

Approved: 3/25/96
Date

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION.

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Bill Mason at 3:30 p.m. on March 12, 1996 in Room 519-S of the Capitol.

All members were present except: Pat Pettey (excused)
Tony Powell (excused)

Committee staff present: Ben Barrett, Legislative Research Department
Avis Swartzman, Revisor of Statutes
Beverly Renner, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee: Dr. Steve Jordan, Executive Director-Kansas Board of Regents
Dr. Dennis Miller
Representative Kay O'Connor

Others attending: See attached list

Chairman Mason opened the hearing on SB 670-concerning state agencies, write off of account and taxes receivable, state educational institutions and SB 714-concerning state educational institutions, regulation and control of traffic and parking.

Dr. Steve Jordan, Executive Director-Kansas Board of Regents addressed the committee as a proponent for both SB 670 and SB 714 (Attachment 1). These bills were a result of a review of Board policy that recommended delegation of responsibility from the Board of the presidents and chancellor. SB 670 authorizes the inclusion of the chief executive officers for the purpose of writing off accounts receivable. SB 714 permits the Board to delegate to the chief executive officers the authority to regulate parking and control of traffic on various campuses of the universities.

Chairman Mason closed the hearing on SB 670 and SB 714.

Representative Tanner moved SB 670 and SB 714 out favorably and be placed on the consent calendar. Representative Morrison seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Chairman Mason opened the meeting for a briefing on HB 2861-concerning Kansas parent control of education act.

Dr. Dennis Miller, Olathe, spoke in favor of school vouchers (Attachment 2). He related the experience of his family and the concerns he feel will be addressed by the implementation of school vouchers. Many families do not have the time or money to choose home schooling or private education. A voucher system would allow those who decide against public education to use some of the taxes they pay to be returned to them for tuition at a school of their choice.

Representative O'Connor gave a slide presentation summarizing HB 2861, the parent control of education act (Attachment 3). Vouchers would be phased in over six years with eligibility requirements. The voucher value would be a percentage of the sixth year amount and calculated according to grade level. Public schools are protected from financial loss due to voucher usage. The bill also has a college trust fund usable at any college or university in Kansas. Voucher students must show academic progress for continued eligibility. Home schoolers may also use a voucher. Representative O'Connor explained a graph of projected costs (Attachment 4) and results of a 1995 voucher survey (Attachment 5).

Representative Tanner made a motion to approve minutes submitted for February 22, 23 and 28, 1996. Representative Horst seconded. Motion carried.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

The next meeting is scheduled for March 13, 1996.

HOUSE EDUCATION COMMITTEE
TESTIMONY ON SENATE BILLS 670 AND 714

Stephen M. Jordan, Executive Director
Kansas Board of Regents

Mr. Chairman, Members of the Committee

I. Appreciate the opportunity to appear before the Committee to discuss Senate Bills 670 and 714. Regent Hiebert, Chairman of the Board of Regents, joins me here today and is also available to answer any questions you may have.

II. Background on the Bills

1. In May 1994 the Board of Regents asked the Council of Presidents to review the Board Policy Manual and make recommendations for simplifying Board Policy and for further delegation of responsibility from the Board of the presidents and chancellor. The Council of Presidents referred the matter to both the Council of Business Officers and the Council of Chief Academic Officers. On February 15, 1995 the Council of Presidents scheduled a special meeting of review the recommendations of the Council of Business Officers and the Council of Chief Academic Officers with the staff of the Board of Regents. The discussions resulted in amendments to some items, several items were referred back to the Councils and several items were dropped from further consideration. Both the Council of Presidents and the staff concurred in the policy changes which were then forwarded to the Chairman of the Board for review and consideration. The Chairman asked two other members of the Board for comments and, as a result, several additional changes were suggested and incorporated, particularly in the areas of out-of-state travel for chief executive officers, internal auditing, use of private funds to support capital construction and discretionary funds provided to chief executive officers from affiliated corporations.

(2) At the June 1995 meeting of the Board of Regents, the Board adopted the proposed changes to the Board Policy Manual, and also recommended that three statutory changes be sought to:

(a) Change KSA 75-3728a to permit delegation of write-off of uncollectible accounts receivable to the chief executive officers;

(b) Change statutes to permit delegation of easements to the chief

House Education
3/12/96
Attachment 1

executive officers; and

- (c) Change statutes to permit delegation of parking and traffic regulation to the chief executive officers.
- (3) The two bills before you today, Senate Bills 670 and 714 would accomplish two of these three objectives. The third objective would be accomplished through Senate Bill 669 which is currently assigned to the House Appropriations Committee.
- (a) SB 670 amends KSA 75-3728a to include the chief executive officers along with agency heads such as the Secretaries of Administration and Social and Rehabilitation Services and other agency heads approved by the Director of Accounts and Reports for the purpose of writing-off accounts receivable. This authority would not change the requirement for these accounts to go through the state's collection process prior to being written off.
 - (b) SB 714 amends several sections of the Kansas Statutes Annotated to permit the Board to delegate to the chief executive officers the authority to regulate parking and control of traffic on various campuses of the universities.

III. Conclusion

The Board believes that these two statutory changes are consistent with its desire to provide its chief executive officers with the authority to manage the daily operations of the campuses while the Board focuses its attention on matters of policy. I would note that SB 714 is a delegation from the Board to the CEO's, which permits the Board to specify the conditions of the delegation, and if necessary for any reason, to modify or withdraw the delegation. SB 670 simply entrusts the presidents and chancellor with the same authority as several other state agency heads. Mr. Chairman, I would be pleased to respond to specific questions the Committee may have.



Miller
Pain Relief Center

*Proven chiropractic solutions for
back, neck, and joint pain*

Dear Mr. Chairman and Committee Members:

My name is Dennis Miller, and I thank you for this opportunity to speak in support of the concept of school vouchers. I am a father of four wonderful children ages 14, 11, 9 and 6.

Eight years ago my wife and I made the decision to move to Leawood where our children could attend school in the Blue Valley School District. We both felt this was the best place to raise our children. Well, the first two years met our expectations, but the third year the district made a drastic switch in their curriculum with less than satisfactory results in our opinion. We felt they had taken a very successful program and scraped it unnecessarily.

After one year under the new curriculum, I was preparing to run for the school board when my wife decided she wanted to homeschool. After an initial rocky start with the school district over the legalities of home schooling, we are now well into our fifth year of home schooling and happy with this decision.

We have two concerns, however, which would be addressed by the implementation of school vouchers. The first concern is for the families who are unhappy with the public school system but essentially have no choice but to remain in it due to personal circumstances. A school voucher and an open enrollment policy with local control over curriculum would work toward eliminating much of this concern.

The second concern is for those of us who decide against public education, who pay a large portion of our taxes toward education, yet are locked out of the educational funding loop. Is it not fair to establish a voucher system allowing those taxpaying parents who have school age children to apply that voucher to the school of their choice. We all know that education is becoming too expensive, and we also know that competition, as a rule, drives cost down and raises quality.

I do not oppose public education; quite the contrary. I feel that bringing increased funding in to the private school will only help to improve the quality of our public schools in the long term. I urge you to seriously consider the school voucher concept and am willing to address any questions you may have.

Dr. Dennis W. Miller, D.C.

House Education
3/12/96
Attachment 2

Advanced Proficiency Rating in Gentle Activator Method; Board of Directors: Palmer International Alumni Association;

Member: American Chiropractic Association, Kansas Chiropractic Association;

Certified: Spinal Impairment Rating, Spinal Disability Rating; Over 15 Years of Experience

Effective care
for pain that
just keeps
coming back

Back, neck,
and joint pain

Headaches

Sinus pain

TMJ

Stress

Carpal
tunnel
syndrome

Keeping
care
convenient

Morning,
evening,
and Saturday
appointments

Walk-ins
welcome

Same-day
appointments
available

24-hour
emergency
care

Insurance
filed for you

Easy
payment
plans

Major
credit
cards
welcome

SUMMARY:

PARENT CONTROL

OF

EDUCATION ACT

House Education
3/12/96
Attachment 3

VOUCHER VALUE

- Phased in over six years
- Will be a percentage of the final sixth year amount
- Calculated according to the student's grade levels

FINAL YEAR VALUE

- The 'sixth year', fully phased-in amount is the base:

K	25% of the Kansas base per pupil*	\$ 906.50
1-6	50% of the Kansas base per pupil*	1,813.00
7-8	75% of the Kansas base per pupil*	2,719.50
9-12	100% of the Kansas base per pupil*	3,626.00

(150% of the base per pupil for special education children = \$5,439.00)

(*Kansas State Base Amount subject to change by Legislature)

So, voucher value phase-in is as follows:

Year	<u>K</u>	<u>1-6</u>	<u>7-8</u>	<u>9-12</u>	<u>Sp. Ed.</u>
Year 1					
50% of final year	\$453.25	\$ 906.50	\$1359.75	\$1813.00	2719.50
Year 2					
60% of final year	543.90	1167.80	1631.70	2175.60	3263.40
Year 3					
70% of final year	634.55	1269.10	1903.65	2538.20	3807.30
Year 4					
80% of final year	725.80	1450.40	2175.60	2900.80	4351.20
Year 5					
90% of final year	815.85	1631.70	2447.55	3263.40	4895.10
Year 6					
100% of final year	906.50	1813.00	2719.50	3626.00	5339.00

- The eligibility requirements for vouchers are phased-in, as well.

Year 1:	current public schoolers, <u>free</u> lunch qualified (guarantees no cost ... only savings)
Year 2:	public and limited number of private schoolers, <u>free</u> lunch qualified
Year 3:	public and limited number of private schoolers, <u>reduced</u> lunch qualified
Year 4:	same as year three
Year 5:	same as year three
Year 6:	all Kansas citizens

PUBLIC SCHOOL PROTECTION

- The public schools are protected from any great financial loss because in the first year no public district can lose more than 10% (smaller percent in smaller districts) of its previous year's student population due to voucher use.

- This bill also has a college trust fund that is a necessary incentive to keep private school tuition as low as possible.
- This is an incentive to keep tuitions from being raised to match the value of the voucher.

COLLEGE TRUST FUND

- If the value of the voucher is, for example, worth \$2,000, and the tuition is only \$1,500, the \$500 difference would be deposited in a State trust fund in the name of the child. When the student graduates from high school, the money would be available for fees at any college or university in Kansas.
- In the event of premature death, or upon reaching the age of 26, any unused funds return to the State. Also, any interest earned stays with the State.

ACCOUNTABILITY

- Students who use a voucher are required to show academic progress for continued eligibility.
- Assessed through Iowa Test of Basic Skills, California Achievement Test, Stanford Achievement Test, or other Board approved test.
- The situation of special needs students is addressed.

SCHOOL ACCREDITATION/TEACHER CERTIFICATION

- Since the student will be tested for academic progress, there is no requirement in the bill for school accreditation or teacher certification.
- The parents, students, and schools are free to choose these professional services if they wish.

HOMESCHOOLERS

- Homeschoolers (who are currently recognized in Kansas as attending a private school in the home) may also use a voucher just as any other private school student who otherwise qualifies.
- Note, this is a may, not a shall!

- It is important to mention, too, that there are no mandates; no school or student is required to use a voucher.
- Schools still must follow current law, though, regarding safety, discrimination, or teaching illegal subjects (such as animal torture, treasonous activities like terrorism, etc.)

- Public school average costs now over \$6,000 per pupil
- Kansas private schools average costs per pupil less than \$2,000
- More voucher use means more savings to taxpayers
- Competition results in better product (education)
- Voucher popularity can leverage reduction of government regulations and more local control in public schools

Projected Costs of State Vouchers under House Bill 2861, as Introduced

	1996-97 Students		1997-98 Students		1998-99 Students		1999-2000 Students		2000-01 Students		2001-02 Students	
	Nonpublic	Public	Nonpublic	Public	Nonpublic	Public	Nonpublic	Public	Nonpublic	Public	Nonpublic	Public
Est. Enroll. Accredited *(prior yr.)	29980	--	30505	--	31039	--	31582	--	32135	--	33099	--
Est. Enroll. Nonaccredited**(prior yr.)	15197	--	15500	--	15965	--	16444	--	16937	--	17445	--
Total Est. Enroll. (not including increase)	45177	--	46005	--	47004	--	48026	--	49072	--	50544	--
Est. no. of students who would go from public to private schools	828	--	999	--	1022	--	1046	--	1472	--	1516	--
Total Enrollment	46005	--	47004	--	48026	--	49072	--	50544	--	52060	--
Percent of free meals***	--	23.96	--	23.96	--	23.96	--	23.96	--	23.96	--	--
Percent of reduced price meals***	--	--	--	--	--	7.74	--	7.74	--	7.74	--	--
Est. no. of eligible students (Excluding special education)	807	--	6875	--	10302	--	10529	--	10842	--	50758	--
Est. no. of special education (2 1/2% of total)	21	--	176	--	264	--	270	--	278	--	1302	--
Total	828	828	7051	999	10566	1022	10795	1046	11120	1472	52060	1516
State appropriation	\$912,288	(\$3,602,794)	\$8,724,375	(\$4,346,849)	\$16,485,618	(\$4,446,926)	\$19,820,342	(\$4,551,355)	\$23,475,015	(\$6,404,966)	\$124,239,827	(\$6,596,419)
Total appropriation	\$2,690,506		\$4,377,526		\$12,038,692		\$15,271,987		\$17,070,049		\$117,643,408	
Less declining enrollment provision	(\$900,699)		(\$1,086,712)		(\$1,111,732)		(\$1,137,839)		(\$1,601,242)		(\$1,649,105)	
Net est. state cost	(\$1,789,807)		\$5,464,238+++		\$14,150,424		\$16,409,836		\$18,671,291		\$119,292,513	
Amount per pupil												
Regular educ.	1,088		1,269		1,541		1,813		2,085		2,357	
Special educ.	1,632		1,904		2,312		2,720		3,128		3,536	

* The estimated enrollment was increased by 1 3/4% for 1996-97 through 1999-2000 school years and 3% for 2001-02 school year.


** This is strictly an estimate. The increase was based on 2% for 1996-97, 3% for 1997-98 through 2001-02.

*** Based on 1993-94 data for public schools. Private school figures were estimated by representatives of private schools.

++ We would specifically call your attention to Section 3(d)(1) which states that during the 1997-98 school year the State Board of Education would only award vouchers to parents in the program with eligible children who attended nonpublic schools in the order in which the applications are received and until the amount of savings realized by the state due to maintenance of the program in the 1996-97 school year is depleted, which would be \$1,789,807.

House Education
 3/12/96
 Attachment 4

1995 Private School, Fall - Voucher Survey Participants



Compiled by The Taxpayer's Voice for Educational Reform

House Education
3/12/96
Attachment 5

1995 Private School Voucher Survey

Private School Survey	City	Zip	Ran. Std.	K-6 Tuition	7-12 Tuition	K-6 Cost	7-12 Cost	Acrd.	Vou. Int.	K-6 Addit.	7-12 Seats	Sch.	Nam.
Sacred Heart School	Kansas City	67560	K-8	450	450	2204	2204	Yes	10	30	10	Yes	Yes
St. Paul's Lutheran School	Cheney	67025	P-8	540	540	2550	2550	Yes	9	5	5	Yes	Yes
St. Andrew's School	Independence	67301	K-8	900		1800		Yes	9	55	0	Yes	Yes
Immanuel Lutheran School	Junction City	66441	K-8	1125		1500		Yes	9.5	50	0	Yes	Yes
Holy Savior Catholic Academy	Wichita	67214	K-12	1600	1600	2200	2200	Yes	9	25	10	Yes	Yes
Central Christian Academy	Wichita	67226	K-8	2500	2700	2425	2600	Yes	7	5	30	Yes	Yes
Holy Cross Lutheran School	Wichita	67208	P-8	1338	2177			Yes	6	35	0	Yes	Yes
St. Anne's School	Wichita	67217	P-8	Tithe		2286		Yes	9	25	0	Yes	Yes
Blessed Sacrament School	Wichita	67208	K-8	Tithe		2200		Yes	8	4	0	Yes	Yes
Topeka Lutheran School Assn.	Topeka	66606	K-8	1600	2700	1600	2700	Yes	10	35	0	Yes	Yes
Christ the King School	Kansas City	66104	K-8	2100	2100	2400	2400	Yes	10	100	50	Yes	Yes
Our Lady of Unity School	Kansas City	66106	K-8	900	1350	1739	1739	Yes	10	43	50	Yes	Yes
St. John/Holy Family School	Kansas City	66101	P-8	900		2600	2800	Yes	10	45	20	Yes	Yes
St. Matthew's Parish School	Topeka	66605	K-8	Tithe		2000	2000	Yes	10	46	14	Yes	Yes
Holy Trinity School	Lenexa	66215	K-8	1200		1689		Yes	10	50	0	Yes	Yes
Sacred Heart School	Topeka	66616	K-8	1860		1500		Yes	10	59	0	Yes	Yes
Topeka Adventist School	Topeka	66614	K-8	1700		3000		Yes	8	15	10	Yes	Yes
Heart Ministries, Inc. (Boarding)	Hutchinson	67504	6-12		Donations		8500	No	10	0	10	Yes	Yes
Emporia Christian School	Emporia	66801	K-10	2460	2460	2500	2500	No	10	30	5	Yes	Yes
Emmanuel Christian School	Salina	67401	P-10	1150	1300	1050	1200	No	7	50	100	Yes	Yes
Holy Cross Catholic School	Overland Park	66212	K-8	1500	1500	2650	2650	Yes	9	20	0	Yes	Yes
Manhattan Catholic Schools	Manhattan	66502	K-8	1140	1300	2276	2276	Yes	10	166	0	Yes	Yes
Faith Christian Learning Culture	Topeka	66617	K-11	1800	1800	1800	1800	No	8	60	40	Yes	Yes
Trinity High School	Hutchinson	67501	7-12		Donations		3600	Yes	9	0	50	Yes	Yes
Maur Hill Prep School	Atchison	66002	9-12		2200		6800	Yes	10	0	20	Yes	Yes
Mount St. Scholastica Academy	Atchison	66002	9-12		1700		4000	Yes	10	0	40	Yes	Yes
Bishop Ward High School	Kansas City	66102	9-12		3030		4200	Yes	8	0	100	Yes	Yes
Kapaun Mount Carmel High School	Wichita	67206	9-12		5500		5500	Yes	7	0	20	Yes	Yes
Thomas Moore Prep - Marian	Hays	67601	9-12		2350		4500	Yes	10	0	100	Yes	Yes
St. Teresa Catholic Grade School	Hutchinson	67501	P-6	Tithe		1750		Yes	10	30	0	Yes	Yes
Holy Cross Catholic School	Hutchinson	67502	P-6	Tithe		2000		Yes	10	51	0	Yes	Yes
Holy Name School	Coffeyville	67337	K-6	Tithe		1336		Yes	10	20	0	Yes	Yes
Saint Joseph's School	McPherson	67460	P-6	1200		2000		Yes	10	70	0	Yes	Yes
St. Joseph's Grade School	Oakley	67748	P-6	750		2175		Yes	10	65	0	Yes	Yes
St. Cecilia School	Haysville	67060	K-6	1500		1500		Yes	10	29	0	Yes	Yes
St. Dominic School	Garden City	67846	K-5	750		2000		Yes	8	16	0	Yes	Yes
Sacred Heart Grade School	Colby	67701	P-5	850		2890		Yes	10	75	0	Yes	Yes

5-2

1995 Private School Voucher Survey

Private School Survey	City	Zip	Ran. Std.	K-6 Tuition	7-12 Tuition	K-6 Cost	7-12 Cost	Acrd.	Vou. Int.	K-6 Addit.	7-12 Seats		
Saint Patrick School	Chanute	66720	P-5	1500		2000		Yes	8	30	0	Yes	Yes
St. Joseph Elementary School	Hays	67601	K-5	1250		1967.21		Yes	8	50	0	Yes	Yes
St. Mary's Catholic School	Fort Scott	66701	K-5	540		2800		Yes	10	35	0	Yes	Yes
Sacred Heart School	Arkansas City	67005	K-5	1250		1700		Yes	10	6	0	Yes	Yes
Central Christian School	Hutchinson	67502	P-12	2125	2605	3290	3290	Yes	8	50	50	Yes	Yes
St. Xavier School	Junction City	66441	P-12	1675	1675	2400	2400	Yes	10	75	75	Yes	Yes
Independence Bible School	Independence	67301	K-12	950	1100	2427.33	2427.33	Yes	10	15	60	Yes	Yes
Paola Christian Academy	Paola	66071	K-12	1000	1000	1000	1000	No	10	27	23	Yes	Yes
Overland Christian Schools	Overland Park	66204	P-12	1835	2100	4802	4802	Yes	10	25	45	Yes	Yes
St. John the Baptist	Beloit	67420	P-12			1643	3632	Yes	9	50	75	Yes	Yes
Elyria Christian School	McPherson	67460	K-12	1200	1320	2000	2000	No	3	24	75	Yes	Yes
Tyro Community Christian School	Tyro	67364	K-12	1408	1562	1418	1418	No	8	10	20	Yes	Yes
Apostolic Academy	Junction City	66441	K-12	900	900	900	900	No	6	40	20	Yes	Yes
Enterprise Seventh-day Adventist	Enterprise	67441	1-8	1450	1450	2595	2595	Yes	8	30	0	Yes	Yes
Trinity Lutheran School	Hanover	66945	1-8			2300	2300	Yes	10	10	8	Yes	Yes
St. John's Catholic School	Greeley	66033	1-8	235	235	2439.38	2439.38	Yes	10	45	0	Yes	Yes
Holy Angels School	Garnett	66032	1-8	325	325	2338	2338	Yes	9	40	10	Yes	Yes
Sts. Peter & Paul Grade School	Seneca	66538	1-8	780	780	2311	2311	Yes	10	30	0	Yes	Yes
Sacred Heart Cathedral School	Dodge City	67801	K-8	400		1527		Yes	10	30	22	Yes	Yes
Xavier Elementary School	Leavenworth	66048	P-8	1100	1700	1700	1700	Yes	9	73	12	Yes	Yes
St. Mary's School	Derby	67037	K-8			2200	2200	Yes	10	60	0	Yes	Yes
Cure' of ARS School	Leawood	66206	P-8	Tithe	Tithe	2200	2200	Yes	8	0	0	Yes	Yes
Saint Joseph School	Shawnee	66203	K-8	855	855	2000	2000	Yes	9	0	0	Yes	Yes
			K-8	1800	1800	1800	1800	Yes	4	80	30	No	No
			P-8	1240	1240	1590	1590	Yes	10	160	30	No	No
			P-8			1822	1822	Yes	7	7	3	No	No
			K-8	1900	1900	1800	1800	Yes	8	30	20	No	No
			P-8	Tithe	Tithe	2200	2200	Yes	10	5	4	No	No
			P-8	1400	1400	2500	3000	Yes	10	23	8	No	No
			K-8	1670		2700		Yes	5	5	5	No	No
			P-8	2000	2000	2700	2700	Yes	10	60	20	No	No
			K-8	810	810	2500	2500	Yes	5	10	9	No	No
			K-8	720	720	1600	1600	Yes	8	40	0	No	No
			K-8	1350	1350	2000	2000	Yes	7	0	0	No	No
			P-8	1200	1200	2500	2500	Yes	9	20	5	No	No
			P-8	2090	2090	2014	2014	Yes	10	65	17	No	No

5-3

1995 Private School Voucher Survey

Private School Survey	City	Zip	Ran. Std.	K-6 Tuition	7-12 Tuition	K-6 Cost	7-12 Cost	Acrd.	Vou. Int.	K-6 Addit.	7-12 Seats		
			1-10	1670	2050	2900	2900	Yes	8	25	40	No	No
			K-9	1800	2500	3500	3500	No	10	30	10	No	Yes
			P-8	700	700	1900	1900	Yes	10	20	15	No	No
			9-12		4300		6480	No	5	0	33	No	No
			9-12		3550		3635	Yes	10	0	75	No	No
			9-12		2250		3650	Yes	10	0	75	No	No
			9-12		3250		3250	Yes	7	0	80	No	No
Berean Christian School	Great Bend	67530	K-6	2200		2100		Yes	4	10	0	No	No
			K-6	1350		1507		No	9	15	0	Yes	No
			P-6			1940		Yes	7	47	0	No	No
			P-6	1040	1300	2300	2300	Yes	5	10	0	No	No
			P-5			2000		Yes	10	20	0	No	No
			K-5	500		1835.26		Yes	10	33	0	No	No
			P-5	3040		3040		Yes	10	25	0	No	No
			P-5	1260		1600		Yes	10	10	0	No	No
Flint Hills Christian School	Manhattan	66505	K-12	3334	3818	2975	2975	No	8	20	15	No	No
			K-12	1700	2000	2000	3700	Yes	5	30	30	No	No
			K-12	1800	1800	2500	2500	No	10	50	50	Yes	Yes
			K-12	1500	1500	1800	1800	No	7	10	6	No	No
Total Count		94								2924	1759		
			Avg.	944.43	1005.65	1783.35	1820.71			30.46	18.32		
			Stdv.	802.77	1170.68	960.01	1709.39						

5-4