

Approved: _____
Date 4/10/02

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON REAPPORTIONMENT.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Senator David Adkins at 3:15 p.m. on February 4, 2002 in Room 234-N of the Capitol.

All members were present.

Committee staff present:

Mary Galligan, Kansas Legislative Research Department
Kathie Sparks, Kansas Legislative Research Department
LuAnn Lawhon, Kansas Legislative Research Department
Sandy Sadowski, Kansas Legislative Research Department
Mary Torrence, Revisor of Statutes
Bob Nugent, Revisor of Statutes
Rob Mealy, Senate Republican Caucus Redistricting Staff
Trent LeDoux, Senate Republican Caucus Redistricting Staff
Tim Graham, Senate Democrat Caucus Redistricting Staff
Judy Swanson, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Others attending:

See attached guest list

Kathie Sparks, Principal Analyst, Kansas Legislative Research Department, presented additional written testimony that had been received by the Legislative Research concerning reapportionment since January 30. (Attachment 1)

Senator Adkins said the Committee would address Caucus J reapportionment plan first. (Attachment 2) The biggest issues with this plan are the splitting of the City of Lawrence, the splitting of Junction City from Fort Riley and the splitting of North Newton from the City of Newton. Senators Corbin and Teichman said they had serious problems with the Caucus J plan. Senator Lee asked about the source of Caucus J. Senator Pugh said that Rep. Tomlinson submitted the original plan, but the Joint Committee altered it. He said that Caucus J represented the fewest changes in the present congressional districts. Senator Hensley felt there was bi-partisan opposition to Caucus J.

After discussion of several maps, Chairman Adkins asked the Committee what process they would like to utilize to determine which map to use. Senator Schmidt said a great amount of time was spent on all the maps and suggested a committee vote. Senator Corbin suggested reviewing alternative plans.

Senator Hensley referred to an article in the *Lawrence Journal World* from April 25, 2001, saying that Rep. O'Neal had dismissed the plan Hensley introduced in **SB 381**.

Four maps were considered by the Committee:

January Blue (Attachment 2)
January Gold (Attachment 3)
Kansas Day (Attachment 4)
Barber Congressional (Attachment 5)

Senator Corbin preferred January Blue. In response to a question from Senator Huelskamp, it was determined where each map originated. Senator Schmidt worked on Kansas Day, and the other three were products of Republican staff. Senator Schmidt liked Kansas Day map because it reunited Southeast Kansas.

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON REAPPORTIONMENT at on February 4, 2002 in Room 234-N of the Capitol.

A Summary of Plan Characteristics was reviewed. (Attachment 6) Partisan information was not a part of this report.

Partisan breakdowns were discussed. In January Blue the following statistics were given:

District 1

Republicans: 52.83%

Democrats: 23.97%

District 2

Republicans: 42.9%

Democrats: 30.49%

District 3

Republicans: 42.9%

Democrats: 27.39%

District 4

Republicans: 44.09%

Democrats: 28.99%

The current partisan breakdown in districts is as follows:

District 1

Republicans: 53.04%

Democrats: 23.4%

District 2

Republicans: 42.75%

Democrats: 30.69%

District 3

Republicans: 41.99%

Democrats: 27.162%

District 4

Republicans: 44.14%

Democrats: 28.95%

In comparison to the map that was passed ten years ago by the Legislature, but did not stand up in court, January Blue has a significantly lower deviation.

Senator Jenkins said she had an interest in the Barber Congressional map. The partisan breakdown of Barber map is:

District 1

Republicans: 50.25%

Democrats: 25.59%

District 2

Republicans: 44.42%

Democrats: 28.4%

District 3

Republicans: 44.42%

Democrats: 28.4%

District 4

Republicans: 42.5%

Democrats: 27.81%

January Gold map was discussed. No Committee member expressed any interest in this map.

Senator Hensley said that Kansas Day map appears better than January Blue in deviation. The deviation approved by the Legislature ten years ago was 94%, but the Court deemed that unacceptable.

When asked about their support for the maps, Senators Lee, Schmidt and Teichman had interest in Kansas Day. Senators Pugh and Jenkins had interest in Barber. Senators Hensley, Lee and Haley had interest in

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON REAPPORTIONMENT at on February 4, 2002 in Room 234-N of the Capitol.

Congressional Plan 1. (Attachment 7)

There were no other maps submitted.

Senator Haley wanted to see how Kansas Day could be modified for Linn County. Senator Corbin wanted the Indian reservations put back together in January Blue plan. This issue was discussed, but not seen as a major problem.

Senator Adkins asked if there were any maps being made that would require more time for preparation. There were none. He announced that the Committee would meet February 5 upon adjournment of the Senate to consider January Blue, Kansas Day, Congressional Plan 1 and Barber Congressional maps. He wants to present a congressional map for consideration to the Senate this week. He also announced he would like to consider Senate district maps this week.

Meeting adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

KANSAS LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

Rm. 5401 - Statehouse, 300 SW 10th Ave.
Topeka, Kansas 66612-1504
(785) 296-3181 ♦ FAX (785) 296-3824

kslegres@klrd.state.ks.us

http://skyways.lib.ks.us/ksleg/KLRD/klrd.html

February 4, 2002

To: Senate Committee on Reapportionment

From: Kathie Sparks, Principal Analyst

Re: Additional Written Testimony

Enclosed please find copies of the following additional testimony sent to the Legislative Research Department since the Public Hearing on SB 378 and SB 379.

- Letter and petition from Audrey Miller, West Mineral, KS (SB 379)
- Letter from Arvilla Heiman, Beloit, KS (SB 379)
- Letter from Monica Murnan, Pittsburg, KS (SB 379)
- Email from Mary Lou Anderson (SB 379)
- Written statement of the Public Hearing remarks made by Senator Jim Barone
- Packet of letters from the following:
 - Logan, KS:
 - Randy Kiser
 - Darlene Becker
 - Craig Snider
 - James Noone
 - Paul A. Grammar
 - Robert Moore
 - Debra Grammar
 - Pamela VanRoekel
 - Dana Grammar
 - Marla Hogan
 - Glenna Voss
 - Andrew Nance
 - Rhonda Schiy
 - Gary Becker
 - Donna Winchell, Agra, KS
 - Donald Rex Lowe, Kirwin, KS
 - Bret Derr, Phillipsburg, KS
 - Michelle Derr, Phillipsburg, KS
- Letter from Robert Dietz, Lebanon, KS

35617(2/4/2{9:39AM})

*Senate Reapportionment
Attachments + 1
February 4, 2002*

January 23, 2002

Kansas Legislative Research Department
c/o Kathie Sparks
300 SW 10th Room 545N
Statehouse
Topeka, KS 66612

To Whom It May Concern:

We are writing to protest the legislative redistricting plan that is currently under consideration by the redistricting committee. There is no reason for Southeast Kansas to lose a legislative seat. Southeast Kansas was reduced in representation the last time districts were redrawn and we don't feel we deserve that again.

The present plan calls for changes which are not beneficial to this area and which will weaken the representation we now have. The House plan calls for the first district to extend from Galena in the very southeast corner of the state all the way through the eastern portion of Crawford County to the Bourbon County line. The second district would run from the southern edge of Crawford County up to and including Chanute.. Then the eleventh district reaches over from Coffeyville in Montgomery County clear over to Baxter Springs. The gerrymandered combinations created by this plan would be detrimental to any real representation. At the present time the representation of Southeast Kansas in both the Senate and the House reflects shared interests and common bonds which, under the new plan, would be lost. Under the current proposal and others that have been presented, Southeast Kansas would lose all cohesiveness.

The redistricting proposals that have been made have all seemed to reduce the representation of the rural areas, not only in the southeast corner, but all across the state and we don't feel that is right. Like it or not, Kansas is still an agricultural state and our rural residents are as entitled to be well represented as the urban areas.

While we understand the need for redistricting due to population shifts, we do not accept the proposals that are aimed not at fair representation but merely for partisan power.

Thank you,

See attached signatures of concerned citizens.
Audrey J. Miller

Re-districting:

The following concerned citizens have read the attached letter and agree the districts should remain as presently designated, no change is needed.

Name	Address
James H. Shubler	3187 NW Coal Valley Rd - McCune
Rebecca Shideler Logan	7434 NW 125th St McCune, Ks 66753
Robert Shideler Sellers	1150 W. 540 th Ave. McCune KS 66753
Jarrod Shideler	3187 NW Coal Valley Rd McCune KS 66753
Paul P. Selver	1150 W. 540 th Ave McCune Ks 66753
Cleo Miller	P.O. Box 176, West Mineral, Ks 66782
P. R. Ball	P.O. Box 116. W. Mineral Ks. 66782
Rose M. Wilson	P.O. Box 9. W. Mineral, Ks 66782
Billy L. Tawane	PO Box 102 W. Min Ks 66781
Claude J. Smith	2174 W. W. Crow Ln Scammon KS 66773
Joyce Higginb	5519 NW 50th St McCune, Ks 66753
Pete Herring	218 Cullen West Mineral 66782
Margaret Herring	218 Cullen West Mineral 66782
Sida Gupton	113 Jannett West Mineral 66782
Edith K. Roberts	602 Patterson West Mineral 66782
Marie A. Setman	114 Joplin West Mineral 66782
Julienne Shea	100 Mc Clung West Mineral 66782
Timothy M. Shea	100 Mc CLUNG, WEST MINERAL, KS 66782

January 28, 2002

Kansas Legislative Research Department
C/O Kathie Sparks
300 SW 10th
Room 545N
Statehouse
Topeka, KS 66612

Dear Kathie:

I would like to make my opinion known concerning the proposal to redistrict Crawford County. I support the plan that keeps Crawford County in one Senate district and no more than two Representative districts. I feel this best represents the citizens of our region. Thank you for forwarding this message to the lawmakers who will soon be deciding this issue.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Monica Murnan", with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Monica Murnan
604 Windsor Circle
Pittsburg, KS 66762

1-4

Beloit, Ks 67420
January 29, 02

Kathie Sparks, Redistricting Staff
Kansas Legislative Research Department
500 S.W. 10th, Room 545 N
Statehouse, Topeka, Ks 66612

Dear Kathie Sparks,

Drawing Legislative Districts that serve the interests of our citizens is vital to the function of the Democratic process in our state.

How Communities are configured to shape districts will ultimately effect public policy deliberations during the next decade.

I for one feel the Rural districts are being short changed and more clout given to the Urban areas. I also feel this redistricting should be done on a bipartisan basis and let the chips fall where they may.

Sincerely,

Arvilla Heiman

Arvilla Heiman
702 N Chestnut St
Beloit, Ks 67420

From: "Mary Lou Anderson" <marylou@nckcn.com>
To: <kathies@kird.state.ks.us>
Date: 2/1/02 11:26AM
Subject: reapportionment

I would like to strongly encourage you to adopt Janice Lee's plan for reapportionment. For this time the approximate districts could be kept in tact legally with less people in some of our western districts. The proposed plan that would eliminate our district would also really deminish the voice of our rural population that has unique needs. Our survival is important to the whole state.

1-6

TESTIMONY TO THE JOINT COMMITTEE ON REDISTRICTING

January 29, 2002
Topeka, Kansas

Mr. Chairman:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman and all the members of the Committee for your efforts in this very important work. In my previous testimony delivered on June 5, 2001, at Independence, Kansas, I said: "Crawford County has a long tradition and history of being represented by one Congressman and one Senator, and I believe that no matter what, that tradition should continue. In addition, it's best for Crawford County to be represented by as few State Representatives as possible. Mr. Chairman, that testimony was on target in June, and it is even more on target today. I am very proud of the people of Southeast Kansas, and particularly Crawford County, for the way they have all come together for the good of the citizens, not the politicians. The county, virtually every city in the county, Chambers of Commerce, Southeast Kansas, Inc., and school districts have all joined in one voice. Republicans, Democrats, and Independents all have one common message, and that message, Mr. Chairman, without exception—and let me repeat that, without exception—is that Crawford County doesn't have to be split, and Crawford County shouldn't be split.

Mr. Chairman, members of the Committee, the record is full of resolutions, letters, statements, and other notices; and every piece of input this Committee has heard articulates that common message: Crawford County should continue to be represented by one State Senate district and two State Representative districts. Mr. Chairman, I urge you and this Committee to hear the voices of our constituents, not the voices of our colleagues.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will stand for questions if you have any.

Senator Jim Barone
District #13

1-7

January 22, 2002

Mary Galligan
c/o Legislative Research
5th Floor State Capitol
Topeka, KS 66612

Please admit the enclosed letters to the record.

Thank you.

Jayne Holli

1-8

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612

Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of Northwest Kansas.

I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,

Randy G. Kiser

Box 5

Logan KS 67646

1-9

COPY

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612

Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of Northwest Kansas.

I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,



Box 251
Logan, KS
67646

1-10

COPY

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612

Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of Northwest Kansas.

I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,

Paul A. Hammer
106 E Main P.O. Box 262
Logan KS 67646

1-11

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612

Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of Northwest Kansas.

I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,

Debra D. Grammon

P.O. Box 262
Logan KS 67646

1-17

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612

Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of Northwest Kansas.

I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,

Dana M. Hammann
PO Box 262
Logan, KS 67664

1-13

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612

Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of Northwest Kansas.

I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,

Glenn A. Hess
Box 183
Logan, Mo.
67646

1-14

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612


Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of North West Kansas.

I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,


1271 W Hwy 9
Logan KS
67646

1-15

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612

Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of Northwest Kansas.

I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Michelle Derr". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above a horizontal line.

347 2nd St.

Phillipsburg, KS 67661

1-16

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612


Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of Northwest Kansas.

I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,


(Bret Derr)
347 2nd St.
Phillipsburg, KS
67661

1-17

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612

Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of Northwest Kansas.

I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,

Darlene Becker
208 N Franklin
P.O. Box 363
Logan, KS 67646

1-18

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612


Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of Northwest Kansas.

I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,



P.O. Box 68
LOGAN KS 67646

1-19

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612


Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of Northwest Kansas.

I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,


P.O. Box 68
20620 Ks 67646

1-20

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612

Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of Northwest Kansas.

I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,

Pamela S. VanRessel
1249 W. Hwy 9
Hogan, KS 67646

1-21

COPY

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612

Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of Northwest Kansas.

I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,

Marla Hogan
Box 264
Logan, KS 67642

1-29

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612

Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of Northwest Kansas.

I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,

Donald Ray Lowe
1004 S. Lake Rd
Kirwin, Ks. 67644

1-23

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612


Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of Northwest Kansas.

I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,

_____

P.O. Box 68
Logan, Ks 67646

1-24

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612

Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of Northwest Kansas.

I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,

Harry Becker
2254-W-1300 Rd.
Logan KS 67646

1-25

January 7, 2002

Senator David Adkins
Chairman Senate Reapportionment Committee
Room 503 N
State Capitol
Topeka KS 66612

Dear Senator Adkins,

I would like to voice my concern and show my support to the committee wanting to preserve all of the rural districts.

The communities of the 36th District are made up primarily of agriculture industry, small businesses, and schools. That is an important reason to keep the district as it is. Senator Janis Lee is a very important part of that district as she shares our rural concerns as if they were her own. She has always been a strong supporter of the Phillips County Emergency Medical Service and rural health care. She plays a vital role in Phillips County serving on various committees. Whether voting republican or democrat, when you vote for Janis Lee you are voting for a real representative of Northwest Kansas.


I believe that the population shift is not large enough to require the elimination of a rural district and the establishment of a new district in an urban area. Therefore, I wish to see the preservation of all rural districts and that Senator Janis Lee continue to represent the 36th Senate District.

Sincerely,

Danna Winchell
121 Ks. Ave
Agra, Ks 6621

1-26

January Blue



District#	Population	Deviation	% Deviation
1	672,046	-59	-0.01%
2	672,141	36	0.01%
3	672,094	-11	-0.00%
4	672,137	32	0.00%

Plan: M3_January Blue for KLRD TR

Map Features

- County
- Interstate Highway

District

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4


0 20 40 60
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

*Senate Reapportionment
Feb 4 2002
Attachment 2*

2/H

January Blue



Black lines show boundaries of existing 1992 Congressional Districts.

Plan: M3_January Blue for KLRD TR

Map Features

- County
- 1992 Cong Overlay
- Interstate Highway District

District


- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

0 20 40 60
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

January Blue detail of Nemaha County

7-3



Plan: M3_January Blue for KLRD TR

Map Features

- Interstate Highway
- Voting District
- Census Place
- County

District

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4



0 2 4 6
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

January Blue


detail of Jackson County

7-2



Plan: M3_January Blue for KLRD TR

- Map Features
- Interstate Highway
 - Voting District
 - Census Place
 - County
 - Indian Reservation District
- District
- 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4





Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

January Blue

detail of Leavenworth County

25



Plan: M3_January Blue for KLRD TR

Map Features

- Interstate Highway
- Voting District
- Census Place
- County

District

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4



0 2 4 6
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

January Blue

detail of Greenwood County

2-6



Plan: M3_January Blue for KLRD TR

Map Features

- Interstate Highway
- Voting District
- Census Place
- County

District

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

0 3 6 9
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

Plan: M3_Jan. ue for KLRD TR
Plan Type: Congressional
Administrator: Rob Mealy
User: KLRD

Population Summary Report


DISTRICT	Population	DEVIATION	% DEVN.
01	672,046	-59	-0.01
02	672,141	36	0.01
03	672,094	-11	0.00
04	672,137	32	0.00

Total Population: 2,688,418
Ideal District Population: 672,105

Summary Statistics

Population Range: 672,046 to 672,141
Ratio Range: 1.00
Absolute Range: -59 to 36
Absolute Overall Range: 95.00
Relative Range: -0.01% to 0.01%
Relative Overall Range: 0.01%
Absolute Mean Deviation: 34.50
Relative Mean Deviation: 0.01%
Standard Deviation: 44.43

January Gold



*Senate Reapportionment
February 14, 2002
Attachment 3*

District#	Population	Deviation	% Deviation
1	672,103	-2	-0.00%
2	672,177	72	0.01%
3	672,094	-11	-0.00%
4	672,044	-61	-0.01%

Plan: M3_January Gold for KLRD TR

Map Features

- County
- Interstate Highway
- District

District Legend:

- 1 (Blue)
- 2 (White)
- 3 (Green)
- 4 (Yellow)


0 20 40 60
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

34

3-2

January Gold



Black lines show boundaries of existing 1992 Congressional Districts.

Plan: M3_January Gold for KLRD TR
Map Features

- County
- Interstate Highway
- 1992 Cong Overlay District

District


- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

0 20 40 60
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

January Gold detail of Washington County

Handwritten marks resembling the number '2' or a similar symbol.



Plan: M3_January Gold for KLRD TR

Map Features

- Interstate Highway
- Voting District
- Census Place
- County

District

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4



0 2 4 6
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

January Gold

detail of Leavenworth County

4
3



Plan: M3_January Gold for KLRD TR

Map Features

- Interstate Highway
- Voting District
- Census Place
- County

District



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

0 2 4 6
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

January Gold detail of Lyon County

Boff



Plan: M3_January Gold for KLRD TR

Map Features

- Interstate Highway
- Voting District
- Census Place
- County

District



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

0 3 6 9
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

January Gold detail of Greenwood County

Handwritten initials or marks in the top right corner.



Plan: M3_January Gold for KLRD TR

Map Features

- Interstate Highway
- Voting District
- Census Place
- County

District

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

0 3 6 9
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

Plan: M3_Janu. id for KLRD TR
Plan Type: Congressional
Administrator: Rob Mealy
User: KLRD

Population Summary Report

DISTRICT	Population	DEVIATION	% DEVN.
01	672,103	-2	0.00
02	672,177	72	0.01
03	672,094	-11	0.00
04	672,044	-61	-0.01


Total Population: 2,688,418
Ideal District Population: 672,105

Summary Statistics

Population Range: 672,044 to 672,177
Ratio Range: 1.00
Absolute Range: -61 to 72
Absolute Overall Range: 133.00
Relative Range: -0.01% to 0.01%
Relative Overall Range: 0.02%
Absolute Mean Deviation: 36.50
Relative Mean Deviation: 0.01%
Standard Deviation: 54.86

Kansas Day

*Senate Reapportionment
February 4, 2002
Attachment 4*



District #	Population	Deviation	% Deviation
1	672,091	-14	-0.00%
2	672,092	-13	-0.00%
3	672,119	14	0.00%
4	672,116	11	0.00%

Plan: M3_Kansas Day for KLRD TR

Map Features

- County
- Interstate Highway

Districts

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4


0 20 40 60
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02


4 A

4-2

Kansas Day




Black lines show boundaries of existing 1992 Congressional Districts.



Kansas Day

detail of Marshall County

A-3



Plan: M3_Kansas Day for KLRD TR

Map Features

- County
- Interstate Highway
- Voting District
- Census Place

Districts



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

0 2 4 6
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

H-4

Kansas Day detail of Jackson County



Plan: M3_Kansas Day for KLRD TR

Map Features

- County
- Interstate Highway
- Voting District
- Census Place

Districts



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

0 2 4 6
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

4-5

Kansas Day detail of Leavenworth County



Plan: M3_Kansas Day for KLRD TR

Map Features

- County
- Interstate Highway
- Voting District
- Census Place

Districts



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

0 2 4 6
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

Kansas Day detail of Marion County

4-6



Plan: M3_Kansas Day for KLRD TR

Map Features

- County
- Interstate Highway
- Voting District
- Census Place
- KS Day Dist Sts

Districts

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4



0 3 6 9
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

Kansas Day

detail of Coffey County

4-7



Plan: M3_Kansas Day for KLRD TR

Map Features

- County
- Interstate Highway
- Voting District
- Census Place

Districts



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

0 2 4 6
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

Kansas Day detail of Linn County

87



Plan: M3_Kansas Day for KLRD TR

Map Features

- County
- Interstate Highway
- Voting District
- Census Place

Districts

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

0 2 4 6
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 01/31/02

Plan: M3_Kans .y for KLRD TR
Plan Type: Congressional
Administrator: Rob Mealy
User: KLRD

Population Summary Report

DISTRICT	Population	DEVIATION	% DEVN.
01	672,091	-14	0.00
02	672,092	-13	0.00
03	672,119	14	0.00
04	672,116	11	0.00

Total Population: 2,688,418
Ideal District Population: 672,105


Summary Statistics

Population Range: 672,091 to 672,119
Ratio Range: 1.00
Absolute Range: -14 to 14
Absolute Overall Range: 28.00
Relative Range: 0.00% to 0.00%
Relative Overall Range: 0.00%
Absolute Mean Deviation: 13.00
Relative Mean Deviation: 0.00%
Standard Deviation: 15.07


4-9

Barber Congressional

*Senate Reapportionment
February 21, 2002
Attachment 5*




District#	Population	Deviation	% Deviation
1	672,158	53	0.01%
2	672,021	-84	-0.01%
3	672,183	78	0.01%
4	672,056	-49	-0.01%



54

Barber Congressional

502



Black lines show boundaries of existing 1992 Congressional Districts.

Plan: M3_Barber Congressional for KLRD TR

Map Features

- County
- Interstate Highway
- 1992 Cong Overlay

District



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

0 20 40 60
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 02/01/02

Barber Congressional detail of Ottawa County

53



Plan: M3_Barber Congressional for KLRD TR

Map Features

- Interstate Highway
- Census Place
- Voting District
- County

District



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

0 2 4 6
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 02/01/02

Barber Congressional detail of Greenwood County

7-5



Plan: M3_Barber Congressional for KLRD TR

Map Features

- Interstate Highway
- Census Place
- Voting District
- County

District



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

0 4 8 12
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 02/01/02

Barber Congressional detail of Crawford County

5-5



Plan: M3_Barber Congressional for KLRD TR

Map Features

- Interstate Highway
- Census Place
- Voting District
- County

District

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

0 2 4 6
Miles

Kansas Legislative Research Dept. 02/01/02

Plan: M3_Ba Congressional for KLRD TR
Plan Type: Congressional
Administrator: Rob Mealy
User: KLRD

Population Summary Report

DISTRICT	Population	DEVIATION	% DEVN.
1	672,158	53	0.01
2	672,021	-84	-0.01
3	672,183	78	0.01
4	672,056	-49	-0.01

Total Population: 2,688,418
Ideal District Population: 672,105

Summary Statistics

Population Range: 672,021 to 672,183
Ratio Range: 1.00
Absolute Range: -84 to 78
Absolute Overall Range: 162.00
Relative Range: -0.01% to 0.01%
Relative Overall Range: 0.02%
Absolute Mean Deviation: 66.00
Relative Mean Deviation: 0.01%
Standard Deviation: 78.21

5-6

Summary of Plan Characteristics All Plans						
Plan Name (Main Proponent)	Population and Geography Basis	Overall Deviation	Minority Population *	Preservation of District Core	Split Units	Contiguity and Compactness **
Congressional 1 (Senator Hensley and Representative Findley)	Plan based on counties and VTDS.	7 people or 0.00%	Highest Black population: 9.0% to 9.7% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 22% of total population in the 2 nd district.	6 counties split; 5 that are not split in the current plan. 2 cities split due to noncontiguous parts.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.40—max. 0.51.
Jones (Mrs. Jean Jones, Johnson County)	Plan based on whole counties.	68,074 people or 10.13%	Highest Black population: 8.9% to 9.5% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 20.7% of total population in the 2 nd district.	One city is split along a county boundary.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.36—max. 0.50.
McCleary (Dr. George McCleary)	Plan based on counties and VTDS.	289 people or 0.04%	Highest Black population: 12.4% to 13.4% in the 2 nd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.6% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 37.8% of total population in the 2 nd district.	4 counties split; none of which is split in the current plan. 5 cities split along county boundaries.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.42—max. 0.57.
Emert 1 (Mr. Tim Emert, Independence)	Plan based on counties and VTDS.	103 people or 0.02%	Highest Black population: 8.5% to 9.1% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.4% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 27.6% of total population in the 2 nd district.	3 counties split; none that are split in the current plan. 4 cities split along county boundaries.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.41—max. 0.46.
Plan 3a (Flint Hills Task Force)	Plan based on counties and VTDS.	55 people or 0.01%	Highest Black population: 8.9% to 9.5% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.6% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 9.1% of total population in the 2 nd district.	3 counties split; 2 that are not split in the current plan. 5 cities split: 3 along county boundaries; 1 (city of 3 rd class) along a VTD boundary; and Lawrence split with 23,757 people in the 2 nd district and 56,341 people in the 3 rd district.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.35—max. 0.50.
Gardner (Lawrence Chamber of Commerce)	Plan based on counties, VTDS, and Census blocks.	50 people or 0.01%	Highest Black population: 8.9% to 9.5% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.6% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 10.4% of total population in the 2 nd district.	6 counties split; 4 that are not split in the current plan. 2 VTDS split. 3 cities split: 1 due to noncontiguous parts; 1 along a county boundary; and KC split with 6,488 people in the 2 nd district and 140,378 people in the 3 rd district.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.32 max. 0.43.

Senate Reapportionment
 February 4, 2002
 Attachment 6

2-2

Summary of Plan Characteristics All Plans						
Plan Name (Main Proponent)	Population and Geography Basis	Overall Deviation	Minority Population *	Preservation of District Core	Split Units	Contiguity and Compactness **
Peabody (Lawrence Chamber of Commerce)	Plan based on counties and VTDs.	32 people or 0.00%	Highest Black population: 8.9% to 9.6% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.6% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 10.4% of total population in the 2 nd district.	6 counties split; 4 that are not split in the current plan. 3 cities split: KC with 3,101 people in the 2 nd district and 143,765 people in the 3 rd district; 1 along a county boundary; 1 due to noncontiguous parts.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.34—max. 0.43.
Jones for 7-12 (Mrs. Jean Jones, Johnson County)	Plan based on counties and VTDs.	287 people or 0.04%	Highest Black population: 9.5% to 10.1% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.4% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 27.6% of total population in the 2 nd district.	4 counties split that are not split in the current plan. 1 city is split due to noncontiguous parts.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.33—max. 0.47.
Svaty (Mr. Ron Svaty, Ellsworth)	Plan based on whole counties.	12,514 people or 1.86%	Highest Black population: 9.4% to 10.0% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 8.9% in the 2 nd district.	Largest shift is 43.4% of total population in the 1 st district.	4 cities split along county boundaries.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.12—max. 0.45.
Tomlinson A (Representative Bob Tomlinson)	Plan based on counties, VTDs, and Census blocks.	35 people or 0.01%	Highest Black population: 8.7% to 9.3% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.6% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 9.2% of total population in the 2 nd district.	4 counties split; 3 that are not split in the current plan. 2 VTDs split. 6 cities split: 3 on county boundaries; 2 due to noncontiguous parts; and Lawrence split with 61,570 people in the 2 nd district and 18,528 people in the 3 rd district.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min 0.32—max. 0.54.
Tomlinson B (Representative Bob Tomlinson)	Plan based on counties, VTDs, and Census blocks.	39 people or 0.01%	Highest Black population: 8.7% to 9.3% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.4% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 20.8% of total population in the 2 nd district.	3 counties split; 2 that are not split in the current plan. 1 VTD split. 3 cities split: Peabody as in 1992 plan; 1 city on a county boundary; and Lawrence split with 61,570 people in the 2 nd district and 18,528 people in the 3 rd district.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min 0.36—max. 0.57.

13
19

Summary of Plan Characteristics All Plans						
Plan Name (Main Proponent)	Population and Geography Basis	Overall Deviation	Minority Population *	Preservation of District Core	Split Units	Contiguity and Compactness **
H D Congressional 1 (Rep. Tom Klein)	Plan based on counties and VTDs.	38 people or 0.01%	Highest Black population: 9.0% to 9.7% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.8% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 15.6% of total population in the 2 nd district.	6 counties split; 5 that are not split in the current plan. 3 cities split due to noncontiguous parts.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.36—max. 0.40.
Jones 7-27 (Mrs. Jean Jones, Johnson County)	Plan based on counties, VTDs, and Census blocks.	73 people or 0.01%	Highest Black population: 9.5% to 10.1% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.4% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 30.1% of total population in the 2 nd district.	4 counties split; none of which is split in the current plan. 1 VTD split. 1 city split due to noncontiguous parts.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.38—max. 0.47.
Option G (Senators Praeger and Oleen)	Plan based on counties, VTDs, and Census blocks.	21 people or 0.00%	Highest Black population: 8.3% to 9.0% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 11.2% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 21.1% of total population in the 2 nd district.	4 counties split; 3 that are not split in the current plan. 10 VTDs split. 10 cities are split: 6 along county boundaries; 2 due to noncontiguous parts; Lawrence with 8 people in the 2 nd district and 80,090 people in the 3 rd district; and KC with 10,767 people in the 2 nd district and 136,104 people in the 3 rd district.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.32—max. 0.48.
Option H (Senators Praeger and Oleen)	Plan based on counties, VTDs, and Census blocks.	6 people or 0.00%	Highest Black population: 8.3% to 9.0% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 9.4% in the 4 th district.	Largest shift is 37.5% of total population in the 1 st district.	3 counties split; 2 that are not split in the current plan. 8 VTD's split. 1 city split due to noncontiguous parts.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.22—max. 0.49.
Option J (Senators Praeger and Oleen)	Plan based on counties, VTDs, and Census blocks.	13 people or 0.00%	Highest Black population: 8.2% to 8.8% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 9.4% in the 4 th district.	Largest shift is 35.9% of total population in the 1 st district.	3 counties split; none of which is split in the current plan. 6 VTD's split. 2 cities are split along county boundaries	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.22—max. 0.55.

7-19

Summary of Plan Characteristics All Plans						
Plan Name (Main Proponent)	Population and Geography Basis	Overall Deviation	Minority Population *	Preservation of District Core	Split Units	Contiguity and Compactness **
Caucus 1 (Republican caucus)	Plan based on counties, VTDs, and Census blocks.	319 people or 0.05%	Highest Black population: 8.9% to 9.5% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.6% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 9.1% of total population in the 2 nd district.	4 counties split; 3 that are not split in the current plan. 5 VTDs split. 5 cities split: Lawrence with 23,962 people in the 2 nd district and 56,136 people in the 3 rd district; Netawaka on Kickapoo Reservation boundary; and 3 cities split along county boundaries.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.35 — max. 0.49.
Caucus 2 (Republican caucus)	Plan based on whole counties	5,307 people or 0.79%	Highest Black population: 8.5% to 9.1% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.7% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 14.3% of total population in the 2 nd district.	2 cities split on county boundaries.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.31—max. 0.44.
Caucus 3 (Republican Caucus)	Plan based on counties, VTDs, and Census blocks.	67 people or 0.01%	Highest Black population: 8.5% to 9.1% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.7% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 16.7% of total population in the 2 nd district.	4 counties are split; none of which is split in the current plan. 3 VTDs are split around the Kickapoo Reservation. 3 cities split: 1 along a reservation boundary; and 2 on county boundaries.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.31—max. 0.46.
Caucus A (Republican caucus)	Plan based on counties and VTDs.	84 people or 0.01%	Highest Black population: 8.6% to 9.1% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 11.8% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 37.5% of total population in the 2 nd district.	3 counties are split; none of which is split in the current plan. 1 city is split on a county boundary.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.26—max. 0.44.
Caucus B (Republican caucus)	Plan based on counties and VTDs.	263 people or 0.04%	Highest Black population: 8.9% to 9.5% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 11.1% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 10.1% of total population in the 2 nd district.	3 counties are split; one of which is split in the current plan. 3 cities are split: Lawrence with 56,136 people in 3 rd district, and 23,962 people in 2 nd district; and 2 cities split along county boundaries.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.36—max. 0.49.

5-17

Summary of Plan Characteristics All Plans						
Plan Name (Main Proponent)	Population and Geography Basis	Overall Deviation	Minority Population *	Preservation of District Core	Split Units	Contiguity and Compactness **
Caucus C (Republican caucus)	Plan based on counties and VTDS.	49 people or 0.01%	Highest Black population: 8.5% to 9.1% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 11.2% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 15.3% of total population in the 2 nd district.	4 counties are split; none of which is split in the current plan. 5 cities are split along county boundaries.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.30—max. 0.49.
Caucus D (Republican caucus)	Plan based on counties and VTDS.	33 people or 0.00%	Highest Black population: 8.5% to 9.1% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 11.1% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 15.3% of total population in the 2 nd district.	3 counties are split; none of which is split in the current plan. 1 city is split along a county boundary.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.33—max. 0.48.
Caucus E (Republican Caucus)	Plan based on counties and VTDS.	118 people or 0.02%	Highest Black population: 8.6% to 9.1% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.9% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 18.2% of total population in the 2 nd district.	5 counties are split; none of which is split in the current plan. 2 cities are split along county boundaries.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.26—max. 0.51.
Caucus F (Republican caucus)	Plan based on counties and VTDS.	95 people or 0.01%	Highest Black population: 8.6% to 9.1% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 11.0% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 19.9% of total population in the 2 nd district.	6 counties are split; none of which is split in the current plan. 1 city is split due to noncontiguous parts.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.26—max. 0.51.
Caucus G (Republican caucus)	Plan based on counties and VTDS.	103 people or 0.02%	Highest Black population: 8.6% to 9.1% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 11.0% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 19.9% of total population in the 2 nd district.	5 counties are split; none of which is split in the current plan. 4 cities are split; 3 along county boundaries; and 1 due to noncontiguous parts.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.26—max. 0.50.
Caucus H (Republican caucus)	Plan based on counties and VTDS.	106 people or 0.02%	Highest Black population: 8.6% to 9.1% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.8% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 17.9% of total population in the 2 nd district.	4 counties are split; none of which is split in the current plan. 2 cities are split: 1 due to noncontiguous parts; and 1 along county boundaries.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.26—max. 0.52.

6-6


Summary of Plan Characteristics All Plans						
Plan Name (Main Proponent)	Population and Geography Basis	Overall Deviation	Minority Population *	Preservation of District Core	Split Units	Contiguity and Compactness **
Caucus I (Republican caucus)	Plan based on counties and VTDS.	106 people or 0.02%	Highest Black population: 8.5% to 9.1% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.8% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 17.9% of total population in the 2 nd district.	4 counties are split; none of which is split in the current plan. 2 cities are split: 1 along a county boundary; and 1 due to noncontiguous parts.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.35—max. 0.52.
Caucus J (Republican caucus)	Plan based on counties, VTDS, and Census blocks.	132 people or 0.02%	Highest Black population: 8.7% to 9.3% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.9% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 9.2% of total population in the 2 nd district.	5 counties are split; 1 of which is split in the current plan. 6 VTDS are split. 4 cities are split: 2 along county boundaries; 1 due to noncontiguous parts; and Lawrence with 49,949 people in the 2 nd district and 30,149 people in the 3 rd district.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.35—max. 0.47.
Caucus J -- Kingman (Representative Garry Boston)	Plan based on counties, VTDS, and Census blocks.	119 people or 0.02%	Highest Black population: 8.7% to 9.3% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.9% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 9.2% of total population in the 2 nd district.	4 counties are split; 1 of which is split in the current plan. 6 VTDS are split. 4 cities are split: 2 along county boundaries; 1 due to noncontiguous parts; and Lawrence with 49,949 people in the 2 nd district and 30,149 in the 3 rd district.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.35 -- max. 0.47.
Kansas Day (Senate Republican Caucus)	Plan based on counties and VTDS.	28 people or 0.00%	Highest Black population: 8.6% to 9.1% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.6% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 19.7% of total population in the 2 nd district.	6 counties are split; one of which is split in the current plan. No VTDS are split. 5 cities are split: 3 on county or VTD boundaries; and 2 due to noncontiguous parts.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.30 -- max. 0.54.
January Blue (Senate Republican Caucus)	Plan based on counties and VTDS.	95 people or 0.01%	Highest Black population: 8.4% to 8.9% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.7% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 16.6% of total population in the 2 nd district.	4 counties are split; none of which is split in the current plan. 3 VTDS are split. 2 cities are split along county boundaries.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.31 -- max. 0.46.

6-7

Summary of Plan Characteristics All Plans						
Plan Name (Main Proponent)	Population and Geography Basis	Overall Deviation	Minority Population *	Preservation of District Core	Split Units	Contiguity and Compactness **
January Gold (Senate Republican Caucus)	Plan based on counties and VTDS.	133 people or 0.02%	Highest Black population: 8.4% to 8.9% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 9.6% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 35.2% of total population in the 2 nd district.	4 counties are split; none of which is split in the current plan. No VTDS are split. 2 cities are split along county boundaries.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.36 -- max. 0.48.
Barber Congressional (Senate Republican Caucus)	Plan based on counties and VTDS.	162 people or 0.02%	Highest Black population: 8.5% to 9.0% in the 3 rd district. Highest Hispanic population: 10.8% in the 1 st district.	Largest shift is 25.3% of total population in the 2 nd district.	3 counties are split; none of which is split in the current plan. No VTDS are split. 2 cities are split along county boundaries.	Districts are contiguous. Roeck measure: min. 0.22 -- max. 0.48.
<p>* Note: Among the 1992 congressional districts, the maximum Black population is 8.3% to 9.0% in the 3rd district. The Hispanic population (all races) is 11.1% in the 1st district.</p> <p>**Note: The Roeck measure is an area-based measure that compares each district to a circle, which is considered to be the most compact shape possible. For each district, the Roeck test computes the ratio of the area of the district to the area of the minimum enclosing circle for the district. The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact. The Roeck test computes one number for each district and the minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviation for the plan. The current plan (1992) minimum is 0.36 and the maximum is 0.50.</p>						

Congressional Plan 1


*Senate Reapportionment
February 4, 2002
Attachment # 17*



District #	Population	Deviation	% Deviation	% White	% Black	% American Indian	% Asian	% Hawaiian	% Other	% Hispanic Origin
1	672,105	0	0%	88.92%	2.54%	0.54%	1.12%	0.06%	5.08%	10.02%
2	672,108	3	0%	89.32%	4.6%	1.37%	0.68%	0.04%	1.88%	4.31%
3	672,101	-4	-0%	82.38%	8.99%	0.74%	2.71%	0.04%	3.09%	6.8%
4	672,104	-1	-0%	83.67%	6.8%	1.06%	2.45%	0.05%	3.45%	6.88%

Congressional Plan 1, District 3

7-2



District #	Population	Deviation	% Deviation	% White	% Black	% AmIndian	% Asian	% Hawaiian	% Other	% Hispanic Origin
1	672,105	0	0%	88.92%	2.54%	0.54%	1.12%	0.06%	5.08%	10.02%
2	672,108	3	0%	89.32%	4.6%	1.37%	0.68%	0.04%	1.88%	4.31%
3	672,101	-4	-0%	82.38%	8.99%	0.74%	2.71%	0.04%	3.09%	6.8%
4	672,104	-1	-0%	83.67%	6.8%	1.06%	2.45%	0.05%	3.45%	6.88%

Presented By Senator Anthony Hensley and Representative Troy Findley 4-21-01

Plan: Congressional Plan 1 for klr TR EI
Plan Type: Congressional
Administrator: Timothy Graham
User: llawhon

Population Summary Report

DISTRICT	Population	DEVIATION	% DEVN.
01	672,105	0	0.00
02	672,108	3	0.00
03	672,101	-4	0.00
04	672,104	-1	0.00

Total Population: 2,688,418
Ideal District Population: 672,105

Summary Statistics

Population Range: 672,101 to 672,108
Ratio Range: 1.00
Absolute Range: -4 to 3
Absolute Overall Range: 7.00
Relative Range: 0.00% to 0.00%
Relative Overall Range: 0.00%
Absolute Mean Deviation: 2.00
Relative Mean Deviation: 0.00%
Standard Deviation: 2.89

