

MINUTES OF THE SENATE EDUCATION COMMITTEE

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Jean Schodorf at 1:35 p.m. on February 8, 2005, in Room 123-S of the Capitol.

Committee members absent:

Committee staff present: Carolyn Rampey, Kansas Legislative Research Department
Kathie Sparks, Kansas Legislative Research Department
Theresa Kiernan, Revisor of Statutes
Shirley Higgins, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee: Dale Dennis, Deputy Commissioner, State Board of Education
Representative Kathe Decker
Lamont Godsey, President, Board of Education, USD 495
Major Colleen Wright, Board of Education, Ft. Riley
Mark Desetti, Kansas National Education Association
Representative L. Candy Ruff

HB 2059—School districts; enrollment, increases relating to military-connected personnel

Theresa Kiernan, Revisor of Statutes Office, noted that **HB 2059** was introduced by several House members. She explained that the bill would provide a second date for enrollment count for certain school districts on February 20 for a two-year period when there has been an increase of a minimum of 25 students or 1 percent of the district's enrollment who are dependents of a full-time active duty member of the military service or military reserve. She noted that the House Committee made a clarifying technical change to the bill.

Dale Dennis, Deputy Commissioner, State Board of Education, explained further that the bill provides that the September 20 enrollment count would still be taken in the affected schools just as in all other school districts. However, if additional students who are military-connected enroll between September 20 and February 20, they will be added to the enrollment. He pointed out that the bill is not applicable if the additional students are not the dependents of members of the military service.

Representative Kathe Decker testified in support of **HB 2059**. She noted that Fort Riley will see an increase of approximately 3,400 servicemen and women, and Fort Leavenworth will see an increase of approximately 500. As a result, more children will be coming into the school districts in those areas. In her opinion, the economic impact of the new military families in the community will more than make up for the cost of having two counts. (Attachment 1)

Lamont Godsey, Board of Education President of Geary County USD 475, testified in support of **HB 2059**. He informed the Committee that the Fort Riley area school districts currently have approximately 6,300 students, and 64% of those students are connected with Fort Riley. He noted that there are five elementary schools and one middle school on the Fort itself. He pointed out that approximately 50% of the 3,400 soldiers expected to arrive at Fort Riley will be married with children. He anticipated an increase of anywhere from 250 to 600 students in the district. He noted that 70% of those students will arrive at Fort Riley during the month of January, and in order to maintain a top quality education, the district must begin preparing for their arrival. The district's immediate concern was staffing. He noted that it is not possible to hire teachers in January and that, even in the summer, it is very difficult to hire teachers in certain areas. The bill will enable the district to take a second count on February 20, thus, state aid will be increased, and the district will have funds to pay for extra staff needed. He noted that the Geary County School Board has had a long-standing working relationship with Fort Riley and that the influx of military personnel will have a significant impact on the economy not only for the Fort Riley area but also for the state. In conclusion, Mr. Godsey called attention to copies of a position paper on increased student enrollment due to the changed mission of the Army. He directed the Committee's attention to a list on the reverse side of the paper showing all Fort Riley area school districts that are directly involved. (Attachment 2)

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE Senate Education Committee at 1:35 p.m. on February 8, 2005, in Room 123-S of the Capitol.

On behalf of the Fort Riley military community, Major Colleen Wright, Fort Riley Board of Education, testified in support of **HB 2059**. She explained that the Army is currently undergoing a transformation in the structure and stationing of its combat units, and Fort Riley will receive a new unit configuration called the Unit of Action, which will bring approximately 1,900 families with 4,700 family members to Fort Riley. They will begin to arrive in small numbers in July of this year, but the bulk will arrive in the fall. The bulk of new family members will arrive in the middle of the school year. She went on to say that the current school finance formula calculates aid based on the number of students enrolled in September; therefore, funding for the local schools will not accurately reflect their true population demands or funding needs. The bill would allow Fort Riley's surrounding communities to be adequately funded to meet the needs of all children in their school districts. (Attachment 3)

Mark Desetti, Kansas National Education Association (KNEA), testified in support of **HB 2059**. He noted that KNEA supports the bill primarily because those serving in our armed forces should not have to worry about the education of their children. They should know that their children will have all the resources necessary in making the transition to a new school and a new community. (Attachment 4)

Representative Candy Ruff testified in support of **HB 2059**, noting that it would benefit the Fort Leavenworth school district. She explained that the Fort Leavenworth Command and General Staff College has widened the number of officers being educated and broadened the leadership class. She noted that College anticipates the arrival at least 500 additional military officers, and she estimated one-third of them will have families who will live in Fort Leavenworth and in the surrounding community. She went on to say that, beginning in January, the officers will be taking a nine month course for a masters in Military Science. She commented that, potentially, the nearby school districts in Lansing and Easton will be also be impacted by the arrival of new military personnel at Fort Leavenworth.

There being no others wishing to testify, Senator Schodorf closed the hearing on **HB 2059** and opened Committee discussion of the 2005 Senate K-12 School Finance Plan. At the outset, she thanked committee members for their input and efforts in developing the plan. She noted that the proposed plan was the first step in putting a plan on the table for all legislators to debate and that several other plans would be forthcoming. She commented that the 2005 Senate School Finance Plan is a three-part plan, and she believed that it would improve education for the state. She noted that changes and additions to the plan were welcomed. She called the Committee's attention to a handout entitled, "Proposed framework for K-12 Finance and Reform" (Attachment 5), which she used as an aid while she discussed the three parts of the plan – funding, vision and reform (The 2010 Commission), and accountability and monitoring of school finance (Legislative Post Audit).

With regard to funding, she noted that the proposed plan provides for a three-year increase in state aid for K-12 education. She reminded the Committee that there has been no increase in state aid for education since 2001. She discussed the data shown in a chart on the third page of the handout concerning the formula adjustments in the three-year plan with regard to BSAPP, at-risk weighting, bilingual weighting, special education excess cost, local option budget, and elimination of 0.50 vocational weighting. With regard to the elimination of vocational weighting, she noted that the Augenblick and Myers study said that vocational education did not cost as much as the 0.50 weighting. She commented that there are school districts which depend on vocational weighting; therefore, there would be considerable debate on vocational weighting. She went on to point out that the total package for funding the proposed plan is \$415 million over three years.

With regard to vision and reform, she noted that the creation of The 2010 Commission was proposed by the Senate President. She explained that this portion of the plan concerns the question, "What is education going to look like in the year 2010?" The work of the Commission would be to determine what Kansas school children need to succeed in the 21st Century and how to best provide it to them. The Commission's assigned task would be to continually review the state's current and future educational needs and costs. She recalled that the Supreme Court's decision mentions that education must be a process of continual improvement in the educational system. She explained that the Commission would be composed of 13 members and that the proposed bill would provide how the members would be appointed and would list their duties.

With regard to the accountability and monitoring of school finance portion of the plan, she noted that it relates to the Supreme Court's directive to determine the actual costs of an education and how they are analyzed

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE Senate Education Committee at 1:35 p.m. on February 8, 2005, in Room 123-S of the Capitol.

without the use of historical data or political gains. The duties and responsibilities of the Legislative Division of Post Audit would be expanded to include measuring actual school district costs and providing ongoing monitoring of school district costs in addition to making recommendations for improved efficiency and cost savings. At this point, she distributed copies of a chart concerning the conceptual framework/questions used when determining what could be done through the Legislative Division of Post Audit. (Attachment 6) She listed the topics in the proposed bill that could be included for school district performance audits.

In summary, Senator Schodorf said the Senate School Finance Plan was a good faith effort for increased funding of public education to show the Supreme Court that the state has a long-range vision and a plan for funding public education. The 2010 Commission will look at the future of public education in Kansas through funding formulas and weights. The accountability part increases the work of the Post Audit Division so that it will be helpful in monitoring and calculating ongoing costs. She noted that Post Audit would work with The 2010 Commission.

She commented that it was early in the session, and the plan was an overall framework for a funding plan. It was proposed that the first year be funded within existing resources without a tax increase. Two funding possibilities for the first year included the ending balance and the growth in the economy. New money for year two and three would be needed. The money could come from an increase in sales and income taxes, or, if those increases do not receive support from the majority of the Legislature, there could be alternative financing proposals. She informed the Committee that the three proposals would be introduced on February 9 in the Senate Ways and Means Committee, which is an exempt committee, and the bills would then be referred to the Senate Education Committee.

As requested by the Committee at a previous meeting, Dale Dennis, Deputy Commissioner, State Board of Education, distributed copies of a computer printout indicating the effects of the proposed school finance plan. (Attachment 7)

The meeting was adjourned at 2:30 p.m.

The next meeting is scheduled for February 9, 2005.

**SENATE EDUCATION COMMITTEE
GUEST LIST**

DATE: February 8, 2005

NAME	REPRESENTING
Bob Corkins	KLEAR Inc.
Jessica J. Cafferata	Kearney & Assoc.
Rep Katho Decker	
Major Colleen Wright	Fort Riley Kansas
Liam E. Goodsey	Junction City BOE
Ronald P. Walker	Geary County Schools USD 475
Jim Edwards	KASB
MARK DESETT	KNETT
Val DeFever	SQE
Bonnie Koch	Wichita Area Chamber of Commerce
Mandy Miller	Senator Schmidt
Justin Bravi	Senator Teichman
Tricie Stallbauer	Senator Brownlee
Adam Obley	Gov. Office
David Corbin	KDOR
Bob Vancouver	Blue Valley USD 229
Calvin Kleinmann	Greater KC Chamber
Allie Wilson	K-Timberlock Association
Don Wilson	USA
Stuart Little	Shawnee Mission #512
Todd Taylor	St. Paul USD 349

STATE OF KANSAS

KATHE DECKER
1415 8TH STREET
CLAY CENTER, KANSAS 67432
(785) 632-5989
FAX 785-632-5989
E-mail: decker@house.state.ks.us



TOPEKA
HOUSE OF
REPRESENTATIVES

REPRESENTATIVE, SIXTY-FOURTH DISTRICT
CLAY, DICKINSON, GEARY,
AND RILEY COUNTIES

STATE CAPITOL
ROOM 303-N
TOPEKA 66614-1504
(785) 296-7637

COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS
CHAIR: EDUCATION
MEMBER: EDUCATION BUDGET

February 8, 2005

HB 2059

Thank you, Madam Chair, for holding the hearing on a house bill so early.

Over the next few months there are some exciting happenings taking place with the military installations in the state of Kansas. Fort Riley will see an increase of approximately 3400 servicemen and women. That number does not take into consideration their families. Fort Leavenworth will see an increase of approximately 500. I will let Rep. Ruff fill you in on those details.

It is our hope for the communities surrounding Fort Riley to see numerous families choose to live in our towns. If they do, it means children into our school systems. Geary county schools will naturally see the increase, hence, the reason for this legislation. Members from the Geary county school district are here to talk with you about their needs in order to meet this influx.

There is a cost to having two counts in this manner of approximately \$3M, however, the economic impact of the military families will more than make up for the cost.

Senate Education Committee
2-8-05
Attachment 1

Fort Riley Area School Districts:
A Position on Increased Student Enrollment Due to the Changed Mission of the Army

Presentation for the
State of Kansas
Senate Education Committee
Senator Jean Shodorf, Chairperson
February 8, 2005

Honorable members of the Senate Education Committee, I am Lamont Godsey, Board President of Geary County USD 475. I appreciate the opportunity to speak on behalf of HB 2059. Fort Riley Area School Districts have a long and honorable relationship with military personnel and family members of active duty soldiers. This relationship has existed over many decades. Fort Riley area school districts have collaboratively provided a world class education for children of active duty soldiers in both good and challenging times. We have provided the military family educational support and service through peace, the Cold War, Vietnam, and Gulf War. We now bring our experience in educating students with military connections to provide the same service to families who are serving Iraqi Freedom. Throughout our history, we have remained committed to providing our military connected students the best education possible. The quality of education in Kansas has consistently been ranked in the top 10 and many times in the top 5 in America.

We now have another opportunity to serve the families and children of Fort Riley. We proudly undertake this task on behalf of those who must be in harms way each day. The quality of a Kansas education will be one of the pleasant memories the families will take with them as they travel throughout the world. The 2006 school year promises to bring additional troops and their families to Fort Riley. Most school districts in the Fort Riley area will experience some growth due to this increase in troop strength. We are all anxiously planning for these new families and feel certain that collectively we will be able to accommodate each new student. The schools and communities in the Fort Riley area have many unique opportunities for the military families to enjoy. Our communities share valuable Kansas and American history from the birthplace of President Eisenhower to Kansas State University. The rich outdoor life is highlighted with Milford Lake. There are many opportunities to explore the grand Kansas history through each town, museum, and of course, our schools.

All of the Fort Riley area schools listed on the back of this page jointly unite in our unwavering support of Fort Riley and look forward to new families joining our proud Kansans. We also remain steadfast in our resolve to educate each student with the quality all Kansans have come to expect.

Because Fort Riley will have a significant impact on the economy of Kansas, possibly exceeding the \$1 billion mark, it is important that all Kansans join together in support of the Fort and its new mission. We also recognize and support Fort Leavenworth and other military installations in Kansas that will experience addition growth.

As we provide our continued support for Fort Riley and it's new mission, we also join together to support House Bill 2059 which provides for an additional count date for all school districts that will have and increased enrollment after the traditional September 20 count date due to the federal orders of the military for active duty soldiers. Consideration of adding the February 20 count date for two years is an excellent way for schools to continue to provide educational support and for the State of Kansas to officially signal the military that this state strongly supports our troops and will take ownership for their children.

We humbly thank you Senator Shodorf, and all the members of the Kansas Senate Education Committee for your willingness and foresight to support our Kansas Troops and their families.

Senate Education Committee
2-8-05
Attachment 2

Fort Riley Area School District:

- 224 Clifton-Clyde
- 320 Wamego – 1370
- 321 Kaw Valley
- 322 Onaga-Havensville-Wheaton
- 323 Rock Creek
- 329 Mill Creek Valley
- 378 Riley County
- 379 Clay Center
- 383 Manhattan-Ogden
- 384 Blue Valley
- 393 Solomon
- 417 Morris County
- 435 Abilene
- 473 Chapman
- 475 Geary County
- 481 Rural Vista
- 487 Herington

Fort Riley Area School Districts:
A Position on Increased Student Enrollment Due to the
Changed Mission of the Army

Presentation for the
State of Kansas
Senate Education Committee
Senator Jean Shodorf, Chairperson
February 8, 2005

Ladies and Gentlemen, I am Major Colleen Wright, the Garrison Operations Officer. Thank you for allowing me to speak today on behalf of the Fort Riley military community.

The Army is currently undergoing a significant transformation in the structure and stationing of its combat units. It has created a new unit configuration called the Unit of Action, which is similar to the current Brigade Combat Team structure. Fort Riley will receive a Units of Action, causing a significant increase in our soldier population.

Our new Unit of Action will bring an additional 3,400 soldiers to the installation, which means that we can expect approximately 1,900 families with 4,700 family members. They will begin to arrive in small numbers in July of this year. The bulk of incoming soldiers and families will arrive during the fall. By this time next year, we will have at least 70% of the projected end strength (2380).

The impact that the timeline of the arrival of the UA will have on schools in the surrounding communities is significant. Since the available amount of on-post housing will not change, these communities can expect that they will primarily be absorbing this increase in their schools. Additionally, the bulk of new family members will arrive in the middle of the school year. Given that the current Kansas School Finance Formula calculates aid based on the number of students enrolled in September, funding for the local schools will not accurately reflect their true population demands or funding needs.

Colonel Simpson, the Fort Riley Garrison Commander, has met with Lieutenant Governor John Moore several times to discuss the impact of the new UA on our surrounding communities. We fully support this legislative proposal to have an additional count date in the February/March time frame, after the schools can expect to see their biggest influx of military children enrolling. This will allow our surrounding communities' schools to be adequately funded to meet the needs of all children in their school districts.

Senate Education Committee
2-8-05
Attachment 3

Fort Riley Area School District:

- 224 Clifton-Clyde
- 320 Wamego – 1370
- 321 Kaw Valley
- 322 Onaga-Havensville-Wheaton
- 323 Rock Creek
- 329 Mill Creek Valley
- 378 Riley County
- 379 Clay Center
- 383 Manhattan-Ogden
- 384 Blue Valley
- 393 Solomon
- 417 Morris County
- 435 Abilene
- 473 Chapman
- 475 Geary County
- 481 Rural Vista
- 487 Herington



Mark Desetti, Testimony
Senate Education Committee
February 8, 2005
House Bill 2059

Madame Chairman, members of the committee, thank you for the opportunity to speak to you on **House Bill 2059**.

KNEA believes that this is an important and much needed piece of legislation. We know all too well the difficulties our men and women in uniform are facing these days and we believe it entirely appropriate that the state give consideration to increases in enrollment for schools in military areas. Those serving our nation should be secure in knowing that their children will have all the resources necessary in making the transition to a new school and a new community. They should not have to worry about the education of their children.

This is a simple, common sense piece of legislation that provides the resources necessary to help the dependents of military families.

We urge you to pass this legislation favorably.

Senate Education Committee
2-8-05
Attachment 4

Proposed Framework for K-12 Finance and Reform

The Senate Education Committee has reviewed the Kansas Supreme Court decision in *State v. Montoy*, the various aspects of the school finance formula, and actual cost data for providing education services. Based on the committee's findings, the following framework for proceeding has been developed:

I. Funding.

Provide a three-year increase in state aid for K-12 education of \$125 million the first year, \$147 million the second year and \$142 million the third year. Funding would be increased for all school districts but would be targeted at helping at-risk, bilingual and special education children.

Fund the first year without a tax increase. This is possible by using existing resources, specifically estimated growth in the economy and an \$80 million draw-down on the State General Fund ending balance. (*NOTE: This \$80 million draw-down includes \$50 million in funds that were previously designated for education in fiscal year 2005 and 2006 but that were swept back into the State General Fund by the governor's proposed budget.*)

Funding for years two and three will require new money. The governor last year proposed one method of financing a school finance increase by raising sales and income taxes. This option remains available to the legislature, and we encourage any alternative financing proposals.

II. Vision and Reform: *The 2010 Commission*

What do our schoolchildren need to succeed in the 21st Century and how can we best provide it to them? We propose the creation of a *2010 Commission* with the assigned task of continually reviewing the state's educational needs. If Kansas is to conceive a strategic vision for continual improvement in public education, the work of the *2010 Commission* will be invaluable and indispensable.

The Commission will endeavor to look at everything and everyone affecting schools and the education system. The *2010 Commission* will act as an umbrella entity to achieve a complete and realistic view of the actual current and future costs of education, the actual current and future needs of our students and educators, and the actual resources available – now and in the future – to fund these needs.

The *2010 Commission* will be empowered to conduct hearings and to receive and consider comments and ideas from all sources for the improvement and financing of public education, and to make any other recommendations necessary to guide the legislature in fulfilling its constitutional duty to:

- ✎ Provide for the intellectual, educational, vocational and scientific improvement in public schools; and

(more)

Senate Education Committee

2-8-05 Attachment 5

- ✎ Make suitable provision for the finance of the educational interests of the state.

The Commission will submit to the Legislature an annual report embracing these charges and any other given by the legislature.

Composition of the Commission includes:

- ✎ Members appointed by legislative leaders and the governor;
- ✎ School teachers and administrators;
- ✎ The commissioner of education;
- ✎ The chairpersons of the House and Senate Education Committees or their designees

III. Accountability and Monitoring of School Finance – Legislative Post Audit

We propose to use the resources of the Legislative Division of Post Audit to provide ongoing monitoring of school district costs, including the ongoing costs of delivering educational services to at-risk, bilingual and special education children. We also propose to require the Division of Post Audit to continually monitor school districts and make recommendations for improved efficiency and cost savings and work in conjunction with the *2010 Commission*.

Timeline: Present to Education Committee (1:30 p.m. in 123-S) on Tuesday, Feb. 8
Introduce 3 bills through Ways & Means on Wednesday, Feb. 9

- 1) Measure regarding Finance;
- 2) Measure regarding establishment of the 2010 Commission;
- 3) Measure defining role of Post Audit in the ongoing process.

Discuss those measures in Caucus on Thursday, Feb. 10

For further information, contact:

Sen. Jean Kurtis Schodorf, Chairman
Senate Education Committee
(785) 296-7391

**2005 SENATE SCHOOL FINANCE PROPOSAL
FORMULA ADJUSTMENTS
THREE-YEAR PLAN**

	<u>1st Year</u>	<u>2nd Year</u>	<u>3rd Year</u>	<u>Total</u>
Addition to BSAPP (\$3,863)	\$150	\$125	\$125	10.4 %
Cost:	\$87.2 M	\$72.7 M	\$72.7 M	\$232.6 M
Increased LOB state aid				
Cost:	\$6.0 M	\$6.2 M	\$6.2 M	\$18.4 M
At-Risk Weighting from 0.10 to	0.15	0.20	0.25	250%
Cost:	\$29.1 M	\$29.1 M	\$29.1 M	\$87.3 M
Bilingual Weighting from 0.20 to	0.30	0.40	0.40	200%
Cost:	\$5.6 M	\$5.6 M	\$0.0	\$11.2 M
Special Education excess cost from 81.7% to	85%	88%	92%	12.6%
Cost:	\$17.7 M	\$24.0 M	\$29.0 M	\$70.7 M
Local Option Budget (LOB) increase from 25% to	27%	29%	30%	20%
Cost:	\$10.0 M	\$10.0 M	\$5.0 M	\$25.0 M
Eliminate Vocational weighting of 0.50				100%
Savings:	<u><\$30.2 M></u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	
Total Net Cost:	\$125.4 M	\$147.6 M	\$142.0 M	\$415.0 M

Conceptual framework / questions for thinking about school finance and oversight issues (current and future)	Possible Players				
	Legislature	DOE	Districts	CPAs	LPA
What costs need to be considered? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● program categories? (i.e., at-risk, ESL, vocational, etc.) ● \$ or % <u>State</u> will fund (versus other sources)? ● include overhead or other indirect costs? ● appropriate cost measures? (i.e., costs per student? costs per some other measure?) 	X X X ?	x	?		
How will those costs be obtained this session? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● some already separately compiled? (i.e., bilingual has separate fund) ● some need to be compiled / estimated? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▸ estimates through district surveys? (can get info relatively quickly for most/all districts) ▸ audits? (can get info for only a few districts; audits would need to rely on district estimates) 		x x x	x x		x
What future methods will be used to compute or calculate costs <u>uniformly</u>? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● set uniform basis for reporting expenditures (DOE already has a uniform accounting system) ● define <u>allowable</u> costs (i.e., what expenditures will "count" for State aid purposes?) ● identify reasonable and uniform methods for estimating or allocating certain costs? (i.e., if contact hours already tracked, convert contact hours to FTE basis, rather than requiring districts to separately track % of time each person spends on some programs?) 	x ?	x x x	x x		
How can these costs be systematically tracked over time so they <u>can</u> be verified and compared against funding levels? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● identify # of kids participating in program categories (some issues with special ed co-ops?) ● require separate accounting (i.e., fund or subaccount) for each program category that needs to be tracked and compared. (Currently have separate funds for bilingual, voc. ed, special ed., etc.) ● have districts report info as part of regular budget process ● cost/time issues involved for districts? 	x x ?	x x x	x x x		
What reported cost information could / should be verified? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● adherence to reporting requirements? (i.e., reporting costs in right categories?) ● compliance issues? (i.e., allowability? eligibility? services actually provided? allocations OK?, etc.) ● frequency issues? (sample every year as part of regular audits? do any ad hoc audits?) 		x x		x x	x x
How do district costs compare with the Legislature's funding levels? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● basis for increasing funding? (i.e., based on previous year actuals? some automatic inflators like change in # students involved or growth in CPI, etc.?) ● compare reported costs / ending balances against State funding ● are reported costs reasonable / in-line with other districts?? 	x	? x x		?	x
Could districts operate more efficiently? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● collect and report comparative efficiency indicators for districts (DOE gets some info already) ● audits comparing districts against various benchmarks, best practices, each other, etc.? ● focus on improvements / incentives, not cost cutting? 		x x	x x	x	x

Senate Education Committee
 2-8-05
 Attachment 6



Division of Fiscal and Administrative Servi

785-296-3871
785-296-0459 (fax)

120 SE 10th Avenue • Topeka, KS 66612-1182 • (785) 296-6338 (TTY) • www.ksde.org

February 8, 2005

FROM: Dale M. Dennis, Deputy
Commissioner of Education

SUBJECT: Proposed School Finance

Attached is a computer printout (L0514) which provides the effects of a proposed school finance plan. The details of the proposed plan are outlined below along with estimated cost.

	<u>STATE COST</u>
Increase BSAPP by \$150	\$ 87,200,000
Increase supplemental general state aid due to LOB	6,000,000
Increase at-risk weighting from .10 to .15	29,100,000
Increase bilingual weighting from .20 to .30	5,600,000
Increase special education excess cost from 81.7 to 85 percent	17,700,000
Increase supplemental general state aid due to LOB (25% to 27%)	10,000,000
Eliminate vocational education weighting	(30,200,000)
TOTAL STATE COST	\$ 125,400,000

COLUMN EXPLANATION

- Column
- 1 -- September 20, 2004, Estimated FTE enrollment (excluding special education)
 - 2 -- 2005-06 Estimated effects of increasing base state aid per pupil by \$150 (\$3,863 to \$4,013)
 - 3 -- 2005-06 Estimated effects of increasing at-risk weighting from .10 to .15
 - 4 -- 2005-06 Estimated effects of increasing bilingual weighting from .20 to .30
 - 5 -- 2005-06 Estimated effects of increasing special education excess cost to 86 percent
 - 6 -- Total (Columns 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)
 - 7 -- Effects of eliminating vocational education weighting
 - 8 -- Difference (Column 6 - 7)

*Senate Education Committee
2-8-05
Attachment 7*

SCHOOL FINANCE PLAN

7-2

PROGRAM	2004-05 Current Law	2005-2006 Est. Increase		2006-2007 Est. Increase		2007-2008 Est. Increase	
At-Risk Weighting	10%	15%	\$ 29,100,000	20%	\$ 29,100,000	25%	\$ 29,100,000
Bilingual Weighting	20%	30%	5,600,000	40%	5,600,000	40%	
Base State Aid Per Pupil	\$ 3,863	\$ 150	87,200,000	\$ 125	72,700,000	\$ 125	72,700,000
Increased LOB state aid			6,000,000		6,200,000		6,200,000
Increase Local Option Budget	25%	27%	10,000,000	29%	10,000,000	30%	5,000,000
Special Education Excess Cost	81.7%	85%	17,700,000	88%	24,000,000	92%	29,000,000
Eliminate Vocational Education Weighting	50%		(30,200,000)				
Est. Additional Cost Per Year			\$ 125,400,000		\$ 147,600,000		\$ 142,000,000

7-3

1

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
COUNTY NAME	#	FTE ENROL	\$150 BASE	15% AT RISK	30% BILING	SP ED \$1570 PER TCHR	TOTAL (2 THRU 5)	DEDUCT VOC EDUC	DIFF (6 - 7)
DISTRICT NAME	#	9-20-04	BPP						

ALLEN	001								
MARMATON VALLEY	D0256	373.5	96,315	22,473	0	19,295	138,083	50,163	87,920
IOLA	D0257	1,437.5	276,120	114,772	0	71,953	462,845	131,225	331,620
HUMBOLDT	D0258	524.5	127,110	34,512	0	26,926	188,548	45,748	142,800
ANDERSON	002								
GARNETT	D0365	1,081.5	233,550	68,221	0	41,793	343,564	114,772	228,792
CREST	D0479	236.0	70,440	14,848	0	12,058	97,346	31,301	66,045
ATCHISON	003								
ATCHISON CO COM	D0377	741.0	178,200	40,933	0	38,669	257,802	65,412	192,390
ATCHISON PUBLIC	D0409	1,565.2	287,565	138,850	0	76,475	502,890	134,034	368,856
BARBER	004								
BARBER COUNTY N	D0254	587.0	145,695	25,282	0	28,511	199,488	49,360	150,128
SOUTH BARBER	D0255	267.0	74,385	16,052	0	12,937	103,374	23,275	80,099
BARTON	005								
CLAFLIN	D0354	297.5	79,905	11,236	0	13,267	104,408	23,677	80,731
ELLINWOOD PUBLI	D0355	514.0	123,930	25,282	0	20,379	169,591	69,826	99,765
GREAT BEND	D0428	3,042.6	530,760	274,489	74,241	87,166	966,656	201,453	765,203
HOISINGTON	D0431	613.8	150,630	40,130	0	25,199	215,959	45,748	170,211
BOURBON	006								
FORT SCOTT	D0234	1,958.6	347,475	163,329	1,605	58,357	570,766	128,015	442,751
UNIONTOWN	D0235	430.0	119,220	32,505	0	16,202	167,927	41,735	126,192
BROWN	007								
HIAWATHA	D0415	886.3	210,540	53,373	0	57,933	321,846	81,865	239,981
SOUTH BROWN COU	D0430	657.6	158,190	50,163	7,223	41,825	257,401	53,774	203,627
BUTLER	008								
BLUESTEM	D0205	715.9	172,035	29,295	0	30,238	231,568	81,865	149,703
REMINGTON-WHITE	D0206	523.7	132,165	18,460	2,809	23,770	177,204	22,874	154,330
CIRCLE	D0375	1,497.7	284,685	49,360	0	51,983	386,028	130,423	255,605
ANDOVER	D0385	3,643.2	614,745	50,965	803	112,098	778,611	181,789	596,822
ROSE HILL PUBLI	D0394	1,741.5	308,220	41,735	0	60,084	410,039	146,475	263,564
DOUGLASS PUBLIC	D0396	828.2	190,215	34,111	0	35,592	259,918	35,716	224,202
AUGUSTA	D0402	2,112.0	359,295	94,707	0	71,969	525,971	132,028	393,943
EL DORADO	D0490	2,143.0	367,200	136,843	803	80,117	584,963	64,208	520,755
FLINTHILLS	D0492	319.0	84,270	10,033	0	14,271	108,574	23,677	84,897
CHASE	009								
CHASE COUNTY	D0284	454.0	116,415	24,078	0	19,390	159,883	38,926	120,957

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
COUNTY NAME	#	FTE ENROL	\$150 BASE	15% AT RISK	30% BILING	SP ED \$1570 PER TCHR	TOTAL (2 THRU 5)	DEDUCT VOC EDUC	DIFF (6 - 7)
DISTRICT NAME	#	9-20-04	BPP						(6 - 7)

CHAUTAUQUA	010								
CEDAR VALE	D0285	164.5	54,690	14,447	0	7,442	76,579	5,217	71,362
CHAUTAUQUA COUN	D0286	429.0	109,695	31,703	0	17,396	158,794	30,499	128,295
CHEROKEE	011								
RIVERTON	D0404	810.1	187,065	57,386	0	28,040	272,491	136,843	135,648
COLUMBUS	D0493	1,209.0	261,165	98,720	0	44,431	404,316	150,889	253,427
GALENA	D0499	761.0	171,525	83,069	0	25,811	280,405	81,464	198,941
BAXTER SPRINGS	D0508	855.0	185,505	61,800	401	28,825	276,531	76,648	199,883
CHEYENNE	012								
CHEYLIN	D0103	158.5	52,695	11,236	0	6,516	70,447	8,427	62,020
ST FRANCIS COMM	D0297	326.0	89,580	16,453	0	10,048	116,081	0	116,081
CLARK	013								
MINNEOLA	D0219	268.5	71,145	16,855	0	11,304	99,304	0	99,304
ASHLAND	D0220	216.4	66,660	16,453	0	11,226	94,339	28,091	66,248
CLAY	014								
CLAY CENTER	D0379	1,371.6	274,260	61,800	0	51,794	387,854	129,219	258,635
CLOUD	015								
CONCORDIA	D0333	1,059.3	230,325	73,438	0	53,035	356,798	91,095	265,703
SOUTHERN CLOUD	D0334	234.0	65,775	16,052	0	10,582	92,409	22,072	70,337
COFFEY	016								
LEBO-WAVERLY	D0243	569.3	138,210	26,486	0	22,765	187,461	113,568	73,893
BURLINGTON	D0244	846.0	187,560	43,340	0	45,891	276,791	40,130	236,661
LEROY-GRIDLEY	D0245	257.0	75,615	16,052	0	12,654	104,321	0	104,321
COMANCHE	017								
COMANCHE COUNTY	D0300	308.5	83,205	14,848	0	13,376	111,429	10,835	100,594
COWLEY	018								
CENTRAL	D0462	346.3	89,790	17,256	0	13,643	120,689	21,269	99,420
UDALL	D0463	365.4	91,485	17,657	0	14,680	123,822	22,072	101,750
WINFIELD	D0465	2,481.7	441,000	154,501	9,631	107,671	712,803	243,990	468,813
ARKANSAS CITY	D0470	2,831.8	506,265	269,674	26,887	114,343	917,169	210,281	706,888
DEXTER	D0471	225.8	64,335	14,848	0	8,243	87,426	4,013	83,413
CRAWFORD	019								
NORTHEAST	D0246	577.0	139,665	58,590	0	18,432	216,687	15,249	201,438
CHEROKEE	D0247	795.0	186,750	52,570	0	29,155	268,475	39,729	228,746
GIRARD	D0248	1,037.5	224,445	58,590	0	35,042	318,077	101,128	216,949
FRONTENAC PUBLI	D0249	742.0	163,125	35,716	0	24,084	222,925	36,117	186,808
PITTSBURG	D0250	2,484.9	465,075	235,964	23,275	81,546	805,860	158,514	647,346

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
COUNTY NAME	#	FTE ENROL	\$150 BASE	15% AT RISK	30% BILING	SP ED \$1570 PER TCHR	TOTAL (2 THRU 5)	DEDUCT VOC EDUC	DIFF (6 - 7)
DISTRICT NAME	#	9-20-04	BPP						(6 - 7)

DECATUR	020								
OBERLIN	D0294	431.5	110,280	23,275	0	15,669	149,224	36,518	112,706
PRAIRIE HEIGHTS	D0295	30.5	20,775	2,809	0	3,203	26,787	4,013	22,774
DICKINSON	021								
SOLOMON	D0393	403.4	102,525	19,262	0	10,833	132,620	51,768	80,852
ABILENE	D0435	1,410.7	269,565	77,050	0	37,554	384,169	227,938	156,231
CHAPMAN	D0473	955.9	222,210	42,939	0	26,659	291,808	124,403	167,405
RURAL VISTA	D0481	428.8	109,515	20,868	0	11,163	141,546	75,444	66,102
HERINGTON	D0487	508.5	120,015	30,499	0	13,408	163,922	25,282	138,640
DONIPHAN	022								
WATHENA	D0406	374.5	92,130	15,249	0	15,449	122,828	31,703	91,125
HIGHLAND	D0425	250.5	72,465	7,625	0	13,816	93,906	30,098	63,808
TROY PUBLIC SCH	D0429	372.0	94,680	20,065	0	15,684	130,429	15,249	115,180
MIDWAY SCHOOLS	D0433	202.0	64,710	15,249	0	12,560	92,519	14,848	77,671
ELWOOD	D0486	291.0	84,495	29,696	0	13,392	127,583	10,434	117,149
DOUGLAS	023								
BALDWIN CITY	D0348	1,307.1	265,545	28,492	0	48,576	342,613	35,716	306,897
EUDORA	D0491	1,234.7	257,610	41,334	0	41,370	340,314	178,980	161,334
LAWRENCE	D0497	9,757.0	1,633,530	428,990	80,661	467,122	2,610,303	88,286	2,522,017
EDWARDS	024								
KINSLEY-OFFERLE	D0347	316.3	83,985	25,282	12,039	17,066	138,372	14,848	123,524
LEWIS	D0502	139.5	46,020	11,638	0	7,144	64,802	0	64,802
ELK	025								
WEST ELK	D0282	431.3	117,885	37,321	0	28,291	183,497	50,564	132,933
ELK VALLEY	D0283	202.0	60,885	21,269	0	16,124	98,278	24,881	73,397
ELLIS	026								
ELLIS	D0388	374.2	93,090	19,262	0	15,355	127,707	46,952	80,755
VICTORIA	D0432	264.8	72,090	4,013	0	11,681	87,784	32,505	55,279
HAYS	D0489	2,905.1	525,045	138,850	3,210	137,783	804,888	336,289	468,599
ELLSWORTH	027								
ELLSWORTH	D0327	590.0	147,720	20,466	0	16,626	184,812	13,243	171,569
LORRAINE	D0328	426.5	116,880	28,492	0	12,372	157,744	48,156	109,588
FINNEY	028								
HOLCOMB	D0363	851.0	189,195	39,327	20,466	25,261	274,249	42,137	232,112
GARDEN CITY	D0457	6,970.6	1,262,340	701,472	401,701	255,455	2,620,968	256,832	2,364,136
FORD	029								
SPEARVILLE	D0381	341.0	84,450	8,427	0	14,130	107,007	33,308	73,699
DODGE CITY	D0443	5,674.1	1,071,240	686,624	725,149	228,011	2,711,024	407,721	2,303,303
BUCKLIN	D0459	254.0	72,630	16,052	2,408	12,120	103,210	0	103,210

COUNTY NAME	#	FTE ENROL	\$150 BASE	15% AT RISK	30% BILING	SP ED \$1570 PER TCHR	TOTAL (2 THRU 5)	DEDUCT VOC EDUC	DIFF (6 - 7)
DISTRICT NAME	#	9-20-04	BPP						(6 - 7)

FRANKLIN	030								
WEST FRANKLIN	D0287	876.3	206,985	47,755	0	46,849	301,589	92,299	209,290
CENTRAL HEIGHTS	D0288	615.6	153,930	28,894	0	22,922	205,746	61,800	143,946
WELLSVILLE	D0289	797.6	179,355	22,874	0	33,959	236,188	44,544	191,644
OTTAWA	D0290	2,339.7	406,560	136,442	2,408	87,292	632,702	201,051	431,651
GEARY	031								
JUNCTION CITY	D0475	6,078.1	1,039,215	444,640	75,043	272,144	1,831,042	132,830	1,698,212
GOVE	032								
GRINNELL PUBLIC	D0291	120.0	43,950	4,013	0	7,096	55,059	15,249	39,810
WHEATLAND	D0292	186.0	57,990	12,842	0	13,879	84,711	7,625	77,086
QUINTER PUBLIC	D0293	329.5	89,175	14,046	0	23,299	126,520	28,894	97,626
GRAHAM	033								
HILL CITY	D0281	407.1	104,760	17,256	0	21,603	143,619	46,551	97,068
GRANT	034								
ULYSSES	D0214	1,691.1	312,705	142,462	41,334	47,430	543,931	103,937	439,994
GRAY	035								
CIMARRON-ENSGN	D0102	647.2	156,630	38,926	21,269	27,444	244,269	63,004	181,265
MONTEZUMA	D0371	242.1	68,340	14,447	15,249	7,646	105,682	401	105,281
COPELAND	D0476	115.5	43,515	9,230	10,835	4,584	68,164	2,408	65,756
INGALLS	D0477	251.1	72,150	16,453	15,249	11,414	115,266	0	115,266
GREELEY	036								
GREELEY COUNTY	D0200	269.7	77,790	17,657	12,039	9,326	116,812	47,353	69,459
GREENWOOD	037								
MADISON-VIRGIL	D0386	243.5	72,105	16,052	0	11,430	99,587	21,269	78,318
EUREKA	D0389	676.0	163,275	45,347	0	35,529	244,151	84,674	159,477
HAMILTON	D0390	109.5	40,530	8,026	0	8,211	56,767	0	56,767
HAMILTON	038								
SYRACUSE	D0494	468.0	121,620	42,939	35,716	14,680	214,955	19,262	195,693
HARPER	039								
ANTHONY-HARPER	D0361	909.3	212,310	67,017	0	42,107	321,434	70,629	250,805
ATTICA	D0511	128.5	42,870	7,625	0	6,092	56,587	16,453	40,134
HARVEY	040								
BURRTON	D0369	254.7	69,780	21,670	0	7,583	99,033	15,249	83,784
NEWTON	D0373	3,466.3	592,950	240,379	47,755	136,559	1,017,643	278,904	738,739
SEDGWICK PUBLIC	D0439	520.5	121,500	12,842	0	15,103	149,445	45,347	104,098
HALSTEAD	D0440	687.3	164,355	30,900	0	26,329	221,584	66,215	155,369
HESSTON	D0460	767.5	172,560	22,072	1,204	30,474	226,310	34,512	191,798

7-1

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
COUNTY NAME	#	FTE ENROL	\$150 BASE	15% AT	30% BILING	SP ED \$1570	TOTAL	DEDUCT	DIFF
DISTRICT NAME	#	9-20-04	BPP	RISK		PER TCHR	(2 THRU 5)	VOC EDUC	(6 - 7)

HASKELL 041									
SUBLETTE	D0374	479.5	118,425	42,538	24,881	13,455	199,299	3,210	196,089
SATANTA	D0507	389.5	102,810	26,887	40,933	12,780	183,410	34,512	148,898
HODGEMAN 042									
JETMORE	D0227	297.0	77,265	12,842	0	13,015	103,122	14,447	88,675
HANSTON	D0228	91.5	36,555	5,618	0	5,479	47,652	7,625	40,027
JACKSON 043									
NORTH JACKSON	D0335	421.0	110,400	17,256	0	13,141	140,797	47,353	93,444
HOLTON	D0336	1,111.0	232,920	44,143	0	43,411	320,474	120,390	200,084
ROYAL VALLEY	D0337	924.5	210,510	51,768	0	33,378	295,656	103,535	192,121
JEFFERSON 044									
VALLEY FALLS	D0338	431.4	106,320	13,243	0	14,318	133,881	27,690	106,191
JEFFERSON COUNT	D0339	490.3	123,045	14,046	0	20,646	157,737	55,379	102,358
JEFFERSON WEST	D0340	948.5	207,345	26,085	0	36,377	269,807	53,774	216,033
OSKALOOSA PUBLI	D0341	616.8	156,450	33,308	0	30,521	220,279	77,451	142,828
MCLOUTH	D0342	561.6	136,155	20,466	0	24,052	180,673	56,583	124,090
PERRY PUBLIC SC	D0343	965.0	217,785	36,920	803	41,401	296,909	110,759	186,150
JEWELL 045									
WHITE ROCK	D0104	122.5	45,945	5,217	0	5,055	56,217	4,013	52,204
MANKATO	D0278	217.5	63,750	12,039	0	3,407	79,196	14,447	64,749
JEWELL	D0279	168.0	56,895	10,434	0	6,170	73,499	55,781	17,718
JOHNSON 046									
BLUE VALLEY	D0229	18,389.0	3,410,625	88,286	14,848	615,864	4,129,623	1,015,690	3,113,933
SPRING HILL	D0230	1,608.0	285,240	29,295	0	62,596	377,131	110,358	266,773
GARDNER-EDGERTO	D0231	3,406.3	583,860	96,713	803	123,889	805,265	222,320	582,945
DESOTO	D0232	4,553.1	839,445	84,273	33,709	147,439	1,104,866	207,071	897,795
OLATHE	D0233	22,480.2	4,329,990	469,922	88,286	812,130	5,700,328	1,421,806	4,278,522
SHAWNEE MISSION	D0512	27,874.9	4,734,225	671,375	115,976	906,612	6,428,188	1,748,865	4,679,323
KEARNY 047									
LAKIN	D0215	649.5	157,470	36,518	18,059	20,630	232,677	17,256	215,421
DEERFIELD	D0216	336.1	88,380	36,920	41,735	10,095	177,130	49,761	127,369
KINGMAN 048									
KINGMAN-NORWICH	D0331	1,103.3	241,380	56,182	0	53,898	351,460	97,516	253,944
CUNNINGHAM	D0332	229.0	70,815	12,039	0	11,916	94,770	4,816	89,954
KIOWA 049									
GREENSBURG	D0422	298.7	76,200	15,651	0	13,219	105,070	16,855	88,215
MULLINVILLE	D0424	144.0	48,165	12,039	0	4,820	65,024	0	65,024
HAVILAND	D0474	169.5	52,755	8,427	0	7,442	68,624	0	68,624

COUNTY NAME	#	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
DISTRICT NAME	#	FTE ENROL 9-20-04	\$150 BASE BPP	15% AT RISK	30% BILING	SP ED \$1570 PER TCHR	TOTAL (2 THRU 5)	DEDUCT VOC EDUC	DIFF (6 - 7)

LABETTE 050									
PARSONS	D0503	1,484.9	277,545	136,442	0	59,739	473,726	187,407	286,319
OSWEGO	D0504	494.0	120,780	36,920	0	16,281	173,981	17,256	156,725
CHETOPA	D0505	293.2	74,340	36,920	0	14,695	125,955	13,644	112,311
LABETTE COUNTY	D0506	1,643.7	311,910	95,108	0	58,828	465,846	270,878	194,968
LANE 051									
HEALY PUBLIC SC	D0468	117.5	38,835	7,223	2,007	7,834	55,899	15,651	40,248
DIGHTON	D0482	241.3	68,625	16,453	0	11,178	96,256	8,026	88,230
LEAVENWORTH 052									
FT LEAVENWORTH	D0207	1,643.0	306,810	12,440	0	45,279	364,529	0	364,529
EASTON	D0449	706.0	177,285	14,848	0	23,063	215,196	368,393	-153,197
LEAVENWORTH	D0453	3,960.8	686,460	315,823	12,440	154,221	1,168,944	340,302	828,642
BASEHOR-LINWOOD	D0458	2,026.0	347,565	22,473	0	44,761	414,799	138,047	276,752
TONGANOXIE	D0464	1,560.0	284,490	40,531	0	40,930	365,951	100,726	265,225
LANSING	D0469	2,089.5	347,565	19,262	0	44,902	411,729	75,444	336,285
LINCOLN 053									
LINCOLN	D0298	358.3	94,545	22,473	0	18,102	135,120	28,091	107,029
SYLVAN GROVE	D0299	162.0	52,215	11,236	0	2,622	66,073	6,822	59,251
LINN 054									
PLEASANTON	D0344	400.5	99,705	28,091	0	13,690	141,486	53,373	88,113
JAYHAWK	D0346	564.0	146,025	36,518	0	23,597	206,140	57,787	148,353
PRAIRIE VIEW	D0362	1,004.6	225,015	42,939	2,408	40,930	311,292	80,661	230,631
LOGAN 055									
OAKLEY	D0274	409.9	107,535	28,091	0	29,014	164,640	53,373	111,267
TRIPLAINS	D0275	94.5	32,550	5,618	0	4,333	42,501	0	42,501
LYON 056									
NORTH LYON COUN	D0251	590.5	154,260	28,492	0	24,225	206,977	44,946	162,031
SOUTHERN LYON C	D0252	565.5	144,540	22,072	0	22,859	189,471	44,544	144,927
EMPORIA	D0253	4,606.7	880,785	468,718	323,448	153,782	1,826,733	250,411	1,576,322
MARION 057									
CENTRE	D0397	256.5	76,005	13,243	0	15,041	104,289	24,078	80,211
PEABODY-BURNS	D0398	414.5	106,560	23,677	0	25,026	155,263	49,360	105,903
MARION-FLORENCE	D0408	651.2	153,420	33,308	0	36,895	223,623	23,275	200,348
DURHAM-HILLSBOR	D0410	666.0	155,235	24,078	0	37,978	217,291	79,859	137,432
GOESSEL	D0411	282.5	75,570	6,020	0	16,642	98,232	42,939	55,293
MARSHALL 058									
MARYSVILLE	D0364	759.2	181,785	30,098	0	37,240	249,123	81,063	168,060
VERMILLION	D0380	546.5	138,855	20,466	0	16,093	175,414	87,082	88,332
AXTELL	D0488	309.6	83,475	12,039	0	10,299	105,813	26,887	78,926
VALLEY HEIGHTS	D0498	380.5	103,530	20,868	0	20,818	145,216	31,703	113,513

79

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
COUNTY NAME	#	FTE ENROL	\$150 BASE BPP	15% AT RISK	30% BILING	SP ED \$1570 PER TCHR	TOTAL (2 THRU 5)	DEDUCT VOC EDUC	DIFF (6 - 7)
DISTRICT NAME	#	9-20-04							

MCPHERSON	059								
SMOKY VALLEY	D0400	950.1	208,005	30,499	0	37,429	275,933	59,392	216,541
MCPHERSON	D0418	2,408.5	406,080	87,885	803	96,995	591,763	213,492	378,271
CANTON-GALVA	D0419	395.1	104,055	14,046	0	17,427	135,528	36,518	99,010
MOUNDRIDGE	D0423	414.5	102,495	8,829	0	16,893	128,217	24,078	104,139
INMAN	D0448	438.5	109,890	11,236	0	17,835	138,961	44,946	94,015
MEADE	060								
FOWLER	D0225	164.8	51,570	15,651	2,809	7,819	77,849	0	77,849
MEADE	D0226	479.0	121,095	20,868	1,605	20,096	163,664	34,512	129,152
MIAMI	061								
OSAWATOMIE	D0367	1,147.0	237,630	91,496	0	41,197	370,323	26,085	344,238
PAOLA	D0368	2,009.7	359,220	73,839	0	93,603	526,662	170,954	355,708
LOUISBURG	D0416	1,424.5	273,270	22,874	0	59,943	356,087	162,928	193,159
MITCHELL	062								
WACONDA	D0272	341.2	100,155	20,065	0	11,649	131,869	36,117	95,752
BELOIT	D0273	757.5	170,880	27,690	401	48,701	247,672	63,807	183,865
MONTGOMERY	063								
CANEY VALLEY	D0436	830.1	199,080	47,353	0	28,872	275,305	74,642	200,663
COFFEYVILLE	D0445	1,860.0	369,435	192,624	0	75,674	637,733	229,544	408,189
INDEPENDENCE	D0446	1,922.8	339,285	151,691	0	67,055	558,031	116,377	441,654
CHERRYVALE	D0447	597.6	139,815	47,353	0	22,482	209,650	23,677	185,973
MORRIS	064								
MORRIS COUNTY	D0417	860.2	206,490	51,768	0	44,557	302,815	111,561	191,254
MORTON	065								
ROLLA	D0217	205.5	68,895	19,262	12,039	7,740	107,936	20,065	87,871
ELKHART	D0218	675.7	153,720	32,907	30,900	18,008	235,535	31,703	203,832
NEMAHA	066								
SABETHA	D0441	921.9	204,300	36,117	0	29,956	270,373	46,952	223,421
NEMAHA VALLEY S	D0442	498.9	121,500	12,842	0	17,977	152,319	86,280	66,039
B & B	D0451	227.0	68,595	6,421	0	6,735	81,751	18,059	63,692
NEOSHO	067								
ERIE-ST PAUL	D0101	1,070.4	231,465	63,807	0	51,684	346,956	115,976	230,980
CHANUTE PUBLIC	D0413	1,793.2	314,145	124,804	2,007	92,128	533,084	99,522	433,562
NESS	068								
WESTERN PLAINS	D0106	189.5	59,910	8,829	0	9,373	78,112	4,816	73,296
NES TRE LA GO	D0301	28.0	11,355	1,605	0	2,952	15,912	0	15,912
NESS CITY	D0303	259.5	70,290	7,625	0	11,838	89,753	29,295	60,458

COUNTY NAME	#	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
DISTRICT NAME	#	FTE ENROL 9-20-04	\$150 BASE BPP	15% AT RISK	30% BILING	SP ED \$1570 PER TCHR	TOTAL (2 THRU 5)	DEDUCT VOC EDUC	DIFF (6 - 7)

NORTON	069								
NORTON COMMUNIT	D0211	648.9	154,185	32,104	0	36,220	222,509	33,308	189,201
NORTHERN VALLEY	D0212	195.5	59,895	16,052	0	8,996	84,943	4,414	80,529
WEST SOLOMON VA	D0213	62.0	23,340	2,408	0	3,784	29,532	0	29,532
OSAGE	070								
OSAGE CITY	D0420	731.5	164,970	42,137	0	35,749	242,856	16,052	226,804
LYNDON	D0421	432.0	110,355	17,256	0	21,572	149,183	33,709	115,474
SANTA FE TRAIL	D0434	1,262.0	258,150	63,807	0	59,377	381,334	141,258	240,076
BURLINGAME	D0454	337.0	88,080	14,046	0	16,783	118,909	35,716	83,193
MARAIS DES CYGN	D0456	263.0	74,370	24,479	0	12,796	111,645	30,900	80,745
OSBORNE	071								
OSBORNE COUNTY	D0392	381.0	101,130	23,275	0	21,211	145,616	35,716	109,900
OTTAWA	072								
NORTH OTTAWA CO	D0239	538.7	136,830	21,670	0	16,250	174,750	48,959	125,791
TWIN VALLEY	D0240	631.0	149,175	22,072	0	17,553	188,800	69,826	118,974
PAWNEE	073								
FT LARNED	D0495	926.1	203,895	56,985	0	51,072	311,952	73,438	238,514
PAWNEE HEIGHTS	D0496	177.5	59,400	8,427	0	10,943	78,770	3,210	75,560
PHILLIPS	074								
EASTERN HEIGHTS	D0324	152.0	51,030	10,033	0	7,929	68,992	19,664	49,328
PHILLIPSBURG	D0325	607.0	145,695	31,301	0	33,190	210,186	50,965	159,221
LOGAN	D0326	184.0	58,350	13,243	0	10,252	81,845	10,434	71,411
POTTAWATOMIE	075								
WAMEGO	D0320	1,281.5	263,595	46,551	0	61,607	371,753	326,658	45,095
KAW VALLEY	D0321	1,067.5	229,020	44,143	0	62,753	335,916	102,332	233,584
ONAGA-HAVENSVIL	D0322	370.0	95,490	14,848	0	11,398	121,736	38,525	83,211
ROCK CREEK	D0323	728.6	172,875	29,295	0	31,557	233,727	48,557	185,170
PRATT	076								
PRATT	D0382	1,127.9	232,500	67,017	0	54,793	354,310	91,898	262,412
SKYLINE SCHOOLS	D0438	418.3	109,665	17,657	401	16,595	144,318	24,881	119,437
RAWLINS	077								
RAWLINS COUNTY	D0105	347.0	97,935	18,460	0	12,387	128,782	27,690	101,092
RENO	078								
HUTCHINSON PUBL	D0308	4,640.7	792,300	404,510	7,625	160,674	1,365,109	516,874	848,235
NICKERSON	D0309	1,102.5	237,630	72,234	1,605	46,519	357,988	91,898	266,090
FAIRFIELD	D0310	377.0	101,025	32,104	0	15,857	148,986	2,007	146,979
PRETTY PRAIRIE	D0311	298.9	80,265	6,822	0	12,466	99,553	25,683	73,870
HAVEN PUBLIC SC	D0312	1,062.5	231,885	47,755	0	46,566	326,206	59,794	266,412
BUHLER	D0313	2,161.8	380,160	95,108	2,408	89,459	567,135	136,442	430,693

7-11

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
COUNTY NAME	#	FTE ENROL	\$150 BASE BPP	15% AT RISK	30% BILING	SP ED \$1570 PER TCHR	TOTAL (2 THRU 5)	DEDUCT VOC EDUC	DIFF (6 - 7)
DISTRICT NAME	#	9-20-04							(6 - 7)

REPUBLIC	079								
PIKE VALLEY	D0426	260.5	73,695	17,657	0	12,434	103,786	23,677	80,109
BELLEVILLE	D0427	458.0	117,060	24,881	0	22,545	164,486	20,466	144,020
HILLCREST RURAL	D0455	116.0	42,120	8,829	0	5,935	56,884	4,414	52,470
RICE	080								
STERLING	D0376	504.3	121,590	27,690	0	26,941	176,221	44,544	131,677
CHASE	D0401	147.7	51,435	15,651	0	9,985	77,071	12,440	64,631
LYONS	D0405	840.1	187,875	89,089	23,275	46,912	347,151	55,781	291,370
LITTLE RIVER	D0444	281.0	75,780	10,033	0	15,967	101,780	15,249	86,531
RILEY	081								
RILEY COUNTY	D0378	646.0	154,890	22,874	0	22,011	199,775	56,583	143,192
MANHATTAN	D0383	4,960.4	879,345	222,722	31,301	224,008	1,357,376	322,645	1,034,731
BLUE VALLEY	D0384	244.5	72,180	6,822	0	10,833	89,835	33,308	56,527
ROOKS	082								
PALCO	D0269	142.5	49,185	8,829	0	9,750	67,764	12,039	55,725
PLAINVILLE	D0270	371.3	93,240	21,670	0	20,002	134,912	50,564	84,348
STOCKTON	D0271	354.0	91,710	21,269	0	19,562	132,541	21,269	111,272
RUSH	083								
LACROSSE	D0395	305.0	87,540	19,664	0	14,350	121,554	20,466	101,088
OTIS-BISON	D0403	218.0	67,920	9,230	0	10,896	88,046	0	88,046
RUSSELL	084								
PARADISE	D0399	148.0	48,795	10,835	0	8,070	67,700	2,809	64,891
RUSSELL COUNTY	D0407	997.5	214,560	60,998	0	41,275	316,833	38,124	278,709
SALINE	085								
SALINA	D0305	7,164.2	1,242,525	523,697	41,735	277,639	2,085,596	311,008	1,774,588
SOUTHEAST OF SA	D0306	686.0	166,275	19,262	0	17,882	203,419	88,286	115,133
ELL-SALINE	D0307	450.8	114,585	14,447	0	11,916	140,948	67,017	73,931
SCOTT	086								
SCOTT COUNTY	D0466	884.8	208,320	55,781	36,920	26,769	327,790	42,538	285,252
SEDGWICK	087								
WICHITA	D0259	45,249.3	8,412,525	5,266,661	1,173,401	1,535,366	16,387,953	2,704,361	13,683,592
DERBY	D0260	6,417.3	1,099,335	306,593	12,039	228,419	1,646,386	583,892	1,062,494
HAYSVILLE	D0261	4,379.0	764,475	227,136	16,453	166,404	1,174,468	267,667	906,801
VALLEY CENTER P	D0262	2,377.0	410,010	79,859	0	71,671	561,540	109,956	451,584
MULVANE	D0263	1,881.1	322,920	62,202	0	52,360	437,482	151,290	286,192
CLEARWATER	D0264	1,248.9	249,195	28,894	0	38,339	316,428	56,583	259,845
GODDARD	D0265	4,094.4	714,375	89,490	0	116,667	920,532	40,933	879,599
MAIZE	D0266	5,740.0	1,043,265	69,425	2,809	175,652	1,291,151	92,299	1,198,852
RENWICK	D0267	1,933.8	343,575	34,913	0	59,393	437,881	82,267	355,614
CHENEY	D0268	746.2	169,995	20,466	0	22,121	212,582	83,470	129,112

COUNTY NAME DISTRICT NAME	# #	(1) FTE ENROL 9-20-04	(2) \$150 BASE BPP	(3) 15% AT RISK	(4) 30% BILING	(5) SP ED \$1570 PER TCHR	(6) TOTAL (2 THRU 5)	(7) DEDUCT VOC EDUC	(8) DIFF (6 - 7)

SEWARD	088								
LIBERAL	D0480	4,180.6	753,825	462,298	335,487	90,526	1,642,136	192,624	1,449,512
KISMET-PLAINS	D0483	667.0	183,075	69,826	75,043	31,008	358,952	8,829	350,123
SHAWNEE	089								
SEAMAN	D0345	3,322.4	570,870	103,535	0	138,490	812,895	250,813	562,082
SILVER LAKE	D0372	731.5	165,825	10,835	0	30,631	207,291	63,004	144,287
AUBURN WASHBURN	D0437	5,006.6	870,675	157,711	4,013	204,100	1,236,499	229,945	1,006,554
SHAWNEE HEIGHTS	D0450	3,356.9	591,540	107,950	3,210	112,663	815,363	201,051	614,312
TOPEKA PUBLIC S	D0501	12,963.9	2,282,010	1,462,739	52,169	622,945	4,419,863	458,285	3,961,578
SHERIDAN	090								
HOXIE COMMUNITY	D0412	316.5	85,335	9,631	0	20,818	115,784	24,479	91,305
SHERMAN	091								
GOODLAND	D0352	950.5	215,340	63,405	22,473	37,963	339,181	115,173	224,008
SMITH	092								
SMITH CENTER	D0237	455.0	118,215	27,288	0	25,544	171,047	45,748	125,299
WEST SMITH COUN	D0238	182.5	57,975	10,434	0	10,315	78,724	18,059	60,665
STAFFORD	093								
STAFFORD	D0349	314.4	80,835	29,295	0	12,968	123,098	23,275	99,823
ST JOHN-HUDSON	D0350	402.9	106,935	29,696	401	18,134	155,166	53,373	101,793
MACKSVILLE	D0351	288.4	78,825	22,874	3,210	12,843	117,752	6,421	111,331
STANTON	094								
STANTON COUNTY	D0452	466.5	126,360	39,729	28,492	15,370	209,951	25,683	184,268
STEVENS	095								
MOSCOW PUBLIC S	D0209	235.6	70,305	25,683	26,486	8,133	130,607	4,816	125,791
HUGOTON PUBLIC	D0210	1,023.4	218,895	84,273	29,696	28,370	361,234	32,907	328,327
SUMNER	096								
WELLINGTON	D0353	1,650.7	318,810	118,785	0	80,384	517,979	144,468	373,511
CONWAY SPRINGS	D0356	566.5	135,810	21,670	0	16,878	174,358	53,373	120,985
BELLE PLAINE	D0357	770.0	183,375	46,551	0	42,877	272,803	103,134	169,669
OXFORD	D0358	404.5	100,260	20,466	0	20,661	141,387	25,282	116,105
ARGONIA PUBLIC	D0359	212.3	64,380	16,855	0	12,686	93,921	10,835	83,086
CALDWELL	D0360	301.0	81,810	21,670	0	15,810	119,290	16,855	102,435
SOUTH HAVEN	D0509	224.0	64,665	8,026	0	12,544	85,235	30,900	54,335
THOMAS	097								
BREWSTER	D0314	128.8	45,885	5,217	0	10,017	61,119	5,618	55,501
COLBY PUBLIC SC	D0315	1,025.4	219,045	48,959	401	39,344	307,749	99,522	208,227
GOLDEN PLAINS	D0316	190.8	61,215	18,460	0	14,099	93,774	5,217	88,557

7-13

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
COUNTY NAME	#	FTE ENROL	\$150 BASE	15% AT RISK	30% BILING	SP ED \$1570 PER TCHR	TOTAL (2 THRU 5)	DEDUCT VOC EDUC	DIFF (6 - 7)
DISTRICT NAME	#	9-20-04	BPP						(6 - 7)

TREGO	098								
WAKEENEY	D0208	381.0	96,435	16,052	0	17,317	129,804	17,256	112,548
WABAUNSEE	099								
MILL CREEK VALL	D0329	460.9	121,755	15,651	0	22,200	159,606	76,247	83,359
MISSION VALLEY	D0330	497.0	129,870	19,664	0	23,299	172,833	67,418	105,415
WALLACE	100								
WALLACE COUNTY	D0241	217.3	66,450	13,644	0	10,315	90,409	8,427	81,982
WESKAN	D0242	131.0	43,530	8,026	0	6,390	57,946	2,809	55,137
WASHINGTON	101								
NORTH CENTRAL	D0221	113.5	41,430	5,217	0	5,731	52,378	6,020	46,358
WASHINGTON SCHO	D0222	353.5	88,215	13,644	0	13,769	115,628	32,104	83,524
BARNES	D0223	384.2	100,830	19,262	0	15,056	135,148	91,095	44,053
CLIFTON-CLYDE	D0224	315.0	85,365	15,249	0	15,103	115,717	28,091	87,626
WICHITA	102								
LEOTI	D0467	482.3	122,625	31,301	28,492	14,224	196,642	14,046	182,596
WILSON	103								
ALTOONA-MIDWAY	D0387	231.0	72,390	18,059	0	12,654	103,103	13,644	89,459
NEODESHA	D0461	729.6	171,375	46,952	0	30,646	248,973	62,202	186,771
FREDONIA	D0484	739.2	174,255	57,787	0	30,285	262,327	38,926	223,401
WOODSON	104								
WOODSON	D0366	498.5	130,395	36,117	0	25,607	192,119	51,366	140,753
WYANDOTTE	105								
TURNER-KANSAS C	D0202	3,650.8	629,445	280,107	45,748	139,809	1,095,109	229,544	865,565
PIPER-KANSAS CI	D0203	1,346.0	261,090	10,434	0	37,492	309,016	120,791	188,225
BONNER SPRINGS	D0204	2,190.0	374,745	121,995	12,842	61,937	571,519	102,332	469,187
KANSAS CITY	D0500	19,144.5	3,488,250	2,542,637	707,492	572,249	7,310,628	1,614,831	5,695,797

STATE TOTALS		442,414.8	87,147,900	27,098,195	5,167,940	16,694,550	136,108,585	30,211,479	105,897,106