Approved: March 13, 2001

Date

## MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND INSURANCE.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Sandy Praeger at 9:30 a.m. on March 8, 2001 in Room 234-N of the Capitol.

All members were present except:

Committee staff present:

Dr. Bill Wolff, Kansas Legislative Research Department

Ken Wilke, Office of the Revisor of Statutes

JoAnn Bunten, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Senator Lynn Jenkins

Scott Miller, Senior Investment Officer, Pooled Money Investment Board Kevin Glendening, Deputy Commissioner, State Bank Commissioner's Office

Others attending: See attached list.

### Discussion and Action on SB 151 - State employee health plan; relating to children of participants

The Chair noted that <u>SB 151</u> was referred back to Committee to be reconsidered and further amended. Senator Jenkins briefed the Committee on a balloon of the bill showing an amendment that would provide funding for the pilot program from the Sunflower Foundation or a similar source. (<u>Attachment 1</u>)

After Committee discussion, <u>Senator Barnett made a motion the Committee reconsider its action and adopt the amendment as shown in the balloon of the bill, and that **SB 151 as amended** be recommended favorable for passage, seconded by <u>Senator Steineger</u>. The motion carried.</u>

#### Hearing on HB 2169 - Investment of state money, securities pledged

Scott Miller, Senior Investment Officer, Pooled Money Investment Board, testified before the Committee in support of <u>HB 2169</u> which would require state agencies to ensure that banks pledge sufficient collateral to secure deposits in the agency's bank accounts rather than the PMIB. Mr. Miller noted that the bill would not require any additional personnel nor would it impact PMIB's operating expenses. It is expected that the fiscal impact on agencies with bank accounts would be minimal because confirming the level of securities pledged by the bank could be accomplished along with other activities required to monitor the accounts on a daily basis. (Attachment 2)

There were no opponents to the bill.

After Committee discussion on rates and the effective date of the bill, <u>Senator Feleciano made a motion that the effective date be changed to "Kansas register"</u>, and that the Committee recommend **HB 2169 as amended** favorable for passage, seconded by <u>Senator Teichman</u>. The motion carried.

## Hearing on HB 2193 - Payday loans; limitation on number of loans to same borrowers

Kevin Glendening, Deputy Commissioner, State Bank Commissioner's Office, testified in support of <u>HB</u> which would prohibit a payday lender and related interests from having outstanding to any borrower more than two loans at any one time. (<u>Attachment 3</u>)

Written testimony in support of the bill was also received from Whitney Damron on behalf of the Kansas Payday Loan Association. (Attachment 4)

There were no opponents to the bill.

Senator Feleciano made a motion the Committee recommend **HB 2193** favorable for passage, seconded by Senator Teichman. The motion carried.

#### CONTINUATION SHEET

# Discussion and Action on SB 193 - Insurance; exemption from errors and omissions coverage

During Committee discussion the Chair noted that the bill was requested by the Kansas Association of Funeral Directors. Some members of the Committee expressed concern that passage of this bill would set a precedent and the inevitable pressure on the legislature to exempt others. Other members of the Committee supported the bill and expressed concern with the small town funeral home that sells only a few policies a year and have high minimum premiums.

Senator Brungardt made a motion that the Committee recommend **SB 193** favorable for passage, seconded by Senator Barnett. The motion carried.

### Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 10:00 a.m. The next meeting of the Committee is scheduled for March 13, 2001.

# SENATE FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS & INSURANCE COMMITTEE GUEST LIST

DATE: 3-8-0/

NAME	REPRESENTING
Marla Groodrich	PMIB
Scott Miller	PMIB
Jeremy Anderson	KS Ins Dept
Talaned Shawatters	Francis Consult
hold Establish	Barl Comm. of.
Kan D	11 11
Translin Vi Holson	OSBC
George Barbee	CBA - KAFS
Matt Goddard	HCBA
Sonda De Councey	KS Ins dlypt
B. 11 Sneed	KFDA
Rame ann hower	KAHP
Chuck Stones	KBA
Foger Franzke	RGC
HARRY BOSSI	Dest & Almin
Whitney Damon	FS Payday Loan Assn.
LARRY MAGILL	KAIA
Agre Spess	TAIFA
. 0	

### SENATE BILL No. 151

By Committee on Financial Institutions and Insurance

1-29

AN ACT concerning the state employees benefit program; relating to children of participants; relating to the payment of certain costs.

11 12 13

14

15

17

18

19

24

28

30

34

35

36

37

38

40

41

10

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. (a) Commencing in plan year 2002, within the limits of appropriations thereof, the Kansas state employees health care commission shall establish a pilot program which provides that if a parent an active employee of the state of Kansas is enrolled in a health care benefits plan administered by the Kansas state employees health care commission, pursuant to K.S.A. 75-6501 et seq., and amendments thereto, the commission shall provide that 100% a percentage determined by the commission, within the limits of appropriations for the pilot program, of the cost to cover an eligible child or children shall be paid as an employer contribution for the participation of any eligible child or children in the state health benefits program. Expenditures for such pilot program shall not be less than \$100,000, Such pilot program if funded by the legislature shall continue in effect subsequent to the first year of funding.

(b) As used in this section, "eligible child" means any child who is an eligible dependent pursuant to K.A.R. 108-1-1 and who is otherwise eligible for insurance coverage under the insurance plan authorized by K.S.A. 38-2001 and amendments thereto and under the guidelines for eligibility developed by the commission within the limits of appropriations for the pilot program but is not eligible solely because the child is a member of a family that is eligible for health benefits coverage under a state health benefits plan administered by the Kansas state employees health care commission.

(c) The Kansas state employees health care commission shall report its findings and any recommendations which the commission may have concerning the pilot program established under this section to the governor and to the legislature annually.

Sec. 2. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the statute book.

Senate Financial Inst. & Insurance Attachment No

(d) The secretary of administration is hereby authorized to receive grants, gifts or donations from the United states government, or its agencies, the Sunflower Foundation: Healthcare for Kansas, or any other source whatsoever for the purposes of the pilot program established under this section and amendments thereto, and any moneys so received shall be deposited in the state treasury and credited to the cafeteria benefits fund established by K.S.A. 75-6513 and amendments thereto. All funds received pursuant to this section shall be placed in a separate account within the cafeteria benefits fund. All expenditures made from such fund for the purposes of this section shall be made in accordance with appropriation acts upon warrants of the director of accounts and reports issued by the secretary of administration or a person designated by the secretary of administration.



#### POOLED MONEY INVESTMENT BOARD

DIRECTOR OF INVESTMENTS Derl S. Treff

Landon State Office Building 900 S. W. Jackson Street Suite 209 Topeka, KS 66612-1220

Ph.(785)296-3372 Fax (785) 296-1085 http://www.ink.org/public/pmib/

#### **TESTIMONY ON HB 2169**

by Scott Miller Senior Investment Officer Pooled Money Investment Board March 8, 2001

The Honorable Sandy Praeger, Chair Senate Committee on Financial Institutions & Insurance Statehouse, Room 234-N Topeka, Kansas 66612

Senator Praeger and Committee Members:

This bill proposes the amendment of two statutes. First, K.S.A. 75-4221 to be amended to require state agencies to ensure that banks pledge sufficient collateral to secure deposits in the agency's bank accounts rather than the Pooled Money Investment Board. The agencies work with their banks on a daily basis and are in a much better position to ensure that adequate securities have been pledged. The PMIB receives only a monthly statement, which is not on a timely enough basis to track balances that fluctuate throughout the month.

We also request that K.S.A. 75-4237 be amended to replace the word "bid" with the word "request", relative to Kansas banks seeking to obtain investments of state moneys. Practically speaking, regardless of the rate "bid" by the banks the rate paid on the deposit is determined by the market rate set by the PMIB, which is already established in Section 2.b of K.S.A. 75-4237.

Adoption of these amendments would not require any additional personnel nor would it impact PMIB's operating expenses. It is expected that the fiscal impact on agencies with bank accounts would be minimal because confirming the level of securities pledged by the bank could be accomplished along with other activities required to monitor the accounts on a daily basis.

Senate Financial Inst. & Insurance

Date: 3-8-0/Attachment No. 2

# **KANSAS**

Franklin W. Nelson Bank Commissioner

Sonya L. Allen General Counsel BILL GRAVES GOVERNOR



Judi M. Stork Deputy Bank Commissioner

> Kevin C. Glendening Deputy Commissioner Consumer and Mortgage

# OFFICE OF THE STATE BANK COMMISSIONER

#### SENATE COMMITTEE - FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND INSURANCE.

March 8, 2001

Testimony - HB 2193

Kevin Glendening, Deputy Commissioner

Madam Chair and Members of the Committee:

Under the Uniform Consumer Credit Code (16a-3-304) a lender may not use multiple loan agreements in order to increase the finance charges paid by a borrower. Our experiences in examining payday lending operations have shown that violations of this provision are a problem among some lenders. As an example, a consumer wants to borrower \$400, but rather than making one loan for the full amount and collecting a fee of \$29, the lender will instead make four \$100 loans and collect \$60 in fees. The loans may be made on the same day or over a period of several days. While on an individual basis the amounts may be small, a lender who systematically engages in this activity can profit considerably. In one case this past year, our examination revealed that over an approximate three month period one lender made multiple agreements with 145 borrowers which ultimately resulted in required refunds of \$10,000. In that case, the lender was also fined an additional \$10,000 for the violations. In other cases employees of some lenders, when questioned by our examiners, have said they were instructed to divide a consumer's loan request into \$100 incremental loans, or to advance the consumer the requested funds in separate loans over several days. Illegal multiple loans arranged in this fashion are the most difficult to prove.

HB 2193 would serve two purposes. First by establishing a limit on the number of loans a payday lender could have outstanding to a consumer at any given time, the potential for that lender to increase fees by advancing funds through separate loans over several days would be restricted. Second, the disclosure notice will hopefully raise the awareness of consumers regarding multiple loan practices, and perhaps improve their selection of a payday lender.

Senate Financial Inst. & Insurance

Date: 3-8-0/

Attachment No. 3

Finally, I should note that I am offering this amendment because I believe it would facilitate our enforcement of existing law regarding multiple loans, and probably represents the most conservative approach to addressing this problem.

Thank you Madam Chair, I will be happy to answer any questions of the committee.

3-2

# WHITNEY B. DAMRON, P.A.

800 SW JACKSON STREET, SUITE 1100 TOPEKA, KANSAS 66612-2205

(785) 354-1354 ♦ 354-8092 (FAX)

E-MAIL: <WBDAMRON@aol.com>

#### SUBMITTED TESTIMONY

TO:

The Honorable Sandy Praeger

And Members Of The

Senate Committee on Financial Institutions and Insurance

FROM:

Whitney Damron

On Behalf Of The

Kansas Payday Loan Association

RE:

HB 2193

Payday Loans; Limitations on Number of Loans to

Same Borrowers.

DATE:

March 8, 2001

Good morning Madam Chair Praeger and Members of the Senate Committee on Financial Institutions and Insurance. I am Whitney Damron and I am pleased to submit testimony to you today on behalf of my client, the Kansas Payday Loan Association, in support of HB 2193 which would provide greater information to the consumer and prohibit the practice of loan splitting by payday loan operators.

The Kansas Payday Loan Association is comprised of approximately 15 store owners with approximately 25 locations in Kansas. The Association was created several years ago when the Legislature last considered amendments to the payday loan statutes.

First of all, I would like to thank Mr. Glendening and the Office of the State Bank Commissioner for contacting me almost two months ago to discuss this legislation prior to its introduction. The Department has a history of providing helpful advice and service to payday loan operators in our state and we appreciate their courtesies.

Senate Financial Inst. & Insurance

Date: 3-8-01 Attachment No. 4 HB 2193 – Payday Loan Legislation Senate Committee on Financial Institutions and Insurance Page Two of Two March 8, 2001

Following Mr. Glendening's call, I had the opportunity to visit with the officers of the Kansas Payday Loan Association and get their thoughts on this legislation prior to its introduction. All three officers thought the practice was currently prohibited and, if not, should be. We therefore informed the Department that we would support their bill.

In regards to the bill, the Kansas Payday Loan Association is not opposed to the notice provisions contained in HB 2193. Furthermore, the ultimate intent of the bill is to prohibit loan splitting and we are supportive of that provision as well.

I closing, I would note that many states have gone to a flat rate fee for all transactions, which would generally reduce the incentive for loan splitting and make enforcement simpler (i.e. \$12.00 per hundred dollars loaned, rather than a formula). If the Committee or the Department would like to one day move in this direction, we would be pleased to make some recommendations in this regard. Otherwise, we are pleased to stand with the office of the State Bank Commissioner today and support HB 2193.

Thank you.

4-2