

SELECT COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL FINANCE

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Kathe Decker at 9:00 on January 27, 2005 in Room 313-S of the Capitol.

All members were present.

Committee staff present:

Kathie Sparks, Kansas Legislative Research
Carolyn Rampey, Kansas Legislative Research
Theresa Kiernan, Revisor of Statutes Office
Art Griggs, Revisor of Statutes Office
Ann Deitcher, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Dale Dennis, Deputy Commissioner KSDE

Kathie Sparks explained to the Committee the Difference in Cost of At-Risk Weighting including the "Professional Judgement Approach", (Attachment 1) and "Successful School Approach", (Attachment 2).

Following a period of questions and answers, Ms Sparks spoke of the Kansas Commission on Education Restructuring and Accountability from it's creation during the 1992 Session. The Commission remained in existence until February 1, 1993. (Attachment 3).

Dale Dennis addressed the Committee regarding the percentage of Students Approved for Free and Reduced Priced Meals as of September 20, 2003. (Attachment 4).

Mr. Dennis then explained the system used in transportation weighting. (Attachment 5)

A question and answer session followed.

The discussion on transportation weighting was closed.

Theresa Kiernan spoke to the Committee on vocational weighting. (Attachment 6).

Dale Dennis explained the program approval process for vocational funding. (Attachment 7).

The discussion was closed on vocational funding.

Representative DeCastro announced that the sub-committee of the oversight committee would meet upon House adjournment the following day. Their next meeting would take place on Monday, January 31.

The Chair informed the Committee of the changes in the agenda for the upcoming week and that a revised agenda would be going out.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:30 a.m. The next meeting of the full committee is scheduled for Tuesday, February 1, 2005.

Difference in Cost of At-Risk Weighting
Between A&M Study and Current Weighting of .1
Professional Judgement Approach

USD No.	USD Name	Adjusted Enrollment	At-Risk Students	A&M At-Risk Weighted FTE	A&M Cost for At-Risk	At-Risk Weighted FTE	Current At-Risk Cost	Difference less Current	A&M
USD with 200 or less enrollment receive 0.22									
301	Nes Tres La Go	33.0	7.0	1.5	\$ 5,795	0.7	\$ 2,704	\$	3,091
295	Prairie Heights	60.5	14.0	3.1	\$ 11,975	1.4	\$ 5,408	\$	6,567
213	West Solomon	71.0	12.0	2.6	\$ 10,044	1.2	\$ 4,636	\$	5,408
275	Triplains	94.5	27.0	5.9	\$ 22,792	2.7	\$ 10,430	\$	12,362
228	Hanston	107.5	27.0	5.9	\$ 22,792	2.7	\$ 10,430	\$	12,362
468	Healy	117.5	35.0	7.7	\$ 29,745	3.5	\$ 13,521	\$	16,224
221	North Central	120.0	25.0	5.5	\$ 21,247	2.5	\$ 9,658	\$	11,589
390	Hamilton	122.5	40.0	8.8	\$ 33,994	4.0	\$ 15,452	\$	18,542
455	Hillcrest	124.0	43.0	9.5	\$ 36,699	4.3	\$ 16,611	\$	20,088
476	Copeland	124.0	90.0	19.8	\$ 76,487	9.0	\$ 34,767	\$	41,720
242	Weskan	131.0	39.0	8.6	\$ 33,222	3.9	\$ 15,066	\$	18,156
291	Grinnell	132.5	20.0	4.4	\$ 16,997	2.0	\$ 7,726	\$	9,271
511	Attica	133.0	38.0	8.4	\$ 32,449	3.8	\$ 14,679	\$	17,770
104	White Rock	141.0	25.0	5.5	\$ 21,247	2.5	\$ 9,658	\$	11,589
502	Lewis	142.0	51.0	11.2	\$ 43,266	5.1	\$ 19,701	\$	23,565
314	Brewster	143.0	26.0	5.7	\$ 22,019	2.6	\$ 10,044	\$	11,975
269	Palco	148.1	43.0	9.5	\$ 36,699	4.3	\$ 16,611	\$	20,088
399	Paradise	151.1	54.0	11.9	\$ 45,970	5.4	\$ 20,860	\$	25,110
424	Mullinville	153.6	60.0	13.2	\$ 50,992	6.0	\$ 23,178	\$	27,814
324	Eastern Heights	154.2	50.0	11.0	\$ 42,493	5.0	\$ 19,315	\$	23,178
103	Cheylin	161.5	52.0	11.4	\$ 44,038	5.2	\$ 20,088	\$	23,950
299	Sylvan Grove	162.0	54.0	11.9	\$ 45,970	5.4	\$ 20,860	\$	25,110
225	Fowler	162.2	78.0	17.2	\$ 66,444	7.8	\$ 30,131	\$	36,313
401	Chase	164.8	77.0	16.9	\$ 65,285	7.7	\$ 29,745	\$	35,540
474	Haviland	172.0	42.0	9.2	\$ 35,540	4.2	\$ 16,225	\$	19,315
279	Jewell	173.2	50.0	11.0	\$ 42,493	5.0	\$ 19,315	\$	23,178
285	Cedar Vale	178.1	72.0	15.8	\$ 61,035	7.2	\$ 27,814	\$	33,221
292	Grainfield	186.5	64.0	14.1	\$ 54,468	6.4	\$ 24,723	\$	29,745
212	Northern Valley	188.5	79.0	17.4	\$ 67,216	7.9	\$ 30,518	\$	36,698
316	Golden Plains	190.0	95.0	20.9	\$ 80,737	9.5	\$ 36,699	\$	44,038
106	Western Plains	192.0	44.0	9.7	\$ 37,471	4.4	\$ 16,997	\$	20,474
326	Logan	192.5	65.0	14.3	\$ 55,241	6.5	\$ 25,110	\$	30,131
238	West Smith Co.	193.5	52.0	11.4	\$ 44,038	5.2	\$ 20,088	\$	23,950
496	Pawnee Heights	197.5	42.0	9.2	\$ 35,540	4.2	\$ 16,225	\$	19,315
283	Elk Valley	199.5	106.0	23.3	\$ 90,008	10.6	\$ 40,948	\$	49,060
USD with 201 to 430 enrollment receive 0.30									
433	Midway	215.0	75.0	22.5	\$ 86,918	7.5	\$ 28,973	\$	57,945
359	Argonia	218.6	84.0	25.2	\$ 97,348	8.4	\$ 32,449	\$	64,899
217	Rolla	219.5	95.0	28.5	\$ 110,096	9.5	\$ 36,699	\$	73,397
509	South Haven	224.0	44.0	13.2	\$ 50,992	4.4	\$ 16,997	\$	33,995
471	Dexter	225.8	73.0	21.9	\$ 84,600	7.3	\$ 28,200	\$	56,400
278	Mankato	226.2	59.0	17.7	\$ 68,375	5.9	\$ 22,792	\$	45,583
220	Ashland	228.6	73.0	21.9	\$ 84,600	7.3	\$ 28,200	\$	56,400
241	Wallace	230.3	68.0	20.4	\$ 78,805	6.8	\$ 26,268	\$	52,537
403	Otis-Bison	233.7	46.0	13.8	\$ 53,309	4.6	\$ 17,770	\$	35,539
334	Southern Cloud	234.0	80.0	24.0	\$ 92,712	8.0	\$ 30,904	\$	61,808
209	Moscow	236.2	115.0	34.5	\$ 133,274	11.5	\$ 44,425	\$	88,849
451	B & B	238.5	31.0	9.3	\$ 35,926	3.1	\$ 11,975	\$	23,951
479	Crest	241.7	73.0	21.9	\$ 84,600	7.3	\$ 28,200	\$	56,400
384	Blue Valley	247.3	34.0	10.2	\$ 39,403	3.4	\$ 13,134	\$	26,269
482	Dighton	250.8	81.0	24.3	\$ 93,871	8.1	\$ 31,290	\$	62,581
387	Altoona-Midway	252.5	90.0	27.0	\$ 104,301	9.0	\$ 34,767	\$	69,534
332	Cunningham	254.0	59.0	17.7	\$ 68,375	5.9	\$ 22,792	\$	45,583
477	Ingalls	256.0	81.0	24.3	\$ 93,871	8.1	\$ 31,290	\$	62,581
369	Burrton	258.0	104.0	31.2	\$ 120,526	10.4	\$ 40,175	\$	80,351
397	Centre	261.8	65.0	19.5	\$ 75,329	6.5	\$ 25,110	\$	50,219
386	Madison-Virgil	262.9	80.0	24.0	\$ 92,712	8.0	\$ 30,904	\$	61,808

Difference in Cost of At-Risk Weighting
Between A&M Study and Current Weighting of .1
Professional Judgement Approach

USD No.	USD Name	Adjusted Enroll-ment	At-Risk Students	A&M At-Risk Weighted FTE	A&M Cost for At-Risk	At-Risk Weighted FTE	Current At-Risk Cost	Difference less Current	A&M
426	Pike Valley	263.7	87.0	26.1	\$ 100,824	8.7	\$ 33,608	\$	67,216
303	Ness City	265.9	38.0	11.4	\$ 44,038	3.8	\$ 14,679	\$	29,359
456	Marais Des Cygnes	267.0	121.0	36.3	\$ 140,227	12.1	\$ 46,742	\$	93,485
459	Bucklin	267.2	79.0	23.7	\$ 91,553	7.9	\$ 30,518	\$	61,035
219	Minneola	268.5	83.0	24.9	\$ 96,189	8.3	\$ 32,063	\$	64,126
425	Highland	268.5	37.0	11.1	\$ 42,879	3.7	\$ 14,293	\$	28,586
432	Victoria	276.6	19.0	5.7	\$ 22,019	1.9	\$ 7,340	\$	14,679
255	South Barber Co.	277.5	79.0	23.7	\$ 91,553	7.9	\$ 30,518	\$	61,035
200	Greeley County	280.5	88.0	26.4	\$ 101,983	8.8	\$ 33,994	\$	67,989
444	Little River	281.0	50.0	15.0	\$ 57,945	5.0	\$ 19,315	\$	38,630
411	Goessel	286.2	30.0	9.0	\$ 34,767	3.0	\$ 11,589	\$	23,178
505	Chetopa	287.2	184.0	55.2	\$ 213,238	18.4	\$ 71,079	\$	142,159
245	LeRoy-Gridley	291.0	79.0	23.7	\$ 91,553	7.9	\$ 30,518	\$	61,035
371	Montezuma	294.0	92.0	27.6	\$ 106,619	9.2	\$ 35,540	\$	71,079
360	Caldwell	297.0	107.0	32.1	\$ 124,002	10.7	\$ 41,334	\$	82,668
227	Jetmore	300.3	63.0	18.9	\$ 73,011	6.3	\$ 24,337	\$	48,674
351	Macksville	300.7	111.0	33.3	\$ 128,638	11.1	\$ 42,879	\$	85,759
422	Greensburg	307.4	77.0	23.1	\$ 89,235	7.7	\$ 29,745	\$	59,490
300	Commanche County	308.0	73.0	21.9	\$ 84,600	7.3	\$ 28,200	\$	56,400
347	Kinsely-Offerle	310.3	126.0	37.8	\$ 146,021	12.6	\$ 48,674	\$	97,347
311	Pretty Prairie	312.0	34.0	10.2	\$ 39,403	3.4	\$ 13,134	\$	26,269
488	Axtell	314.5	60.0	18.0	\$ 69,534	6.0	\$ 23,178	\$	46,356
354	Clafflin	315.3	56.0	16.8	\$ 64,898	5.6	\$ 21,633	\$	43,265
224	Clifton-Clyde	318.3	76.0	22.8	\$ 88,076	7.6	\$ 29,359	\$	58,717
349	Stafford	319.0	146.0	43.8	\$ 169,199	14.6	\$ 56,400	\$	112,799
492	Flinthills	319.0	49.0	14.7	\$ 56,786	4.9	\$ 18,929	\$	37,857
216	Deerfield	332.0	183.0	54.9	\$ 212,079	18.3	\$ 70,693	\$	141,386
412	Hoxie	334.2	49.0	14.7	\$ 56,786	4.9	\$ 18,929	\$	37,857
381	Spearville	342.0	42.0	12.6	\$ 48,674	4.2	\$ 16,225	\$	32,449
395	LaCrosse	346.0	97.0	29.1	\$ 112,413	9.7	\$ 37,471	\$	74,942
462	Central	346.3	85.0	25.5	\$ 98,507	8.5	\$ 32,836	\$	65,671
454	Burlingame	350.0	70.0	21.0	\$ 81,123	7.0	\$ 27,041	\$	54,082
486	Elwood	350.0	147.0	44.1	\$ 170,358	14.7	\$ 56,786	\$	113,572
293	Quinter	351.5	70.0	21.0	\$ 81,123	7.0	\$ 27,041	\$	54,082
222	Washington	353.5	68.0	20.4	\$ 78,805	6.8	\$ 26,268	\$	52,537
297	St. Francis	357.4	81.0	24.3	\$ 93,871	8.1	\$ 31,290	\$	62,581
463	Udall	362.5	88.0	26.4	\$ 101,983	8.8	\$ 33,994	\$	67,989
298	Lincoln	365.2	112.0	33.6	\$ 129,797	11.2	\$ 43,266	\$	86,531
271	Stockton	366.8	106.0	31.8	\$ 122,843	10.6	\$ 40,948	\$	81,895
322	Onaga	370.0	74.0	22.2	\$ 85,759	7.4	\$ 28,586	\$	57,173
256	Marmaton Valley	373.0	120.0	36.0	\$ 139,068	12.0	\$ 46,356	\$	92,712
388	Ellis	374.2	95.0	28.5	\$ 110,096	9.5	\$ 36,699	\$	73,397
270	Plainville	376.1	107.0	32.1	\$ 124,002	10.7	\$ 41,334	\$	82,668
406	Wathena	377.8	75.0	22.5	\$ 86,918	7.5	\$ 28,973	\$	57,945
310	Fairfield	381.0	160.0	48.0	\$ 185,424	16.0	\$ 61,808	\$	123,616
429	Troy	383.7	99.0	29.7	\$ 114,731	9.9	\$ 38,244	\$	76,487
223	Barnes	384.2	95.0	28.5	\$ 110,096	9.5	\$ 36,699	\$	73,397
208	WaKeeney	386.5	80.0	24.0	\$ 92,712	8.0	\$ 30,904	\$	61,808
105	Rawlins County	386.8	91.0	27.3	\$ 105,460	9.1	\$ 35,153	\$	70,307
272	Waconda	393.2	100.0	30.0	\$ 115,890	10.0	\$ 38,630	\$	77,260
507	Satanta	395.5	133.0	39.9	\$ 154,134	13.3	\$ 51,378	\$	102,756
344	Pleasanton	396.0	139.0	41.7	\$ 161,087	13.9	\$ 53,696	\$	107,391
498	Valley Heights	397.8	103.0	30.9	\$ 119,367	10.3	\$ 39,789	\$	79,578
358	Oxford	398.5	101.0	30.3	\$ 117,049	10.1	\$ 39,016	\$	78,033
392	Osborne	405.8	116.0	34.8	\$ 134,432	11.6	\$ 44,811	\$	89,621
393	Solomon	409.1	101.0	30.3	\$ 117,049	10.1	\$ 39,016	\$	78,033
419	Canton-Galva	412.8	70.0	21.0	\$ 81,123	7.0	\$ 27,041	\$	54,082
281	Hill City	416.0	85.0	25.5	\$ 98,507	8.5	\$ 32,836	\$	65,671

1-2

Difference in Cost of At-Risk Weighting
Between A&M Study and Current Weighting of .1
Professional Judgement Approach

USD No.	USD Name	Adjusted Enrollment	At-Risk Students	A&M At-Risk		A&M Cost for At-Risk	At-Risk		Difference less Current	A&M
				Weighted FTE			Weighted FTE	Current At-Risk Cost		
423	Moundridge	417.8	43.0	12.9	\$	49,833	4.3	\$ 16,611	\$	33,222
335	North Jackson	423.5	86.0	25.8	\$	99,665	8.6	\$ 33,222	\$	66,443
350	St. John-Hudson	425.7	123.0	36.9	\$	142,545	12.3	\$ 47,515	\$	95,030
481	Rural Vista	428.8	104.0	31.2	\$	120,526	10.4	\$ 40,175	\$	80,351
USD with 431 to 1,300 enrollment receive 0.51										
398	Peabody-Burns	430.4	118.0	60.2	\$	232,553	11.8	\$ 45,583	\$	186,970
338	Valley Halls	431.4	66.0	33.7	\$	130,183	6.6	\$ 25,496	\$	104,687
286	Chautauqua	432.2	158.0	80.6	\$	311,358	15.8	\$ 61,035	\$	250,323
274	Oakley	432.3	140.0	71.4	\$	275,818	14.0	\$ 54,082	\$	221,736
294	Oberlin	442.0	115.0	58.7	\$	226,758	11.5	\$ 44,425	\$	182,333
438	Skyline	444.3	88.0	44.9	\$	173,449	8.8	\$ 33,994	\$	139,455
448	Inman	448.3	56.0	28.6	\$	110,482	5.6	\$ 21,633	\$	88,849
421	Lyndon	450.0	85.0	43.4	\$	167,654	8.5	\$ 32,836	\$	134,818
307	Ell-Saline	450.8	71.0	36.2	\$	139,841	7.1	\$ 27,427	\$	112,414
282	West Elk	451.5	185.0	94.4	\$	364,667	18.5	\$ 71,466	\$	293,201
235	Uniontown	457.0	161.0	82.1	\$	317,152	16.1	\$ 62,194	\$	254,958
284	Chase County	458.4	120.0	61.2	\$	236,416	12.0	\$ 46,356	\$	190,060
328	Lorraine	462.0	142.0	72.4	\$	279,681	14.2	\$ 54,855	\$	224,826
374	Sublette	470.0	211.0	107.6	\$	415,659	21.1	\$ 81,509	\$	334,150
329	Alma	476.3	78.0	39.8	\$	153,747	7.8	\$ 30,131	\$	123,616
237	Smith Center	477.0	136.0	69.4	\$	268,092	13.6	\$ 52,537	\$	215,555
467	Leoti	477.1	155.0	79.1	\$	305,563	15.5	\$ 59,877	\$	245,686
427	Belleville	479.8	124.0	63.2	\$	244,142	12.4	\$ 47,901	\$	196,241
494	Syracuse	482.0	213.0	108.6	\$	419,522	21.3	\$ 82,282	\$	337,240
452	Stanton County	482.8	197.0	100.5	\$	388,232	19.7	\$ 76,101	\$	312,131
330	Wabaunsee East	497.0	97.0	49.5	\$	191,219	9.7	\$ 37,471	\$	153,748
442	Nemaha Valley	498.9	64.0	32.6	\$	125,934	6.4	\$ 24,723	\$	101,211
339	Jefferson County	500.1	70.0	35.7	\$	137,909	7.0	\$ 27,041	\$	110,868
226	Meade	503.7	103.0	52.5	\$	202,808	10.3	\$ 39,789	\$	163,019
376	Sterling	504.4	138.0	70.4	\$	271,955	13.8	\$ 53,309	\$	218,646
487	Herington	508.5	151.0	77.0	\$	297,451	15.1	\$ 58,331	\$	239,120
504	Oswego	512.5	184.0	93.8	\$	362,349	18.4	\$ 71,079	\$	291,270
355	Ellinwood	514.1	126.0	64.3	\$	248,391	12.6	\$ 48,674	\$	199,717
439	Sedgwick	520.5	66.0	33.7	\$	130,183	6.6	\$ 25,496	\$	104,687
366	Woodson	524.0	180.0	91.8	\$	354,623	18.0	\$ 69,534	\$	285,089
258	Humboldt	526.6	172.0	87.7	\$	338,785	17.2	\$ 66,444	\$	272,341
206	Remington-Whitewater	529.4	92.0	46.9	\$	181,175	9.2	\$ 35,540	\$	145,635
380	Vermillion	550.8	101.0	51.5	\$	198,945	10.1	\$ 39,016	\$	159,929
342	McLouth	561.6	102.0	52.0	\$	200,876	10.2	\$ 39,403	\$	161,473
356	Conway Springs	566.5	107.0	54.6	\$	210,920	10.7	\$ 41,334	\$	169,586
239	North Ottawa Co.	570.9	107.0	54.6	\$	210,920	10.7	\$ 41,334	\$	169,586
243	Lebo-Waverly	573.0	132.0	67.3	\$	259,980	13.2	\$ 50,992	\$	208,988
246	Northeast	578.0	290.0	147.9	\$	571,338	29.0	\$ 112,027	\$	459,311
252	Southern Lyon Co.	591.5	110.0	56.1	\$	216,714	11.0	\$ 42,493	\$	174,221
346	Jayhawk	595.9	182.0	92.8	\$	358,486	18.2	\$ 70,307	\$	288,179
447	Cherryvale	596.8	233.0	118.8	\$	458,924	23.3	\$ 90,008	\$	368,916
254	Barber Co.	609.0	127.0	64.8	\$	250,322	12.7	\$ 49,060	\$	201,262
325	Phillipsburg	624.1	158.0	80.6	\$	311,358	15.8	\$ 61,035	\$	250,323
240	Twin Valley	624.5	111.0	56.6	\$	218,646	11.1	\$ 42,879	\$	175,767
327	Ellsworth	625.0	101.0	51.5	\$	198,945	10.1	\$ 39,016	\$	159,929
288	Central Heights	630.9	145.0	74.0	\$	285,862	14.5	\$ 56,014	\$	229,848
251	North Lyon Co.	637.0	141.0	71.9	\$	277,750	14.1	\$ 54,468	\$	223,282
341	Oskaloosa	637.4	165.0	84.2	\$	325,265	16.5	\$ 63,740	\$	261,525
378	Riley County	646.0	113.0	57.6	\$	222,509	11.3	\$ 43,652	\$	178,857
102	Cimarron-Ensign	651.5	194.0	98.9	\$	382,051	19.4	\$ 74,942	\$	307,109
431	Hoisington	652.5	199.0	101.5	\$	392,095	19.9	\$ 76,874	\$	315,221
408	Marion	656.1	166.0	84.7	\$	327,196	16.6	\$ 64,126	\$	263,070
430	Brown County	657.2	249.0	127.0	\$	490,601	24.9	\$ 96,189	\$	394,412

Difference in Cost of At-Risk Weighting
Between A&M Study and Current Weighting of .1
Professional Judgement Approach

USD No.	USD Name	Adjusted Enroll-ment	At-Risk Students	A&M At-Risk Weighted		At-Risk Weighted		Current At-Risk Cost	Difference less Current	A&M
				FTE	A&M Cost for At-Risk	FTE	Cost			
410	Durham-Hills	666.0	119.0	60.7	\$ 234,484	11.9	\$ 45,970	\$ 188,514		
215	Lakin	676.3	185.0	94.4	\$ 364,667	18.5	\$ 71,466	\$ 293,201		
218	Elkhart	679.0	164.0	83.6	\$ 322,947	16.4	\$ 63,353	\$ 259,594		
211	Norton	679.2	160.0	81.6	\$ 315,221	16.0	\$ 61,808	\$ 253,413		
306	Southeast of Saline	690.0	99.0	50.5	\$ 195,082	9.9	\$ 38,244	\$ 156,838		
389	Eureka	690.6	226.0	115.3	\$ 445,404	22.6	\$ 87,304	\$ 358,100		
440	Halstead	695.8	153.0	78.0	\$ 301,314	15.3	\$ 59,104	\$ 242,210		
449	Easton	706.0	74.0	37.7	\$ 145,635	7.4	\$ 28,586	\$ 117,049		
483	Kismet-Plains	712.0	358.0	182.6	\$ 705,384	35.8	\$ 138,295	\$ 567,089		
205	Leon	720.3	146.0	74.5	\$ 287,794	14.6	\$ 56,400	\$ 231,394		
372	Silver Lake	725.5	53.0	27.0	\$ 104,301	5.3	\$ 20,474	\$ 83,827		
323	Westmoreland	733.5	146.0	74.5	\$ 287,794	14.6	\$ 56,400	\$ 231,394		
377	Atchison County	734.0	204.0	104.0	\$ 401,752	20.4	\$ 78,805	\$ 322,947		
249	Frontenac	736.5	172.0	87.7	\$ 338,785	17.2	\$ 66,444	\$ 272,341		
420	Osage City	737.7	209.0	106.6	\$ 411,796	20.9	\$ 80,737	\$ 331,059		
268	Cheney	742.7	102.0	52.0	\$ 200,876	10.2	\$ 39,403	\$ 161,473		
273	Beloit	749.5	138.0	70.4	\$ 271,955	13.8	\$ 53,309	\$ 218,646		
484	Fredonia	750.4	287.0	146.4	\$ 565,543	28.7	\$ 110,868	\$ 454,675		
461	Neodesha	759.8	234.0	119.3	\$ 460,856	23.4	\$ 90,394	\$ 370,462		
499	Galena	761.0	413.0	210.6	\$ 813,548	41.3	\$ 159,542	\$ 654,006		
460	Hesston	794.1	109.0	55.6	\$ 214,783	10.9	\$ 42,107	\$ 172,676		
357	Belle Plaine	797.5	232.0	118.3	\$ 456,993	23.2	\$ 89,622	\$ 367,371		
364	Marysville	798.2	149.0	76.0	\$ 293,588	14.9	\$ 57,559	\$ 236,029		
289	Wellsville	799.0	113.0	57.6	\$ 222,509	11.3	\$ 43,652	\$ 178,857		
404	Riverton	805.3	285.0	145.4	\$ 561,680	28.5	\$ 110,096	\$ 451,584		
247	Cherokee	813.0	262.0	133.6	\$ 516,097	26.2	\$ 101,211	\$ 414,886		
405	Lyons	836.2	443.0	225.9	\$ 872,652	44.3	\$ 171,131	\$ 701,521		
244	Burlington	836.5	216.0	110.2	\$ 425,703	21.6	\$ 83,441	\$ 342,262		
508	Baxter Springs	842.0	307.0	156.6	\$ 604,946	30.7	\$ 118,594	\$ 486,352		
363	Holcomb	854.3	196.0	100.0	\$ 386,300	19.6	\$ 75,715	\$ 310,585		
396	Douglass	860.1	170.0	86.7	\$ 334,922	17.0	\$ 65,671	\$ 269,251		
436	Caney	899.4	235.0	119.9	\$ 463,174	23.5	\$ 90,781	\$ 372,393		
466	Scott County	899.4	277.0	141.3	\$ 545,842	27.7	\$ 107,005	\$ 438,837		
417	Morris County	909.9	258.0	131.6	\$ 508,371	25.8	\$ 99,665	\$ 408,706		
287	West Franklin	921.0	238.0	121.4	\$ 468,968	23.8	\$ 91,939	\$ 377,029		
337	Mayetta	924.5	258.0	131.6	\$ 508,371	25.8	\$ 99,665	\$ 408,706		
495	Ft. Lamed	926.1	283.0	144.3	\$ 557,431	28.3	\$ 109,323	\$ 448,108		
441	Sabetha	937.4	179.0	91.3	\$ 352,692	17.9	\$ 69,148	\$ 283,544		
361	Anthony-Harper	940.8	328.0	167.3	\$ 646,280	32.8	\$ 126,706	\$ 519,574		
400	Smoky Valley	950.3	149.0	76.0	\$ 293,588	14.9	\$ 57,559	\$ 236,029		
340	Jefferson West	950.7	129.0	65.8	\$ 254,185	12.9	\$ 49,833	\$ 204,352		
415	Hiawatha	965.4	266.0	135.7	\$ 524,209	26.6	\$ 102,756	\$ 421,453		
343	Perry	976.5	182.0	92.8	\$ 358,486	18.2	\$ 70,307	\$ 288,179		
352	Goodland	981.8	315.0	160.7	\$ 620,784	31.5	\$ 121,685	\$ 499,099		
407	Russell	1,000.2	304.0	155.0	\$ 598,765	30.4	\$ 117,435	\$ 481,330		
473	Chapman	1,002.2	210.0	107.1	\$ 413,727	21.0	\$ 81,123	\$ 332,604		
362	Prairie View	1,004.6	214.0	109.1	\$ 421,453	21.4	\$ 82,668	\$ 338,785		
210	Hugoton	1,014.3	431.0	219.8	\$ 849,087	43.1	\$ 166,495	\$ 682,592		
315	Colby	1,026.9	252.0	128.5	\$ 496,396	25.2	\$ 97,348	\$ 399,048		
248	Girard	1,047.0	292.0	148.9	\$ 575,201	29.2	\$ 112,800	\$ 462,401		
321	Kaw Valley	1,061.5	220.0	112.2	\$ 433,429	22.0	\$ 84,986	\$ 348,443		
101	Erie-St. Paul	1,068.9	322.0	164.2	\$ 634,305	32.2	\$ 124,389	\$ 509,916		
365	Garnett	1,082.8	339.0	172.9	\$ 667,913	33.9	\$ 130,956	\$ 536,957		
312	Haven	1,102.0	238.0	121.4	\$ 468,968	23.8	\$ 91,939	\$ 377,029		
333	Concordia	1,110.1	366.0	186.7	\$ 721,222	36.6	\$ 141,386	\$ 579,836		
336	Holton	1,117.2	219.0	111.7	\$ 431,497	21.9	\$ 84,600	\$ 346,897		
309	Nickerson	1,120.2	360.0	183.6	\$ 709,247	36.0	\$ 139,068	\$ 570,179		
382	Pratt	1,137.5	333.0	169.8	\$ 655,937	33.3	\$ 128,638	\$ 527,299		

1-4

Difference in Cost of At-Risk Weighting
Between A&M Study and Current Weighting of .1
Professional Judgement Approach

USD No.	USD Name	Adjusted Enrollment	At-Risk Students	A&M At-Risk		At-Risk Weighted FTE	Current At-Risk Cost	Difference less Current	A&M
				Weighted FTE	A&M Cost for At-Risk				
367	Osawatomie	1,162.5	455.0	232.1	\$ 896,602	45.5	\$ 175,767	\$	720,835
331	Kingman	1,165.4	277.0	141.3	\$ 545,842	27.7	\$ 107,005	\$	438,837
491	Eudora	1,235.8	205.0	104.6	\$ 404,070	20.5	\$ 79,192	\$	324,878
264	Clearwater	1,242.4	143.0	72.9	\$ 281,613	14.3	\$ 55,241	\$	226,372
434	Santa Fe	1,262.5	324.0	165.2	\$ 638,168	32.4	\$ 125,161	\$	513,007
493	Columbus	1,265.6	479.0	244.3	\$ 943,731	47.9	\$ 185,038	\$	758,693
348	Baldwin City	1,287.1	142.0	72.4	\$ 279,681	14.2	\$ 54,855	\$	224,826
USD with 1,301 and up ent receive 0.44									
320	Wamego	1,311.1	231.0	101.6	\$ 392,481	23.1	\$ 89,235	\$	303,246
203	Piper	1,346.0	52.0	22.9	\$ 88,463	5.2	\$ 20,088	\$	68,375
435	Abilene	1,413.7	383.0	168.5	\$ 650,916	38.3	\$ 147,953	\$	502,963
416	Louisburg	1,424.5	113.0	49.7	\$ 191,991	11.3	\$ 43,652	\$	148,339
379	Clay Center	1,431.5	308.0	135.5	\$ 523,437	30.8	\$ 118,980	\$	404,457
257	Iola	1,439.6	571.0	251.2	\$ 970,386	57.1	\$ 220,577	\$	749,809
375	Circle	1,497.2	245.0	107.8	\$ 416,431	24.5	\$ 94,644	\$	321,787
503	Parsons	1,516.4	674.0	296.6	\$ 1,145,766	67.4	\$ 260,366	\$	885,400
464	Tonganoxie	1,560.0	202.0	88.9	\$ 343,421	20.2	\$ 78,033	\$	265,388
409	Atchison	1,570.1	692.0	304.5	\$ 1,176,284	69.2	\$ 267,320	\$	908,964
230	Spring Hill	1,603.0	146.0	64.2	\$ 248,005	13.2	\$ 50,992	\$	197,013
506	Labette County	1,643.1	473.0	208.1	\$ 803,890	47.3	\$ 182,720	\$	621,170
214	Ulysses	1,688.1	722.0	317.7	\$ 1,227,275	72.2	\$ 278,909	\$	948,366
353	Wellington	1,693.1	605.0	266.2	\$ 1,028,331	60.5	\$ 233,712	\$	794,619
394	Rose Hill	1,794.3	207.0	91.1	\$ 351,919	20.7	\$ 79,964	\$	271,955
207	Ft. Leavenworth	1,799.0	62.0	27.3	\$ 105,460	6.2	\$ 23,951	\$	81,509
413	Chanute	1,843.6	626.0	275.4	\$ 1,063,870	62.6	\$ 241,824	\$	822,046
445	Coffeyville	1,879.9	961.0	422.8	\$ 1,633,276	96.1	\$ 371,234	\$	1,262,042
263	Mulvane	1,881.1	309.0	136.0	\$ 525,368	30.9	\$ 119,367	\$	406,001
446	Independence	1,959.4	757.0	333.1	\$ 1,286,765	75.7	\$ 292,429	\$	994,336
234	Ft. Scott	1,964.4	813.0	357.7	\$ 1,381,795	81.3	\$ 314,062	\$	1,067,733
267	Renwick	1,985.7	173.0	76.1	\$ 293,974	17.3	\$ 66,830	\$	227,144
458	Basehor-Linwood	2,026.0	111.0	48.8	\$ 188,514	11.1	\$ 42,879	\$	145,635
368	Paola	2,056.7	368.0	161.9	\$ 625,420	36.8	\$ 142,158	\$	483,262
469	Lansing	2,089.5	96.0	42.2	\$ 163,019	9.6	\$ 37,085	\$	125,934
402	Augusta	2,102.0	471.0	207.2	\$ 800,414	47.1	\$ 181,947	\$	618,467
490	El Dorado	2,128.0	681.0	299.6	\$ 1,157,355	68.1	\$ 263,070	\$	894,285
313	Buhler	2,145.8	473.0	208.1	\$ 803,890	47.3	\$ 182,720	\$	621,170
204	Bonner Springs	2,174.0	607.0	267.1	\$ 1,031,807	60.7	\$ 234,484	\$	797,323
262	Valley Center	2,366.0	388.0	170.7	\$ 659,414	38.8	\$ 149,884	\$	509,530
290	Ottawa	2,375.1	682.0	300.1	\$ 1,159,286	68.2	\$ 263,457	\$	895,829
418	McPherson	2,427.0	437.0	192.3	\$ 742,855	43.7	\$ 168,813	\$	574,042
250	Pittsburg	2,469.9	1175.0	517.0	\$ 1,997,171	117.5	\$ 453,903	\$	1,543,268
465	Winfield	2,508.7	770.0	338.8	\$ 1,308,784	77.0	\$ 297,451	\$	1,011,333
470	Arkansas City	2,812.6	1344.0	591.4	\$ 2,284,578	134.4	\$ 519,187	\$	1,765,391
489	Hays	3,008.3	672.0	295.7	\$ 1,142,289	67.2	\$ 259,594	\$	882,695
428	Great Bend	3,046.9	1368.0	601.9	\$ 2,325,140	136.8	\$ 528,458	\$	1,796,682
345	Seaman	3,306.4	516.0	227.0	\$ 876,901	51.6	\$ 199,331	\$	677,570
450	Shawnee Heights	3,356.9	538.0	236.7	\$ 914,372	53.8	\$ 207,829	\$	706,543
231	Gardner-Edgerton	3,401.3	487.0	214.3	\$ 827,841	48.7	\$ 188,128	\$	639,713
373	Newton	3,453.0	1197.0	526.7	\$ 2,034,642	119.7	\$ 462,401	\$	1,572,241
202	Turner	3,581.8	1406.0	618.6	\$ 2,389,652	140.6	\$ 543,138	\$	1,846,514
385	Andover	3,634.7	258.0	113.5	\$ 438,451	25.8	\$ 99,665	\$	338,786
453	Leavenworth	3,976.4	1574.0	692.6	\$ 2,675,514	157.4	\$ 608,036	\$	2,067,478
265	Goddard	4,094.4	445.0	195.8	\$ 756,375	44.5	\$ 171,904	\$	584,471
480	Liberal	4,203.4	2303.0	1013.3	\$ 3,914,378	230.3	\$ 889,649	\$	3,024,729
261	Haysville	4,322.8	1131.0	497.6	\$ 1,922,229	113.1	\$ 436,905	\$	1,485,324
232	DeSoto	4,540.7	420.0	184.8	\$ 713,882	42.0	\$ 162,246	\$	551,636
253	Emporia	4,590.8	2335.0	1027.4	\$ 3,968,846	233.5	\$ 902,011	\$	3,066,835
308	Hutchinson	4,636.6	2015.0	886.6	\$ 3,424,936	201.5	\$ 778,395	\$	2,646,541

1-5

Difference in Cost of At-Risk Weighting
Between A&M Study and Current Weighting of .1
Professional Judgement Approach

USD No.	USD Name	Adjusted Enrollment	At-Risk Students	A&M At-Risk Weighted FTE	A&M Cost for At-Risk	At-Risk Weighted FTE	Current At-Risk Cost	Difference less Current	A&M
437	Auburn Washburn	4,996.5	794.0	349.4	\$ 1,349,732	79.4	\$ 306,722	\$ 1,043,010	
383	Manhattan	5,084.6	1109.0	488.0	\$ 1,885,144	110.9	\$ 428,407	\$ 1,456,737	
443	Dodge City	5,617.1	3421.0	1505.2	\$ 5,814,588	342.1	\$ 1,321,532	\$ 4,493,056	
266	Maize	5,740.0	346.0	152.2	\$ 587,949	34.6	\$ 133,660	\$ 454,289	
475	Junction City	6,078.1	2216.0	975.0	\$ 3,766,425	221.6	\$ 856,041	\$ 2,910,384	
260	Derby	6,398.9	1527.0	671.9	\$ 2,595,550	152.7	\$ 589,880	\$ 2,005,670	
457	Garden City	6,966.4	3495.0	1537.8	\$ 5,940,521	349.5	\$ 1,350,119	\$ 4,590,402	
305	Salina	7,203.7	2609.0	1148.0	\$ 4,434,724	260.9	\$ 1,007,857	\$ 3,426,867	
497	Lawrence	9,707.0	2137.0	940.3	\$ 3,632,379	213.7	\$ 825,523	\$ 2,806,856	
501	Topeka	13,282.0	7304.0	3213.8	\$ 12,414,909	730.4	\$ 2,821,535	\$ 9,593,374	
229	Blue Valley	18,389.0	439.0	193.2	\$ 746,332	43.9	\$ 169,586	\$ 576,746	
500	Kansas City	19,236.0	12696.0	5586.2	\$ 21,579,491	1,269.6	\$ 4,904,465	\$ 16,675,026	
233	Olathe	22,465.2	2341.0	1030.0	\$ 3,978,890	234.1	\$ 904,328	\$ 3,074,562	
512	Shawnee Mission	28,283.9	3347.0	1472.7	\$ 5,689,040	334.7	\$ 1,292,946	\$ 4,396,094	
259	Wichita	44,706.6	26399.0	11615.6	\$ 44,871,063	2,639.9	\$ 10,197,934	\$ 34,673,129	
TOTALS		445,829.9	135,164.0	59,696.4	\$ 230,607,212	13,515.0	\$ 52,208,465	\$ 178,398,747	

Note: The cost figures are based on BSAPP of \$3,863.
Source for the Adjusted enrollment is the Kansas Department of Education LegMax sheet updated 11/10/04

16

Difference in Cost of At-Risk Weighting
Between A&M Study and Current Weighting of .1
Successful School Approach

USD No.	USD Name	Adjusted Enrollment	At-Risk Students	A&M At-Risk Weighted FTE	A&M Cost for At-Risk	At-Risk Weighted FTE	Current At-Risk Cost	Difference less Current	A&M
USD with less than 200 enrollment receive .20									
301	Nes Tres La Go	33.0	7.0	1.4	\$ 5,408	0.7	\$ 2,704	\$ 2,704	
295	Prairie Heights	60.5	14.0	2.8	\$ 10,816	1.4	\$ 5,408	\$ 5,408	
213	West Solomon	71.0	12.0	2.4	\$ 9,271	1.2	\$ 4,636	\$ 4,636	
275	Triplains	94.5	27.0	5.4	\$ 20,860	2.7	\$ 10,430	\$ 10,430	
228	Hanston	107.5	27.0	5.4	\$ 20,860	2.7	\$ 10,430	\$ 10,430	
468	Healy	117.5	35.0	7.0	\$ 27,041	3.5	\$ 13,521	\$ 13,520	
221	North Central	120.0	25.0	5.0	\$ 19,315	2.5	\$ 9,658	\$ 9,657	
390	Hamilton	122.5	40.0	8.0	\$ 30,904	4.0	\$ 15,452	\$ 15,452	
455	Hillcrest	124.0	43.0	8.6	\$ 33,222	4.3	\$ 16,611	\$ 16,611	
476	Copeland	124.0	90.0	18.0	\$ 69,534	9.0	\$ 34,767	\$ 34,767	
242	Weskan	131.0	39.0	7.8	\$ 30,131	3.9	\$ 15,066	\$ 15,065	
291	Grinnell	132.5	20.0	4.0	\$ 15,452	2.0	\$ 7,726	\$ 7,726	
511	Attica	133.0	38.0	7.6	\$ 29,359	3.8	\$ 14,679	\$ 14,680	
104	White Rock	141.0	25.0	5.0	\$ 19,315	2.5	\$ 9,658	\$ 9,657	
502	Lewis	142.0	51.0	10.2	\$ 39,403	5.1	\$ 19,701	\$ 19,702	
314	Brewster	143.0	26.0	5.2	\$ 20,088	2.6	\$ 10,044	\$ 10,044	
269	Palco	148.1	43.0	8.6	\$ 33,222	4.3	\$ 16,611	\$ 16,611	
399	Paradise	151.1	54.0	10.8	\$ 41,720	5.4	\$ 20,860	\$ 20,860	
424	Mullinville	153.6	60.0	12.0	\$ 46,356	6.0	\$ 23,178	\$ 23,178	
324	Eastern Heights	154.2	50.0	10.0	\$ 38,630	5.0	\$ 19,315	\$ 19,315	
103	Cheylin	161.5	52.0	10.4	\$ 40,175	5.2	\$ 20,088	\$ 20,087	
299	Sylvan Grove	162.0	54.0	10.8	\$ 41,720	5.4	\$ 20,860	\$ 20,860	
225	Fowler	162.2	78.0	15.6	\$ 60,263	7.8	\$ 30,131	\$ 30,132	
401	Chase	164.8	77.0	15.4	\$ 59,490	7.7	\$ 29,745	\$ 29,745	
474	Haviland	172.0	42.0	8.4	\$ 32,449	4.2	\$ 16,225	\$ 16,224	
279	Jewell	173.2	50.0	10.0	\$ 38,630	5.0	\$ 19,315	\$ 19,315	
285	Cedar Vale	178.1	72.0	14.4	\$ 55,627	7.2	\$ 27,814	\$ 27,813	
292	Grainfield	186.5	64.0	12.8	\$ 49,446	6.4	\$ 24,723	\$ 24,723	
212	Northern Valley	188.5	79.0	15.8	\$ 61,035	7.9	\$ 30,518	\$ 30,517	
316	Golden Plains	190.0	95.0	19.0	\$ 73,397	9.5	\$ 36,699	\$ 36,698	
106	Western Plains	192.0	44.0	8.8	\$ 33,994	4.4	\$ 16,997	\$ 16,997	
326	Logan	192.5	65.0	13.0	\$ 50,219	6.5	\$ 25,110	\$ 25,109	
238	West Smith Co.	193.5	52.0	10.4	\$ 40,175	5.2	\$ 20,088	\$ 20,087	
496	Pawnee Heights	197.5	42.0	8.4	\$ 32,449	4.2	\$ 16,225	\$ 16,224	
283	Elk Valley	199.5	106.0	21.2	\$ 81,896	10.6	\$ 40,948	\$ 40,948	
USD with enrollment greater than 201 receive .60-[(1,000/enroll.) X .08]									
433	Midway	215.0	75.0	17.1	\$ 66,057	7.5	\$ 28,973	\$ 37,084	
359	Argonia	218.6	84.0	19.7	\$ 76,101	8.4	\$ 32,449	\$ 43,652	
217	Rolla	219.5	95.0	22.4	\$ 86,531	9.5	\$ 36,699	\$ 49,832	
509	South Haven	224.0	44.0	10.7	\$ 41,334	4.4	\$ 16,997	\$ 24,337	
471	Dexter	225.8	73.0	17.9	\$ 69,148	7.3	\$ 28,200	\$ 40,948	
278	Mankato	226.2	59.0	14.5	\$ 56,014	5.9	\$ 22,792	\$ 33,222	
220	Ashland	228.6	73.0	18.3	\$ 70,693	7.3	\$ 28,200	\$ 42,493	
241	Wallace	230.3	68.0	17.2	\$ 66,444	6.8	\$ 26,268	\$ 40,176	
403	Otis-Bison	233.7	46.0	11.9	\$ 45,970	4.6	\$ 17,770	\$ 28,200	
334	Southern Cloud	234.0	80.0	20.6	\$ 79,578	8.0	\$ 30,904	\$ 48,674	
209	Moscow	236.2	115.0	30.0	\$ 115,890	11.5	\$ 44,425	\$ 71,465	
451	B & B	238.5	31.0	8.2	\$ 31,677	3.1	\$ 11,975	\$ 19,702	
479	Crest	241.7	73.0	19.6	\$ 75,715	7.3	\$ 28,200	\$ 47,515	
384	Blue Valley	247.3	34.0	9.4	\$ 36,312	3.4	\$ 13,134	\$ 23,178	
482	Dighton	250.8	81.0	22.8	\$ 88,076	8.1	\$ 31,290	\$ 56,786	
387	Altoona-Midway	252.5	90.0	25.5	\$ 98,507	9.0	\$ 34,767	\$ 63,740	
332	Cunningham	254.0	59.0	16.8	\$ 64,898	5.9	\$ 22,792	\$ 42,106	
477	Ingalls	256.0	81.0	23.3	\$ 90,008	8.1	\$ 31,290	\$ 58,718	
369	Burrton	258.0	104.0	30.2	\$ 116,663	10.4	\$ 40,175	\$ 76,488	
397	Centre	261.8	65.0	19.1	\$ 73,783	6.5	\$ 25,110	\$ 48,673	
386	Madison-Virgil	262.9	80.0	23.7	\$ 91,553	8.0	\$ 30,904	\$ 60,649	

Difference in Cost of At-Risk Weighting
Between A&M Study and Current Weighting of .1
Successful School Approach

USD No.	USD Name	Adjusted Enroll-ment	At-Risk Students	A&M At-Risk		At-Risk Weighted FTE	Current At-Risk Cost	Difference less Current	A&M
				Weighted FTE	A&M Cost for At-Risk				
426	Pike Valley	263.7	87.0	25.8	\$ 99,665	8.7	\$ 33,608	\$ 66,057	
303	Ness City	265.9	38.0	11.4	\$ 44,038	3.8	\$ 14,679	\$ 29,359	
456	Marais Des Cygnes	267.0	121.0	36.3	\$ 140,227	12.1	\$ 46,742	\$ 93,485	
459	Bucklin	267.2	79.0	23.7	\$ 91,553	7.9	\$ 30,518	\$ 61,035	
219	Minneola	268.5	83.0	25.1	\$ 96,961	8.3	\$ 32,063	\$ 64,898	
425	Highland	268.5	37.0	11.2	\$ 43,266	3.7	\$ 14,293	\$ 28,973	
432	Victoria	276.6	19.0	5.9	\$ 22,792	1.9	\$ 7,340	\$ 15,452	
255	South Barber Co.	277.5	79.0	24.6	\$ 95,030	7.9	\$ 30,518	\$ 64,512	
200	Greeley County	280.5	88.0	27.7	\$ 107,005	8.8	\$ 33,994	\$ 73,011	
444	Little River	281.0	50.0	15.8	\$ 61,035	5.0	\$ 19,315	\$ 41,720	
411	Goessel	286.2	30.0	9.6	\$ 37,085	3.0	\$ 11,589	\$ 25,496	
505	Chetopa	287.2	184.0	59.1	\$ 228,303	18.4	\$ 71,079	\$ 157,224	
245	LeRoy-Gridley	291.0	79.0	25.7	\$ 99,279	7.9	\$ 30,518	\$ 68,761	
371	Montezuma	294.0	92.0	30.2	\$ 116,663	9.2	\$ 35,540	\$ 81,123	
360	Caldwell	297.0	107.0	35.4	\$ 136,750	10.7	\$ 41,334	\$ 95,416	
227	Jetmore	300.3	63.0	21.0	\$ 81,123	6.3	\$ 24,337	\$ 56,786	
351	Macksville	300.7	111.0	37.1	\$ 143,317	11.1	\$ 42,879	\$ 100,438	
422	Greensburg	307.4	77.0	26.2	\$ 101,211	7.7	\$ 29,745	\$ 71,466	
300	Commanche County	308.0	73.0	24.8	\$ 95,802	7.3	\$ 28,200	\$ 67,602	
347	Kinsely-Offlerle	310.3	126.0	43.1	\$ 166,495	12.6	\$ 48,674	\$ 117,821	
311	Pretty Prairie	312.0	34.0	11.7	\$ 45,197	3.4	\$ 13,134	\$ 32,063	
488	Axtell	314.5	60.0	20.7	\$ 79,964	6.0	\$ 23,178	\$ 56,786	
354	Clafin	315.3	56.0	19.4	\$ 74,942	5.6	\$ 21,633	\$ 53,309	
224	Clifton-Clyde	318.3	76.0	26.5	\$ 102,370	7.6	\$ 29,359	\$ 73,011	
349	Stafford	319.0	146.0	51.0	\$ 197,013	14.6	\$ 56,400	\$ 140,613	
492	Flinthills	319.0	49.0	17.1	\$ 66,057	4.9	\$ 18,929	\$ 47,128	
216	Deerfield	332.0	183.0	65.7	\$ 253,799	18.3	\$ 70,693	\$ 183,106	
412	Hoxie	334.2	49.0	17.7	\$ 68,375	4.9	\$ 18,929	\$ 49,446	
381	Spearville	342.0	42.0	15.4	\$ 59,490	4.2	\$ 16,225	\$ 43,265	
395	LaCrosse	346.0	97.0	35.8	\$ 138,295	9.7	\$ 37,471	\$ 100,824	
462	Central	346.3	85.0	31.4	\$ 121,298	8.5	\$ 32,836	\$ 88,462	
454	Burlingame	350.0	70.0	26.0	\$ 100,438	7.0	\$ 27,041	\$ 73,397	
486	Elwood	350.0	147.0	54.6	\$ 210,920	14.7	\$ 56,786	\$ 154,134	
293	Quinter	351.5	70.0	26.1	\$ 100,824	7.0	\$ 27,041	\$ 73,783	
222	Washington	353.5	68.0	25.4	\$ 98,120	6.8	\$ 26,268	\$ 71,852	
297	St. Francis	357.4	81.0	30.5	\$ 117,822	8.1	\$ 31,290	\$ 86,532	
463	Udall	362.5	88.0	33.4	\$ 129,024	8.8	\$ 33,994	\$ 95,030	
298	Lincoln	365.2	112.0	42.7	\$ 164,950	11.2	\$ 43,266	\$ 121,684	
271	Stockton	366.8	106.0	40.5	\$ 156,452	10.6	\$ 40,948	\$ 115,504	
322	Onaga	370.0	74.0	28.4	\$ 109,709	7.4	\$ 28,586	\$ 81,123	
256	Marmaton Valley	373.0	120.0	46.3	\$ 178,857	12.0	\$ 46,356	\$ 132,501	
388	Ellis	374.2	95.0	36.7	\$ 141,772	9.5	\$ 36,699	\$ 105,073	
270	Plainville	376.1	107.0	41.4	\$ 159,928	10.7	\$ 41,334	\$ 118,594	
406	Wathena	377.8	75.0	29.1	\$ 112,413	7.5	\$ 28,973	\$ 83,440	
310	Fairfield	381.0	160.0	62.4	\$ 241,051	16.0	\$ 61,808	\$ 179,243	
429	Troy	383.7	99.0	38.8	\$ 149,884	9.9	\$ 38,244	\$ 111,640	
223	Barnes	384.2	95.0	37.2	\$ 143,704	9.5	\$ 36,699	\$ 107,005	
208	WaKeeney	386.5	80.0	31.4	\$ 121,298	8.0	\$ 30,904	\$ 90,394	
105	Rawlins County	386.8	91.0	35.8	\$ 138,295	9.1	\$ 35,153	\$ 103,142	
272	Waconda	393.2	100.0	39.7	\$ 153,361	10.0	\$ 38,630	\$ 114,731	
507	Satanta	395.5	133.0	52.9	\$ 204,353	13.3	\$ 51,378	\$ 152,975	
344	Pleasanton	396.0	139.0	55.3	\$ 213,624	13.9	\$ 53,696	\$ 159,928	
498	Valley Heights	397.8	103.0	41.1	\$ 158,769	10.3	\$ 39,789	\$ 118,980	
358	Oxford	398.5	101.0	40.3	\$ 155,679	10.1	\$ 39,016	\$ 116,663	
392	Osborne	405.8	116.0	46.7	\$ 180,402	11.6	\$ 44,811	\$ 135,591	
393	Solomon	409.1	101.0	40.8	\$ 157,610	10.1	\$ 39,016	\$ 118,594	
419	Canton-Galva	412.8	70.0	28.4	\$ 109,709	7.0	\$ 27,041	\$ 82,668	
281	Hill City	416.0	85.0	34.7	\$ 134,046	8.5	\$ 32,836	\$ 101,210	

22

Difference in Cost of At-Risk Weighting
Between A&M Study and Current Weighting of .1
Successful School Approach

USD No.	USD Name	Adjusted Enrollment	At-Risk Students	A&M At-Risk		At-Risk		Difference less Current	A&M
				Weighted FTE	A&M Cost for At-Risk	Weighted FTE	Current At-Risk Cost		
423	Moundridge	417.8	43.0	17.6	\$ 67,989	4.3	\$ 16,611	\$ 51,378	
335	North Jackson	423.5	86.0	35.4	\$ 136,750	8.6	\$ 33,222	\$ 103,528	
350	St. John-Hudson	425.7	123.0	50.7	\$ 195,854	12.3	\$ 47,515	\$ 148,339	
481	Rural Vista	428.8	104.0	43.0	\$ 166,109	10.4	\$ 40,175	\$ 125,934	
398	Peabody-Burns	430.4	118.0	48.9	\$ 188,901	11.8	\$ 45,583	\$ 143,318	
338	Valley Halls	431.4	66.0	27.4	\$ 105,846	6.6	\$ 25,496	\$ 80,350	
286	Chautauqua	432.2	158.0	65.6	\$ 253,413	15.8	\$ 61,035	\$ 192,378	
274	Oakley	432.3	140.0	58.1	\$ 224,440	14.0	\$ 54,082	\$ 170,358	
294	Oberlin	442.0	115.0	48.2	\$ 186,197	11.5	\$ 44,425	\$ 141,772	
438	Skyline	444.3	88.0	37.0	\$ 142,931	8.8	\$ 33,994	\$ 108,937	
448	Inman	448.3	56.0	23.6	\$ 91,167	5.6	\$ 21,633	\$ 69,534	
421	Lyndon	450.0	85.0	35.9	\$ 138,682	8.5	\$ 32,836	\$ 105,846	
307	Ell-Saline	450.8	71.0	30.0	\$ 115,890	7.1	\$ 27,427	\$ 88,463	
282	West Elk	451.5	185.0	78.2	\$ 302,087	18.5	\$ 71,466	\$ 230,621	
235	Uniontown	457.0	161.0	68.4	\$ 264,229	16.1	\$ 62,194	\$ 202,035	
284	Chase County	458.4	120.0	51.1	\$ 197,399	12.0	\$ 46,356	\$ 151,043	
328	Lorraine	462.0	142.0	60.6	\$ 234,098	14.2	\$ 54,855	\$ 179,243	
374	Sublette	470.0	211.0	90.7	\$ 350,374	21.1	\$ 81,509	\$ 268,865	
329	Alma	476.3	78.0	33.7	\$ 130,183	7.8	\$ 30,131	\$ 100,052	
237	Smith Center	477.0	136.0	58.8	\$ 227,144	13.6	\$ 52,537	\$ 174,607	
467	Leoti	477.1	155.0	67.0	\$ 258,821	15.5	\$ 59,877	\$ 198,944	
427	Belleville	479.8	124.0	53.7	\$ 207,443	12.4	\$ 47,901	\$ 159,542	
494	Syracuse	482.0	213.0	92.4	\$ 356,941	21.3	\$ 82,282	\$ 274,659	
452	Stanton County	482.8	197.0	85.6	\$ 330,673	19.7	\$ 76,101	\$ 254,572	
330	Wabaussee East	497.0	97.0	42.6	\$ 164,564	9.7	\$ 37,471	\$ 127,093	
442	Nemaha Valley	498.9	64.0	28.1	\$ 108,550	6.4	\$ 24,723	\$ 83,827	
339	Jefferson County	500.1	70.0	30.8	\$ 118,980	7.0	\$ 27,041	\$ 91,939	
226	Meade	503.7	103.0	45.4	\$ 175,380	10.3	\$ 39,789	\$ 135,591	
376	Sterling	504.4	138.0	60.9	\$ 235,257	13.8	\$ 53,309	\$ 181,948	
487	Herington	508.5	151.0	66.8	\$ 258,048	15.1	\$ 58,331	\$ 199,717	
504	Oswego	512.5	184.0	81.7	\$ 315,607	18.4	\$ 71,079	\$ 244,528	
355	Ellinwood	514.1	126.0	56.0	\$ 216,328	12.6	\$ 48,674	\$ 167,654	
439	Sedgwick	520.5	66.0	29.5	\$ 113,959	6.6	\$ 25,496	\$ 88,463	
366	Woodson	524.0	180.0	80.5	\$ 310,972	18.0	\$ 69,534	\$ 241,438	
258	Humboldt	526.6	172.0	77.1	\$ 297,837	17.2	\$ 66,444	\$ 231,393	
206	Remington-Whitewater	529.4	92.0	41.3	\$ 159,542	9.2	\$ 35,540	\$ 124,002	
380	Vermillion	550.8	101.0	45.9	\$ 177,312	10.1	\$ 39,016	\$ 138,296	
342	McLouth	561.6	102.0	46.7	\$ 180,402	10.2	\$ 39,403	\$ 140,999	
356	Conway Springs	566.5	107.0	49.1	\$ 189,673	10.7	\$ 41,334	\$ 148,339	
239	North Ottawa Co.	570.9	107.0	49.2	\$ 190,060	10.7	\$ 41,334	\$ 148,726	
243	Lebo-Waverly	573.0	132.0	60.8	\$ 234,870	13.2	\$ 50,992	\$ 183,878	
246	Northeast	578.0	290.0	133.9	\$ 517,256	29.0	\$ 112,027	\$ 405,229	
252	Southern Lyon Co.	591.5	110.0	51.1	\$ 197,399	11.0	\$ 42,493	\$ 154,906	
346	Jayhawk	595.9	182.0	84.8	\$ 327,582	18.2	\$ 70,307	\$ 257,275	
447	Cherryvale	596.8	233.0	108.6	\$ 419,522	23.3	\$ 90,008	\$ 329,514	
254	Barber Co.	609.0	127.0	59.5	\$ 229,849	12.7	\$ 49,060	\$ 180,789	
325	Phillipsburg	624.1	158.0	74.5	\$ 287,794	15.8	\$ 61,035	\$ 226,759	
240	Twin Valley	624.5	111.0	52.4	\$ 202,421	11.1	\$ 42,879	\$ 159,542	
327	Ellsworth	625.0	101.0	47.7	\$ 184,265	10.1	\$ 39,016	\$ 145,249	
288	Central Heights	630.9	145.0	68.6	\$ 265,002	14.5	\$ 56,014	\$ 208,988	
251	North Lyon Co.	637.0	141.0	66.9	\$ 258,435	14.1	\$ 54,468	\$ 203,967	
341	Oskaloosa	637.4	165.0	78.3	\$ 302,473	16.5	\$ 63,740	\$ 238,733	
378	Riley County	646.0	113.0	53.8	\$ 207,829	11.3	\$ 43,652	\$ 164,177	
102	Cimarron-Ensign	651.5	194.0	92.6	\$ 357,714	19.4	\$ 74,942	\$ 282,772	
431	Hoisington	652.5	199.0	95.0	\$ 366,985	19.9	\$ 76,874	\$ 290,111	
408	Marion	656.1	166.0	79.4	\$ 306,722	16.6	\$ 64,126	\$ 242,596	
430	Brown County	657.2	249.0	119.1	\$ 460,083	24.9	\$ 96,189	\$ 363,894	
410	Durham-Hills	666.0	119.0	57.1	\$ 220,577	11.9	\$ 45,970	\$ 174,607	

2-3

Difference in Cost of At-Risk Weighting
Between A&M Study and Current Weighting of .1
Successful School Approach

USD No.	USD Name	Adjusted Enroll-ment	At-Risk Students	A&M At-Risk Weighted FTE	A&M Cost for At-Risk	At-Risk Weighted FTE	Current At-Risk Cost	Difference less Current	A&M
215	Lakin	676.3	185.0	89.1	\$ 344,193	18.5	\$ 71,466	\$ 272,727	
218	Elkhart	679.0	164.0	79.1	\$ 305,563	16.4	\$ 63,353	\$ 242,210	
211	Norton	679.2	160.0	77.2	\$ 298,224	16.0	\$ 61,808	\$ 236,416	
306	Southeast of Saline	690.0	99.0	47.9	\$ 185,038	9.9	\$ 38,244	\$ 146,794	
389	Eureka	690.6	226.0	109.4	\$ 422,612	22.6	\$ 87,304	\$ 335,308	
440	Halstead	695.8	153.0	74.2	\$ 286,635	15.3	\$ 59,104	\$ 227,531	
449	Easton	706.0	74.0	36.0	\$ 139,068	7.4	\$ 28,586	\$ 110,482	
483	Kismet-Plains	712.0	358.0	174.6	\$ 674,480	35.8	\$ 138,295	\$ 536,185	
205	Leon	720.3	146.0	71.4	\$ 275,818	14.6	\$ 56,400	\$ 219,418	
372	Silver Lake	725.5	53.0	26.0	\$ 100,438	5.3	\$ 20,474	\$ 79,964	
323	Westmoreland	733.5	146.0	71.7	\$ 276,977	14.6	\$ 56,400	\$ 220,577	
377	Atchison County	734.0	204.0	100.2	\$ 387,073	20.4	\$ 78,805	\$ 308,268	
249	Frontenac	736.5	172.0	84.5	\$ 326,424	17.2	\$ 66,444	\$ 259,980	
420	Osage City	737.7	209.0	102.7	\$ 396,730	20.9	\$ 80,737	\$ 315,993	
268	Cheney	742.7	102.0	50.2	\$ 193,923	10.2	\$ 39,403	\$ 154,520	
273	Beloit	749.5	138.0	68.1	\$ 263,070	13.8	\$ 53,309	\$ 209,761	
484	Fredonia	750.4	287.0	141.6	\$ 547,001	28.7	\$ 110,868	\$ 436,133	
461	Neodesha	759.8	234.0	115.8	\$ 447,335	23.4	\$ 90,394	\$ 356,941	
499	Galena	761.0	413.0	204.4	\$ 789,597	41.3	\$ 159,542	\$ 630,055	
460	Hesston	794.1	109.0	54.4	\$ 210,147	10.9	\$ 42,107	\$ 168,040	
357	Belle Plaine	797.5	232.0	115.9	\$ 447,722	23.2	\$ 89,622	\$ 358,100	
364	Marysville	798.2	149.0	74.5	\$ 287,794	14.9	\$ 57,559	\$ 230,235	
289	Wellsville	799.0	113.0	56.5	\$ 218,260	11.3	\$ 43,652	\$ 174,608	
404	Riverton	805.3	285.0	142.7	\$ 551,250	28.5	\$ 110,096	\$ 441,154	
247	Cherokee	813.0	262.0	131.4	\$ 507,598	26.2	\$ 101,211	\$ 406,387	
405	Lyons	836.2	443.0	223.4	\$ 862,994	44.3	\$ 171,131	\$ 691,863	
244	Burlington	836.5	216.0	108.9	\$ 420,681	21.6	\$ 83,441	\$ 337,240	
508	Baxter Springs	842.0	307.0	155.0	\$ 598,765	30.7	\$ 118,594	\$ 480,171	
363	Holcomb	854.3	196.0	99.2	\$ 383,210	19.6	\$ 75,715	\$ 307,495	
396	Douglass	860.1	170.0	86.2	\$ 332,991	17.0	\$ 65,671	\$ 267,320	
436	Caney	899.4	235.0	120.1	\$ 463,946	23.5	\$ 90,781	\$ 373,165	
466	Scott County	899.4	277.0	141.6	\$ 547,001	27.7	\$ 107,005	\$ 439,996	
417	Morris County	909.9	258.0	132.1	\$ 510,302	25.8	\$ 99,665	\$ 410,637	
287	West Franklin	921.0	238.0	122.1	\$ 471,672	23.8	\$ 91,939	\$ 379,733	
337	Mayetta	924.5	258.0	132.5	\$ 511,848	25.8	\$ 99,665	\$ 412,183	
495	Ft. Larned	926.1	283.0	145.4	\$ 561,680	28.3	\$ 109,323	\$ 452,357	
441	Sabetha	937.4	179.0	92.1	\$ 355,782	17.9	\$ 69,148	\$ 286,634	
361	Anthony-Harper	940.8	328.0	168.9	\$ 652,461	32.8	\$ 126,706	\$ 525,755	
400	Smoky Valley	950.3	149.0	76.9	\$ 297,065	14.9	\$ 57,559	\$ 239,506	
340	Jefferson West	950.7	129.0	66.5	\$ 256,890	12.9	\$ 49,833	\$ 207,057	
415	Hiawatha	965.4	266.0	137.6	\$ 531,549	26.6	\$ 102,756	\$ 428,793	
343	Perry	976.5	182.0	94.3	\$ 364,281	18.2	\$ 70,307	\$ 293,974	
352	Goodland	981.8	315.0	163.3	\$ 630,828	31.5	\$ 121,685	\$ 509,143	
407	Russell	1,000.2	304.0	158.1	\$ 610,740	30.4	\$ 117,435	\$ 493,305	
473	Chapman	1,002.2	210.0	109.2	\$ 421,840	21.0	\$ 81,123	\$ 340,717	
362	Prairie View	1,004.6	214.0	111.4	\$ 430,338	21.4	\$ 82,668	\$ 347,670	
210	Hugoton	1,014.3	431.0	224.6	\$ 867,630	43.1	\$ 166,495	\$ 701,135	
315	Colby	1,026.9	252.0	131.6	\$ 508,371	25.2	\$ 97,348	\$ 411,023	
248	Girard	1,047.0	292.0	152.9	\$ 590,653	29.2	\$ 112,800	\$ 477,853	
321	Kaw Valley	1,061.5	220.0	115.4	\$ 445,790	22.0	\$ 84,986	\$ 360,804	
101	Erie-St. Paul	1,068.9	322.0	169.1	\$ 653,233	32.2	\$ 124,389	\$ 528,844	
365	Garnett	1,082.8	339.0	178.4	\$ 689,159	33.9	\$ 130,956	\$ 558,203	
312	Haven	1,102.0	238.0	125.5	\$ 484,807	23.8	\$ 91,939	\$ 392,868	
333	Concordia	1,110.1	366.0	193.2	\$ 746,332	36.6	\$ 141,386	\$ 604,946	
336	Holton	1,117.2	219.0	115.7	\$ 446,949	21.9	\$ 84,600	\$ 362,349	
309	Nickerson	1,120.2	360.0	190.3	\$ 735,129	36.0	\$ 139,068	\$ 596,061	
382	Pratt	1,137.5	333.0	176.4	\$ 681,433	33.3	\$ 128,638	\$ 552,795	
367	Osawatomie	1,162.5	455.0	241.7	\$ 933,687	45.5	\$ 175,767	\$ 757,920	

Difference in Cost of At-Risk Weighting
Between A&M Study and Current Weighting of .1
Successful School Approach

USD No.	USD Name	Adjusted Enrollment	At-Risk Students	A&M At-Risk Weighted FTE	A&M Cost for At-Risk	At-Risk Weighted FTE	Current At-Risk Cost	Difference less Current	A&M
331	Kingman	1,165.4	277.0	147.2	\$ 568,634	27.7	\$ 107,005	\$ 461,629	
491	Eudora	1,235.8	205.0	109.7	\$ 423,771	20.5	\$ 79,192	\$ 344,579	
264	Clearwater	1,242.4	143.0	76.6	\$ 295,906	14.3	\$ 55,241	\$ 240,665	
434	Santa Fe	1,262.5	324.0	173.9	\$ 671,776	32.4	\$ 125,161	\$ 546,615	
493	Columbus	1,265.6	479.0	257.1	\$ 993,177	47.9	\$ 185,038	\$ 808,139	
348	Baldwin City	1,287.1	142.0	76.4	\$ 295,133	14.2	\$ 54,855	\$ 240,278	
320	Wamego	1,311.1	231.0	124.5	\$ 480,944	23.1	\$ 89,235	\$ 391,709	
203	Piper	1,346.0	52.0	28.1	\$ 108,550	5.2	\$ 20,088	\$ 88,462	
435	Abilene	1,413.7	383.0	208.1	\$ 803,890	38.3	\$ 147,953	\$ 655,937	
416	Louisburg	1,424.5	113.0	61.5	\$ 237,575	11.3	\$ 43,652	\$ 193,923	
379	Clay Center	1,431.5	308.0	167.6	\$ 647,439	30.8	\$ 118,980	\$ 528,459	
257	Iola	1,439.6	571.0	310.9	\$ 1,201,007	57.1	\$ 220,577	\$ 980,430	
375	Circle	1,497.2	245.0	133.9	\$ 517,256	24.5	\$ 94,644	\$ 422,612	
503	Parsons	1,516.4	674.0	368.8	\$ 1,424,674	67.4	\$ 260,366	\$ 1,164,308	
464	Tonganoxie	1,560.0	202.0	110.8	\$ 428,020	20.2	\$ 78,033	\$ 349,987	
409	Atchison	1,570.1	692.0	379.9	\$ 1,467,554	69.2	\$ 267,320	\$ 1,200,234	
230	Spring Hill	1,603.0	146.0	80.3	\$ 310,199	13.2	\$ 50,992	\$ 259,207	
506	Labette County	1,643.1	473.0	260.8	\$ 1,007,470	47.3	\$ 182,720	\$ 824,750	
214	Ulysses	1,688.1	722.0	399.0	\$ 1,541,337	72.2	\$ 278,909	\$ 1,262,428	
353	Wellington	1,693.1	605.0	334.4	\$ 1,291,787	60.5	\$ 233,712	\$ 1,058,075	
394	Rose Hill	1,794.3	207.0	115.0	\$ 444,245	20.7	\$ 79,964	\$ 364,281	
207	Ft. Leavenworth	1,799.0	62.0	34.4	\$ 132,887	6.2	\$ 23,951	\$ 108,936	
413	Chanute	1,843.6	626.0	348.4	\$ 1,345,869	62.6	\$ 241,824	\$ 1,104,045	
445	Coffeyville	1,879.9	961.0	535.7	\$ 2,069,409	96.1	\$ 371,234	\$ 1,698,175	
263	Mulvane	1,881.1	309.0	172.3	\$ 665,595	30.9	\$ 119,367	\$ 546,228	
446	Independence	1,959.4	757.0	423.3	\$ 1,635,208	75.7	\$ 292,429	\$ 1,342,779	
234	Ft. Scott	1,964.4	813.0	454.7	\$ 1,756,506	81.3	\$ 314,062	\$ 1,442,444	
267	Renwick	1,985.7	173.0	96.8	\$ 373,938	17.3	\$ 66,830	\$ 307,108	
458	Basehor-Linwood	2,026.0	111.0	62.2	\$ 240,279	11.1	\$ 42,879	\$ 197,400	
368	Paola	2,056.7	368.0	206.5	\$ 797,710	36.8	\$ 142,158	\$ 655,552	
469	Lansing	2,089.5	96.0	53.9	\$ 208,216	9.6	\$ 37,085	\$ 171,131	
402	Augusta	2,102.0	471.0	264.7	\$ 1,022,536	47.1	\$ 181,947	\$ 840,589	
490	El Dorado	2,128.0	681.0	383.0	\$ 1,479,529	68.1	\$ 263,070	\$ 1,216,459	
313	Buhler	2,145.8	473.0	266.2	\$ 1,028,331	47.3	\$ 182,720	\$ 845,611	
204	Bonner Springs	2,174.0	607.0	341.9	\$ 1,320,760	60.7	\$ 234,484	\$ 1,086,276	
262	Valley Center	2,366.0	388.0	219.7	\$ 848,701	38.8	\$ 149,884	\$ 698,817	
290	Ottawa	2,375.1	682.0	386.2	\$ 1,491,891	68.2	\$ 263,457	\$ 1,228,434	
418	McPherson	2,427.0	437.0	247.8	\$ 957,251	43.7	\$ 168,813	\$ 788,438	
250	Pittsburg	2,469.9	1175.0	666.9	\$ 2,576,235	117.5	\$ 453,903	\$ 2,122,332	
465	Winfield	2,508.7	770.0	437.4	\$ 1,689,676	77.0	\$ 297,451	\$ 1,392,225	
470	Arkansas City	2,812.6	1344.0	768.2	\$ 2,967,557	134.4	\$ 519,187	\$ 2,448,370	
489	Hays	3,008.3	672.0	385.3	\$ 1,488,414	67.2	\$ 259,594	\$ 1,228,820	
428	Great Bend	3,046.9	1368.0	784.9	\$ 3,032,069	136.8	\$ 528,458	\$ 2,503,611	
345	Seaman	3,306.4	516.0	297.1	\$ 1,147,697	51.6	\$ 199,331	\$ 948,366	
450	Shawnee Heights	3,356.9	538.0	310.0	\$ 1,197,530	53.8	\$ 207,829	\$ 989,701	
231	Gardner-Edgerton	3,401.3	487.0	280.7	\$ 1,084,344	48.7	\$ 188,128	\$ 896,216	
373	Newton	3,453.0	1197.0	690.5	\$ 2,667,402	119.7	\$ 462,401	\$ 2,205,001	
202	Turner	3,581.8	1406.0	812.2	\$ 3,137,529	140.6	\$ 543,138	\$ 2,594,391	
385	Andover	3,634.7	258.0	149.1	\$ 575,973	25.8	\$ 99,665	\$ 476,308	
453	Leavenworth	3,976.4	1574.0	912.7	\$ 3,525,760	157.4	\$ 608,036	\$ 2,917,724	
265	Goddard	4,094.4	445.0	258.3	\$ 997,813	44.5	\$ 171,904	\$ 825,909	
480	Liberal	4,203.4	2303.0	1338.0	\$ 5,168,694	230.3	\$ 889,649	\$ 4,279,045	
261	Haysville	4,322.8	1131.0	657.7	\$ 2,540,695	113.1	\$ 436,905	\$ 2,103,790	
232	DeSoto	4,540.7	420.0	244.6	\$ 944,890	42.0	\$ 162,246	\$ 782,644	
253	Emporia	4,590.8	2335.0	1360.3	\$ 5,254,839	233.5	\$ 902,011	\$ 4,352,828	
308	Hutchinson	4,636.6	2015.0	1174.2	\$ 4,535,935	201.5	\$ 778,395	\$ 3,757,540	
437	Auburn Washburn	4,996.5	794.0	463.7	\$ 1,791,273	79.4	\$ 306,722	\$ 1,484,551	
383	Manhattan	5,084.6	1109.0	648.0	\$ 2,503,224	110.9	\$ 428,407	\$ 2,074,817	

2-5

Difference in Cost of At-Risk Weighting
Between A&M Study and Current Weighting of .1
Successful School Approach

USD No.	USD Name	Adjusted Enrollment	At-Risk Students	A&M At-Risk Weighted FTE	A&M Cost for At-Risk	At-Risk Weighted FTE	Current At-Risk Cost	Difference less Current	A&M
443	Dodge City	5,617.1	3421.0	2003.9	\$ 7,741,066	342.1	\$ 1,321,532	\$ 6,419,534	
266	Maize	5,740.0	346.0	202.8	\$ 783,416	34.6	\$ 133,660	\$ 649,756	
475	Junction City	6,078.1	2216.0	1300.4	\$ 5,023,445	221.6	\$ 856,041	\$ 4,167,404	
260	Derby	6,398.9	1527.0	897.1	\$ 3,465,497	152.7	\$ 589,880	\$ 2,875,617	
457	Garden City	6,966.4	3495.0	2056.9	\$ 7,945,805	349.5	\$ 1,350,119	\$ 6,595,686	
305	Salina	7,203.7	2609.0	1536.4	\$ 5,935,113	260.9	\$ 1,007,857	\$ 4,927,256	
497	Lawrence	9,707.0	2137.0	1264.6	\$ 4,885,150	213.7	\$ 825,523	\$ 4,059,627	
501	Topeka	13,282.0	7304.0	4338.4	\$ 16,759,239	730.4	\$ 2,821,535	\$ 13,937,704	
229	Blue Valley	18,389.0	439.0	261.5	\$ 1,010,175	43.9	\$ 169,586	\$ 840,589	
500	Kansas City	19,236.0	12696.0	7564.8	\$ 29,222,822	1,269.6	\$ 4,904,465	\$ 24,318,357	
233	Olathe	22,465.2	2341.0	1396.3	\$ 5,393,907	234.1	\$ 904,328	\$ 4,489,579	
512	Shawnee Mission	28,283.9	3347.0	1998.7	\$ 7,720,978	334.7	\$ 1,292,946	\$ 6,428,032	
259	Wichita	44,706.6	26399.0	15792.2	\$ 61,005,269	2,639.9	\$ 10,197,934	\$ 50,807,335	
TOTALS		445,829.9	135,164.0	74,729.6	\$ 288,680,446	13,515.0	\$ 52,208,465	\$ 236,471,981	

Note: The cost figures are based on BSAPP of \$3,863.

Source for the Adjusted enrollment is the Kansas Department of Education LegMax sheet updated 11/10/04

2-6

KANSAS LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

Rm. 545N-Statehouse, 300 SW 10th A.
Topeka, Kansas 66612-1504
(785) 296-3181 ♦ FAX (785) 296-3824

kslegres@klrd.state.ks.us

<http://www.kslegislature.org/klrd>

January 27, 2005

To: House Select Committee on School Finance
From: Kathie Sparks, Principal Analyst
Re: Kansas Commission on Education Restructuring and Accountability

The 1992 Session, in House Bill 2763, created the Kansas Commission on Education Restructuring and Accountability. The Commission remained in existence until February 1, 1993.

Structure of the Commission

The Commission consisted of 39 members, 31 of whom were voting members. There were seven *ex officio* voting members: Governor, Chairperson of the State Board of Education, Chairperson of the State Board of Regents, Speaker of the House of Representatives, Minority Leader of the House, President of the Senate, and Minority Leader of the Senate. These members could designate some other person to represent them.

There were 24 appointed members, all of whom were voting members: four members appointed by the Governor; two members appointed by the Chairperson of the State Board of Education, both of whom must have been members of the America 2000 Commission; five members appointed by the Speaker of the House, one which was a House member and four of whom were not legislators; four members appointed by the Minority Leader of the House, one which was a House member and three of whom were not legislators; five members appointed by the President of the Senate, one which was a Senator and four of whom were not legislators; four members appointed by the Minority Leader of the Senate; one which was a Senator and three of whom were not legislators. The eight *ex officio* nonvoting members were: the Commissioner of Education, Executive Officer of the State Board of Regents, Director and Associate Director of the Legislative Research Department, Governor's Special Assistant for Education, Director of the Division of the Budget, Secretary of Social and Rehabilitation Services, and Secretary of Health and Environment.

The appointments specified in the bill were required to be made within three weeks after the effective date of the law. Any appointments not made by that time were to be made by other members of the Commission. The appointing authorities were to coordinate their appointments to assure that the Commission's membership represented the educational, business, and labor communities of the state and the general public.

The Commission was authorized to employ a director who was responsible for keeping a record of Commission meetings, preparing the report of the Commission, and performing other duties as directed. The Commission was attached to the State Department of Education for budgeting, purchasing, and related management functions. The Department of Education was to provide office space, clerical, and other staff assistance as required by the Commission. The Commission was authorized to receive gifts, grants, or donations to help support its operations. In this regard, a Commission on Education Restructuring Fund was established in the State Treasury, into which money for the Commission was to be deposited and from which Commission expenditures were paid.

Select Comm. on School Finance
Date 1-27-05
Attachment # 3-1

Responsibilities of the Commission

The charge to the Commission was to develop goals and strategies for the reform and restructuring of public elementary and secondary education in Kansas in order to provide accountability in the provision of equal educational opportunity to each school child. In carrying out this charge, the Commission was required to:

- attempt to implement the National Goals (as published in *America 2000: an Education Strategy*);
- consider Target III and recommended strategies contained in the 1991 report of the Special Committee on Children's Initiatives; and
- consider the assumptions and strategies for successful schools contained in *The Business Roundtable Participation Guide: A Primer for Business and Education* (Second Edition, April, 1991).

In connection with discharging its responsibilities, the Commission was required to review legislation, which addresses school reform or restructuring, and which was proposed for action during the 1992 or 1993 Legislative Sessions. The Commission was required to offer its recommendations regarding such legislation to the Governor, State Board of Education, and legislative standing and Special Committees on Education, as appropriate. The Commission held public hearings throughout the state in order to receive information and recommendations.

The Commission was required to submit its final report to the Legislature prior to December 15, 1992.

Finally, the bill contained an appropriation to the State Department of Education for FY 1992 of \$50,000 from the State General Fund to the Commission on Education Restructuring Fund for the Commission operations. There was no limitation on expenditures that would be made from moneys made available to the Commission and unexpended balances were available for expenditure in FY 1993.

The Final Report to the Legislature dated December 14, 1992

Attached please find copies of: The Mission of the Commission; the Underlying Principles; the Statewide Goals; the Strategies for Educational Restructuring; and the Summary of Recommendations from the *Report to the Kansas Legislature from the Kansas Commission on Education Restructuring and Accountability*.

If anyone would like a copy of the entire report, please feel free to contact me at 785-296-4405; unfortunately, this document is not available online.

B-2

PART I.

THE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE REPORT

A. The Mission of the Commission

The Kansas Commission on Education Restructuring and Accountability, a 39 member commission, was charged with developing goals and strategies for the reform and restructuring of all accredited elementary and secondary schools in Kansas in order to provide accountability in the provision of equal educational opportunity to each student of Kansas schools. What follows is an executive summary of the Commission report to the Governor, the members of the Kansas Legislature, and the State Board of Education. This executive summary encapsulates the next steps in education restructuring as defined in the 15 essential strategies of reform by the Commission.

B. The Underlying Principles

The Commission shares a fundamental belief in the following precepts and endorses them as guiding principles of education restructuring in Kansas.

- (1) All students can learn at significantly higher levels.
- (2) We know how to teach students successfully.
- (3) Curriculum content must lead to higher order skills, and instructional strategies must be those that work.
- (4) Time devoted to learning must be the variable and accomplishments the constant.
- (5) Every child must have an advocate.

C. The Statewide Goals

The focal point of any restructuring action is the student. The Commission believes in total commitment to seven fundamental goals. These goals are a blend of the *America 2000: An Education Strategy* (U.S. Dept. of Education, 1991) initiatives, the *Business Roundtable Participation Guide: A Primer for Business on Education* (Second Edition, April 1991) components, the *Target III* elements of *A Blueprint for Investing in the Future of Kansas Children and Families*, the *Kansas Quality Performance Accreditation Plan*, and the Regents Task Force on Education special report to the Governor *Building Hope: Creating Tomorrow in Education*. Certainly, it is imperative that any actions taken to achieve each of the goals always be driven by the needs of the student and not by the desires of special interest groups. The seven goals follow:

- (1) All students will start school ready to learn and maintain that readiness through their school experience.
- (2) Students will learn to communicate effectively, think creatively, solve problems, work effectively both independently and in groups, and achieve the physical and emotional well being necessary to live, learn, and work productively in our modern economy.
- (3) The State Board of Education will specify what needs to be known by students who graduate from Kansas schools. All students will demonstrate competency and mastery of this information, which must include English, mathematics, science, history, geography and the arts.
- (4) The high school graduation rate will be continually improved. By the year 2000, Kansas should have achieved a 98% graduation rate.
- (5) Education system accountability will be site based -- each school will be accountable through a system of performance based measures.
- (6) Every school in Kansas will provide a safe and orderly environment conducive to learning.
- (7) Every Kansan will be literate, as defined by state and national literacy standards, and will possess the knowledge and skills necessary to function effectively and to exercise the rights and responsibilities of citizenship.

D. The Strategies for Educational Restructuring

The Commission endorses 15 key strategies for education restructuring. They are listed here and detailed in the full commission report.

1. Outcomes-based education/state-prescribed core knowledge base.
2. State-imposed student assessment program.
3. School improvement incentives.
4. Site-based decision-making.
5. Preparation of school personnel, board members, and parents and retraining of current personnel to function in restructured schools.
6. Pre-kindergarten programs.
7. School linked education, health, and social services.
8. Enhanced use of technology.
9. Ungraded or continuous progress programs, K-3.
10. Parental/mentor involvement.
11. Linkage of accountability and responsibility.
12. Adult and vocational education programs.
13. Partnerships for restructuring.
14. Higher education/workforce training.
15. Provisions of time and consistency to support restructuring.

E. The Summary of Recommendations

1. Quality Performance Accreditation (QPA) process has been adopted by the State Board of Education and supported by the Kansas Legislature and the Governor. This process calls for outcomes accreditation that both demands and supports meaningful growth at the district building levels. This system is based on assessment of the skills, attitudes, and knowledge that students will need to live, learn, and work in an international society.

QPA was the subject of much discussion during the public hearings and the Commission meetings. There were strong feelings expressed, both for and against QPA. During the Commission meetings, representatives from six school districts (Shawnee Mission, Hoxie, Turner, Fort Scott, Stanton County, and Hesston) made presentations regarding their views and the status of implementation of QPA in their schools. The Commission was impressed with the commitment and the enthusiasm with which they have accepted QPA. The Commission believes that there is a need to better educate parents and the public on the concepts of QPA and the local community's ability to determine how these reforms are to be implemented.

The Commission believes that QPA is a valid approach to education restructuring in Kansas and its implementation should be continued, along with formation of local site based councils.

2. This report includes many principles and strategies that the Commission believes must be implemented to bring about meaningful long term education reform in Kansas. Kansas has made progress and the Legislature and State Board of Education are to be commended for having initiated the beginning steps and guidelines to reform. The concern is that no long-term plan has been adopted by the state and its citizens with clear goals, objectives, and timeframes. Once we decide where we need to go, the only issue is cost and how we get there. We must have a clear expectation of what we want. Even though the problem is no different in Kansas than in many other states, the Commission believes that Kansas can and should be a leader for the rest of the country.
3. The Next Steps:
 - (a) Create a public/private partnership to hold the state and its citizens responsible for implementing the restructuring agenda.
 - (b) Charge the State Board of Education with finalizing a restructuring plan to include implementation of the 15 strategies embodied in the Commission Report with specific goals, objectives, and timeframes.
 - (c) Communicate the plan and gain approval.

PART II.

THE REPORT OF THE KANSAS COMMISSION ON EDUCATION RESTRUCTURING AND ACCOUNTABILITY

A. The Challenge

The educational challenge faced by all Kansans is to create an elementary and secondary education system that prepares our students to live and work in the 21st Century.

CHAPTER 223 *

House Bill No. 2763

AN ACT establishing the Kansas commission on education restructuring and accountability; providing for the membership, powers and duties thereof; authorizing certain staff; making and concerning appropriations for the fiscal years ending June 30, 1992, and June 30, 1993.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. (a) There is hereby established the Kansas commission on education restructuring and accountability.

(b) (1) The commission shall consist of 31 voting and 8 nonvoting members.

(2) The following voting members of the commission shall serve *ex officio* or shall designate a person to represent them on the commission: The governor, the chairperson of the state board of education, the chairperson of the state board of regents, the speaker of the house of representatives, the minority leader of the house of representatives, the president of the senate and the minority leader of the senate. The remainder of the voting members of the commission shall be appointed as follows:

(A) Four members shall be appointed by the governor.

(B) Two members shall be appointed by the chairperson of the state board of education from among the members of the America 2000 commission.

(C) One member of the house of representatives and four non-legislators shall be appointed by the speaker of the house of representatives.

(D) One member of the house of representatives and three non-legislators shall be appointed by the minority leader of the house of representatives.

(E) One member of the senate and four nonlegislators shall be appointed by the president of the senate.

(F) One member of the senate and three nonlegislators shall be appointed by the minority leader of the senate.

(3) The following nonvoting members of the commission shall serve *ex officio*: The commissioner of education, the executive officer of the state board of regents, the director of legislative research, the associate director of legislative research, the governor's special assistant for education, the director of the division of the budget, the secretary of social and rehabilitation services and the secretary of health and environment.

(c) Appointing authorities shall make the appointments provided by this section within three weeks after the effective date of this act. Any appointments not made by that time shall be made by the

other members of the commission. Appointing authorities shall coordinate their appointments to assure that the membership of the commission represents the educational, business and labor communities of the state and the general public.

(d) Appointed members of the commission shall serve for terms expiring on February 1, 1993. A vacancy in an appointed member's position shall be filled by appointment of a successor by the appointing authority that made the original appointment.

(e) Members of the commission attending meetings of the commission or subcommittee meetings authorized by the commission shall be paid amounts provided for in subsection (e) of K.S.A. 75-3223 and amendments thereto.

Sec. 2. (a) The chairperson of the state board of education shall call a meeting of the Kansas commission on education restructuring and accountability as soon as practicable after the effective date of this act. At such meeting the commission shall elect a chairperson and vice-chairperson from among the members of the commission.

(b) The commission shall employ a director who shall attend all meetings of the commission, be responsible for keeping a record of commission meetings, prepare the report of the commission and perform such other duties as directed by the commission. The director shall be in the unclassified service under the Kansas civil service act and shall receive compensation fixed by the commission, subject to appropriations. The director shall receive expenses and allowances for in-state and out-of-state travel as is provided by law for members of the legislature.

(c) The commission shall be attached to the state department of education for budgeting, purchasing and related management functions, which shall be administered under the direction of the state board of education. The department of education shall provide such office space and clerical and other staff assistance as required by the commission. All vouchers for expenditures and payrolls of the commission shall be approved by the chairperson of the commission, or a person or persons designated by the chairperson, and by the chairperson of the state board of education, or a person or persons designated by the chairperson.

(d) The commission is authorized to receive any gifts, grants or donations made for any of the purposes of this act and to disburse and administer all such gifts, grants and donations, and any moneys appropriated to the commission, in accordance with the terms thereof.

(e) There is hereby established in the state treasury the commission on education restructuring and accountability fund. The commission shall remit all moneys received by or for it to the state

treasurer at least monthly. Upon receipt of each such remittance, the state treasurer shall deposit the entire amount in the state treasury and credit it to the commission on education restructuring and accountability fund. All expenditures from such fund shall be made in accordance with appropriation acts upon warrants of the director of accounts and reports issued pursuant to vouchers approved as provided by subsection (c).

Sec. 3. (a) The Kansas commission on education restructuring and accountability shall develop goals and strategies for the reform and restructuring of public elementary and secondary education in Kansas in order to provide accountability in the provision of equal educational opportunity to each school child of Kansas. In developing such goals and strategies, the commission shall endeavor to implement the national education goals adopted by the president of the United States and the nation's governors and published in *America 2000: An Education Strategy* (U.S. Department of Education, 1991). The commission shall also consider target III and related recommended strategies contained in the report of the 1991 special committee on children's initiatives and the assumptions and strategies for successful schools contained in *The Business Roundtable Participation Guide: A Primer for Business on Education* (Second Edition, April 1991).

(b) The commission shall review legislation which addresses school reform or restructuring and which is proposed for action during the 1992 or 1993 legislative session. The commission shall offer its recommendations regarding such legislation to the governor, state board of education and legislative standing and special committees on education, as appropriate.

(c) The commission shall hold public hearings throughout the state to receive information and recommendations to assist in carrying out the duties of the commission. The commission shall hold such additional meetings as necessary to receive testimony and formulate the commission's recommendations and report.

(d) The commission shall submit a report and recommendations to the legislature before December 15, 1992.

Sec. 4.

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

(a) There is appropriated for the above agency from the following special revenue fund for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1992, all moneys now or hereafter lawfully credited to and available in such fund, except that expenditures other than refunds authorized by law shall not exceed the following:

Commission on education restructuring and accountability fund No limit

(b) There is appropriated for the above agency from the following special revenue fund for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1993, all moneys now or hereafter lawfully credited to and available in such fund, except that expenditures other than refunds authorized by law shall not exceed the following:

Commission on education restructuring and accountability fund No limit

(c) On the effective date of this act, the director of accounts and reports shall transfer \$50,000 from the state general fund to the commission on education restructuring fund.

Sec. 5. The provisions of this act shall expire on February 1, 1993.

Sec. 6. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the Kansas register.

CERTIFICATE

In accordance with K.S.A. 45-304(e), it is certified that, **HB 2763**, An act establishing the Kansas commission on education restructuring and accountability; providing for the membership, powers and duties thereof; authorizing certain staff; making and concerning appropriations for the fiscal years ending June 30, 1992, and June 30, 1993,

was not approved by the Governor on April 27, 1992; was returned by her with her objections and approved on April 29, 1992 by two-thirds of the members of the House of Representatives notwithstanding the objections of the governor; was reconsidered by the Senate and was approved on May 2, 1992 by two-thirds of the members elected to the Senate, notwithstanding the objections of the governor, the bill did pass and shall become law.

This certificate is made this 2nd day of May, 1992, by the Secretary and President of the Senate and the Speaker and Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives.

PAT SAVILLE
*Secretary of the Senate of
the State of Kansas*

PAUL E. BURKE, JR.
*President of the Senate of
the State of Kansas*

JANET E. JONES
*Chief Clerk of the House of
Representatives of the State of Kansas*

MARVIN WM. BARKIS
*Speaker of the House of
Representatives of the State of Kansas*

Governor's veto overridden (see Messages from the Governor).

Published in the *Kansas Register* May 14, 1992.

8-2-92



Division of Fiscal and Administrative Services

785-296-3871
785-296-0459 (fax)

120 SE 10th Avenue • Topeka, KS 66612-1182 • (785) 296-6338 (TTY) • www.ksde.org

January 26, 2005

TO: House Select Committee on School Finance

FROM: Dale M. Dennis, Deputy
Commissioner of Education

SUBJECT: Reduced Priced Meals

Attached is a list which provides the number of students which were eligible for reduced priced meals on September 20, 2003.

We hope this information will be of assistance to you.

h:\leg:HSCSF--Reduced Priced Meals

Select Comm. on School Finance
Date 1-27-05
Attachment # 4-1

Kansas State Department of Education
 Percentage of Students Approved for Free and Reduced Priced Meals - September 20, 2003

USD#	USD Name	9/20/2003 Reduced Enrollment
D0101	Erie-St Paul	142
D0102	Cimarron-Ensign	69
D0103	Cheylin	21
D0104	White Rock	21
D0105	Rawlins County	69
D0200	Greeley County Schools	27
D0202	Turner-Kansas City	520
D0203	Piper-Kansas City	35
D0204	Bonner Springs	192
D0205	Bluestem	94
D0206	Remington-Whitewater	36
D0207	Ft Leavenworth	92
D0208	Wakeeney	50
D0209	Moscow Public Schools	17
D0210	Hugoton Public Schools	120
D0211	Norton Community Schools	89
D0212	Northern Valley	48
D0213	West Solomon Valley Sch	10
D0214	Ulysses	218
D0215	Lakin	53
D0216	Deerfield	46
D0217	Rolla	18
D0218	Elkhart	50
D0219	Minneola	19
D0220	Ashland	54
D0221	North Central	14
D0222	Washington Schools	77
D0223	Barnes	63
D0224	Clifton-Clyde	47
D0225	Fowler	23
D0226	Meade	82
D0227	Jetmore	40
D0228	Hanston	6
D0229	Blue Valley	198
D0230	Spring Hill	70
D0231	Gardner Edgerton	239
D0232	De Soto	166
D0233	Olathe	936
D0234	Fort Scott	253
D0235	Uniontown	67
D0237	Smith Center	64
D0238	West Smith County	20
D0239	North Ottawa County	83
D0240	Twin Valley	90
D0241	Wallace County Schools	37
D0242	Weskan	11
D0243	Lebo-Waverly	54
D0244	Burlington	80
D0245	LeRoy-Gridley	34
D0246	Northeast	86
D0247	Cherokee	116
D0248	Girard	117
D0249	Frontenac Public Schools	85

4-2

Kansas State Department of Education
 Percentage of Students Approved for Free and Reduced Priced Meals - September 20, 2003

USD#	USD Name	9/20/2003 Reduced Enrollment
D0250	Pittsburg	222
D0251	North Lyon County	77
D0252	Southern Lyon County	77
D0253	Emporia	618
D0254	Barber County North	86
D0255	South Barber	48
D0256	Marmaton Valley	57
D0257	Iola	240
D0258	Humboldt	47
D0259	Wichita	5,569
D0260	Derby	567
D0261	Haysville	483
D0262	Valley Center Pub Sch	167
D0263	Mulvane	125
D0264	Clearwater	97
D0265	Goddard	263
D0266	Maize	134
D0267	Renwick	173
D0268	Cheney	66
D0269	Palco	28
D0270	Plainville	56
D0271	Stockton	39
D0272	Waconda	52
D0273	Beloit	84
D0274	Oakley	50
D0275	Triplains	26
D0278	Mankato	39
D0279	Jewell	25
D0281	Hill City	50
D0282	West Elk	64
D0283	Elk Valley	40
D0284	Chase County	56
D0285	Cedar Vale	20
D0286	Chautauqua Co Community	78
D0287	West Franklin	121
D0288	Central Heights	75
D0289	Wellsville	54
D0290	Ottawa	205
D0291	Grinnell Public Schools	20
D0292	Wheatland	27
D0293	Quinter Public Schools	40
D0294	Oberlin	58
D0295	Prairie Heights	13
D0297	St Francis Comm Sch	54
D0298	Lincoln	54
D0299	Sylvan Grove	13
D0300	Comanche County	39
D0301	Nes Tre La Go	1
D0302	Smoky Hill	10
D0303	Ness City	15
D0304	Bazine	1
D0305	Salina	849
D0306	Southeast Of Saline	70

Kansas State Department of Education
 Percentage of Students Approved for Free and Reduced Priced Meals - September 20, 2003

USD#	USD Name	9/20/2003 Reduced Enrollment
D0307	Ell-Saline	57
D0308	Hutchinson Public Schools	507
D0309	Nickerson	149
D0310	Fairfield	58
D0311	Pretty Prairie	45
D0312	Haven Public Schools	208
D0313	Buhler	194
D0314	Brewster	25
D0315	Colby Public Schools	127
D0316	Golden Plains	35
D0320	Wamego	132
D0321	Kaw Valley	119
D0322	Onaga-Havensville-Wheaton	42
D0323	Rock Creek	73
D0324	Eastern Heights	33
D0325	Phillipsburg	101
D0326	Logan	34
D0327	Ellsworth	49
D0328	Lorraine	101
D0329	Mill Creek Valley	38
D0330	Wabaunsee East	50
D0331	Kingman - Norwich	178
D0332	Cunningham	44
D0333	Concordia	169
D0334	Southern Cloud	30
D0335	North Jackson	54
D0336	Holton	92
D0337	Royal Valley	115
D0338	Valley Falls	45
D0339	Jefferson County North	44
D0340	Jefferson West	75
D0341	Oskaloosa Public Schools	87
D0342	McLouth	38
D0343	Perry Public Schools	92
D0344	Pleasanton	34
D0345	Seaman	245
D0346	Jayhawk	64
D0347	Kinsley-Offerle	42
D0348	Baldwin City	101
D0349	Stafford	63
D0350	St John-Hudson	38
D0351	Macksville	38
D0352	Goodland	103
D0353	Wellington	215
D0354	Clafin	53
D0355	Ellinwood Public Schools	97
D0356	Conway Springs	66
D0357	Belle Plaine	71
D0358	Oxford	45
D0359	Argonia Public Schools	19
D0360	Caldwell	53
D0361	Anthony-Harper	146
D0362	Prairie View	89

4-4

Kansas State Department of Education
 Percentage of Students Approved for Free and Reduced Priced Meals - September 20, 2003

USD#	USD Name	9/20/2003 Reduced Enrollment
D0363	Holcomb	126
D0364	Marysville	79
D0365	Garnett	154
D0366	Woodson	57
D0367	Osawatomie	153
D0368	Paola	136
D0369	Burrton	30
D0371	Montezuma	28
D0372	Silver Lake	49
D0373	Newton	467
D0374	Sublette	77
D0375	Circle	133
D0376	Sterling	67
D0377	Atchison Co Comm Schools	122
D0378	Riley County	99
D0379	Clay Center	169
D0380	Vermillion	78
D0381	Spearville	52
D0382	Pratt	137
D0383	Manhattan	570
D0384	Blue Valley	25
D0385	Andover	154
D0386	Madison-Virgil	45
D0387	Altoona-Midway	31
D0388	Ellis	43
D0389	Eureka	88
D0390	Hamilton	23
D0392	Osborne County	70
D0393	Solomon	54
D0394	Rose Hill Public Schools	96
D0395	LaCrosse	36
D0396	Douglass Public Schools	83
D0397	Centre	26
D0398	Peabody-Burns	42
D0399	Paradise	38
D0400	Smoky Valley	75
D0401	Chase-Raymond	27
D0402	Augusta	152
D0403	Otis-Bison	27
D0404	Riverton	107
D0405	Lyons	138
D0406	Wathena	9
D0407	Russell County	110
D0408	Marion-Florence	70
D0409	Atchison Public Schools	253
D0410	Durham-Hillsboro-Lehigh	91
D0411	Goessel	38
D0412	Hoxie Community Schools	17
D0413	Chanute Public Schools	234
D0415	Hiawatha	121
D0416	Louisburg	80
D0417	Morris County	123
D0418	McPherson	183

4.5

Kansas State Department of Education
 Percentage of Students Approved for Free and Reduced Priced Meals - September 20, 2003

USD#	USD Name	9/20/2003 Reduced Enrollment
D0419	Canton-Galva	50
D0420	Osage City	89
D0421	Lyndon	40
D0422	Greensburg	39
D0423	Moundridge	39
D0424	Mullinville	8
D0425	Highland	40
D0426	Pike Valley	41
D0427	Republic County	41
D0428	Great Bend	263
D0429	Troy Public Schools	52
D0430	South Brown County	92
D0431	Hoisington	125
D0432	Victoria	21
D0433	Midway Schools	26
D0434	Santa Fe Trail	128
D0435	Abilene	180
D0436	Caney Valley	109
D0437	Auburn Washburn	358
D0438	Skyline Schools	59
D0439	Sedgwick Public Schools	43
D0440	Halstead	90
D0441	Sabetha	82
D0442	Nemaha Valley Schools	51
D0443	Dodge City	836
D0444	Little River	29
D0445	Coffeyville	223
D0446	Independence	253
D0447	Cherryvale	101
D0448	Inman	31
D0449	Easton	38
D0450	Shawnee Heights	227
D0451	B & B	30
D0452	Stanton County	53
D0453	Leavenworth	421
D0454	Burlingame Public School	41
D0455	Hillcrest Rural Schools	22
D0456	Marais Des Cygnes Valley	29
D0457	Garden City	874
D0458	Basehor-Linwood	79
D0459	Bucklin	31
D0460	Hesston	61
D0461	Neodesha	122
D0462	Central	47
D0463	Udall	47
D0464	Tonganoxie	105
D0465	Winfield	360
D0466	Scott County	116
D0467	Leoti	41
D0468	Healy Public Schools	10
D0469	Lansing	53
D0470	Arkansas City	343
D0471	Dexter	23

4-6

Kansas State Department of Education
 Percentage of Students Approved for Free and Reduced Priced Meals - September 20, 2003

USD#	USD Name	9/20/2003 Reduced Enrollment
D0473	Chapman	120
D0474	Haviland	38
D0475	Geary County Schools	1,228
D0476	Copeland	19
D0477	Ingalls	27
D0479	Crest	37
D0480	Liberal	459
D0481	Rural Vista	63
D0482	Dighton	41
D0483	Kismet-Plains	119
D0484	Fredonia	91
D0486	Elwood	33
D0487	Herington	60
D0488	Axtell	39
D0489	Hays	343
D0490	El Dorado	174
D0491	Eudora	129
D0492	Flinthills	26
D0493	Columbus	142
D0494	Syracuse	50
D0495	Ft Larned	125
D0496	Pawnee Heights	45
D0497	Lawrence	920
D0498	Valley Heights	77
D0499	Galena	90
D0500	Kansas City	2,449
D0501	Topeka Public Schools	1,373
D0502	Lewis	12
D0503	Parsons	240
D0504	Oswego	93
D0505	Chetopa	39
D0506	Labette County	213
D0507	Satanta	63
D0508	Baxter Springs	139
D0509	South Haven	33
D0511	Attica	21
D0512	Shawnee Mission Pub Sch	1,271
		44,277

4-7

Transportation Weighting

K.S.A. 72-6411. (a) The transportation weighting of each district shall be determined by the state board as follows:

(1) Determine the total expenditures of the district during the preceding school year from all funds for transporting pupils of public and nonpublic schools on regular school routes;

(2) divide the amount determined under (1) by the total number of pupils who were included in the enrollment of the district in the preceding school year and for whom transportation was made available by the district;

(3) multiply the quotient obtained under (2) by the total number of pupils who were included in the enrollment of the district in the preceding school year, were residing less than 2 1/2 miles by the usually traveled road from the school building they attended, and for whom transportation was made available by the district;

(4) multiply the product obtained under (3) by 50%;

(5) subtract the product obtained under (4) from the amount determined under (1);

(6) divide the remainder obtained under (5) by the total number of pupils who were included in the enrollment of the district in the preceding school year, were residing 2 1/2 miles or more by the usually traveled road from the school building they attended and for whom transportation was made available by the district. The quotient is the per-pupil cost of transportation;

(7) on a density-cost graph plot the per-pupil cost of transportation for each district;

(8) construct a curve of best fit for the points so plotted;

(9) locate the index of density for the district on the base line of the density-cost graph and from the point on the curve of best fit directly above this point of index of density follow a line parallel to the base line to the point of intersection with the vertical line, which point is the formula per-pupil cost of transportation of the district;

(10) divide the formula per-pupil cost of transportation of the district by base state aid per pupil;

(11) multiply the quotient obtained under (10) by the number of pupils who are included in the enrollment of the district, are residing 2 1/2 miles or more by the usually traveled road to the school building they attend, and for whom transportation is being made available by, and at the expense of, the district. The product is the transportation weighting of the district.

(b) For the purpose of providing accurate and reliable data on pupil transportation, the state board is authorized to adopt rules and regulations prescribing procedures which districts shall follow in reporting pertinent information relative thereto, including uniform reporting of expenditures for transportation.

(c) "Index of density" means the number of pupils who are included in the enrollment of a district in the current school year, are residing 2 1/2 miles or more by the usually traveled road from the school building they attend, and for whom transportation is being made available on regular school routes by the district, divided by the number of square miles of territory in the district.

(d) "Density-cost graph" means a drawing having: (1) A horizontal or base line divided into equal intervals of density, beginning with zero on the left; and (2) a scale for per-pupil cost of transportation to be shown on a line perpendicular to the base line at the left end thereof, such scale to begin with zero dollars at the base line ascending by equal per-pupil cost intervals.

(e) "Curve of best fit" means the curve on a density-cost graph drawn so the sum of the distances squared from such line to each of the points plotted on the graph is the least possible.

(f) The provisions of this section shall take effect and be in force from and after July 1, 1992.

Select Comm. on School Finance

Date 1-27-05

Attachment # 5

Program Weighting
Bilingual Education and Vocational Education

K.S.A. 72-6413. The program weighting of each district shall be determined by the state board as follows:

(a) Compute full time equivalent enrollment in programs of bilingual education and multiply the computed enrollment by 0.2;

(b) compute full time equivalent enrollment in approved vocational education programs and multiply the computed enrollment by 0.5;

(c) add the products obtained under (a) and (b). The sum is the program weighting of the district.

(d) The provisions of this section shall take effect and be in force from and after July 1, 1992.

Select Comm. on School Finance
Date 1-27-05
Attachment # 6



Division of Fiscal and Administrative Services

785-296-3871
785-296-0459 (fax)

120 SE 10th Avenue • Topeka, KS 66612-1182 • (785) 296-6338 (TTY) • www.ksde.org

January 26, 2005

TO: Kathe Decker
 House Select Committee on School Finance

FROM: Dale M. Dennis, Deputy
 Commissioner of Education

SUBJECT: Vocational Education

Attached is a summary of the program approval process for vocational education.

We hope this information will be of assistance to you.

h:leg:HSCSF--VE Program Approval

Select Comm. on School Finance
Date 1-27-05
Attachment # 7-1

Program Approval Process to access .5 (vocational) weighted funding

Career technical education, formerly known as vocational education, is an essential component of the total educational system. Courses that generated .5 weighting are identified for funding within an approved program. Introductory level courses do not generate .5 weighted funding. No course may be approved for .5 weighted funding if it does not meet the program specific standards. Schools may annually update the courses to meet the needs of the local business and industry community and state standards. Teachers are expected to be appropriately certified for the content they are assigned to teach.

Career and Technical Education provides students and adults with the technical skills, knowledge and training necessary to succeed in specific occupations/careers and in postsecondary education. It also prepares students for the world of work by introducing them to workplace competencies that are essential no matter what career they choose. And, career technical education takes academic content and makes it accessible to students by providing it in a hands-on context. Career technical education provides individuals with options in life. By providing students at the secondary level with everything from career guidance, information, and exploration to specific occupational training, students are prepared for careers and further education. Making good decisions about careers or post secondary education is enhanced by students having a plan for the career of their choice, which includes a solid understanding of the education and skills necessary to succeed in that field. In addition, we know that not all students transition directly from high school to post secondary education and some require the skills obtained in secondary programs to be able to support their post secondary education. Career technical education opens doors to students' minds, education and careers.

Applications for new approved programs are submitted by February 15. A program is reviewed once in five years to determine if it continues to meet the standards. A program consists of a sequence of courses that builds on introductory level skills. An outline of course content is submitted for each course in the sequence. Competencies, the knowledge and skills students should know and be able to do, are provided as a 'competency profile' for each course. Competencies include 1) technical skills; 2) math, science and communication skills; 3) leadership skills; 4) general workplace skills and 5) work-based experiences.

1) Kansas technical skills are based upon National Skills Standards or national curricular standards that have had extensive business and industry involvement. Local advisory committees recommend customization appropriate to the local Kansas community.

2) Academic skills are taught or reinforced to help technical education students achieve and apply higher level academic skills necessary for a career or to prepare them for post-secondary education. Academic skills required in many technical areas are equal to or above those required to enter college. Automotive Technology requires a reading level equal to a university level teacher education program.

3 & 4) Leadership skills and workplace skills, as identified by the Secretaries Commission on Necessary Skills (SCANS Report), are included within the sequence of classes. Leadership skills may be taught through a Career and Technical Student Organization or integrated in local curriculum. Workplace skills are often referred to as 'soft skills' that everyone needs for successful employment.

5) Each program (sequence of courses) includes an opportunity for students to have a work-based experience. Frequently the work-based experience is on-the-job training directly related to and building on skills students have learned in the classroom.