESTER	I	09/29/00	3	10	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N04	41 - 162	

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

Latest Admission Type: 0201010 Parole Condition Violator

6-26

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
119	0036953	HILLIARD,KEITH,A	C	01/03/07	5	999	-	UNK		21 -3415	Aggravated Battery Against Law Enforcement Officer	N03	59 - 233	
120	0036981	FINCH,MICHAEL,A	I	03/27/08	15	30	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
121	0037035	GUIDEN,RONALD	A	11/09/93	10	999	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
122	0037044	HINDERLITER,DENNIS,W	I	12/03/07	7	15	-	UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos Rape	D03	15 - 49	
123	0037053	REQUENA,MARIO	I	03/29/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3502	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	
124	0037086	WHITTAKER,MORRIS,E	I	02/16/06	15	999	-	UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	
125	0037238	PETE,LORENZO	I	11/16/06	6	25	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
126	0037256	FERGUSON,CARL	I	12/22/99	5	30	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	
127	0037303	JARMON,VINCENT,R	I	06/30/05	3	10	-	UNK		21 -3716	Aggravated Burglary	N05	32 - 130	
128	0037345	BERRY,ALBERT,C	I	02/16/06	12	999	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	
129	0037382	CHAPMAN,HAROLD,L	I	01/08/07	3	10	-	UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos Robbery	D03	15 - 49	
130	0037387	PENN,JOHN,E	I	12/10/07	3	10	-	UNK		21 -3426	Aggravated Battery	N05	32 - 130	
131	0037542	ADCOCK,JOHN,VERNON	I	07/16/07	3	10	-	UNK		21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162	
132	0037555	RIVARD,SCOTT,A	I	08/23/07	4	10	-	UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos Aggravated Robbery	D03	15 - 49	
133	0037583	BUCKLEY,JAMES,F	I	08/09/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	
134	0037632	ALLEN,EARL,W	I	08/18/05	3	10	-	UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	
135	0037700	HINES,TROY,D	A	03/26/03	3	20	-	UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	
136	0037832	DOUGLAS,HERBERT,JR	I	01/22/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162	
137	0038242	REYNOLDS,CALVIN,W	I	09/25/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
138	0038625	JACQUES,SHERMAN,J	I	01/10/08	5	20	-	UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos Rape	D03	15 - 49	
139	0038785	WARNER,DARRELL,P	I	05/07/93	15	999	-	UNK		21 -3502	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	
140	0038837	HENDERSON,LONNIE,R	I	04/15/08	4	15	-	UNK		21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162	
141	0038864	THURMAN,REUBEN	I	01/02/08	10	30	-	UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	
142	0039280	BAKER,THOMAS,R	I	08/31/07	30	999	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ——— Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

62-9

yp Latest Admission Type: 0201010 Parole Condition Violator

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui dell nes indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
143	0039372	MCGEE,RANDY,R	I	03/28/02	3	20	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
144	0039492	NAGEL,GARY,L	I	11/13/07	3	10	-		UNK		65 -4127BB	AD,Distr,Sale Depres,Stim, Hall,Steroids	D03	15 - 49
145	0039699	HAILES,RONALD,A	I	03/13/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
146	0039717	BUTTS,THOMAS,A	A	02/02/00	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130
147	0039843	SHERFEY,MARK	A	03/29/95	10	999	-		UNK		21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620
148	0039852	DRISCOLL,MIKE	I	10/25/07	15	40	-		UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467
149	0039860	FISH,STUART,BARRY	I	04/24/08	999	999	-		UNK		21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620
150	0039997	TRIPP,CEDRICK,W	I	12/14/06	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
151	0040067	WILSON,PAUL,G	I	02/04/08	5	10	-		UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130
152	0040070	GAGNIER,RICHARD	I	12/14/07	8	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
153	0040160	MILO,GREGORY,J	I	08/24/89	999	999	-		UNK		21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF
154	0040170	GIDDENS,GARY	I	04/30/07	4	13	-		UNK		65 -4127A1	Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos	D03	15 - 49
155	0040381	OLIVER,DARRELL,A	I	11/13/07	10	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
156	0040630	STARNES,CRAIG,M	I	09/12/07	10	999	-		UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
157	0040689	THOMAS,RONALD,LEE	I	06/09/05	10	40	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
158	0040983	STOWELL,NANCY,MAE	I	02/24/04	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3504	Agg Ind Lib With A Child	N03	59 - 233
159	0041171	ALLISON,MELVIN,E	I	06/29/06	13	999	-		UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
160	0041231	BROWN,ALBERT,VINCENT	I	04/24/07	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3518	Aggravated Sexual Battery	N05	32 - 130
161	0041635	KECK,ROY,L	I	02/01/05	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
162	0041641	DAVIS,WILLIE,GASTER	I	12/07/06	12	999	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
163	0041647	WHITMIRE,ARIC,DAVID	I	05/10/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3718	Arson	N05	32 - 130
164	0041773	GOODALE,OTIS,EARL	I	06/28/05	5	10	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
165	0041897	WELLER,ROBERT,I	I	11/06/06	7	20	-		UNK		21 -3719	Aggravated Arson	N03	59 - 233
166	0041992	CHANNELL,DENNIS,JAMES	I	03/20/08	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
167	0042064	COUNTER,JERRY,D	I	11/14/05	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
168	0042144	MILLER,ANTHONY,DEAN	A	08/31/92	12	999	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
169	0042145	GRIFFITH,JOHNNY,E	I	03/27/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
170	0042422	JONES,ROBERT,E	I	09/11/07	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
171	0042503	FRALEY,DALLAS,A	I	09/15/05	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3603	Aggravated Incest	N05	32 - 130

10/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ——— Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

6-28

Latest Admission Type: 0201010 Parole Condition Violator

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concal'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concal'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
172	0042511	MILLS,LARRY,D	I	02/13/01	3	10	-	UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	
173	0042697	PLUNKETT,ALBERT,ORIN	I	08/14/03	10	999	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	
174	0042739	MAYS,DANIEL,LEE	I	07/29/02	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
175	0042775	COKER,ROGELL,HUBERT	I	12/13/07	10	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
176	0042818	WILLIAMS,WALTER,JR	I	02/09/05	3	10	-	UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos	D03	15 - 49	
177	0042844	DICKENS,WILLIAM,J	I	07/10/07	3	10	-	UNK		21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162	
178	0042861	HOLLOWAY,EVERETT	I	06/01/04	7	20	-	UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	
179	0042966	AVILA,RAMON,R	I	06/13/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
180	0043037	KINSLEY,JAMES,G	I	05/06/08	4	15	-	UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	
181	0043547	DERRETT,BILLY,JOE	I	04/22/08	999	999	-	UNK		21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	
182	0043616	ODE,LESTER,SR	I	09/27/07	12	999	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	
183	0043896	SMITH,RAYMOND,L	I	09/19/06	5	999	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
184	0044024	NICKSON,TIMOTHY,E	I	12/07/06	15	30	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	
185	0044161	CLOUSE,CALVIN,JAMES	I	08/27/07	10	30	-	UNK		21 -3716	Aggravated Burglary	N05	32 - 130	
186	0044380	GOLDSMITH,CLIFFORD, ASTON	I	04/30/07	9	20	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	
187	0044506	SHIPP,DEMISTRO,E	I	04/02/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
188	0044512	SULLIVAN,CLYDE	I	02/19/08	9	999	-	UNK		21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	
189	0044538	ZAHRADNIK,DAVID,W	I	12/20/05	12	40	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	
190	0044746	LEWIS,LEON	I	06/07/07	7	25	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
191	0044750	LIPP,JOSEPH	I	07/06/06	4	10	-	UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130	
192	0044894	PERRY,THOMAS,HARVEY	I	12/13/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
193	0044904	YOUNG,TIMOTHY,W	I	04/25/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130	
194	0045028	FOLK,DAVID,M	I	09/05/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
195	0045041	WHEELER,LLOYD	I	09/05/06	5	15	-	UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130	
196	0045254	WARREN,JOHN,A	I	03/10/08	3	10	-	UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	
197	0045258	ROUBIDEAUX,SEAN	I	08/14/07	3	10	-	UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130	
198	0045295	CREEKMUR,VIRGIL,J	I	01/22/07	5	20	-	UNK		65 -4127A2	Manuf/Poss/ Distrib Opial,Opium, Narcot Drugs (2ND)	D02	49 - 78	
199	0045525	JARMON,TONI,GAY	I	01/23/07	999	999	-	UNK		21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	
200	0045791	SKELLEY,RICHARD,S	I	06/24/08	1	5	-	UNK		21 -37011	Theft (\$500 thru \$50,000)	N09	6 - 16	

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ——— Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

6-9

yp Latest Admission Type: 0201010 Parole Condition Violator

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
201	0046189	STEELE,SHAWN	I	05/30/07	3	10	-		UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf, Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos Rape	D03	15 - 49
202	0046584	WHEELER,BRETT,DAMON	I	11/29/07	10	999	-		UNK		21 -3502	Aggravated Robbery	N02	117 - 467
203	0046602	SCOLES,RICK,D	I	09/04/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
204	0046627	HUNTER,EDWARD,CORNELL	I	10/27/04	3	8	-		UNK		21 -3715	Burglary	N07	12 - 32
205	0046655	ALLMON,ROCK,HENRY	I	03/27/08	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620
206	0046656	TAYLOR,ANTHONY,M	I	06/02/08	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
207	0046663	JUSTICE,BOBBY,D	I	01/26/04	8	20	-		UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
208	0046679	HARTLEY,DARREL	I	11/12/04	4	15	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
209	0046772	HOLLY,JOHN,C	I	01/31/08	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
210	0046807	DALE,FREDERICK,N,JR	I	11/07/06	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
211	0046887	WILLIAMS,LARRY,D	I	05/20/08	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
212	0046925	THOMAS,GREGORY,H	I	02/01/08	10	999	-		UNK		21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620
213	0047236	FEARS,BARRY,D	I	09/27/04	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
214	0047819	HAGGARD,ERNEST,JAMES	I	04/03/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N04	41 - 162
215	0047949	OATIS,ROBERT,LEE	I	12/28/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N04	41 - 162
216	0048097	DOTSON,BILLY,J	I	10/10/05	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
217	0048157	NIPPER,LEWIS,J	I	04/23/03	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467
218	0048176	HUTTON,TRAVIS,L	I	07/23/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
219	0048271	CARLTON,RICHARD,N	I	11/07/05	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3719	Aggravated Arson	N03	59 - 233
220	0048342	EVANS,TERRY,WAYNE	I	10/13/05	6	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
221	0048356	MCGEE,GLENDON,M	I	04/16/07	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130
222	0048364	KIRK,BRIAN,L	A	05/25/05	8	22	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
223	0048484	SUTHERLAND,DOUGLAS,A	I	12/18/07	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
224	0048519	HARTFIELD,MELVIN,L,JR	I	05/24/07	10	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
225	0048829	MALLORY,EDWARD,L	I	05/22/07	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3716	Aggravated Burglary	N05	32 - 130
226	0048836	GARNER,CORWIN,EARL	A	01/29/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
227	0048856	LEE,JASPER,DALE	I	08/08/07	10	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
228	0048912	FRANKLIN,MICHAEL,W	I	04/13/05	7	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
229	0048961	MILLER,CALVIN,S	I	05/22/08	2	10	-		UNK		21 -3518	Aggravated Sexual Battery	N05	32 - 130
230	0048977	RAMAGE,DONALD,EUGENE	I	01/25/02	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
231	0048999	HILLBRANDT,ANTHONY,J	I	11/27/07	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3518	Aggravated Sexual Battery	N05	32 - 130

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

6-30

Typ Latest Admission Type: 0201010 Parole Condition Violator

number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Condit Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
232	0049197	HAMMOND,REGINALD	I	02/12/07	3	10	-	UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos	D03	15 - 49
233	0049233	JONES,JESSIE,JAMES	I	08/02/06	15	60	-	UNK		65 -4127BB	Manuf,Poss, AD,Distr,Sale Depres,Stim, Hall,Steroids	D03	15 - 49
234	0050106	BATES,TEVEERE,R	I	01/19/05	4	10	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N04	41 - 162
235	0050198	HERNANDEZ,HAROLD	I	03/07/06	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162
236	0050351	BARNES,JAMES,A	I	08/09/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
237	0050355	LUCERO,KERRY,G	I	05/17/04	7	20	-	UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
238	0050537	IJAMES,WALLIS,D	I	05/10/05	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
239	0050592	ROLAND,DARRYL	I	04/22/08	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
240	0050624	HUTTON,CHARLES,D	I	05/09/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
241	0050768	GRAHAM,TRAVIS	I	03/13/08	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
242	0050834	EDWARDS,JAMES,E	I	10/09/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162
243	0050879	HARRIS,CURTIS,LEE	I	11/05/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
244	0051036	WILLIAMS,PAUL,RAY	I	05/17/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
245	0051090	BELL,ERIC	I	04/03/08	1	5	-	UNK		21 -3715	Burglary	N07	12 - 32
246	0051098	PENRICE,RONALD,E	I	01/07/03	10	20	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
247	0051252	CARPENTER,RONALD,L	I	05/20/08	10	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
248	0051281	BOLIEU,THOMAS,M	I	06/05/07	5	15	-	UNK		65 -4127BB	Manuf,Poss, AD,Distr,Sale Depres,Stim, Hall,Steroids	D03	15 - 49
249	0051352	LEONARD,CARY,WAYNE	I	01/27/05	10	20	-	UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
250	0051559	MONEY,GUY,F,III	I	05/15/08	6	25	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
251	0051595	HEDGER,TERRY,L	I	02/14/08	15	999	-	UNK		21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620
252	0051621	BONG,TROY,A	I	08/08/06	6	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
253	0051673	TALAMASEY,HOUSTON	I	11/16/04	3	20	-	UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
254	0051725	SEALS,BILLY,W	I	12/27/07	3	10	-	UNK		21 -3410	Aggravated Assault	N07	12 - 32
255	0051814	BLACKMORE,MICHAEL,A	I	04/04/02	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
256	0051848	FISK,GEORGE	I	01/16/02	7	20	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
257	0052006	PETERSON,ANDRE,L	I	07/28/00	4	20	-	UNK		21 -3403	Voluntary Manslaughter	N03	59 - 233
258	0052021	HARGROVE,CHARLES,A	I	05/27/08	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3403	Voluntary Manslaughter	N03	59 - 233
259	0052205	MCANELLY,STEVEN,T	I	05/02/08	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N05	32 - 130
260	0052324	DAVIS,DONALD,LEE	I	06/26/08	1	2	-	UNK		21 -3810	Aggravated Escape From Custody	N06	18 - 43
261	0052473	CLEMENT,CLARENCE,L	I	04/30/08	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

6-31

yp Latest Admission Type: 0201010 Parole Condition Violator

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Condit Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
262	0052666	ROBINSON,TRENT,A	I	11/29/07	15	20	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	
263	0052714	WHISTNANT,GLENN,L	I	05/31/05	10	20	-	UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	
264	0052886	ROBERTS,JOSEPH,M	I	06/16/08	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	
265	0052889	MILTON,DARYL	I	12/15/05	5	20	-	UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos	D03	15 - 49	
266	0053225	HARVEY,WILLIS,CARMICHAEL	A	01/07/05	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
267	0053394	SHELTON,CRAIG,RYAN	I	02/26/08	10	20	-	UNK		21 -3415	Aggravated Battery Against Law Enforcement Officer	N03	59 - 233	
268	0053590	NASH,DAVID,L	I	07/12/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
269	0053726	VELEZ,EDDY,D	I	04/14/04	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	
270	0053812	LOWE,MICHAEL,A	I	08/15/06	10	999	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
271	0053827	JUAREZ,DANIEL,F	I	05/01/07	12	30	-	UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	
272	0053869	HEMBY,KENNETH,D,JR	I	08/03/06	15	999	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	
273	0054087	WEBB,WILLIAM,RAY	A	11/04/04	5	20	-	UNK		65 -4127A2	Manuff/Poss/ Distrib Opiat,Opium, Narcot Drugs (2ND)	D02	49 - 78	
274	0054215	DIXON,LONNIE,III	I	05/03/07	6	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
275	0054279	BAIRD,BRYAN,L	I	06/13/07	5	15	-	UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos	D03	15 - 49	
276	0054334	ROMERO,ABRAHAM,L	I	09/16/04	3	10	-	UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	
277	0054350	BOWMAN,DARRYL,GENE	I	05/29/08	8	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
278	0054388	EDWARDS,ANTHONY,R	I	11/08/06	15	999	-	UNK		21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	
279	0054419	PARRISH,DANIEL,C	I	09/30/04	15	999	-	UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	
280	0054461	PETERSON,ALLAN,DALE	I	06/10/08	6	20	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	
281	0054525	PEREZ,VINCENT	I	11/14/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3401	Conspiracy to Murder 1st degree	N02	117 - 467	
282	0054548	WALKER,FLOYD	I	04/14/08	7	20	-	UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	
283	0054556	MCDONALD,BRIAN,C	I	01/04/07	10	25	-	UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	
284	0054560	HILL,WILLIAM	I	06/21/07	2	7	-	UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N05	32 - 130	
285	0054599	MCPHAUL,RAYMOND,A	I	09/13/07	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	
286	0054614	GEORGE,KEVIN,R	I	08/12/04	5	20	-	UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130	

10/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

yp Latest Admission Type: 0201010 Parole Condition Violator

6-32

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Condit Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
287	0054616	GONZALES,STEPHEN	I	07/11/02	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3401	Conspiracy to Murder 1st degree	N02	117 - 467
288	0054662	MAYS,DARIN	I	02/19/08	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
289	0054750	OLDS,ALVIE,L	I	07/02/07	10	20	-		UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467
290	0054862	NORBY,JOE,A	I	12/23/03	5	15	-		UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Drugs	D03	15 - 49
291	0054930	SYNORACKI,ROBERT	I	05/28/08	10	999	-		UNK		21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620
292	0054960	DESCHNER,JAMES,I	I	06/27/02	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
293	0054966	JOHNSON,GARY,D	I	01/09/08	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162
294	0055036	MANS,ROBERT,B	I	11/22/05	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
295	0055057	MARTINEZ,MICHAEL	I	01/14/08	15	50	-		UNK		21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620
296	0055186	HUNT,JC	C	10/18/01	5	10	-		UNK		21 -3403	Voluntary Manslaughter	N03	59 - 233
297	0055233	MERCHANT,LONNIE,G	I	06/20/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
298	0055241	CALDWELL,DERRICK,L	I	05/17/07	2	5	-		UNK		21 -3410	Aggravated Assault	N07	12 - 32
299	0055263	EASLEY,STEVEN	I	06/24/08	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162
300	0055271	GRAY,SCOTT	I	04/17/08	8	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
301	0055345	MENDOZA,ERIC,K	C	11/29/07	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130
302	0055402	SAULS,LIONEL,A	I	04/09/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
303	0055588	SMITH,JEFFERY,L	I	11/03/05	2	5	-		UNK		21 -3410	Aggravated Assault	N07	12 - 32
304	0055602	MOORE,DWIGHT,NATHANIEL	I	05/12/08	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
305	0055682	TOVAR,SALVADOR,JORGE	C	10/20/05	7	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
306	0055751	HARRIS,JOSEPH	I	06/02/08	4	10	-		UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Drugs	D03	15 - 49
307	0055832	MALONE,ROBERT	A	10/07/03	10	20	-		UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467
308	0055882	HARP,GARY,LYNN	A	04/16/07	5	20	-		UNK		65 -4127A2	Manuf/Poss/ Distrib Opiat,Opium, Narcot Drugs (2ND)	D02	49 - 78
309	0055955	BULLOCK,RAFEAL	I	06/14/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162
310	0056052	MOORE,ERNEST,CHARLES	I	01/31/08	3	10	-		UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Drugs	D03	15 - 49
311	0056098	MUCHOW,DAVID,D	I	01/07/04	10	20	-		UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ——— Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

633

Typ Latest Admission Type: 0201010 Parole Condition Violator

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui del nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Condit Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
312	0056104	MILLER,EFFREM,Z	I	04/08/08	3	10	-		UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos Aggravated Battery	D03	15 - 49
313	0056145	GREGORY,PERRY,J	I	11/07/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3414	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162
314	0056212	HAYES,DONALD	I	09/18/06	4	15	-		UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos Aggravated Battery	D03	15 - 49
315	0056341	ARMSTRONG,MICHAEL,T	I	02/26/08	10	999	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
316	0056492	PURNELL,AARON,K	I	09/07/05	4	20	-		UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130
317	0056565	SHELBY,ROBERT	I	06/09/05	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
318	0056576	SCIFRES,RICHARD	I	07/19/05	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N04	41 - 162
319	0056644	HOLMES,RICHARD	I	04/29/08	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
320	0056835	STORMENT,RONALD,R	I	08/07/06	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
321	0056839	STENNIS,ARTHUR	I	05/19/08	3	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N05	32 - 130
322	0056919	KOCH,LONNIE,E	I	06/15/06	4	10	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
323	0056953	JOHNSON,ROBERT,K	I	07/14/05	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
324	0057045	CARTER,GEOFFREY,S	I	05/10/07	3	10	-		UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos Aggravated Battery	D03	15 - 49
325	0057105	HALL,DELANO,E	I	06/01/07	3	10	-		UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos Aggravated Battery	D03	15 - 49
326	0057254	CARGYLE,RONALD,L	I	06/17/03	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
327	0057350	PALMER,BOBBY,G,JR	I	04/17/07	6	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
328	0057485	CHASE,MICHAEL,L	I	08/21/07	15	999	-		UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
329	0057620	LOUDERMILK,LADELL,J	I	01/13/05	9	55	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
330	0057868	GAY,LEONARD	I	07/16/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130
331	0058126	ECCLES,CALVIN,D	I	03/27/08	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
332	0058141	BREEDEN,TODD,W	I	10/18/07	12	40	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
333	0058244	GLASS,WALTER	A	08/11/05	3	10	-		UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos Aggravated Battery	D03	15 - 49
334	0058265	JOHNSTON,PAUL,DAVID	I	02/27/07	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3414	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162
335	0058589	HAYNES,DENNIS,O,JR	I	09/04/07	5	20	-		UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos	D03	15 - 49

10/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ——— Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

Latest Admission Type: 0201010 Parole Condition Violator

6-34

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
336	0058912	YOHN,JAMES,D	I	11/08/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
337	0059152	DIXON,SEAN,G	I	04/03/07	5	10	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
338	0060131	COOPER,SUEVONIA,D	I	01/23/08	4	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N04	41 - 162
339	0060134	BRADLEY,CHARLES,E	I	02/14/08	10	25	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
340	0061047	COCKERHAM,LARRY,E	I	08/16/05	10	20	-		UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
341	0061187	WILLIAMS,DAVID,L	I	10/25/05	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3603	Aggravated Incest	N05	32 - 130
342	0062418	WALTERS,CHRISTOPHER,W	I	06/14/05	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
343	0064544	NEWSON,EDWARD,JR	I	06/19/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
344	0065215	HUNTER,TONY,C	I	12/27/07	8	20	-		UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467
Total	N 344		344	344	344	344	344	344	344	344	344	344	344	344

10/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

yp Latest Admission Type: 0201020 Parole Viola w/New Sentence

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui dell nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslv1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
1	0006765	WELLS,DARRELL,J	A	05/18/06	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
2	0006921	SCHLEGEL,ROGER,D	I	12/13/88	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
3	0006934	CARTER,GEORGE,J	I	08/25/82	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
4	0007200	SAYLER,DWAYNE,HUGH	I	01/24/84	5	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
5	0007242	MASON,GILBERT,A	A	07/11/05	15	999	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
6	0007392	REED,JAMES,L	I	01/09/79	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
7	0007400	SHAW,DENNIS,E,III	I	05/13/80	8	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
8	0007442	MASON,WILLIAM,H	I	10/15/90	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
9	0007655	WALKER,MICHAEL,J	I	01/09/08	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
10	0007811	CHRISTIAN,RONALD,L	A	02/20/08	5	20	65 -4127A1	Manuf,Poss,Distrib Opiates,Opium,Narcotic Drugs	D03	15 - 49	-	UNK		
11	0007866	JORDAN,ALLEN,R	A	01/07/80	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
12	0007949	ALLEN,DAN,E	I	12/29/80	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
13	0008013	ROBINSON,WILLIE,C	I	06/05/80	10	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
14	0008047	SKELTON,JOHN,A	I	11/17/88	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
15	0008062	COLLINS,THOMAS,K	I	03/09/81	25	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
16	0008091	LOVE,WILLIAM,E	A	08/07/07	13	25	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
17	0008144	MCCOWAN,RICHARD,M	I	04/13/07	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
18	0008299	DAVIS,JEFFREY,L	A	11/13/00	20	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
19	0008306	EDWARDS,WARREN,G	A	10/07/93	15	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
20	0008517	HEINRICH,DENNIS	A	08/28/01	5	20	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
21	0015452	WILSON,EARL	I	03/03/86	5	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
22	0016683	SWINNEY,FRANK,EVERETT	I	09/28/70	999	999	21 -3401	Murder in the First Degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
23	0017636	LAMB,THOMAS,P	I	06/16/70	999	999	21 -3401	Murder in the First Degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
24	0018157	JONES,ALFRED,G	A	09/13/95	999	999	21 -3401	Murder in the First Degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
25	0018591	LOGAN,ANDREW,S	I	03/08/77	15	999	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
26	0018704	SANDERS,HIRAM,H	I	03/01/77	45	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
27	0019112	WILSON,ALBERT,L	I	11/03/72	6	20	21 -3410	Aggravated Assault	N07	12 - 32	-	UNK		
28	0019804	JOHNSTON,MARVIN,W	I	10/01/79	30	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
29	0019807	RIDER,GLENDAL	I	03/27/79	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
30	0020486	COTTON,LOUIS,O	I	02/01/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
31	0020906	WILLIAMS,TOMMY,LEE	I	01/24/84	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
32	0020909	GARRETT,ELTON,D	A	01/31/07	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
33	0020959	GOODSEAL,CHARLES	A	01/31/07	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
34	0020985	MAY,JAMES,A	A	03/28/06	5	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
35	0022976	SPRECKER,DANNY,LEE	I	10/31/73	30	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
36	0023252	FEW,MICHAEL,D	A	08/22/07	10	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
37	0024226	WEY,CHARLES	I	09/06/73	30	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
38	0024601	HUMPHREY,ROY,E	I	11/01/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
39	0024712	TIMLEY,IRVIN	I	08/17/92	45	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
40	0024931	MARTIN,MARIO,D	I	10/29/79	5	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
41	0025242	LOVE,TONY,R	A	09/28/95	15	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
42	0025507	TURNER,JOHN,W,JR	I	06/09/77	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
43	0025655	FAUST,HAROLD,R	I	09/22/80	45	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
44	0025692	BURR,TERRY,LEE	I	12/19/79	45	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
45	0030443	SUTTON,FRANKLIN,EUGENE	I	09/20/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
46	0030482	BUIE,DANNY,R	A	11/06/07	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
47	0030541	BENNETT,KENNETH,L	A	11/21/05	15	30	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
48	0030601	CROSS,KENNETH	A	11/07/05	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
49	0030603	DIXON,GREGORY	A	05/14/07	5	20	21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130	-	UNK		
50	0030699	BARRY,LARRY,D	I	07/16/80	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
51	0030703	ORTEGA,ROBERT	I	04/13/81	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
52	0030724	VENZ,CARL,L	A	02/22/06	5	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
53	0030731	COX,THOMAS,L	I	04/16/80	10	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
54	0030811	MAHLANDT,RANDY,L	I	09/24/81	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		

08/08 pb/kpb

5C-6

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

Latest Admission Type: 0201020 Parole Viola w/New Sentence

number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui dell nes Indi cat or	lataadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_range Guidelines months range	cv_off Condition Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
55	0030937	SAYREE,GREGORY,DEWAYNE	I	07/14/83	5	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
56	0030993	BOHANON,CLEDITH,L	I	05/07/80	25	999	21 -3415	Aggravated Battery Against Law Enforcement Officer	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
57	0031099	CROWDER,JOHNNIE	A	06/06/02	8	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
58	0031848	HUNTER,ROBERT	A	04/15/08	10	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
59	0031940	DELEON,JOSE,N	A	09/27/83	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
60	0032150	SANFORD,DENNIS,C	I	02/18/91	5	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
61	0032498	JOHNSON,ROBERT,R,JR	A	06/05/08	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
62	0032534	BURKE,ALAN,KEVIN	I	01/06/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
63	0032598	JOHNSON,BRADLEY,W	A	08/26/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
64	0032623	LAUGHLIN,PHILLIP,L	I	12/15/81	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
65	0033023	BOND,CURTIS,EUGENE	I	02/19/92	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
66	0033115	COOPER,RICHARD,C	I	12/20/90	5	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
67	0033262	HOLLOMAN,EDWARD,E	A	08/06/03	15	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
68	0033471	HAINES,CHRISTOPHER,E	I	04/04/84	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
69	0033488	HALL,ALLAN,E	A	07/19/05	5	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
70	0033892	GIBBS,ROBERT,W	I	12/18/87	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
71	0034138	GALLOWAY,SHERMAN,L	I	12/23/81	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
72	0034538	RHODES,RONALD,L	I	07/07/81	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
73	0034951	MCKESSOR,DENNIS,D	I	02/02/88	30	60	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
74	0035277	ECHEVARRIA,MAXIMO,C	A	08/24/04	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
75	0035440	TIMMERMAN,LEBURN,H	A	03/09/06	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
76	0035643	STEFFEY,GREGORY,A	I	12/03/93	10	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
77	0035783	RICHMOND,JAMES,E	I	10/16/90	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
78	0035908	HOLT,STANTON	I	04/19/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
79	0036240	SYKES,MICHAEL,ANTHONY	I	04/07/83	3	10	21 -3410	Aggravated Assault	N07	12 - 32	-	UNK	
80	0036326	BAILEY,BRIAN,C	A	05/18/88	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
81	0036396	EWING,KEVIN,W	I	11/23/88	10	50	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N05	32 - 130	-	UNK	
82	0036446	WOOLDRIDGE,JAMES	I	01/24/84	45	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
83	0036450	JONES,DAVID,L	I	11/14/86	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
84	0036759	TOLLIVER,JOHN,C,II	A	11/15/06	5	20	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
85	0036863	TETERS,JOHN,EDWARD	A	09/22/95	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
86	0036918	DAVIS,ERNIE,RUSSELL	A	05/09/90	4	12	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
87	0036957	NEWCOMER,CHARLES,N	I	06/07/84	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
88	0037104	PAIGE,JAMES,L,JR	A	08/31/06	1	10	21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130	-	UNK	
89	0037155	HUNT,LUGENE,J	A	04/11/05	10	20	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
90	0037191	ROBBINS,GARY,A	I	03/23/93	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
91	0037305	WHITE,JOHNATHON,E	I	06/26/87	45	999	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
92	0037315	WYNN,ROBERT,W	A	01/11/07	10	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
93	0037318	MORRIS,KENNETH,LEE	I	03/23/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
94	0037352	MCINTOSH,MAURICE,J	I	12/18/90	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
95	0037401	KELLEY,ANTHONY	I	05/02/89	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
96	0037509	MEBANE,TYRONE	I	12/26/85	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
97	0037562	FLOWERS,FRANK,F	A	03/26/07	15	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
98	0037633	MCFARLANE,KEVIN,B	I	01/25/88	3	10	21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162	-	UNK	
99	0037693	WRIGHT,SHERMAN	I	03/03/88	45	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
100	0037768	HOOD,KENNETH,W	I	06/19/86	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
101	0038097	HOWARD,CARL,E	I	08/18/87	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK	
102	0038272	PHAM,NGAN	I	06/30/03	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
103	0038769	MACKEY,ALEX,R	A	06/02/05	15	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK	
104	0038904	SOVERNS,WILLIAM	I	06/15/84	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK	
105	0039128	WADE,GARY	A	02/27/01	15	60	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
106	0039371	RUSSELL,JOEL,L	I	08/27/93	30	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK	
107	0039419	WITTNER,PRESTON,F	A	11/07/05	1	2	21 -3810	Aggravated Escape From	N06	18 - 43	-	UNK	
108	0039869	WILLIAMS,WILLIE,L	I	06/24/93	5	20	21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162	-	UNK	

6-36

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

133-9

Latest Admission Type: 0201020 Parole Viola w/New Sentence

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Condit Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
109	0040117	MCDANIEL,TERRY,LEE	A	10/01/07	3	10	21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130	-	UNK		
110	0040476	SMITH,BRADLEY,R	I	07/02/87	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
111	0040544	WESSON,ERIC	A	07/27/98	5	20	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
112	0040573	HERONEMUS,DOUGLAS,L	I	10/31/89	30	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
113	0040619	SANFORD,ROBIN,C	A	04/13/06	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
114	0040706	JONES,ELDREN,A	C	10/11/05	10	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
115	0040760	KOOB,STEVEN,ARTHUR	C	08/06/07	3	10	65 -4127BA	Possession Depressants,Stimulants,Halluc, Steroids	D04	11 - 40	-	UNK		
116	0041141	BRESSMAN,ROGER,E	I	10/01/91	15	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
117	0041199	FALKE,RICHARD,L	A	12/07/06	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
118	0041659	FIELDS,RODERICK	I	08/26/93	7	20	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
119	0042105	HILL,JEFFERY,LEE	A	11/22/05	10	40	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
120	0042113	EDWARDS,KERMIT,J,JR	A	01/13/05	5	20	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
121	0042174	ORTEGO,MICHAEL,L	A	09/19/05	2	10	21 -3518	Aggravated Sexual Battery	N05	32 - 130	-	UNK		
122	0042409	MCCLOUGH,MARK,D	A	08/04/05	8	25	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
123	0042620	CAMERON,FREDRICK	A	06/16/05	3	20	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
124	0042974	HARMON,RICHARD,W	I	03/31/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
125	0043640	COFFMAN,JAMES,D	I	01/16/91	5	20	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
126	0044312	SMITH,GERALD,L	I	02/17/89	9	15	21 -3716	Aggravated Burglary	N05	32 - 130	-	UNK		
127	0044333	TOMLINSON,MICHAEL,P	I	02/22/90	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
128	0044834	CAMP,CHARLES,T	A	10/20/04	15	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
129	0044853	DUKE,SHANE,A	I	05/25/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
130	0045086	LEWIS,DEON,DONNIE	I	09/10/93	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
131	0045234	ARTERBERRY,TIMOTHY	A	02/27/91	3	10	21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130	-	UNK		
132	0045578	PAXTON,RONALD,LEE	I	11/13/92	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
133	0045599	PEREZ,ANDREW,JR	I	02/28/91	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
134	0046305	HILL,HAROLD,H	I	09/23/93	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
135	0046307	DAVIS,MITCHELL,D	I	07/02/92	15	999	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
136	0046635	CURRY,MICHAEL,S	I	10/13/92	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
137	0046874	DENNEY,DALE,M	A	03/15/94	30	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
138	0047253	HAWKINS,HAROLD,E,JR	A	10/11/06	3	10	21 -3716	Aggravated Burglary	N05	32 - 130	-	UNK		
139	0047272	JONES,CALVIN	A	05/23/07	3	10	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
140	0047297	SPEED,TYRONE,D	I	03/16/93	15	20	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
141	0047434	CONWAY,JACK,R	A	05/02/07	5	20	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
142	0047828	ALLISON,CHRISTOPHER	I	12/10/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
143	0047978	SHERLEY,GILFORD,L	A	02/01/06	5	20	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N05	32 - 130	-	UNK		
144	0048738	HILL,RAYMOND,CHARLES	A	01/25/07	5	20	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
145	0048815	ALLEN,DARREN,W	C	07/11/07	5	20	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
146	0048831	LEWIS,JAMES,H,JR	A	02/07/08	5	15	21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130	-	UNK		
147	0050292	EDWARDS,DARRON	I	03/10/92	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
148	0050924	KIRBY,ANTONIO	I	12/01/92	5	20	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
149	0051325	MCCLOUD,IKE	I	04/05/93	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
150	0051390	WILLIAMS,DUANE,A	A	11/01/04	8	25	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		
151	0051413	WHITE,MICHAEL,A	I	04/05/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
152	0051681	RODRIGUEZ,MANUEL	I	10/15/93	5	10	21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162	-	UNK		
153	0052446	MARESKA,JON,H,JR	I	04/27/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
154	0052490	ARTEAGA,TROY,J	A	03/03/94	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
155	0052535	MCIVER,RANDY,MICHAEL	I	10/26/93	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-	UNK		
156	0052565	UPTON,PHILIP,R	A	08/01/05	5	20	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-	UNK		
157	0052593	MCWHIRT,DANNY,LEE	A	11/08/06	5	20	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
158	0052763	WALLACE,DENNIS,J	I	03/17/93	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
159	0052891	JACKSON,SAMUEL,OTIS	A	11/28/05	12	40	21 -3401	Attempted Murder 1st	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
160	0053341	LUDLOW,JAMES,P,III	I	05/18/93	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-	UNK		
161	0054876	CLARK,HAROLD,L	I	01/15/08	5	20	21 -3403	Voluntary Manslaughter	N03	59 - 233	-	UNK		

10/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

,p Latest Admission Type: 0201020 Parole Viola w/New Sentence

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	lataddate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
162	0055579	SIRVIRA,HENRY,EARL	A	04/02/07	5	20	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
163	0056259	ROUSE,SHAFT,D	A	12/10/07	5	20	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
164	0057156	BALDWIN,DAVID,B	I	02/06/07	2	5	21 -3715(1)	Burglary	N07	12 - 32	-		UNK	
165	0057475	SWAN,HENRY,J	I	01/17/07	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
166	0059316	ROSE,STEVEN,A	C	01/11/07	5	20	21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130	-		UNK	
167	0059720	ABRAHAM,GREGORY,W	A	07/16/07	14	40	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
Total	N 167		167	167	167	167	167	167	167	167	167	167	167	

10/08/08 pb/kpb

6-38

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

639

yp Latest Admission Type: 0201025 Parole to Detainer w/New Sentence

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	laladate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
1	0036956	HAMMOND,STEVE	I	03/26/91	999	999	21 -3421	Aggravated Kidnapping	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
2	0042008	COOK,KENNETH,M	I	05/05/94	15	999	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
3	0048268	CAMACHO,RICHARD,A	A	10/25/96	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
Total	N	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3

10/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

,p Latest Admission Type: 0202010 CR Condition Violator

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Condit Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
1	0006536	LEVIER,JAMES,F	I	04/01/98	10	40	-		UNK		21 -3403	Voluntary Manslaughter	N03	59 - 233
2	0007035	JENKINS,SHERMAN,N	I	08/24/00	1	5	-		UNK		21 -3810	Aggravated Escape From Custody	N06	18 - 43
3	0020697	PRICE,CLIFFORD,D	A	08/05/94	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
4	0024477	CLAASSEN,TIMOTHY,A	I	07/03/07	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3715(1)	Burglary	N07	12 - 32
5	0025598	HENRY,JERROLD,EUGENE	I	05/04/95	1	20	-		UNK		21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162
6	0030618	COMSTOCK,EDWARD,III	I	11/08/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
7	0030953	HARRIS,KEVIN,L	A	07/30/04	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130
8	0031170	JONES,JOHN,WALDO	I	07/26/05	4	20	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
9	0031810	STRONG,CALVIN,C	I	07/23/03	15	30	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
10	0032327	ALEXANDER,JACKIE,E	I	06/05/07	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
11	0033526	HENDERSON,MARK,A	I	08/27/02	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162
12	0033599	BROOKS,MICHAEL,L	I	01/23/07	10	40	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N04	41 - 162
13	0035269	MARKS,ELMORE,JR	I	05/01/97	10	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
14	0036033	WRIGHT,LARRY,E	I	01/20/04	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
15	0037483	LAWSON,MCCARVER	I	08/04/00	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3715(1)	Burglary	N07	12 - 32
16	0038774	MARTIN,EDDIE,JR	I	03/09/99	10	30	-		UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467
17	0038925	DAVIS,ROBERT,EARL	I	10/31/06	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
18	0039475	WIXON,JOHN,JAMES	I	02/21/07	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162
19	0040447	MURDOCK,JOHN,E	A	04/08/08	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
20	0040664	HUBBARD,DONALD,ALLEN	I	11/27/07	5	10	-		UNK		21 -3403	Voluntary Manslaughter	N03	59 - 233
21	0041527	MAY,TOMMY	A	09/29/04	10	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
22	0044174	RUMSEY,CHARLES,D	I	05/09/05	4	15	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
23	0045728	BUTLER,CARL,ANDREW	I	08/08/07	15	30	-		UNK		21 -3415	Aggravated Battery Against Law Enforcement Officer	N03	59 - 233
24	0046296	JACKSON,DONALD,RAY	I	02/21/08	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3716	Aggravated Burglary	N05	32 - 130
25	0047481	BROWN,DUDLEY,E	I	11/27/06	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162
26	0048642	VAUGHN,TIMOTHY	I	09/27/99	8	20	-		UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467
27	0049036	ANZIANA,ERNEST,M	I	12/22/99	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3603	Aggravated Incest	N05	32 - 130
28	0050923	SHINE,LESTER,R	I	04/19/07	5	20	-		UNK		65 -4127A2	Manuf/Poss/ Distrib Opial,Opium, Narcot Drugs (2ND)	D02	49 - 78

6-40

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

14-3

yp Latest Admission Type: 0202010 CR Condition Violator

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Condit Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
29	0050930	VAUGHT, CHARLES, D	I	10/14/99	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
30	0051296	LAMB, CORDELL, J	I	10/14/03	6	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
31	0052132	WASHINGTON, DONALD	I	03/02/01	6	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
32	0052584	WEBB, JARNELL, G	I	08/18/05	10	20	-		UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467
33	0052816	BERNAL, RAY, R	I	11/27/02	4	15	-		UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf, Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos	D03	15 - 49
34	0052969	KNIGHTEN, BILLEY, D	I	07/21/04	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3603	Aggravated Incest	N05	32 - 130
35	0053104	HARRIS, DEJUANA DEIU, C	I	03/09/01	8	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
36	0053306	STONE, JAMES, C	I	05/18/01	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467
37	0053334	STONE, NATHAN, W	I	08/08/01	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467
38	0053623	SMITH, RANDAL, D	I	04/26/01	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
39	0055968	SWEET, ROGER	I	04/08/04	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
40	0056160	CRAWFORD, KEVIN, L	I	03/19/07	4	15	-		UNK		21 -3716	Aggravated Burglary	N05	32 - 130
41	0056676	MEGGERS, AARON, G	I	12/31/02	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3603	Aggravated Incest	N05	32 - 130
42	0057449	MILLER, KENNETH, R	I	11/01/05	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233
43	0057860	RALSTON, JIMMIE, D	I	05/10/06	2	10	-		UNK		21 -3715(1)	Burglary	N07	12 - 32
44	0057889	SCALES, LUCAS	A	07/27/04	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233
45	0058529	JOHNSON, SHON, DEON	I	02/01/05	5	20	-		UNK		21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467
46	0063597	GRIFFIN, MATTHEW, B	I	12/02/04	3	10	-		UNK		21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233
47	0065810	EMBREY, RONALD, D	I	06/06/07	3	10	-		UNK		65 -4127A1	Manuf, Poss, Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos	D03	15 - 49
48	0075746	HARRIS, DAVID, L, JR	I	05/27/08	3	10	-		UNK		65 -4127A1	Distrib Opiates, Opium, Narcotic Druos	D03	15 - 49
Total	N 48		48	48	48	48	48		48	48	48	48	48	48

10/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

Latest Admission Type: 0202020 CR w/New Sentence

6-42

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Condition Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
1	0006771	KELLEY, THOMAS, ODELL	I	07/08/91	15	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
2	0019808	BANKS, ROBERT, E., JR	A	06/14/93	5	20	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N04	41 - 162	-		UNK	
3	0025260	MASSEY, JAMES, M	I	06/03/92	15	999	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
4	0030379	CONWAY, LARRY, D	I	12/09/91	15	35	21 -3402	Murder, 2nd degree	N01	155-620	-		UNK	
5	0032054	PRINGLE, KIRK, W	I	11/27/90	10	40	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
6	0035017	DALE, JOHN, A	I	03/10/92	10	20	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
7	0036124	JOHNSON, SHAUN, P	A	05/17/05	5	20	21 -3403	Voluntary Manlaughter	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
8	0036340	ROCHA, RENE, SR	I	04/26/95	5	20	21 -3502	Rape	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
9	0037080	CRANDALL, HAROLD, H	A	04/08/03	5	20	21 -3503	Indecent Liberties With A Child	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
10	0042490	WONSETLER, ROBERT, CHESTER	I	05/17/91	5	20	21 -3414	Aggravated Battery	N04	41 - 162	-		UNK	
11	0043673	BILBREY, MICHAEL	A	08/10/07	3	10	21 -3518	Aggravated Sexual Battery	N05	32 - 130	-		UNK	
12	0044351	CLEMENTS, JOHN, F, JR	I	01/25/91	30	999	21 -3506	Aggravated Sodomy	N02	117 - 467	-		UNK	
13	0046654	RINCK, CHRISTOPHER, A	I	06/21/93	15	999	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
14	0053015	JOHNSON, RICHARD, P	A	12/10/92	999	999	21 -3401	Murder, 1st degree	OFF	OFF	-		UNK	
Total	N	14		14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	

10/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders Incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

gh-9

Latest Admission Type: 0203010

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
1	0034005	WILLIAMS, JOSEPH, W	1	05/14/07	8	20	21 -3427	Aggravated Robbery	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
2	0046413	HUNTER, GREGORY	1	04/18/08	9	999	21 -3420	Kidnapping	N03	59 - 233	-		UNK	
Total	N		2		2				2		2		2	2

10/08/08 pb/kpb

Case Summaries: Offenders incarcerated 06/30/08 (prophet 2) with offense date prior to July 1, 1993
 Split by latest admit type, sorted by Offender Number ----- Computed Severity Level as well as min/max ONLY reflect most serious offense

yp Latest Admission Type: 0205010

	number Offender KDOC Number	name Offender Commitment Name	glin dic Gui deli nes Indi cat or	latadate	inmsmn Minimum Sentence Length	inmsmx Maximum Sentence Length	mso_off MSO Offense concat'd	inmsdes Current MSO Description	inmslev1 Computed Offense Severity Level	GL_month_ range Guidelines months range	cv_off Conditon Violator Offense concat'd	CMSADES CV Most Serious Active Offense Description	cv_slev1 Condit Viola Computed Offense Severity Level	cv_GL_ month_range Guidelines months range: CVs
1	0052905	JACKSON,CLINTON	A	06/23/07	3	10	21 -3426	Robbery	N05	32 - 130	-	UNK		
Total	N	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	

10/08/08 pb/kpb

6-44

Memorandum to House Corrections & Juvenile Justice Committee: Representative Pat Colloton, Chairperson
From: Kansas Parole Board
March 11, 2009

Ref: 2009 HB 2340
APPENDICIES

54-9

APPENDIX C

**KANSAS SENTENCING COMMISSION
KANSAS SENTENCING GUIDELINES DESK REFERENCE MANUAL 2008
SENTENCING RANGES -- DRUG AND NON-DRUG GRIDS**

SENTENCING RANGE – DRUG OFFENSES

6-46

Category →	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Severity Level ↓	3 + Person Felonies	2 Person Felonies	1 Person & 1 Nonperson Felonies	1 Person Felony	3 + Nonperson Felonies	2 Nonperson Felonies	1 Nonperson Felony	2 + Misd.	1 Misd. No Record
I	204 194 185	196 186 176	187 178 169	179 170 161	170 162 154	167 158 150	162 154 146	161 150 142	154 146 138
II	83 78 74	77 73 68	72 68 65	68 64 60	62 59 55	59 56 52	57 54 51	54 51 49	51 49 46
III	51 49 46	47 44 41	42 40 37	36 34 32	32 30 28	26 24 23	23 22 20	19 18 17	16 15 14
IV	42 40 37	36 34 32	32 30 28	26 24 23	22 20 18	18 17 16	16 15 14	14 13 12	12 11 10

LEGEND
Presumptive Probation
Border Box
Presumptive Imprisonment

Probation Terms are:

36 months recommended for felonies classified in Severity Levels 1-2

18 months (up to) for felonies classified in Severity Level 3

12 months (up to) for felonies classified in Severity Level 4

Postrelease Supervision Terms are:

36 months for felonies classified in Severity Levels 1-2

24 months for felonies classified in Severity Level 3

12 months for felonies classified in Severity Level 4 except for some K.S.A. 65-4160 and 65-4162 offenses on and after 11/01/03.

Postrelease for felonies committed before 4/20/95 are:

24 months for felonies classified in Severity Levels 1-3

12 months for felonies classified in Severity Level 4

SENTENCING RANGE - NONDRUG OFFENSES

14-6

Category →	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Severity Level ↓	3+ Person Felonies	2 Person Felonies	1 Person & 1 Nonperson Felonies	1 Person Felony	3+ Nonperson Felonies	2 Nonperson Felonies	1 Nonperson Felony	Misdemeanor 2+	Misdemeanor 1 No Record
I	653 620 592	618 586 554	285 272 258	267 253 240	246 234 221	226 214 203	203 195 184	186 176 166	165 155 147
II	493 467 442	460 438 416	216 205 194	200 190 181	184 174 165	168 160 152	154 146 138	138 131 123	123 117 109
III	247 233 221	228 216 206	107 102 96	100 94 89	92 88 82	83 79 74	77 72 68	71 66 61	61 59 55
IV	172 162 154	162 154 144	75 71 68	69 66 62	64 60 57	59 56 52	52 50 47	48 45 42	43 41 38
V	136 130 122	128 120 114	60 57 53	55 52 50	51 49 46	47 44 41	43 41 38	38 36 34	34 32 31
VI	46 43 40	41 39 37	38 36 34	36 34 32	32 30 28	29 27 25	26 24 22	21 20 19	19 18 17
VII	34 32 30	31 29 27	29 27 25	26 24 22	23 21 19	19 18 17	17 16 15	14 13 12	13 12 11
VIII	23 21 19	20 19 18	19 18 17	17 16 15	15 14 13	13 12 11	11 10 9	11 10 9	9 8 7
IX	17 16 15	15 14 13	13 12 11	13 12 11	11 10 9	10 9 8	9 8 7	8 7 6	7 6 5
X	13 12 11	12 11 10	11 10 9	10 9 8	9 8 7	8 7 6	7 6 5	7 6 5	7 6 5

Probation Terms are:

- 36 months recommended for felonies classified in Severity Levels 1-5
- 24 months recommended for felonies classified in Severity Levels 6-7
- 18 months (up to) for felonies classified in Severity Level 8
- 12 months (up to) for felonies classified in Severity Levels 9-10

Postrelease Supervision Terms are:

- 36 months for felonies classified in Severity Levels 1-4
- 24 months for felonies classified in Severity Level 5-6
- 12 months for felonies classified in Severity Levels 7-10

Postrelease for felonies committed before 4/20/95 are:

- 24 months for felonies classified in Severity Levels 1-6
- 12 months for felonies classified in Severity Level 7-10

LEGEND
Presumptive Probation
Border Box
Presumptive Imprisonment

APPENDIX D

**KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
KASPER INFORMATION SHEETS
OFFENDERS REFERENCED BY ELLIBEE**

KASPER INTERNAL KDOC - OFFENDER POPULATION SEARCH

Kansas Adult Supervised Population Electronic Repository
 Kansas Criminal Justice Information System



OFFENDERS SHALL NOT BE ARRESTED SOLELY ON THE BASIS OF INFORMATION DISPLAYED ON THIS SITE

KRNAC, NORMAN J, (KDOC # 0050350)

10-Life

This Information is Current as of: Mar 9 2009 5:11AM

Name(s)

Name Type	Name
Conviction	KRNAC, NORMAN J
True	KRNAC, NORMAN

Identification

KDOC#	SIDNum	FBI Num
0050350	████████	████████

Birthdate(s)


Birthdate Type	Birthdate	Age
True	Jul 13, 1967	41

Demographics

Eye Color	Hair Color	Height	Weight	Gender	Race
Brown	Brown	6'-1"	244	Male	White



KRNAC, NORMAN J



KRNAC, NORMAN J

Current Status reported by Dept. of Corrections

Earliest Possible Release Date (1)	Current Status	Admission Date	Current Location (2)	Custody Level
Aug 01, 2010	Incarcerated	Sep 26, 1989	El Dorado CF-Central	Low Medium

(1) This date could be affected by a parole board decision or good time and/or program credit.
 (2) Click on Location for the Facility web site.

Convictions(s)

County	Case Number	Offense Date	Sentencing Date	ACS	Criminal Conviction Description	Counts	Crime Severity Level	Case Status	Stat
Geary	88CR1005	Dec 08, 1988	Jul 05, 1989	N/A	Theft (\$500 thru \$50,000)	1	Class E Felony	Active	KS
Geary	88CR1005	Dec 08, 1988	Jul 05, 1989	N/A	Murder, 2nd degree	1	Class B Felony	Active	KS

* - Denotes Active for Post Release Supervision Only.

KDOC Physical Location History(s)

Location	Movement Date	Movement Reason
El Dorado CF-Central	Dec 29, 1993	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Oct 19, 1989	Inter-Facility Movement
Topeka CF-RDU	Sep 26, 1989	New Court Commitment

KDOC Disciplinary Report(s) since January 1996

--	--	--

6-49

Date	Class	Location	Type of report
Sep 06, 2000	3	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Violation of Published Orders

Kansas Department of Corrections

6-50

KASPER INTERNAL KDOC - OFFENDER POPULATION SEARCH



Kansas Adult Supervised Population Electronic Repository
 Kansas Criminal Justice Information System

OFFENDERS SHALL NOT BE ARRESTED SOLELY ON THE BASIS OF INFORMATION DISPLAYED ON THIS SITE

STONE, RICHARD E, (KDOC # 0055063)

18-Life

This Information is Current as of: Mar 9 2009 5:11AM

Name(s)

Name Type	Name
Conviction	STONE, RICHARD E
True	STONE, RICHARD EARL

Identification


KDOC#	SIDNum	FBI Num
0055063	227061	29163021

Birthdate(s)


Birthdate Type	Birthdate	Age
True	Jan 21, 1954	55

Demographics

Eye Color	Hair Color	Height	Weight	Gender	Race
Brown	Black	6'-1"	264	Male	Black



STONE, RICHARD E



STONE, RICHARD E

Current Status reported by Dept. of Corrections

Earliest Possible Release Date (1)	Current Status	Admission Date	Current Location (2)	Custody Level
Dec 01, 2011	Incarcerated	Apr 14, 1992	El Dorado CF-Central	Low Medium

- (1) This date could be affected by a parole board decision or good time and/or program credit.
- (2) Click on Location for the Facility web site.

Convictions(s)

County	Case Number	Offense Date	Sentencing Date	ACS	Criminal Conviction Description	Counts	Crime Severity Level	Case Status	Stat
Sedgwick	91CR1943	Nov 23, 1991	Mar 27, 1992	N/A	Murder, 2nd degree	1	Class B Felony	Active	KS
Sedgwick	91CR313	Sep 13, 1990	Mar 27, 1992	N/A	Manuf,Poss,Distrib Opiates,Opium,Narcotic Drugs	1	Class C Felony	Active	KS

* - Denotes Active for Post Release Supervision Only.

KDOC Physical Location History(s)

Location	Movement Date	Movement Reason
El Dorado CF-Central	Oct 24, 2002	Inter-Facility Movement
Ellsworth CF	Jan 08, 1996	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Jan 05, 1996	Inter-Facility Movement

6-50

Hutchinson CF-East	Apr 11, 1995	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Feb 21, 1995	Inter-Facility Movement
Norton CF-Central	May 04, 1992	Inter-Facility Movement
Topeka CF-RDU	Apr 14, 1992	New Court Commitment

KDOC Disciplinary Report(s) since January 1996

Date	Class	Location	Type of report
Apr 28, 2008	2	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Less Dangerous Contraband
Aug 16, 2000	3	Ellsworth Correctional Facility	Improper Use of Food
Jun 19, 1996	2	Ellsworth Correctional Facility	Regis. & Use of Pers. Property

Kansas Department of Corrections

6.52

15-Life

KASPER INTERNAL KDOC - OFFENDER POPULATION SEARCH

Kansas Adult Supervised Population Electronic Repository
 Kansas Criminal Justice Information System



OFFENDERS SHALL NOT BE ARRESTED SOLELY ON THE BASIS OF INFORMATION DISPLAYED ON THIS SITE

FISHER, MARIO S, (KDOC # 0056797)

This Information is Current as of: Mar 9 2009 5:11AM

Name(s)

Name Type	Name
Conviction	FISHER, MARIO S
True	FISHER, MARIO SANCHEZ

Identification


KDOC#	SIDNum	FBINum
0056797	[REDACTED]	295609R44

Birthdate(s)


Birthdate Type	Birthdate	Age
True	Dec 01, 1974	34

Demographics

Eye Color	Hair Color	Height	Weight	Gender	Race
Brown	Black	5'-5"	150	Male	Black



FISHER, MARIO S



FISHER, MARIO S

Current Status reported by Dept. of Corrections

Earliest Possible Release Date (1)	Current Status	Admission Date	Current Location (2)	Custody Level
Feb 01, 2011	Incarcerated	Mar 09, 1993	El Dorado CF-Central	Minimum

- (1) This date could be affected by a parole board decision or good time and/or program credit.
- (2) Click on Location for the Facility web site.

Convictions(s)

County	Case Number	Offense Date	Sentencing Date	ACS	Criminal Conviction Description	Counts	Crime Severity Level	Case Status	State
Sedgwick	92CR1602	Aug 08, 1992	Feb 12, 1993	N/A	Aggravated Battery	1	Class C Felony	Active	KS
Sedgwick	92CR1602	Aug 08, 1992	Feb 12, 1993	N/A	Kidnapping	5	Class B Felony	Active	KS
Sedgwick	92CR1602	Aug 08, 1992	Feb 12, 1993	N/A	Aggravated Robbery	6	Class B Felony	Active	KS

* - Denotes Active for Post Release Supervision Only.

KDOC Physical Location History(s)

Location	Movement Date	Movement Reason
El Dorado CF-Central	Sep 19, 2006	Inter-Facility Movement
Lansing CF-Central	Jul 26, 2005	Inter-Facility Movement
El Dorado CF-Central	May 13, 2003	Inter-Facility Movement
Lansing CF-Central	Mar 25, 1993	Inter-Facility Movement

6-53

Topen RDU | Mar 09, 1993 | New Court Commitment

KDOC Disciplinary Report(s) since January 1996

Date	Class	Location	Type of report
May 28, 2007	1	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Dangerous Contraband
May 28, 2007	2	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Misuse of State Property
Mar 06, 2007	1	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Dangerous Contraband
Apr 25, 2006	3	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Restr Area/Unauthor Presence
Apr 16, 2006	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Disobeying Orders
Mar 28, 2006	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Insub/Disrespect Officer/Other
Mar 19, 2006	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Dangerous Contraband
Mar 19, 2006	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Misuse of State Property
Mar 19, 2006	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Sex Explicit Mtrl, n/SexOfndr
Jan 18, 2006	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Avoiding an Officer
Jan 18, 2006	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Restr Area/Unauth Presence
Oct 27, 2005	3	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Restr Area and Unauth Presence
Jul 03, 2005	1	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Disobeying Orders
Jun 26, 2005	3	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Restr Area/Unauthor Presence
May 02, 2005	1	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Dangerous Contraband
Mar 31, 2005	2	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Lying
Feb 11, 2005	1	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Dangerous Contraband
Nov 19, 2004	2	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Obscenity (W/O Children)
Oct 26, 2004	3	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Restr Area and Unauth Presence
Aug 30, 2004	3	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Violation of Published Orders
Jun 26, 2004	2	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Insub/Disrespect Officer/Other
Jun 12, 2004	3	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Restr Area/Unauthor Presence
May 22, 2004	3	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Violation of Published Orders
Jan 15, 2004	3	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Violation of Published Orders
Nov 28, 2003	1	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Threaten or Intim Any Person
Nov 28, 2003	2	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Insub/Disrespect Officer/Other
Aug 14, 2003	2	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Work Performance
Sep 12, 2002	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Dangerous Contraband
Sep 12, 2002	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Misuse of State Property
Aug 31, 2002	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Fighting
Nov 24, 2001	3	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Violation of Published Orders
Nov 07, 2001	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Disobeying Orders
Nov 07, 2001	3	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Restr Area/Unauthor Presence
Sep 19, 2001	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Disobeying Orders
Jul 23, 2001	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Restr Area/Unauth Presence
Jul 13, 2001	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Use Stimulants, Sedatives, Etc
Apr 04, 2000	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Disobeying Orders
Mar 31, 2000	3	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Restr Area and Unauth Presence
Feb 02, 2000	3	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Restr Area and Unauth Presence
Jan 20, 2000	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Use Stimulants, Sedatives, Etc
Dec 24, 1999	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Avoiding an Officer
Dec 24, 1999	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Restr Area/Unauth Presence
Dec 20, 1999	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Use Stimulants, Sedatives, Etc
Dec 19, 1999	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Dangerous Contraband
Dec 10, 1999	3	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Work Performance

6-54

Kansas Department of Corrections

Sep 13, 1999	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Unauthorized Dealing or Trade
Jul 24, 1999	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Use Stimulants, Sedatives, Etc
Jun 20, 1999	3	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Restr Area and Unauth Presence
Mar 28, 1999	3	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Noise
Jan 21, 1999	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Disobeying Orders
Nov 16, 1998	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Use Stimulants, Sedatives, Etc
Nov 10, 1998	3	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Violation of Published Orders
Apr 21, 1998	3	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Care of Living Quarters
Mar 31, 1998	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Insub/Disrespect Officer/Other
Mar 06, 1998	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Restr Area/Unauth Presence
Jan 14, 1998	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Violation of Published Orders
Jan 14, 1998	3	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Answering Calls or Passes
Nov 15, 1997	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Unauthorized Dealing or Trade
Aug 06, 1997	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Violation of Published Orders
Aug 04, 1997	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Use Stimulants, Sedatives, Etc
Jun 16, 1997	3	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Restr Area and Unauth Presence
May 05, 1997	3	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Violation of Published Orders
Aug 28, 1996	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Interf W/Cell Oper/Visibility
Jun 19, 1996	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Interf W/Cell Oper/Visibility
Jun 05, 1996	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Disobeying Orders
Jun 02, 1996	3	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Restr Area and Unauth Presence
May 07, 1996	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Unauthorized Dealing or Trade
Mar 14, 1996	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Theft

Kansas Department of Corrections

6-55

18-Life

KASPER INTERNAL KDOC - OFFENDER POPULATION SEARCH



Kansas Adult Supervised Population Electronic Repository
Kansas Criminal Justice Information System

OFFENDERS SHALL NOT BE ARRESTED SOLELY ON THE BASIS OF INFORMATION DISPLAYED ON THIS SITE

OPYR, CHRISTOPHER P, (KDOC # 0057590)

This Information is Current as of: Mar 9 2009 5:11AM

Name(s)

Name Type	Name
Conviction	OPYR, CHRISTOPHER P
True	OPYR, CHRISTOPHER PATRICK

Identification

KDOC#	SIDNum	FBI Num
0057590	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Birthdate(s)


Birthdate Type	Birthdate	Age
True	Oct 18, 1974	34

Demographics

Eye Color	Hair Color	Height	Weight	Gender	Race
Hazel	Brown	6'-0"	143	Male	White



OPYR, CHRISTOPHER P



OPYR, CHRISTOPHER P

Current Status reported by Dept. of Corrections

Earliest Possible Release Date (1)	Current Status	Admission Date	Current Location (2)	Custody Level
Apr 01, 2011	Incarcerated	Aug 03, 1993	El Dorado CF-Central	Low Medium

- (1) This date could be affected by a parole board decision or good time and/or program credit.
- (2) Click on Location for the Facility web site.

Convictions(s)

County	Case Number	Offense Date	Sentencing Date	ACS	Criminal Conviction Description	Counts	Crime Severity Level	Case Status	State
Sedgwick	93CR219	Jan 12, 1993	Jul 23, 1993	N/A	Aggravated Battery	1	Class C Felony	Active	KS
Sedgwick	93CR219	Jan 12, 1993	Jul 23, 1993	N/A	Aggravated Robbery	1	Class B Felony	Active	KS
Sedgwick	93CR668	Apr 18, 1993	Jul 23, 1993	N/A	Aggravated Assault	2	Class D Felony	Active	KS

* - Denotes Active for Post Release Supervision Only.

KDOC Physical Location History(s)

Location	Movement Date	Movement Reason
El Dorado CF-Central	May 08, 2006	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Sep 01, 2005	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-East	Jul 05, 2005	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Dec 09, 2004	Inter-Facility Movement

6-56

Ellsworth CF	Nov 04, 2004	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	May 09, 2002	Inter-Facility Movement
El Dorado CF-Central	Jan 17, 2002	Inter-Facility Movement
Ellsworth CF	Nov 01, 2001	Inter-Facility Movement
El Dorado CF-Central	Oct 31, 1997	Inter-Facility Movement
Lansing CF-Central	Jan 25, 1994	Inter-Facility Movement
Norton CF-Central	Aug 23, 1993	Inter-Facility Movement
Topeka CF-RDU	Aug 03, 1993	New Court Commitment

KDOC Disciplinary Report(s) since January 1996

Date	Class	Location	Type of report
Jan 24, 2006	1	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Disobeying Orders
Aug 28, 2005	1	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Undue Familiarity
Apr 04, 2005	2	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Taking W/O Permission
Jun 04, 2004	1	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Fighting
May 08, 2002	1	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Undue Familiarity
Dec 19, 2001	2	Ellsworth Correctional Facility	Unauthorized Dealing or Trade
Dec 18, 2001	1	Ellsworth Correctional Facility	Disobeying Orders
Aug 11, 1999	2	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Less Dangerous Contraband
Dec 29, 1998	2	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Gambling and Bookmaking
Dec 29, 1998	2	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Less Dangerous Contraband
Apr 08, 1998	2	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Disruptive Behavior
Sep 25, 1997	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Threaten or Intim Any Person
Apr 22, 1997	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Violation of Published Orders
Aug 02, 1996	2	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Misuse of State Property
Jul 04, 1996	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Threaten or Intim Any Person
Jul 04, 1996	1	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Battery
Jun 29, 1996	3	Lansing Correctional Facility - Central	Restr Area and Unauth Presence

Kansas Department of Corrections

6-57

KASPER INTERNAL KDOC - OFFENDER POPULATION SEARCH



Kansas Adult Supervised Population Electronic Repository
Kansas Criminal Justice Information System

OFFENDERS SHALL NOT BE ARRESTED SOLELY ON THE BASIS OF INFORMATION DISPLAYED ON THIS SITE

GRIFFITH, JOHNNY E, (KDOC # 0042145)

This Information is Current as of: Mar 9 2009 5:11AM

Name(s)

Name Type	Name
Conviction	GRIFFITH, JOHNNY E
True	GRIFFITH, JOHNNY EDWARD, JR
Alias	GRIFFITH, ERIC

Identification


KDOC#	SIDNum	FBI Num
0042145	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Birthdate(s)


Birthdate Type	Birthdate	Age
True	Jun 18, 1964	44

Demographics

Eye Color	Hair Color	Height	Weight	Gender	Race
Brown	Black	5'-11"	235	Male	Black



GRIFFITH, JOHNNY E



GRIFFITH, JOHNNY E

Current Status reported by Dept. of Corrections

Earliest Possible Release Date (1)	Current Status	Admission Date	Current Location (2)	Custody Level
Jul 01, 2010	Incarcerated	Mar 27, 2007	EI Dorado CF-Central	High Medium

- (1) This date could be affected by a parole board decision or good time and/or program credit.
- (2) Click on Location for the Facility web site.

Convictions(s)

County	Case Number	Offense Date	Sentencing Date	ACS	Criminal Conviction Description	Counts	Crime Severity Level	Case Status	Stat
Sedgwick	84CR1350	Aug 16, 1984	Jan 11, 1985	N/A	Aggravated Robbery	1	Class B Felony	Active	KS
Sedgwick	88CR207	Jan 08, 1988	Apr 20, 1988	Conspired	Manuf,Poss,Distrib Opiates,Opium,Narcotic Drugs	1	Class E Felony	Active	KS

* - Denotes Active for Post Release Supervision Only.

KDOC Physical Location History(s)

Location	Movement Date	Movement Reason
EI Dorado CF-Central	Oct 12, 2007	Returned From Court Appearance

6-58

Kansas Department of Corrections

Sedgwick County	Aug 27, 2007	Released For Court Appearance
El Dorado CF-Central	Mar 27, 2007	Parole Viol. No New Sentence
Sedgwick County	Mar 16, 2007	DOC Warrant Issued
Sedgwick County	Mar 15, 2007	DOC Warrant Issued
Sedgwick County	Mar 12, 2007	DOC Warrant Issued
Sedgwick County	Mar 12, 2007	Intra-parole/CR
Sedgwick County	Feb 26, 2007	Intra-parole/CR
Sedgwick County	Feb 26, 2007	DOC War. Withdrwn Supervsn I/S
Sedgwick County	Feb 17, 2007	DOC Warrant Issued
Unknown or N/A	Aug 07, 2006	Absconded
Sedgwick County	Feb 01, 2006	Paroled In-State
Winfield CF	Dec 15, 2004	Parole Viol. No New Sentence
Sedgwick County	Nov 27, 2004	DOC Warrant Issued
Unknown or N/A	Nov 17, 2004	Absconded
Sedgwick County	Oct 29, 2004	Intra-parole/CR
Sedgwick County	Oct 29, 2004	DOC War. Withdrwn Supervsn I/S
Sedgwick County	Oct 26, 2004	DOC Warrant Issued
Sedgwick County	Oct 26, 2004	DOC Warrant Issued
Sedgwick County	Aug 02, 2004	Paroled In-State
Ellsworth CF	Jan 07, 2003	Inter-Facility Movement
Norton CF-Central	Aug 20, 2002	Parole Viol. No New Sentence
Sedgwick County	Jul 30, 2002	DOC Warrant Issued
Unknown or N/A	Jul 09, 2002	Absconded
Sedgwick County	Jul 02, 2002	Intra-parole/CR
Reno County	May 30, 2002	Paroled In-State
Lansing CF-Central	Mar 12, 2002	Inter-Facility Movement
El Dorado CF-Central	Feb 26, 2002	Cond Rel Viol. No New Sentence
Labette County	Feb 11, 2002	DOC Warrant Issued
Sedgwick County	Jan 10, 2002	DOC Warrant Issued
Unknown or N/A	Dec 18, 2001	Absconded
Sedgwick County	Oct 31, 2001	Conditional Rel. Supervised
Lansing CF-Central	Aug 28, 2001	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Aug 27, 2001	Inter-Facility Movement
Sedgwick County	May 15, 2001	Intra-Facility Movement
Wichita-Work Release Center	May 15, 2001	Escapee Returned
Unknown or N/A	May 14, 2001	Escape
Wichita-Work Release Center	Jan 03, 2001	Inter-Facility Movement
Lansing CF-East	Nov 29, 2000	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Nov 28, 2000	Inter-Facility Movement
El Dorado CF-North	Oct 24, 2000	Inter-Facility Movement
El Dorado CF-Central	Oct 24, 2000	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Sep 05, 2000	Parole Viol. No New Sentence
Stanton County	Jul 06, 2000	DOC Warrant Issued
Sedgwick County	Jun 23, 2000	DOC Warrant Issued
Unknown or N/A	Jun 23, 2000	Absconded
Sedgwick County	Aug 04, 1999	Paroled In-State
Hutchinson CF-Central	Jun 28, 1999	Inter-Facility Movement
Larned State Hospital	May 10, 1999	Inter-Facility Movement
Larned Correctional Mental Health Facility	May 10, 1999	Inter-Facility Movement

6-59

Kansas Department of Corrections

Hutchinson CF-Central	Feb 15, 1999	Parole Viol. No New Sentence
Sedgwick County	Jan 15, 1999	Intra-parole/CR
Sedgwick County	Jan 12, 1999	Intra-parole/CR
Reno County	Oct 22, 1998	Intra-parole/CR
Sedgwick County	Oct 16, 1998	Intra-parole/CR
Sedgwick County	Oct 16, 1998	DOC War. Withdrwn Supervsn I/S
Sedgwick County	Oct 06, 1998	DOC Warrant Issued
Unknown or N/A	Sep 25, 1998	Absconded
Sedgwick County	Jan 12, 1998	Paroled In-State
Hutchinson CF-Central	Jan 09, 1998	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-East	Oct 03, 1997	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Sep 19, 1997	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Work Release	May 01, 1997	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Mar 19, 1997	Inter-Facility Movement
El Dorado CF-East	Jan 29, 1997	Inter-Facility Movement
El Dorado CF-Central	Jan 08, 1997	Inter-Facility Movement
Sedgwick County	Jan 03, 1997	Intra-Facility Movement
Wichita-Work Release Center	Dec 31, 1996	Inter-Facility Movement
El Dorado CF-North	Jun 19, 1996	Inter-Facility Movement
El Dorado CF-Central	Jun 28, 1995	Inter-Facility Movement
Ellsworth CF	May 18, 1995	Parole Viol. No New Sentence
Sedgwick County	Apr 23, 1995	DOC Warrant Issued
Unknown or N/A	Apr 17, 1995	Absconded
Sedgwick County	Jan 20, 1995	Intra-parole/CR
Sedgwick County	Jan 20, 1995	DOC War. Withdrwn Supervsn I/S
Sedgwick County	Jan 18, 1995	DOC Warrant Issued
Unknown or N/A	Jan 13, 1995	Absconded
Shawnee County	Nov 16, 1994	Paroled In-State
Ellsworth CF	Jul 18, 1994	Inter-Facility Movement
Topeka CF-RDU	Jun 14, 1994	Parole Viol. No New Sentence
Sedgwick County	Jun 08, 1994	DOC Warrant Issued
Sedgwick County	Jun 04, 1994	DOC Warrant Issued
Unknown or N/A	Mar 25, 1994	Absconded
Sedgwick County	Nov 01, 1993	Paroled In-State
Wichita-Work Release Center	Sep 10, 1993	Inter-Facility Movement
Ellsworth CF	May 11, 1993	Inter-Facility Movement
Topeka CF-RDU	Apr 20, 1993	Parole Viol. No New Sentence
Sedgwick County	Apr 13, 1993	DOC Warrant Issued
Sedgwick County	Apr 12, 1993	Intra-parole/CR
Sedgwick County	Apr 09, 1993	Intra-parole/CR
Sedgwick County	Apr 08, 1993	Intra-parole/CR
Sedgwick County	Jan 26, 1993	Rel. In Lieu Of Revoc-Par/CR
Osawatomie CF	Oct 28, 1992	Adm. In Lieu Of Revoc-Par/CR
Wyandotte County	Oct 28, 1992	DOC War. Withdrwn Supervsn I/S
Unknown or N/A	Jul 28, 1992	Absconded
Shawnee County	Jun 01, 1992	Intra-parole/CR
Harvey County	Dec 20, 1991	Intra-parole/CR
Sedgwick County	Dec 20, 1991	Rel. In Lieu Of Revoc-Par/CR
Winfield CF	Nov 14, 1991	Adm. In Lieu Of Revoc-Par/CR

6-60

Sedgwick County	Nov 14, 1991	DOC War. Withdrwn Supervsn I/S
Sedgwick County	Nov 07, 1991	DOC Warrant Issued
Unknown or N/A	Nov 04, 1991	Absconded
Sedgwick County	Sep 12, 1990	Paroled In-State
Topeka CF-South	May 31, 1990	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Dec 12, 1988	Probation Viol. No New Sent
Unknown or N/A	Sep 23, 1988	Probation
Sedgwick County	Aug 31, 1988	Released For Court Appearance
Winfield CF	Jun 08, 1988	Inter-Facility Movement
Topeka CF-RDU	May 26, 1988	Inter-Facility Movement
Lansing CF-Central	May 11, 1988	Probation Viol. New Sentence
Unknown or N/A	Sep 06, 1985	Probation
Sedgwick County	Sep 06, 1985	Released For Court Appearance
Lansing CF-Central	Jul 19, 1985	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Apr 03, 1985	Inter-Facility Movement
Topeka CF-RDU	Mar 07, 1985	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Jan 25, 1985	New Court Commitment

KDOC Disciplinary Report(s) since January 1996

Date	Class	Location	Type of report
Feb 15, 2009	3	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Violation of Published Orders
Jan 25, 2009	3	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Restr Area and Unauth Presence
Apr 21, 2008	1	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Use of Stimulants
Apr 16, 2008	1	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Theft
Apr 16, 2008	2	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Regis. & Use of Pers. Property
Apr 06, 2008	1	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Tobacco Contraband Possession
Nov 26, 2007	2	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Regis. & Use of Pers. Property
Jul 30, 2007	1	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Dangerous Contraband
Oct 26, 2005	3	Winfield Correctional Facility	Violation of Published Orders
Jun 19, 2004	3	Ellsworth Correctional Facility	Violation of Published Orders
Jan 23, 2004	3	Ellsworth Correctional Facility	Violation of Published Orders
Sep 27, 2003	3	Ellsworth Correctional Facility	Violation of Published Orders
Feb 17, 2003	2	Ellsworth Correctional Facility	Unauthorized Dealing or Trade
May 15, 2001	1	Wichita Work Release Facility	Use Stimulants, Sedatives, Etc
May 14, 2001	1	Wichita Work Release Facility	Viol Statutes (Felony Crime)
Dec 02, 1996	3	El Dorado Correctional Facility - North	Violation of Published Orders

Kansas Department of Corrections

6-61

15-Life

KASPER INTERNAL KDOC - OFFENDER POPULATION SEARCH



Kansas Adult Supervised Population Electronic Repository
Kansas Criminal Justice Information System

OFFENDERS SHALL NOT BE ARRESTED SOLELY ON THE BASIS OF INFORMATION DISPLAYED ON THIS SITE

DALE, FREDERICK N, JR (KDOC # 0046807)

This Information is Current as of: Mar 9 2009 5:11AM

Name(s)


Name Type	Name
Conviction	DALE, FREDERICK N, JR
True	DALE, FREDERICK NOLAN, JR
Alias	DALE, RICK NOLAN, JR

Identification


KDOC#	SIDNum	FBI Num
0046807	409449	911758FA0

Birthdate(s)

Birthdate Type	Birthdate	Age
True	Jul 07, 1967	41



DALE, FREDERICK N



DALE, FREDERICK N

Demographics

Eye Color	Hair Color	Height	Weight	Gender	Race
Blue	Blond or Strawberry	6'-1"	213	Male	White

Current Status reported by Dept. of Corrections

Earliest Possible Release Date (1)	Current Status	Admission Date	Current Location (2)	Custody Level
Feb 01, 2014	Incarcerated	Nov 07, 2006	El Dorado CF-Central	High Medium

- (1) This date could be affected by a parole board decision or good time and/or program credit.
- (2) Click on Location for the Facility web site.

Convictions(s)

County	Case Number	Offense Date	Sentencing Date	ACS	Criminal Conviction Description	Counts	Crime Severity Level	Case Status	State
Reno	87CR471	Aug 10, 1987	Dec 02, 1987	N/A	Theft (\$150 or More)	1	Class E Felony	Inactive	KS
Reno	91CR830	Dec 23, 1991	Jul 01, 1992	N/A	Aggravated Robbery	1	Class B Felony	Active	KS

* - Denotes Active for Post Release Supervision Only.

KDOC Physical Location History(s)

Location	Movement Date	Movement Reason
El Dorado CF-Central	Jan 14, 2008	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Nov 07, 2006	Parole Viol. No New Sentence
Reno County	Nov 03, 2006	DOC Warrant Issued

6-62

Reno County	Oct 25, 2006	DOC Warrant Issued
Reno County	Oct 25, 2006	DOC Warrant Issued
Reno County	Oct 06, 2006	Intra-parole/CR
Reno County	Oct 06, 2006	DOC War. Withdrwn Supervsn I/S
Reno County	Sep 27, 2006	DOC Warrant Issued
Reno County	Sep 27, 2006	DOC Warrant Issued
Reno County	Mar 31, 2006	Reparoled In-State
Hutchinson CF-Central	Jan 23, 2006	Parole Viol. No New Sentence
Sedgwick County	Jan 17, 2006	DOC Warrant Issued
Sedgwick County	Jan 10, 2006	DOC Warrant Issued
Sedgwick County	Jan 10, 2006	DOC Warrant Issued
Sedgwick County	Nov 18, 2005	Paroled In-State
Hutchinson CF-Central	Jul 19, 2005	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-East	Jun 29, 2005	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	May 11, 2004	Inter-Facility Movement
Ellsworth CF	Sep 11, 2003	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Sep 27, 2002	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-East	May 06, 2002	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Mar 21, 2001	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-East	Feb 25, 2000	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Nov 02, 1998	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-East	Mar 06, 1998	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Feb 02, 1995	Returned From Court Appearance
McPherson County	Feb 01, 1995	Released For Court Appearance
Hutchinson CF-Central	Aug 27, 1993	Inter-Facility Movement
El Dorado CF-Central	Apr 01, 1993	Inter-Facility Movement
Lansing CF-Central	Sep 09, 1992	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Aug 31, 1992	Inter-Facility Movement
Lansing CF-Central	Jul 22, 1992	Inter-Facility Movement
Topeka CF-RDU	Jul 14, 1992	New Court Commitment
Unknown or N/A	Sep 23, 1991	Expiration Of Sentence
El Dorado CF-Central	Sep 16, 1991	Inter-Facility Movement
Topeka CF-RDU	Aug 23, 1991	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Aug 21, 1991	Parole Viol. No New Sentence
Reno County	Aug 09, 1991	DOC Warrant Issued
Reno County	Aug 07, 1991	Intra-parole/CR
Sedgwick County	Jun 28, 1991	Intra-parole/CR
Reno County	May 01, 1991	Intra-parole/CR
Reno County	Apr 28, 1991	Intra-parole/CR
Reno County	Mar 21, 1991	Paroled In-State
Hutchinson CF-Central	Mar 20, 1991	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-East	Mar 12, 1991	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Sep 25, 1990	Cond Rel Viol. No New Sentence
Reno County	Sep 20, 1990	DOC Warrant Issued
Reno County	May 23, 1990	DOC Warrant Issued
Reno County	May 05, 1990	Intra-parole/CR
Reno County	Mar 23, 1990	Conditional Rel. Supervised
Hutchinson CF-Central	Aug 30, 1989	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-East	May 08, 1989	Inter-Facility Movement

6-63

Hutchinson CF-Central	Apr 06, 1989	Inter-Facility Movement
Ellsworth CF	Mar 15, 1989	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Jan 27, 1988	Inter-Facility Movement
Topeka CF-RDU	Dec 30, 1987	Inter-Facility Movement
Hutchinson CF-Central	Dec 15, 1987	Probation Viol. New Sentence

KDOC Disciplinary Report(s) since January 1996

Date	Class	Location	Type of report
Feb 14, 2008	1	El Dorado Correctional Fac. - Central	Dangerous Contraband
May 19, 2007	2	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Restriction
Jul 29, 2004	3	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Improper Use of Food
Jul 29, 2004	3	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Restr Area/Unauthor Presence
Apr 26, 2004	2	Ellsworth Correctional Facility	Taking W/O Permission
Apr 26, 2004	3	Ellsworth Correctional Facility	Violation of Published Orders
Apr 01, 2004	1	Ellsworth Correctional Facility	Threaten or Intim Any Person
Jun 16, 2003	3	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Violation of Published Orders
Sep 20, 2002	1	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Theft
Sep 20, 2002	2	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Unauthorized Dealing or Trade
Sep 20, 2002	2	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Less Dangerous Contraband
Sep 02, 2002	3	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Improper Use of Food
Jun 10, 2001	1	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Fighting
Mar 21, 2001	1	Hutchinson Correctional Facility - East	Dangerous Contraband
Feb 20, 2001	2	Hutchinson Correctional Facility - East	Violation of Published Orders
Jun 16, 1999	2	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Work Performance
Mar 26, 1999	1	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Dangerous Contraband
Feb 17, 1999	2	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Work Performance
Feb 09, 1999	2	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Less Dangerous Contraband
Mar 19, 1997	2	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Restr Area/Unauth Presence
Mar 11, 1997	3	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Noise
Feb 24, 1997	2	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Misconduct in Dining Room
Feb 23, 1997	1	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Disobeying Orders
Feb 23, 1997	2	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Unauthorized Dealing or Trade
Jan 31, 1997	1	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Use Stimulants, Sedatives, Etc
Sep 15, 1996	2	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Work Performance
Mar 13, 1996	1	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Use Stimulants, Sedatives, Etc
Jan 17, 1996	2	Hutchinson Correctional Fac. - Central	Restr Area/Unauth Presence

Kansas Department of Corrections

6-64



KANSAS

OFFICE OF THE SECURITIES COMMISSIONER

KATHLEEN SEBELIUS, GOVERNOR
CHRIS BIGGS, COMMISSIONER

TESTIMONY BEFORE THE KANSAS LEGISLATIVE
CORRECTIONS AND JUVENILE JUSTICE COMMITTEE

MARCH 12, 2009
CHRIS BIGGS
(Opponent)

RE: HB 2340

I'm appearing today in opposition to HB 2340, specifically the provisions that do away with the independent Kansas Parole Board and replace it with a prisoner review board, a functionary of the Department of Corrections.

This Bill is apparently fueled by the notion that inmates sentenced for violent offenses prior to implementation of sentencing guidelines are unfairly kept in prison for longer terms by the Parole Board than other inmates who have committed similar offenses but are subject to the sentencing guidelines grid. All of this, the theory goes, is done at unreasonable cost to the state.

A position paper authored by an inmate, Nathaniel Ellibee, has been circulated in support of this Bill. It cites examples of perceived inequities and challenges anyone to come up with a "reasonable argument" to treat pre-guidelines cases differently.

Here goes:

Most criminal cases are negotiated settlements, and this is true of homicides as well. The possible sentences in effect at the time are counted on by the victim's families and prosecutors when agreements are entered into. Additionally, not every murder case is the same. Likewise, not every murderer is the same. It should not be left up to a paper grid or someone pressured by bed space considerations to determine such profound matters of public safety, such as when a "lifer" should be released.

When sentencing guidelines were implemented, proportionality was used as a reason for their adoption. In reality, guidelines have become a pragmatic tool to manipulate prison population. The guidelines were ultimately made retroactive to many nonviolent offenses in an effort to ease the prison population. Even so, the most serious offenders were appropriately still controlled by the old law and a prisoner's release was left up to the Kansas Parole Board.

618 S. KANSAS AVENUE, TOPEKA, KS 66603
Voice 785-296-3307 Fax 785-296-6872 Investor Services 1
<http://www.securities.state.ks.us/>

Corrections and Juvenile Justice

Date: 3-12-09

Attachment # 7-1

There is a notion in the law that we do not apply laws *ex post facto*. For example, it would not be appropriate to convict a person of a crime that did not exist when the act occurred. Why then would we do that to victims and change institutional systems after the fact, in an effort to reduce a prison sentence for a violent offender? Based on my 20 years of prosecution experience, I tell you that for violent offenses, the most critical factors to be considered by a prosecutor and a victim or victim's family are the amount of time to be served by the offender and the circumstances of any release. The facts involved in a crime can support any one of a number of specific offenses in most circumstances. The crime chosen to be charged is often an avenue to a particular sentence. These old cases were handled with rules in place. Don't change them after the game. It is not fair to victims and their families. To the extent that guidelines create any disparity - two wrongs do not make a right.

Let's take Mr. Ellibee's case for example, Geary County case number 91 CR 1152, which I prosecuted:

On Sept 20, 1991, Ellibee and his co-defendant and fellow soldier, Jason Turner, murdered Catherine Heintze, a 20 year old Kansas State University student during a robbery of Pate's convenience store near Milford. They planned the robbery for several days. They "cased" the store when they got off work on the 20th. Later that evening, Ellibee stole an officer's military jeep from Ft. Riley. The pair drove to the store, dressed in full military battle gear, to include night vision equipment. Ellibee was armed with a shotgun and Turner had a high powered rifle. They also had duck tape with them. They hid the jeep and then accosted the clerk when she briefly stepped outside the store. They forced her inside the store to turn off lights. Ellibee saw her push the alarm and began to flee. Turner raised his rifle and shot her in the head.

Turner told Ellibee that he did not want to leave any witnesses and that "the bitch pissed me off." The two then drove to Manhattan and went to McDonald's and ate dinner. Turner gave Ellibee the shell casing from the fatal bullet as a souvenir of the kill. Ellibee kept it.

The injury to Catherine was horrific. The shot lifted her up off the floor and carried her some distance. Her skull exploded and what was left of her brain was found lying next to her body on the floor. Almost every ceiling panel in the store was covered with bone, blood and tissue fragments.

Ellibee told some friends and was caught and confessed. He was convicted of second degree murder, attempted aggravated robbery, and conspiracy to commit aggravated robbery. He received a sentence of 25 years to life, plus 25 years. Turner, the trigger man, was convicted of first degree murder and received a sentence of Life plus 25 - Life.

The family agreed to the pleas knowing that Ellibee potentially would not get out if he received a life sentence on the top end. Donna Heintze, the victim's mother, related to the court at the time, "I want you to give the maximum amount of time that you can on every count and to run the sentences back to back, after that it will be my job and the family to make every effort possible to make sure they never get out. I will go to their hearings as long as I am alive, I promise you that."

7-2

Testimony before the Kansas Legislative Corrections and Juvenile Justice Committee
March 12, 2009
Page 3

The family and I have appeared at parole hearings and hope to be able to continue to do so. Proportionality is one factor in determining release, it is not, and should not be the only factor. The fact that someone else, sentenced under a different law, at a different time, for a similar named offense, served less time does not alone justify the release of Ellibee or those similarly situated. Nor should the institutional system burdened with overcrowding be the one to decide upon release. The tail would then be wagging the dog.

Interestingly, Ellibee filed a 60-1507 challenging his conviction. He claimed that he was really guilty of first degree murder and that his second degree murder should therefore be overturned. This makes my point. There must be the ability to look beyond just the offense of conviction within lawful parameters to determine when the most violent offenders should be released.

To change the rules after the game is played is simply not fair to victims and their families.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter and the opportunity to testify.

Sincerely,



Chris Biggs
Securities Commissioner
Former Geary County Attorney

GOOD AFTERNOON

MY NAME IS DONNA HEINTZE AND I AM HERE IN REGARDS TO BILL 2340

MY DAUGHTER WAS VIOLENTLY MURDERED ON 9/21/1991 BY JASON TURNER AND NATHANIEL ELLIBEE.

THE ROBBERY WAS PLANNED AS A MILITARY EXERCISE AND THEY WORE FULL MILITARY BATTLE GEAR.

WAS UNSOLVED UNTIL ELLIBEE WAS AFRAID OF TURNER AND WAS OVER HEARD TELLING ANOTHER SOLDIER WHAT HAD HAPPENED.

I HAVE ALSO CAMPAIGNED FOR VICTIMS RIGHTS, SPEAKING ON THE STEPS OF THE STATE CAPITAL.

THE PAROLE BOARD SHOULD BE A COMPLETE DIFFERENT DEPT, THERE ARE SO MANY DIFFERENT ADDENDA'S TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE RELEASE OF A PRISONER. SUCH AS THE DEGREE OF THE CRIME, THE DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS TAKEN AGAINST THEM WHILE CONFINED. ANY MENTAL EVALUTIONS THAT MIGHT NEED TO BE DONE.

THE BOARD SHOULD BE CONCERED ABOUT THE IMPORTANT MATTERS SUCH AS THESE AND IN NO WAY SHOULD BE CONNECTED TO ANYONE WHO HAS A CONCERN ABOUT THE NUMBER OF BEDS. WE SHOULD NOT BE CONCERNED ABOUT THE NUMBER OF BEDS BUT FOR THE SAFTEY OF THE PEOPLE OF KANSAS.

Corrections and Juvenile Justice
Date: 3-12-09
Attachment # 8

Testimony on HB 2340
House Corrections and Juvenile Justice Committee
Roger Werholtz, Secretary of Corrections

March 11, 2009

With Tim's Edits in bold

Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to comment on this significant piece of legislation. Our understanding of HB 2340 is that the bill would abolish the Kansas Parole Board and establish a prisoner review board under the supervision of the Secretary of Corrections. All duties, powers, and functions of the Kansas Parole Board would be transferred to the prisoner review board. The Department of Corrections will, of course, do its best to carry out any policy established by the legislature. However, we believe the following observations must be considered in deciding whether to make this change in policy and operations:

- The bill requires the Secretary of Corrections to appoint three existing employees of the department to serve as the prisoner review board. In my view, the employees qualified to make such significant decisions would likely have served for extended periods of time in highly responsible positions within the department, would need to be very familiar with both facility and field operations, and would need to be familiar with the research literature on risk assessment and evidence-based correctional practices. My understanding of the bill is that it assumes these new duties will be performed in addition to existing responsibilities. I think that this is an unrealistic expectation and will result in the release decisions not being given the full attention required, significant delays occurring in the process, or the employees' other responsibilities suffering from neglect. The Board makes the ultimate release decision regarding inmates serving sentences that are not controlled by determinate sentencing, but it is important to remember that the majority of inmates seen by the Board are seen to establish conditions of release, not to determine whether they should be released. The Kansas Parole Board also makes the final determination on revocation decisions. Additionally, the Board conducts public comment sessions throughout the state to gather input from crime victims, inmate family members and friends, and any other interested parties. All of these activities are time consuming if done with thought and care. Unless it is contemplated that many of these responsibilities would be eliminated, I do not see how three of our current employees could perform these functions and still satisfy their other job responsibilities.
- The placement of the prisoner review board under the authority of the Secretary of Corrections removes the board from its current position as independent of the Secretary and his/her judgment. This is significant because the board controls not only many releases from prison but also reviews revocations of offenders. Without independence, the decisions are subject to the perception that the department is influencing those decisions in order to drive down or drive up the prison population depending on the observer's perspective. It has been my view that the independence of bodies such as the Sentencing Commission and the

Parole Board from the authority of the Secretary of Corrections has provided valuable objectivity and a degree of credibility that would be missing under the proposed arrangement.

The working relationship between the Department and the current members of the Parole Board is excellent, and I think that this characterization has been valid for many years. There have been times in past decades where Parole Board policies regarding conditions placed on releasing and returning offenders created problems for the Department. Those concerns were dealt with legislatively, and the working relationship between the agencies has since been very productive. If the concerns motivating this legislation can be addressed without fundamentally altering the independence of the Board, I would encourage the committee to consider those alternatives. On the other hand, if the legislature determines that this policy change is in the best interests of public safety, be assured that the Department of Corrections will give its best effort to fulfill that directive. I would again strongly encourage the legislature to provide the resources necessary to carry out these new responsibilities if this bill is adopted.



Kansas County & District Attorneys Association

1200 SW 10th Avenue
Topeka, KS 66604
(785) 232-5822 Fax: (785) 234-2433
www.kcdaa.org

TO: The Honorable Representatives of the Committee on Corrections and Juvenile Justice

FROM: Barry Wilkerson
Riley County Attorney
KCDAA Board Member

RE: House Bill 2340

DATE: March 11, 2009

Chairman Colloton and Members of the Committee:

HB 2340 seeks to abolish the Kansas parole board and replace it with a prisoner review board that would operate within the Kansas department of corrections. The Kansas County and District Attorneys Association is opposed to this legislation.

The historical independence of the parole board and its predecessor agencies from the Department of Corrections and other agencies or officials is not the result of happenstance. The board's longstanding independence reflects the recognition that the public welfare is best served by having an entity - separate and distinct from the inmates' keepers - evaluate the propriety of an inmate's release, release revocation or the conditions of release.

The appointment of an independent parole board by the governor, with the advice and consent of the Senate, serves several important interests. The parole board is constrained only by its own mandate, not by the goals or limitations of another entity. That freedom allows the board the ability to clearly focus on its critical mission without being diverted by other concerns of the Department of Corrections. The current requirement that no more than two members of the parole board be of the same political party also helps ensure that diverse perspectives are engaged in performing the board's functions. The requirement that the Senate consent to the governor's choices is another safeguard to ensure all members who assume the responsibilities of the board are qualified to undertake their serious and significant responsibilities and that they will be responsive to the needs and concerns of the public.

Having the responsibilities of the board subsumed by the Department of Corrections as set out in HB 2340 would also have the effect of giving the Department of Corrections additional representation on groups such as the Kansas Sentencing Commission.

Corrections and Juvenile Justice
Date: 3-12-09
Attachment # 10-1

The current method of selection of the parole board works well. The independence of the board helps assure that it performs its duties without undue influence from any external force. It would be detrimental to the best interests of the State to deprive the parole board of its independence by replacing it with a prisoner review board composed of current Department of Corrections' employees to be chosen by the Secretary of Corrections, particularly when that department is already confronted with enormous fiscal and programmatic challenges.

The Kansas Parole Board as currently composed of persons whose primary consideration is public safety and that should be the primary focus when parole is considered. Of particular concern are persons who were convicted of Class A and B felonies for crimes prior to 1993. Many received indeterminate sentences, Life for Class A, making them eligible after the service of 15 years. Persons convicted of Class B felonies often received sentences of fifteen to life, making those persons eligible for parole after the service of seven and half years. Though parole eligible, many of these individuals remain dangerous and it is in the best interest of the public that a board without a financial stake in their continued incarceration determines the fate of their parole and possible release upon society. It therefore is in the best interest of the public that the current parole board and the current system remain in existence. Public safety should remain the ultimate goal and concern for the board that determines whether an inmate is to be paroled or remain incarcerated.