

Approved: MARCH 5, 1998  
Date

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON UTILITIES.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Pat Ranson at 1:30 p.m. on February 24, 1998 in Room 123-S of the Capitol.

All members were present except:  
Sens. Hensley and Lee were excused

Committee staff present: Lynne Holt, Legislative Research Department  
Mary Torrence, Revisor of Statutes  
Jeanne Eudaley, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:  
none

Others attending: See attached list

Sen. Ranson called the committee's attention to an article which has been distributed to them entitled, "PUCs in 1997, Managing the Competition?", which appeared in the Public Utilities Fortnightly, January 1, 1998. Also distributed is a matrix of Retail Wheeling and competition activities in the states (Attachment 1). She asked the committee to look over Minutes of the Meeting for February 11 and 12 (Attachment 2). Sen. Clark made a motion the Minutes be approved, and it was seconded by Sen. Barone; the Minutes were approved.

Sen. Ranson then asked the committee to refer to:

**SB 502-retail electric bills to consumers; providing for disclosure of certain components**

A copy of the bill shows proposed amendments (Attachment 3). She stated she had spoken with Rep. Don Myers, Chair of the House Utilities, regarding the status of:

**HB 2679-retail electric bills to consumers; providing for disclosure of certain components**

The house bill has passed out of committee with amendments and is on General Orders in the House, where it floats near the bottom. She asked the committee for a discussion regarding the committee's wishes for continued work on the bill. She asked them where they want to go with unbundling and which direction to take regarding Retail Wheeling.

Sen. Brownlee stated it is her understanding the federal congress will consider Retail Wheeling in April. Sen. Steffes asked what happens if we do nothing? What do we accomplish? He stated he understands that the purpose of unbundling is to identify cost, and stated he cannot see the push to do anything yet. He stated his feeling is to wait for other states to move along on the subject and learn from their experience. Sen. Pugh stated that he agreed and thinks that is a reasonable conclusion.

Sen. Barone stated he would like the committee to proceed with unbundling and thinks it would be a positive step. He asked if the Corporation Commission has authority to implement some of this. Dave Dittmore responded that they do have authority to implement this; however, the Commission would require more evidence, would conduct more hearings and ask for more input, and it would take longer than if you pass this bill. He confirmed that he appeared before the committee in favor of the bill. Sen. Barone confirmed with Mr. Dittmore that if something would have to be done after the Legislature adjourns, others would have authority to act.

Sen. Morris stated at this time, he is opposed to Retail Wheeling, as he believes the result will be that consumers will have higher bills. He favors studying other states' activities in Retail Wheeling. Sen. Clark

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON UTILITIES, Room <sup>123-5</sup>~~531~~-~~A~~, Statehouse, at 1:30 p.m. on February 24, 1998.

also confirmed with Mr. Dittmore, that if unbundling were accomplished through an Order from the Corporation Commission, the regulation would affect only utilities they have authority to regulate. This means some utilities would be regulated and some unregulated (coops, municipals). He stated the impact would not be good, and that it does not have to be complicated; that he would like for the committee to start the process.

Sen. Jones stated the customers in his district are pleased with utilities, and he believes that adding anything will result in a cost factor. Sen. Salisbury stated she does not know if she is for or against retail wheeling, but that she believes the tax impact is a major part of the issue. She stated that her constituents have not shown an interest in Retail Wheeling. She further stated it is her understanding that the earliest year for federal legislation is the year 2001. She does not believe it is necessary to address the issue this session.

Sen. Ranson stated it appears the cost centers are more troublesome than before, but that she believes the education process is very important and that the committee needs to continue to be prepared for Retail Wheeling. Sen. Brownlee asked how much lead time do we need? Sen. Ranson stated that according to testimony, a lot of lead time is needed.

Sen. Ranson stated we will keep the bill alive and watch the house bill as it proceeds through general orders, but that we need to be prepared to continue our deliberations on this issue. She added that it appears the activity on Retail Wheeling is bogging down in other states; that it is not the intent of this committee to back away from the issue, but that more study should be done, particularly of the costs involved.

Meeting adjourned at 2:00.

The next meeting is scheduled for March 5, 1998.

# SENATE UTILITIES COMMITTEE GUEST LIST

DATE: Feb. 24, 1998

NAME	REPRESENTING
Joe Dick	BPU & CK
Gil Hanson	KMEA/KMLP
Robert W. Mills	Private Citizen
WALKER HENDRIX	CARB
Barbara Hunter	Enron
Dick Carter J.	Enron
Larry Hollaway	KCC
J. Long	Ut. li Corp United Inc.
DAVE D. HAMORE	KCC
Wayne Kitchen	Western Resources
Cindy Deaton	DOB
Dave Holthaus	Western Resources
Paul Burke	Western Resources
David Bybee	KDOCH
Rep. Mead	Senators
Jim Ludwig	Western Resources
Ed Williams	KCC
Patrick D. Newley	KCCP

MATRIX OF RETAIL WHEELING AND COMPETITION ACTIVITIES IN THE STATES

Attach. 1  
Decem

EEl's Retail Wheeling & Restructuring Report

State	Regulatory Commission Actions	Legislative Actions	Comments/Other Activities
Alabama		Stranded Cost recovery Law Signed 5/96	Court of appeal of SC law 3/97
Alaska	Inquiry to be opened 10/97	RW bills carry over to '98	
Arizona	Final restructuring rules issued 12/96; Reopens Restruct. Rules 8/97	Study Law Signed 4/96; Competition bills die 4/97	Court rejects appeals of rules 11/97
Arkansas	Entergy restruct. settlement approved 12/97	Study due 1/99	
California	RRBs issued; plants sold; Direct access delayed 'til 3/31/98; CoC rules 12/97	RRB voter initiative 12/97; Restruct. law 9/96	ISO & PX delay start 'til 3/31/98
Colorado	PUC opens inquiry 6/96	RW bills expected '98	PSC staff survey on competition, 12/96
Connecticut	Order 7/95 sees Retail Wheeling only after Restructuring	Comprehensive bill introduced 2/98	Unbundling case 10/96; Study group files final report 12/96
Delaware	PSC recomnds RW	Tax reform bill introduced 1/97	PSC adopts CoC for DP&L 1/98
D.C.	Order in inquiry awaited		
Florida	PSC continues monitoring	Legislature continues monitoring	Study bill died 3/96
Georgia	Staff report on workshops awaited	Retail Wheeling and study bills die 3/96	Cost recovery & unbundling cases
Hawaii	Restructuring inquiry continues '98	Bill allowing NUG retail sales died 3/96	
Idaho	Unbundling filings 12/97	Study continues; RW still pending '98	No bill from Legis study group
Illinois	Restruct. implement starts	Restruct. Law 12/97	Study group progress report filed 12/95; Final report 11/96
Indiana	Informal discussions continue	Competition bill defeated 2/98; Study bill signed 5/97	No bill from Reg. Flexibility Cmte.
Iowa	UB staff comp. model awaited	Related measures lapse 4/97	Taxes top '98 issue; UB accepts report citing no consensus 2/97
Kansas	Opened restructuring inquiry 1/96	RW bills held over to '98	Study group bill 12/97
Kentucky	PSC Restruct. principals 12/97	Study group hearings '97	Governor cautions on RW 12/97
Louisiana	Continues study '98; Adopted principles 6/96	RW bills rejected 5/97; Study group to await PSC report	
Maine	PUC opening cases to implement law	Restructuring law 5/97-Choice 3/1/2000	BHE & MPS to divest; CMP successful selling plants
Maryland	12/97 order starts RW 7/1/2000	Study group report not expected soon	CoC rules expected '98
Massachusetts	Dist. service rules 12/97; CoC pending	Restruct. law 11/97-Choice 3/1/98	NEP sells plants; EUA sells some; Court rules on stranded costs
Michigan	RW in annual 2.5% blocks start 6/98, order 6/97	Restruct. bill introduced 10/97	Court affirms PSC on RW pilots 1/98
Minnesota	PUC group doesn't agree on RW 10/97; PUC adopts principles 5/96	Study bill signed 5/97; 4 Restructuring bills carry over to '98	Study group urges more study 12/97
Mississippi	11/97, PSC staff calls for RW by 2001	RW & Dereg. bills die 3/97	Anti-cost shifting filing dismissed 8/96
Missouri	PSC study group report expected 4/98; CoC case 11/97	RW bills lapse 5/97; Study resolution passed 5/97	No bill from leg. study group in '98
Montana	Rulings on restruct filings awaited	Restructuring law signed 5/97	Senate Utilities 2-24-98 Attach. 1
Nebraska		Final phase 1 study released	Final Phase 2 due 12/31/99
Nevada	PUC begins impig restruct law 8/97; Unbundling filings due 2/98	Restructuring law signed 7/97	Potentially competitive services to be made so 12/31/99
N. Hampshire	Rehearing restructuring & SC orders; PSNH to sell plants; PUC now starts RW 7/1/98	Law replacing franchise with consumption tax signed 6/97; Restruct law 5/96	Court stays implement for PSNH

New Jersey	On 4/97 Endorsed comp. start 10/98	Tax reform bill signed 7/97	Work groups addressing issues & utility restruct. plans; CoC rule expected
New Mexico	Restruct plans approved, filed	Bills to study Tax impacts & restruct. passed	Study continues
New York	PSC approves utility settlements; market rules 5/97; Rejects rehear of 5/96 RW order	Bills reintrod. 1/98; GRT & econ. devel. bills enacted 9/97	Utilities appeal of court order affirming PSC order; PSC approves Dairyalea pilot 7/97
N. Carolina	Order 888 impacts inquiry continues; Formal retail wheeling inquiry rejected 7/95	Study bill signed 4/97; Restruct bill carries over to '98	Study group meets 11/97
N. Dakota	Continuing inquiry, PSC says restruct. not needed 9/96	Study bill signed 3/97	Study group to report '99
Ohio	Roundtable continues; PUC hearing CES pilot tariffs	Bond, Tax bills late '97; Study committee calls for RW in 2000; Retail wheeling bill 2/97	Gov. supports com., issues principles 6/97; Supreme Court orders leg. to revamp property taxes 3/97
Oklahoma	CC required to study, implement RW	Law starting RW 7/02 signed 4/97	Implementation group favors state ISO
Oregon	PUC draft SC guidelines 10/97; Delays PGE RW; approves PGE pilot 11/97	Restruct & related bills die 6/97	PacifiCorp files plan 12/96; PGE/Enron plan 9/97
Pennsylvania	Pilots begin 11/97; Sets market rules 7/97; FECO Restruct. revised 12/97	Restruct law 12/96	Court appeal of QRO 6/97; court appeal of law 3/97
Rhode Island	Most restruct. regs. in place 12/97; all customers have access 1/1/98	Restruct. amendments & Securitization laws signed 6/97	Restruct. law starts RW 7/1/97 signed 8/96 PUC approves EUA/NEC divest. 6&7/97
S. Carolina	PSC asks for leg. proposals 6/97; PSC investigates marketer's 20% savings claim	RW & study bills carry over to '98; Speaker asks PSC for bill	Duke files restructuring plan 6/97
S. Dakota		Alternative regulation law 2/96	
Tennessee		Study bill signed 6/97	Study group to ask TRA to study RW
Texas	PUC opens affiliate, Dist. inquiries 7/97; PUC to decide status of military bases	Lt. Gov. sets Senate study comte. 6/97; House study 12/97	Entergy files new plan 4/97; PUC issues rehearing CP&L 8/97
Utah	PSC restructuring inquiry completed 8/97	Rate Freeze & Study law 3/97	Leg. study group recommends more study
Vermont	Final report & order 12/96; PSB delays restruct filings, convenes workshops 1/97	4 Restruct. bills 1/98; Senate restruct bill to carry over to '98	
Virginia	11/97 staff recm'ds pilots start '98	Restruct bills expected '98	Leg. study committee to report by end 1998
Washington	UTC approves WWP pilot 1/98	Restruct. bills expected '98	PSE pilot starts 11/97; Utility asks FERC for wheeling order to serve retail customer 10/96
W. Virginia	Opens formal inquiry 12/96	Study group proposes enabling bill 1/98	Consensus eludes study group 10/97
Wisconsin	PSC puts reliability before RW; ISO case opened 11/97	Reliability bill expected '98	Gov. gets recommendations on system reliability 10/97
Wyoming	PSC white paper recommends study 11/96	Restruct. bill defeated 1/98	Report sees positive effect unlikely if stranded costs recovered 9/97

Approved: Feb. 24, 1998  
Date

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON UTILITIES.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Pat Ranson at 1:30 p.m. on February 11, 1998 in Room 531-N of the Capitol.

All members were present except:  
Sens. Jones and Hensley were excused

Committee staff present: Lynne Holt, Legislative Research Department  
Mary Torrence, Revisor of Statutes  
Jeanne Eudaley, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:  
Rosemary Foreman, Director, Public Affairs and Consumer Protection, Corporation Commission  
Kathryn Valentine, LIEAP Program Administrator, Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services  
Kim Gulley, League of Municipalities  
Jon Miles, Kansas Electric Cooperatives

Others attending: See attached list

Sen. Ranson requested committee members to look at Minutes of the Meeting for February 2 and 3 for consideration later.

Sen. Ranson then introduced Rosemary Foreman, who presented information to the committee on the "cold weather rule" (Attachment 1). Sen. Ranson asked Ms. Foreman if she had statistics on how much the "cold weather rule" is used, and Ms. Foreman explained she did not, because that is done at a local customer service center. She added that many do take advantage of the rule to allow extra time to take care of bills and that they utilize the rule to maintain service with arrangements to pay the bill off. She also stated the rule applies to jurisdictional (coops and investor owned), residential customers and is not available to commercial customers. Sen. Ranson then asked if she is aware of how much loss is incurred and if that is considered in the rate structure. Larry Holloway answered that losses are incurred and that it is considered when the rates are set. Sen. Ranson then asked if that loss is built into the rates and if that is an obligation of the distribution company. Mr. Holloway answered that the loss is built into the rates and that it is an obligation of the distribution company and that repayment is made to the supplier of last choice. Sen. Ranson then asked if he knew, under deregulation, who would take the loss, and he replied it would be the obligation of the distribution company and would probably be included in a fund.

Sen. Barone asked if anyone knew the percentage of customers using the "cold weather rule" and Mr. Holloway did not know, but commented it would appear as a bad debt for revenue; Sen. Barone added there are other sources of bad debt, not particularly associated to the "cold weather rule". Sen. Ranson asked Ms. Foreman if the rule applied to other types of power, and Ms. Foreman answered to only electric and natural gas; that small business would not be eligible to utilize the rule.

Sen. Ranson then asked Jon Miles if the coops have a similar rule, and he answered they do, and that the systems who are deregulated have adopted the Corporation Commission's "cold weather rule". Mr. Miles did not know how many coop customers take advantage of the rule, but one coop told him it would be approximately six to eight per year at a cost of approximately \$10-15,000 per year.

Sen. Ranson then called on Kim Gulley to explain if the municipals have a similar rule. Ms. Gulley stated there are fourteen cities who are municipals and come under the jurisdiction of the Corporation Commission. They follow the "cold weather rule" and the larger municipals are governed by a modified version which involves less notification. She further explained that the smaller municipals, under 1,000 population, the policy is more informal and flexible. In answer to a question from Sen. Clark, Ms. Gulley stated there are 74 natural gas systems, including Belleville, and they set their own rules; and that the League's advice to these utilities is apply policy in a common sense way. In answer to a question from Sen. Barone, the committee discussed the geneses of the "cold weather rule", which passed in 1983 and modified in 1989. Sen. Ranson recognized Pete Loux, who has served as a Corporation Commissioner, explained the "cold weather rule" was his bill and gave further information on how the bill came to be.

Sen. Ranson introduced Kathryn Valentine who explained the Low Income Energy Assistance Program (LIEAP) (Attachment 2) to the committee. Ms. Valentine emphasized the LIEAP program is federally funded and administered by the state. Other states add money to the fund, but Kansas does not. The committee discussed the program, after which Sen. Ranson asked if there were other programs designed for the same purpose. Ed Schaub stated that Western Resources has a volunteer program, "Project DESERVE", which is administered by the Red Cross. Their customers receive information about the program with their bill, and are

Senate Utilities  
2-24-98  
Attach. #2

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON UTILITIES, Room 531- -N, Statehouse, at 1:30 p.m. on February 11, 1998.

able to donate to the fund. Western Resources Foundation also makes contributions to the fund each year. Sen. Ranson asked Mr. Schaub is he would bring additional information to the committee, and he responded that he would.

In answer to an earlier question regarding the number of utilities involved in the LIEAP program, Ms. Valentine stated that there are 158 electric vendors, who have received funds through the program, and they are unregulated.

Sen. Ranson then asked the committee to consider the Minutes of the Meeting of February 3 - an error was found in the Minutes of February 2 - it will be corrected before submitting to the committee (Attachment 3). Sen. Pugh made a motion the Minutes be approved, and it was seconded by Sen. Clark; the Minutes were approved.

Sen. Ranson then asked Lynne Holt to present information on the unbundling bill the committee is considering. Ms. Holt referred to information from Illinois regarding the universal service charge imposed on January 1 (Attachment 4). Ms. Holt explained Illinois has not given customers a choice for providers and will not until May, 2002 for residential and October, 1999 for industrial; however, customers there found a ninety cents per month surcharge on their bill January 1, which has caused numerous complaints. That money is to go into their LIEAP fund and for renewable resources. The information describes customer complaints.

Sen. Ranson also referred to a "Consumer Guide", which has been published for Rhode Island consumers, and asked for copies to be made for committee members.

Sen. Ranson announced the hearing tomorrow on unbundling at 1:00 in Room 313-S.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30.

The next meeting is scheduled for February 12, 1998.

Approved: Feb. 24, 1998  
Date

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON UTILITIES.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Pat Ranson at 1:00 p.m. on February 12, 1998 in Room 313-S of the Capitol.

All members were present except:  
Sen. Hensley was excused

Committee staff present: Lynne Holt, Legislative Research Department  
Mary Torrence, Revisor of Statutes  
Jeanne Eudaley, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:  
Louis Stroup, Kansas Municipal Utilities  
Barbara Hueter, Enron  
Chris Giles, Kansas City Power and Light  
Mike Taylor, City of Wichita  
Earnest Lehman, Western Resources  
Kim Gulley, League of Municipalities  
Dave Dittimore, Kansas Corporation Commission  
J. C. Long, Utilicorp United  
Jon Miles, Kansas Electric Cooperatives  
Walker Hendrix, Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board

Others attending: See attached list

Sen. Ranson announced copies of "Project DESERVE Three-Year Summary" (Attachment 1) have been distributed to committee members. She also acknowledged members of the Kansas State Nurses Association who are guests of the committee today.

Sen. Ranson then announced the committee will hear:  
**SB 502-concerning retail electric bills to consumers; providing for disclosure of certain components**

The following appeared as proponents:

Louis Stroup, (Attachment 2) ( includes amendment);  
Barbara Hueter, (Attachment 3) (includes amendment);  
Chris Giles, (Attachment 4)  
Mike Taylor, (Attachment 5)  
Earnest Lehman, (Attachment 6) (includes amendment);  
Kim Gulley, (Attachment 7)  
David Dittimore, (Attachment 8)  
J. C. Long, (Attachment 9)  
Jon Miles, (Attachment 10)  
Walker Hendrix, (Attachment 11)

There were no opponents.

Committee members questioned Mr. Stroup about amendments discussed in his testimony, especially the listing of the three components to be disclosed and also his amendment regarding inside and outside the three mile radius and voting rights. In answer to a question from Sen. Barone, Mr. Stroup stated that companies involved in this legislation would voluntarily unbundle and are supportive of unbundling, even if it has not been ordered by the Corporation Commission. Sen. Clark asked Mr. Stroup if any of the electrical coops hired outside firms to do their billing, and Mr. Stroup answered he does not know since other utilities, such as water and sewer, are billed on the same bill; he added that would be for the cities to determine.



CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON UTILITIES, Room 531- -N, Statehouse, at 1:30 p.m. on February 12, 1998.

In answer to a question from Sen. Barone, Ms. Hueter stated in her testimony that she referred to rates as meaning the same as electric service. She also explained to the committee what "smart meters" are and how widespread they are being used. She also explained reasons for adding "customer service" charges as one of the components to be unbundled. Mr. Giles stated support for the amendment which will be proposed by Western Resources and emphasized two items of concern, the first being to allow two years after the Corporation Commission issues Rules to unbundle, and the second is to allow recovery of the costs, possibly through a surcharge. Sen. Ranson discussed "transactional taxes" and Ms. Torrence stated that would include sales, use and franchise taxes; Sen. Ranson suggested the term "transactional taxes" be defined in the bill.

Mr. Lehman stated support of the bill and referred to the amendment attached to his testimony, which involves the changes Mr. Giles suggested, to allow additional time after the Rules are completed for the recovery of reasonable costs. Sen. Ranson questioned Mr. Lehman regarding redesign of the billing system and how involved that will be. Mr. Lehman discussed the problems and stated Western Resources would probably have to redesign their billing system, once or twice; he also discussed the time involved and costs. He also proposed a waiver process that could be granted by the Corporation Commission and stated extreme concern in meeting the deadline as it exists in the bill. Sen. Ranson indicated interest in inserting a waiver process in the bill and requested staff to work on a draft. Sen. Ranson also questioned Ms. Gulley regarding representation of all cities in this process, and Ms. Gulley assured her that the League of Municipalities represents 527 member cities out of 627; that they also represent the 100, who aren't members, in cases as this one.

Mr. Dittmore stated support from the Corporation Commission for the bill and outlined two major reasons - it is a vehicle to provide customer education and it requires the Commission to establish prices for unbundled services, prior to implementing generation competition. He also recommended additional time for implementation of the bill, in Subsections B and C and also recommended that indirect taxes be eliminated as a separate line item on customer bills. Mr. Long also stressed support for the bill and stated the importance of customer education. He spoke of costs to his company in redesigning the billing system and pointed out that the fewer components required to be broken apart on the bill, the less expensive it would be.

Mr. Miles stated his hope that the rulemaking by the Corporation Commission be a collaborative effort with industry given opportunities to participate and have input into the process. He rejected the suggestion of metering being included in the components, as many of his associations' customers read their own meter and encouraged the committee to focus on restructuring the generation portion. Mr. Hendrix endorsed the amendment suggested by Ms. Hueter regarding the customer service charge and spoke of developing billing formats and future technology involving use of the Internet and other devices. He stated that meter reading should reduce costs to the customer. He referred to an article from the Public Utilities Fortnightly, February 1, 1998 issue entitled, "Integrating Metering & Information Systems", which is attached to his testimony. He encouraged the committee to look to technology and what it may offer in the future. He added that an important issue is how to allocate stranded costs/investment.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30.

The next meeting is scheduled for February 16, 1998.

Senate Utilities  
2-24-98  
Attach. 3

SENATE BILL No. 502

By Committee on Utilities

1-26

9 AN ACT concerning retail electric bills to consumers; providing for dis-  
10 closure of certain components.

11  
12 *Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:*

13 Section 1. (a) As used in this section:

14 (1) "Commission" means the state corporation commission.

15 (2) "Competitive transition charges" means any charges authorized  
16 by law to be assessed to retail electric consumers to recover costs, liabil-  
17 ities and investments that an electric public utility, electric cooperative  
18 or municipal electric utility would reasonably expect to recover under the  
19 existing regulatory structure but that would not otherwise be recovered  
20 as a result of implementation of competition in retail sales of generation  
21 service.

22 (3) "Distribution services" means services provided from the point  
23 where electricity enters the distribution system to the point at which the  
24 electricity is delivered to consumers.

25 (4) "Generation services" means provision of electricity and capacity  
26 to generate electricity but does not include transmission or distribution  
27 services.

28 (5) "Electric cooperative" means an electric cooperative public utility  
29 that is not subject to the jurisdiction of the commission.

30 (6) "Electric public utility" means an electric public utility, as defined  
31 by K.S.A. 66-101a and amendments thereto, that is subject to the juris-  
32 diction of the commission.

33 (7) "Transmission services" means services provided from the point  
34 where electricity is generated to the point at which the electricity enters  
35 the distribution system.

36 (8) "Universal service charges" means any charges authorized by law  
37 to be assessed to retail electric consumers to recover costs of public ben-  
38 efits related to provision of electricity.

39 (b) Before January 1, 1999, the commission shall adopt rules and  
40 regulations requiring that, on and after ~~January 1, 2000~~ an electric public  
41 utility's retail electric bills to consumers shall disclose the components  
42 specified by subsection (d) and such other components as the commission  
43 determines will adequately inform consumers.

(3) "Customer services" means services to provide for the functions of metering and billing to customers, as well as administrative fees. [Enron]

but does not include any municipal electric utility or any portion thereof (KMU/ League)

(7) "Transactional taxes" means sales, use and franchise taxes. [Sen. Ranson]

January 1, 2001 [Western Resources, KCPL]

July 1, 2000 [KCC]

The commission may waive the date for compliance with the requirements of this subsection upon application of a utility and a showing of good cause for the utility's failure to comply by the date established by this subsection. If the commission waives the date for compliance by a utility, the commission shall set a later date by which the utility must comply with the requirements of this subsection. [Sen. Barone]

January 1, 2001 [Western Resources, KCPL]

July 1, 2000 [KCC]

1 (c) On and after ~~January 1, 2000~~  
2 (1) An electric cooperative public utility's retail electric bills to con-  
3 sumers shall disclose the components specified by subsection (d) and such  
4 additional components as the cooperative determines will adequately in-  
5 form consumers, ~~and~~

. The cooperative may, for good cause, waive the date for compliance with the requirements of this subsection. If the cooperative waives the date for compliance, the cooperative shall set a later date by which the cooperative must comply with the requirements of this subsection. [Sen. Barone]

6 (2) a municipal electric utility's retail electric bills to consumers shall  
7 disclose the ~~components specified by subsection (d), the component at-~~  
8 ~~tributable to budgeted transfers to the city general fund and~~ such addi-  
9 tional components as the governing body of the municipality determines  
10 will adequately inform consumers.

following: (A) Generation service charges and purchased power costs; (B) distribution and transmission service charges; (C) the amount attributable to budgeted transfers to the city general fund; and (D) [KMU, League]

11 (d) The following components are required to be disclosed pursuant  
12 to subsections (b) and (c):

. The governing body of a municipality owning or operating a municipal electric utility may, for good cause, waive the date for such utility's compliance with the requirements of this subsection. If the date for compliance is waived, the governing body shall set a later date by which the utility must comply with the requirements of this subsection. [Sen. Barone]

- 13 (1) Generation service charges;
- 14 (2) distribution service charges;
- 15 (3) transmission service charges;
- 16 (4) competitive transition charges, if any;
- 17 (5) universal service charges, if any;

18 (6) transactional taxes relating to the sale or furnishing of electricity  
19 at retail, ~~and~~

(6) customer service charges [Enron]

20 ~~(7) the portion of the bill attributable to other taxes included in rates.~~

strike [KCC]

21 Sec. 2. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its  
22 publication in the ~~statute book~~

(\_) All reasonable costs of complying with the provisions of this section shall be recoverable through a competitive transition charge to be determined by the commission, except to the extent that the commission determines recovery will be completed through regulated rates. [Western Resources, KCPL]

(\_) The commission may waive the date for compliance with the requirements of subsection (b) or (c) upon application of a utility and a showing of good cause for the utility's failure to comply by the date established by this section. If the commission waives the date for compliance by a utility, the commission shall set a later date by which the utility must comply with the requirements of this section. [Sen. Barone]

Kansas register [Utilicorp]