Approved: 2-7-95

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE.

The meeting was called to order by Chair Sandy Praeger at 10:00 a.m. on January 30, 1995 in Room 526-S of the Capitol.

All members were present except:

Senator Hardenburger, Excused

Senator Jones, Excused Senator Walker, Excused

Committee staff present: Emalene Correll, Legislative Research Department

Bill Wolff, Legislative Research Department

Norman Furse, Revisor of Statutes Jo Ann Bunten, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Patsy Johnson, Board of Nursing

Mary Ann Gabel, Executive Director, Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board Gene Johnson, Kansas Alcoholism and Drug Addiction Counselors Assn.

Others attending: See attached list

Introduction of bills

Patsy Johnson, Board of Nursing, requested introduction of two bills. The first bill request related to registered nurse anesthetists. Senator Ramirez made a motion the Committee recommend introduction of the proposed legislation, seconded by Senator Papay. The motion carried. The second bill request related to licensure of nurses and mental health technicians. Senator Langworthy made a motion the Committee recommend introduction of the proposed legislation, seconded by Senator Harrington. The motion carried.

Mary Ann Gabel, BSRB, requested introduction of a bill that amends the marriage and family therapy registration act by extending the deadline for grandparenting purposes to January 1, 1996. (Attachment 1) Senator Langworthy made a motion the Committee recommend introduction of the proposed legislation, seconded by Senator Papay. The motion carried.

Hearing on SB 53 - Alcohol and other drug abuse counselors registration act amendments Mary Ann Gabel, BSRB, submitted written testimony in support of SB 53 which amends the alcohol and other drug abuse counselor registration act. (Attachment 2)

During Committee discussion Ms. Gabel noted that expansion of the definition of alcohol and other drug abuse counseling will permit those persons who teach alcohol and drug abuse counseling now and are not qualified for registration will have an opportunity to quality under this legislation. A member called attention to the Governor's statement regarding a moratorium on rules and regulations, and Mrs. Gabel commented that she was advised by her general counsel to proceed as it was not clear if their board would be affected. In response to staff's question on stricken language and insertion of general language relating to approval by the board regarding cousework in subsection (b) (1) of the bill, Ms. Gabel noted that they have had problems applying current law language because educators have told them that this cousework as defined in statute does not exist. It was suggested that staff draft language that would address the competency component relating to this issue.

Gene Johnson, representing the Kansas Alcoholism and Drug Addiction Counselors, submitted written testimony and noted that his organization would support the original language in the bill regarding coursework and that the reference to the three credit hours be deleted. (Attachment 3)

Hearing on SB 85 · Fees collected by the behavioral sciences regulatory board

Mary Ann Gabel, BSRB, appeared in support of <u>SB 85</u> which amends the statutory fee limitations in the social work, psychology licensure acts and the masters level psychology, professional counseling and marriage and family therapy registration acts. Such fee increases were outlined for the Committee as noted in her written testimony. During Committee discussion Ms. Gabel noted that their advisory committees were to inform each profession of such fee changes. (Attachment 4)

The meeting was adjourned at 11:00 a.m.

The next meeting is scheduled for January 31, 1995.

SENATE PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE COMMITTEE GUEST LIST

DATE: 1-38-95

NAME	REPRESENTING
Pat Johnson	Bld Nsg
StaceyEmpson	Hein Ebert : Weir
Chery Hi Kinderknecht	BSRB
Kandie Oliver	BSRB
Mary Ann Gabel	BSRB
Stene Jamson	Ks. A Cololint Orgadown Coursel
RON E) seNborth	/\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
Lim Hulett	
John Federico	Pete Mcbill + Assoc
JOHN S. HomUSH	BSAB (PUBLIC MemBra) CHRISTIAN SCIENCE COMM ON PUBLICATION FOR KS
LETH R LANDIS	ON PUBLICATION FOR KS
	;
	,
	\(\frac{1}{2}\)

V GABEL, MPA, Executive Director

BOARD MEMBERS: Public Members JOHN S. HOMLISH, Ph.D. RONALD D. REINERT EDWARD F. WIEGERS, JD

Psychology Representatives
JOHN G. RANDOLPH, Ph.D.
GERALD K. GENTRY, Ph.D.

Social Work Representatives
THELMA JOHNSON SIMMONS, LMSW
KATHLEEN W. WADDELL, LSCSW



BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES REGULATORY BOARD

712 S Kansas Avenue Topeka, KS 66603-3817 913/296-3240 — FAX 913/296-3112 LICENSED PROFESSIONALS:
Psychologists
Social Workers

RECISTERED PROFESSIONALS:
Masters Level Psychologists
Professional Counselors
Marriage and Family
Therapists
Alcohol and Other Drug
Abuse Counselors

TESTIMONY BEFORE THE SENATE PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE COMMITTEE

BILL REQUEST - SESSION OF 1995

MONDAY, JANUARY 30, 1995

CHAIRPERSON SENATOR SANDY PRAEGER AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am Mary Ann Gabel, Executive Director of the Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board. I am appearing before you on behalf of the board to request that the Senate Public Health and Welfare Committee introduce legislation to amend the marriage and family therapy registration act by extending the deadline for grandparenting purposes to January 1, 1996.

At the time the board began to implement the act, the board satisfied the legal notification requirement by publishing notice in the <u>Kansas Register</u>. In addition to the legal requirement, the board also requested that professional associations publish information concerning the new credentialing act, including application filing deadlines, in their respective newsletters. The following professional associations published articles: Kansas Chapter, NASW (National Association of Social Workers); KPA (Kansas Psychological Association); KAMFT (Kansas Association of Marriage and Family Therapists); and KAPP (Kansas Association of Professional Psychologists). The board went well beyond the legal requirement for notice.

Senate Public Health & Welfare Date: 1-30-95
Attachment No. 1

Testimony Before the SPHWC Bill Request - Session of 1995 January 30, 1995 Page 2

Regardless of the efforts the board expended in attempting to notify known interested professional groups and individuals, the board has continued to receive both requests and arguments from persons who failed to meet the grandparenting filing deadline. A portion of the board's agenda at each of its meetings, subsequent to the filing deadline, has been spend on dealing with these requests and arguments.

Rather than continuing to deal with individual requests on a case-by-case basis, which subjects the board to the possibility of acting capriciously, the board requests that the grandparenting statutory deadline be extended to January 1, 1996. This amendment will permit one last opportunity for persons to be registered under the grandparenting provisions, which waives the examination requirement.

The proposed amendment is attached to this testimony.

Thank you for your time and consideration to the board's request. I welcome any questions you may have.

Attachment

[950127/TESTIMON/RMFTSTAM/#1]

1-2

GABEL, MPA, Executive Director

BOARD MEMBERS: Public Members JOHN S. HOMLISH, PL.D. RONALD D. REINERT EDWARD F. WIEGERS, JD

Psychology Representatives
JOHN G. RANDOLPH, Ph.D.
GERALD K. GENTRY, Ph.D.

Social Work Representatives
THELMA JOHNSON SIMMONS, LMSW
KATHLEEN W. WADDELL, LSCSW



BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES REGULATORY BOARD

712 S Kansas Avenue Topeka, KS 66603-3817 913/296-3240 — FAX 913/296-3112 LICENSED PROFESSIONALS:
Psychologists
Social Workers

RECISTERED PROFESSIONALS:
Masters Level Psychologists
Professional Counselors
Marriage and Family
Therapists
Alcohol and Other Drug
Abuse Counselors

TESTIMONY BEFORE THE SENATE PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE COMMITTEE

SB 53

MONDAY, JANUARY 30, 1995

CHAIRPERSON SENATOR SANDY PRAEGER AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am Mary Ann Gabel, Executive Director of the Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board. I am appearing before you today on behalf of the board and in support of SB 53.

This bill amends the alcohol and other drug abuse counselor registration act by:

- expanding the definition of alcohol and other drug abuse counseling;
- 2) removing specific statutory academic credit coursework requirements and permitting the board to define requisite coursework in rules and regulations;
- 3) extending the grandparenting period to December 31, 1994;
- 4) authorizing the board to establish a separate fee to issue an original registration, which is in addition to an application fee;
- 5) increasing the statutory limitation for application, original issuance, renewal and reinstatement fees to \$150; and
- 6) establishing that all fees are not refundable.

Testimony Before the SPHWC SB 53 Monday, January 30, 1995 Page 2

The amendment (Section 1) to expand the definition of alcohol and other drug abuse counseling permits additional persons, such as academic instructors who would not otherwise qualify, to satisfy the supervised and current practice requirements for registration.

The amendment (Section 2) to remove specific required academic credit coursework and permit the board to define requisite coursework in rules and regulations results from information the board received from educators. In October 1994, the board invited educators from drug and alcohol abuse programs across the state, as well as a representative from SRS-ADAS, to assist in drafting the educational requirements set forth in rules and regulations. These educators advised the board that it is not possible to write regulations to define the requisite academic coursework as currently worded in the statute because the coursework does not exist in any academic program. The educators further advised the board that given the present statutory requirements, no one will be able to qualify for registration, either at the present time or in the immediate future. These educators have provided the board with a listing of content area coursework that can best be set out in regulations.

The amendment (Section 2) to extend the grandparenting period is in keeping with the prolonged implementation of this credentialing program and affords an opportunity to a greater number of persons to qualify for registration under this provision of the act.

The amendment (Section 3) to increase the statutory limitation on fees and to establish a separate fee for original issuance of registration enables the board to set the registration fees at a level that will support this credentialing program. The board has determined that the estimated cost to

Testimony Before the SPHWC SB 53 Monday, January 30, 1995 Page 3

support the program requires a \$75 application fee and \$125 registration, renewal and reinstatement fees. These proposed fees exceed the current statutory limitation and the authorized fees. If the bill is not passed, this credentialing program may not be self-supporting.

The amendment (Section 3) to establish that all fees are not refundable is consistent with other credentialing programs under the board's jurisdiction.

The board also requested and received support for these proposed amendments from the Kansas Alcoholism and Drug Addiction Counselors Association (KADACA). A copy of KADACA's written support is included with this testimony.

I thank you for your time and favorable consideration of this bill and welcome any questions you may have.

[950128/TESTIMONY/SB53/#1]



Kansas Alcoholism and Drug Addiction Counselors Association

B.S.R.B.

November 25, 1994

Mary Ann Gabel Executive Director Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board 712 Kansas Ave. Topeka, KS 66603

Dear Ms. Gabel:

After polling the board of directors of KADACA for their input, I wish to let you know it is the board's collective position that no objections exist in amending K.S.A. 65-6602 (b)(1) to provide academic coursework in a broader sense than that contained in the original legislation. As long as the coursework directly relates to instruction in alcohol/drug addiction counseling as explained during the advisory committee meeting of November 21, 1994, I do not see this change having any impact on the scope and purpose of the legislation.

Secondly, it was the board's collective opinion that no objection exists with regard to expanding the law's definition of alcohol and other drug abuse counseling to include "supervision and the teaching of alcohol and other drug abuse theory, methods, practice and practicum courses," providing, of course, a teacher or instructor is otherwise qualified for registration under the law's provisions.

Sincerely,

Dalyn Schmitt, LMSW, NCAC II, CADC

President

Testimony Senate Bill 53 Senate Health and Welfare Committee

January 30, 1995

To: Chairman, Senator Sandy Praeger

From: Gene Johnson, Kansas Alcoholism and Drug Addiction Counselors

Association, Kansas Alliance on Alcohol and Other Drug Services

Good morning, Madam Chairman and Members of the Committee,

I am Gene Johnson, the Lobbyist for the Kansas Alcoholism and Drug Addiction Counselors Association. Our organization is composed of over 500 members who provide treatment and prevention in the field of alcoholism and drug addiction throughout the State of Kansas. In addition, I represent the Kansas Alliance on Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services. This is an association of program directors and other individuals throughout the State who are interested in the field of alcohol and drug addiction.

During the legislative session of 1992, Senate Bill 458 was passed by that legislature. This created voluntary registration of alcohol and drug abuse counselors. Throughout the past two and a half years efforts have been made to put this Law into effect in order to provide the registration of those who choose to seek such registration under the direction of Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board.

Senate Bill 53 changes some of the academic requirements, originally passed in the 1992 Legislative session. Also, it changes the dates for the Grandfathering provision to December 31, 1994.

Although the Board of Directors of the Kansas Alcoholism and Drug Addiction Counselors Association sees no great harm in these changes, they have scheduled a meeting, today, at 12:00 noon, to discuss the ramifications of such changes. We apologize for not having our ducks in line at the present time but we were unable to establish a meeting time for which all interested people could attend until noon of today, January 30, 1995.

Respectfully,

iene Johnson obbyist

Senate Public Health and Welfare

Date: 1-30-95 Attachment No. 3 MAK

GABEL, MPA, Executive Director

BOARD MEMBERS: Public Members JOHN S. HOMLISH, Ph.D. RONALD D. REINERT EDWARD F. WIEGERS, JD

Psychology Representations JOHN G. RANDOLPH, Ph.D. GERALD K. GENTRY, Ph.D.

Social Work Representatives
THELMA JOHNSON SIMMONS, LMSW
KATHLEEN W. WADDELL, LSCSW



LICENSED PROFESSIONALS:
Psychologists
Social Workers

REGISTERED PROFESSIONALS:
Masters Level Psychologists
Professional Counselors
Marriage and Family
Therapists
Alcohol and Other Drug
Abuse Counselors

BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES REGULATORY BOARD

712 S Kansas Avenue Topeka, KS 66603-3817 913/296-3240 — FAX 913/296-3112

TESTIMONY BEFORE THE SENATE PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE COMMITTEE

SB 85

MONDAY, JANUARY 30, 1995

CHAIRPERSON SENATOR SANDY PRAEGER AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am Mary Ann Gabel, Executive Director of the Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board. I am appearing before you today on behalf of the board and to urge you to support SB 85.

This bill amends the statutory fee limitations in the social work and psychology licensure acts and the masters level psychology, professional counseling and marriage and family therapy registration acts.

The board historically has set credentialing fees based on the members' helping professions' perspective—a sliding scale fee, based on ones ability to pay. A significant increase in complaint filings, with a concurrent increase in costs to investigate and adjudicate complaints, along with an increase in rent, has forced the board to re-examine the manner in which fees are assessed and distribute the costs to administer each credentialing program accordingly.

This bill is necessary to enable the board to set fees at levels that support each individual credentialing program. At the present time, it appears that only the social work licensure program is self-supporting. The fees from this program appear to be subsidizing all other credentialed groups. However,

Senate Public Health & Welfare Date: 1-30-95
Attachment No. 4

Testimony on SB 85 - SPHWC Monday, January 30, 1995 Page 2

the cost to purchase the social work licensure examination will increase with the June 1995 administration; the result of which will place the fee necessary to support this portion of social work licensure at the statutory limitation and will not provide for future increases as needed. Other social work licensure fees are either at or within \$5-\$10 on the statutory limitation. Additionally, during FY'94 the board office reviewed, approved and processed 850 social work continuing education programs at no cost to these sponsors. This gratuitous work function siphons off cash and personnel resources from the entire credentialing program.

The board estimates that without the proposed fee increases, the psychology, professional counselor, and marriage and family therapy credentialing programs will continue to fail to be self-supporting and by the end of FY'97, will create the following deficits in the board's fee fund: Licensed psychology - \$19,292; registered masters level psychology - \$50,004; registered professional counseling - \$3,019; and registered marriage and family therapy - \$10,317. The cumulative total of these deficits is estimated to be \$82,632, which will leave a fee fund balance of \$1,389. At that point in time, the agency will cease to exist; or, severe cuts that impede or prevent the agency from satisfying the legislative mandate to protect the public need to be immediately implemented. [See attached Income and Expense Statement - Exhibit 1]

If the bill passes, the board estimates net increases (or decreases) by the end of FY'97 in each of the credentialing programs as follows: licensed social work - \$184,276; licensed psychology - \$3,932; registered masters level psychology - deficit \$4,644; registered professional counseling - \$8,611; and

Testimony on SB 85 - SPHWC Monday, January 30, 1995 Page 3

registered marriage and family therapy - \$13,284. The cumulative effect will provide a \$205,509 carry-forward balance in the board's fee fund by the end of FY'97, which is less than half of the overall budget.

Section 1 amendments establish a separate fee for original registration and increase the statutory limitation on fees in the professional counselor registration act to \$175, as well as establish a late renewal penalty fee equal to the renewal fee. The fees necessary to support this program—\$75 application (which is not currently authorized) and \$125 original and renewal registration—exceed the current statutory limitation.

Section 2 amendments:

- (1) establish a separate fee for new licensure and reinstatement, and increase the statutory limitation on fees in the social work licensure act to \$150;
- (2) increase the statutory limitation on examination fees to \$200;
- (3) authorize and establish a fee for a new designation of licensure as an "emeritus social worker". [The wording for this new licensure is contained in SB 119];
- (4) authorize the board to establish a fee for board-approved continuing education sponsors in three categories; and
- (5) establish that all fees paid to the board are nonrefundable.

Section 3 amendments establish a separate fee for original registration and increase the statutory limitation on fees in the marriage and family therapy registration act to \$175. The fees necessary to support this

Testimony on SB 85 - SPHWC Monday, January 30, 1995 Page 4

program--\$75 application fee and \$150 original registration and \$150 renewal/reinstatement--are either not authorized or will be at statutory limitation and will not provide for any additional increases.

<u>Section 4</u> amendments establish an application and original license fee and increase the statutory limitation on fees in the psychology licensure act to \$200. The fees necessary to support this program—\$75 application (which is not currently authorized) and \$175 original and renewal licensure—exceed the current statutory limitation.

<u>Section 5</u> amendments increase the licensed psychology late renewal fee to an amount equal to the renewal fee.

Section 6 amendments establish masters level psychology original registration and application fees and increase the statutory limitation on original and renewal fees to \$200. The fees necessary to support this program—\$75 application (which is not currently authorized) and \$175 original and renewal registration—exceed the current statutory limitation. It is important to note that even with these increases, the masters level psychology registration act will continue to incur a deficit balance and will be subsidized by the credentialing fee fund.

I thank you for your time and apologize for the length of this testimony. Again, I ask for your favorable consideration of this bill and I welcome any questions you may have.

FY'94 INC - Based on Percentage Distribution

	CATEGORIES	SW	LP	RMLP	RPC	RHFT	RAODAC	FEE FUND	
FY'93	Balance forward			•			*	\$68,847	\$68,847
FY'94	Revenue:								
	Examinations	\$58,900			\$92	\$0	\$0		\$64,140
	Applications	\$44,744				\$40,440			\$95,000
	Renewals	\$144,588	\$43,888	-	\$1,740	\$0	\$0		\$206,136
	Temp & Late Ren.(est)	\$3,931	\$0	\$3,931	\$0	\$0	\$.0		\$7,862
	Printing/dup (est)	\$768	\$ 768	\$767	\$767	\$0	\$0		\$3,070
	Total Actual Revenue	\$252,931	\$52,820	\$26,618	\$3,399	\$40,440	\$0		\$376,208
	Subtotal Fee Fund (bal forwar plus total actual revenue)		\$ 52 820	\$26,618	\$3.399	\$40,440	\$0	\$68,847	\$ 445.055
	bing corat account teachde)	4232,731	702,020	720,010	70,077	* ,		,	
	Expenses (see attached):	\$189,252	\$43,390	\$40,963	\$21,341	\$32,870	\$28,200		\$356,016
٠	Net Increase (Loss) Fee Fund	\$63,679	\$9,430	(\$14,345)	(\$17,942)	\$7,570	(\$28,200)	\$69,103	\$89,295
	Total Credentialed Persons	4494	422	344	152	337	0		5749
	Percent of Ttl Cred. Persons	0.781701	0.073404	0.059836	0.026439	0.058619	0		

FY'94REVDIST./mag

w/o Statutory Fee Limitation 5 INCOME AND EXPENSE - Based on Percentage Distribution CATEGORIES SW LΡ RMLP RPC RHFT RAODAC FEE FUND TOTAL \$9,430 (\$14,345)(\$17,942) \$7,570 (\$28,200) \$89,295 Balance forward \$63,679 Fee Fund Distribution \$0 \$0 \$0 \$62,884 \$6,219 Subtotal Fee Fund Bal. forward\$126,563 \$15,649 (\$14,345)(\$17,942) \$7,570 (\$28,200) Revenue: \$64,000 \$7,800 \$0 \$160 \$0 \$71,960 Examinations \$0 Applications \$51,396 \$3,640 \$6,720 \$400 \$28,000 \$80,000 \$170,156 \$162,968 Renewals \$146,248 \$0 \$6,240 \$10,480 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,100 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$3,300 Temp&Late Ren.(est) \$1,200 \$498 \$498 \$2,990 Printing/dup (est) \$499 \$499 \$498 \$498 \$263,343 \$11,939 \$15,558 \$11,538 \$28,498 \$80,498 \$411,374 Total Est. Revenue Subtotal Fee Fund (bal forward \$389,906 \$27,588 \$1,213 (\$6,404) \$36,068 \$52,298 \$0 \$500,669 plus total est. revenue) \$281,662 (\$38,846)(\$35,458) (\$6,067)(\$26,277)(\$45,493) \$433,803 Expenses (see attached): Net Increase (Loss) Fee Fund \$108,244 (\$11,258)(\$34,245)(\$12,471) \$9,791 \$6,805 \$66,866 (\$53,030) Exams - not included in rev. 7080 400 1000 390 150 Total Credentialed Persons 4700 440 Percent of Ttl Cred. Persons 0.663842 0.062147 0.055085 0.021186 0.056497 0.141243

SREVDIST.

75

	CATEGORIES	SH	LP	RMLP	RPC	RHFT	RAODAC FEE		
FY '95	Balance forward	\$108,244	(\$11,258)	(\$34,245)(\$12,471)	\$9,791	\$6,805	\$66,866	
FY'96	Revenue:						201 202		
	Examinations	\$64,000		\$0	\$160	-	\$24,000	\$98,040 \$90,540	
	Applications	\$51,700		\$6,800 \$21,280	\$400 \$10,480	\$4,000 \$0	\$24,000 \$0	\$265,308	
	Renewals	\$180,092 \$1,200		\$2,100	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$3,300	
	Temp&Late Ren.(est) Printing/dup (est)	\$517	\$517	\$517	\$516	\$516	\$517	\$3,100	
	Total Est. Revenue	\$297,509	\$65,413	\$30,697	\$11,556	\$6,596	\$48,517	\$460,288	
	Subtotal Fee Fund (bal forwar plus total est. revenue)	d \$405,753	\$54,155	(\$3,548)	(\$915)	\$16,387	\$55,322	\$527,154	
	Expenses (see attached):	\$301,812	(\$42,370)(\$32,616)	(\$6,960)	(\$30,202)	(\$67,414)	\$481,374	. • 6
	Net Increase (Loss) Fee Fund	\$103,941	\$11,785	(\$36,164)	(\$7,875)	(\$13,815))(\$12,092)	\$45,780	
	Ttl Est. Credentialed Persons	4935	462	440	142	450	1200	7629	
	Percent of Ttl Cred. Persons	0.646874	0.060558	0.057675	0.018613	0.058985	0.157295		

FY'96REVDIST.

7 ESTIMATED INCOME AND EXPENSE - Based on Percentage Distribution

CATEGORIES	SW	LP	RMLP	RPC	RMFT	RAODAC F	EE FUND	TOTAL
Balance forward	\$103,941	\$11,785	(\$36,164)	(\$7,875)(\$13,815)	(\$12,092)		\$45,780
Revenue:								
Examinations	\$72,400	\$7,800	\$0	\$160	\$2,080	\$40,000		\$122,440
Applications	\$56,684	\$3,640	\$6,800	\$400	\$4,000	\$16,000		\$87,524
Renewals	\$177,612	\$0	\$12,000	\$10,880	\$27,200	\$21,600		\$249,292
Temp&Late Ren.(est)	\$594	\$0	\$600	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$1,194
Printing/dup (est)	\$ 517	\$517	\$517	\$516	\$517	\$516		\$3,100
Total Est. Revenue	\$307,807	\$11,957	\$19,917	\$11,956	\$33,797	\$78,116		\$463,550
Subtotal Fee Fund (bal forwar plus total est. revenue)		\$23,742	(\$16,247)	\$4,081	\$19,982	\$66,024	\$0	\$509,330
Expenses (see attached):	\$314,292	\$43,034	\$33,757	\$7,100	\$30,299	\$79,459		\$507,941
Net Increase (Loss) Fee Fund	\$97,456	(\$19,292)(\$50,004)	(\$3,019)(\$10,317)	(\$13,435)		\$1,389
Ttl Est. Credentialed Persons	5181	. 485	462	139	. 473	1260		8000
Percent of Itl Cred. Persons	0.647625	0.060625	0.05775	0.017375	0.059125	0.1575		

VDIST.

45-604 Eye-Ease* 45-704 20/20 Buff Made in USA

EOOT- NOTE		_IN	ICR	Œ	AS:	E			_D	EF	IC	LT										11	TO	TA B ID	D	FE	E	F
1	Social Work		18	34	2	76											I			Ī		1		Ţ		Ī	П	
2	Licensed Psychology			3	وا	32					:	;					\perp	L		1	L					\perp	\coprod	L
3	RMLP		-								4	,6	44							<u> </u>	L	$\perp \parallel$		l	Ш			L
4	RPC		***	8	6	61									$ lap{L}$		\perp							1		\perp		L
5	RMFT			1	1 .	84							:		<u> </u>							$\perp \parallel$		31 20	S	20	15	Ļ
6	RAODAC			ī	1 -	65	1			:	i	!									L							L
	KAODAG			-	7	05	Π						:											긻	90	4		1
			П		i	i				1	;		:							Ш						Ш		
										:	i	1														Ц		L
						Ī				:	:		:								$oldsymbol{\perp}$			Ц		Ц		L
	FOOTNOTES:			I		ī					:					\int					\perp	\parallel				Ц	_	\downarrow
	1 New \$75 application and r			200		مع	٤		~~	ا	-		1			-	4	<u></u>	וסר	Wa	\downarrow	fa	es-	Ц	\perp	Ц	\bot	\downarrow
	New 375 apprication and 1					36		11	O1	۲	111	ا					<u> </u>	Ţ		ĽĬ.	1			Ц	ot	Ц	\perp	\downarrow
	2 - New \$75 application with	\$4		Ln	cr	ea	se	_	n_	OI	i.g	l ir	al	1	بالدو	en	se	<i>A</i>	de:	nei	Ma	ı	£e	35.	Ш	\coprod	\perp	\downarrow
		ll							·				j					L	\perp	Ш	\perp	\parallel			\perp	Ц	\perp	\downarrow
	3 - New \$75 application with	\$75		ia	cr	ea	se		n_	01	i!		al	r	eg:	is	۲	er	ı e	wa	ıĿ	£e	es.	\coprod	\perp	\coprod	\downarrow	\downarrow
		11	11	1			1	- 11	İ		İ		-		Ш						L.			LL	4	\coprod	\downarrow	\downarrow
	4 - New \$75 application with	\$50) j	in	¢r	ea	se	_	n	01	ig	ir	al	r	eg.	is	<u>/</u> ‡	eı	<u>ıle</u> r	wa	1	fe	es.	\coprod	$oldsymbol{\perp}$	\coprod	+	\downarrow
											j				\bot		\perp	1	$oldsymbol{\perp}$	Ц	_			\coprod	\bot	\coprod	\bot	\downarrow
	5 - New \$75 application with	\$50	\bigcup_{i}	in	d r	ea	se se	-	n_	re	ne	Wa	1	fe	 e\$-	_0	n]	y.	\perp	\coprod	\perp	_		\coprod	1	\coprod	\bot	\downarrow
		Ľ	Ц											_	\bot		\perp	1	\bot	\coprod	╄	_		igert	$oldsymbol{\downarrow}$	arphi	+	\downarrow
	6 - Establish \$75 application	aı	hd	\$	12	5	dr	i	in	لفا	با	<u>ا</u> و	;is	1/r	ėþ	ew	al	4:	e.	es	\downarrow	_#		₩	+	arphi	+	\dotplus
		<u> </u>	Ц			Ц						L	l !		\bot		ot	_	\downarrow	\coprod	\downarrow	\parallel		₩	+	₩	+	+
		 	Ц	1	L	<u> </u>	\perp			_				_	#	•	Ц	\downarrow	\downarrow	\coprod	+	_	_	╀	\dotplus	₩	+	+
				\perp			\perp			1	: :	+		_	\bot		ot	\downarrow	\downarrow	\coprod	+	\dashv	_	╀	+	\dashv	+	+
		<u> </u>	Ц	1			_			1				igspace	#		Н	\downarrow	\downarrow	\dashv	+	\dashv	_	₩	+	╫	+	+
		<u> </u>		1	_	Ц	_			_		\perp	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	#		\dashv	4	\downarrow	otherpoonup	+	\dashv	_	dash	\dotplus	╀	+	+
		<u> </u>	Ц	1	+	<u>i </u>	_	_		1		1	. :	_	\parallel		ot	+	+	\dashv	+	\dashv	-	+	+	\dashv	+	+
		∥	Ш	1	丄		\downarrow					\downarrow	_ <u>-</u> ;	_	+		\dashv	+	+	╀	+	\dashv	\vdash	╀	+	╁	\dashv	+
<u> </u>		<u> </u>		-	+-	<u>: i</u>	_		_	-	1	\downarrow	<u>.</u>	╀-	\bot		4	+	+	$rac{1}{2}$	+	\dashv	_	\dashv	+	\dashv	+	+
		Д	H	<u> </u>	\perp		\bot	_	_	1	:	\perp		\vdash	\parallel			\dotplus	+	1 !	+	\dashv	_	\dashv	+	╁	\dotplus	+
!				+	_		_	_	<u> </u>	+		\downarrow	·	-	- -		-	<u> </u>	+	1	- -	\dashv	-	\vdash	 	+	<u> </u>	+
		∥	\coprod	<u> </u>	_		_	_	<u> </u>	+		+		+-	-#-		-		+		+		-	╁	<u> </u>	+	+	+
		<u> </u>	Ц		1	!		_	_	+		Ļ		_	- -			!	-	:	+			. I	<u> </u>	+	!	+
		1			1		\downarrow	_	<u> </u>	+		1		_	#		<u> :</u>		+	•	+	\dashv	_	┿	+	+	-	+
		 	. .		+		_ _		<u> </u>	1		-		-	- -		-		\perp	<u>.</u>	-	_	\vdash	+-	 -	+	٠,	+
;		<u> </u>		:	<u> </u>	<u>:</u>	_ _		_	1		\bot		_	-∦-		H	- !	\bot	<u> </u>	+		 	+		+	\dashv	+
1		Ⅱ	Li		_	:	1		<u> </u>	1		_ _		<u> </u>	_#		H	-	+		- -		-	+		+	\dashv	ᅷ
-		II	1:	;	1	:			1					ļ					1		1		ıl	1	L	نــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	4	4

45-634 577-51.92 SOCIAL WORK - PROJECTED INCOME & EXPENSE STATEMENT

Made of USA THROUGH FY'97 W/STATUTORY FEE INCREASES

·γ	CATEGORY	EST NET		EST EXP	FEE FUND
' I	CATEGORI			ESI EAR	
		REVENUE			INCREASE/ (DECREASE)
1:06	Renewals	180,092			
	Renewals	177,612			
	Subtotal Renewals		357,704		
	Jubiotal Relievals		337,704		
		41,400			
	Applications 690 060 Orig License Fee	11,400			
		45,420			
1 11	Applications 757 @60	45,420 1,45,420 56,684			
- 197	Orig License Fee Subtotal Appli & Orig Lic	56,684	195,204		
			552 908		
	Subtotal Lic Fees				
	Miles Bevere		139,228		
709/	Misc Revenue		692 136	#-+++	
	Subtotal Revenue		1 692 136		
-	P. L. D			301 812	
	Est Expenses			314 292	
3 16	Est Expenses				
6-97	Subtotal Expenses				
	Subtotal Net Increase to			+	76,032
	Fee Fund			 	
<u> </u>					
	FY'95 Carry forward from				108,244
	Fee Fund			++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	
				++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	
	Net Increase to Fee Fund				184,276
	End of FY'97			╫┼┼┼┼	
				++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	
				╫╌┼┼┼┼	
-					
!					
				╢┼┼┼	
!				+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
				- - - - - - - - - - - -	
					#
				 	
			 		
				#	
					╶╟ ╶╎╎╎╎ ┼
	•				

45-601 6/9-Easer
45-701 20/20 Built
Made in USA
THROUGH FY'97 W/STATUTORY FEE INCREASES

THROUGH FY'97 W/STATUTORY FEE INCREASES LICENSED-PSYCHOLOGY---PROJECTED-INCOME-4-EXPENSE-STATEMENT-

NE. ,75 APPLICATION FEE & \$175 ORIGINAL/RENEWAL FEES

FY	CATEGORY		T N VEN				<u> </u>		ES	ST_	EX	<u>P</u>	~~~	11	E FU		
														(D	ECRI	EAS	SE)
'96	Renewals 514 @ 140		71	و.	60						\prod	\prod			1:	T	\prod
	Renewals			Ť	0			: :				T			: 1	\prod	
	Subtotal_Renewals	Ħ		T			71	960									
			! ;														
196	Applications 30 @60		1	. 8	300										:		Ш
1	Orig License Fee			2	200						Ц						
197	Applications 30 @60		1	ع إد	000			! . !			Ц			<u> </u>		\perp	
i	Orig License Fee		4	_ 2	200						\coprod			<u> </u>			
	Subtotal_Appli_6_Orig_Lic			I			12	000			\coprod	$\frac{1}{1}$		 		4	
<u> </u>	11			1	 						\bot	\bot				+	
6-97	Misc Revenue	$\parallel \perp \mid$	\sqcup	\downarrow	111-		16	634	_	-	+	++		#		+	- -
	Subtotal_Revenue			4	++-	#∤-3	100	594	#-	${f H}$	++	+	+-	#-		+	H
				+		$\parallel - \parallel$			#-	╟	+	+	+	#	H	+	H
	Est Expenses			+			,		#-	4	12,	370	2	+		+	++
197	<u> </u>	-		+	+++-	# +			-			40		╫╌	\vdash	+	+++
6-97	Subtotal Expenses			+	+++-	+	<u> </u>		+		55,	40	+	#-	H	+	H
				+	+++-	++			-	+	+	+	-	#		\vdash	
	Subtotal Net Increase to		$\left \cdot \right $	+	+++-	╫╌┼	· ·		╫┈	H	$\dagger\dagger$	+	-	#	15	, 1	90
	Fee Fund	#-		+		+			╫╴	$\dag \dag$	$\dagger \dagger$	$\forall \exists$	1	\parallel		7	Ħ
	FY'95 Deficit Carry forward	#		\vdash	+++	+	. ;		╫╴	H	$\dagger \dagger$	$\dagger \dagger$		\top	$ \uparrow \uparrow $	\sqcap	
	from Fee Fund	\parallel		\top	+++-	$\parallel \parallel$	· ·		\parallel	Ħ	$\dagger \dagger$	\top	1	1	11	2,2	58)
	Trom ree rund	\parallel	П	\dagger	111		. :			П	\Box					\prod	
	The Free Point	1		T	\Box			i :		П							Ш
	Net Increase to Fee Fund End of FY'97				i i I			1 1							1 3	5,9	32
	B.16 02 11 0.													_	\coprod		Ш
										Ц	Ш			╨		dash	Ш
								:		Ц			_	╨-	$\perp \downarrow \downarrow$	4	
				Ц		11				arpropto	$\downarrow \downarrow$	-	-	#		+	
:	·					1					\dashv	-		#-		<u>: </u>	<u> </u>
!			$\parallel \parallel$			#-		 			- -			#		+	
:		-	- -					-		╟	+		+	#	+-		++-
·				4		+		-	- -	+	+	<u> </u>		#-	+		++
·		#		_	!	+		 	-	 -	-	-		#	 	+	-
		#-		+				!	+	+	+	: 1	\dashv	#	1	十	
·		#		\vdash	1 1	- -		+	-	\vdash				#-	 	+	-
				-	+	+		+	- -	╁			+	#		-	Ti
1			 	-	+++-			-	-	+	+	-	-	#		T	$\overline{[]}$
		-		-	+	-		 	- -	╁	+-	-	\dashv	1		T	TT

Mational

RMLP - PROJECTED INCOME & EXPENSE STATEMENT

45-604 E/0-Ease45-704 20:20 Bull htdg:// USA THROUGH FY'97 W/STATUTORY FEE INCREASES

FY	CATEGORY	EST NET		EST EXP	FEE FUND
		REVENUE			INCREASE/
					(DECREASE)
196	Renewals 266 @ 140	37 240			
	Renewals 150 @ 140	21,000			
9/	Subtotal Renewals	21,000	58,240		
	Odo to tal Nonewals				
96	Applications 85 @ 60	5,100			
96		11,900			
	· ·	5,100			
197	Applications 85 @ 60 Orig Regis Fee	11,900			
]		111111	34,000		
	Subtotal Appli & Orig Reg		34,000		
06 07	Misc Revenue		3,734		
76-97		 		++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	
İ	Subtotal Revenue		95,974		
	Est Emarco	 		32,616	
	Est Expenses	 		33,757	
1 1	Est Expenses				
96¦97	Subtotal Expenses	++++++			# + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
1.					
	Subtotal Net Increase/Decrea	se			
	to Fee Fund				29 601
		 		 	# + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
	FY'95 Deficit Carry forward				(34,245)
	from Fee Fund				1 34,2,93,
					- -
	Net Decrease to Fee Fund				1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	End of FY'97				(4,644)
					
					
				<u> </u>	
					#
÷					
;					
 					1 1 1
			i		

RPC_-_PROJECTED -INCOME - EXPENSE-STATEMENT-45-704 Eyo-Ease45-704 20:20 Buff Made of USA

THROUGH FY'97 W/STATUTORY FEE INCREASES

New \$75 APPLICATION FEE & \$150 ORIGINAL/RENEWAL FEES

FY	CATEGORY	EST NET		EST EXP	FEE FUND
		REVENUE			INCREASE/
<u> </u>			i i i		(DECREASE)
196	Renewals 131 @ 120	15 720			
197	Renewals 136 @ 120	16,320			
	Subtotal Renewals	10,020	32,040		
			i		
!96	Applications 5 060	300	- '	#	
!96	Orig Regis Fee	600		 	
197	Applications	300			
i 1	Orig_Regis_Fee	600			
	Subtotal Appli & Orig Lic		1,800		
!					
<u> 196</u> -97	Misc_Revenue		1,352	_ - - - - - -	
	Subtotal Revenue		35, 192	- - - 	╫┼┼┼┼
<u> </u>		$\parallel - \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel$			╫┼┼┼┼┼
96	Est-Expenses			10,960	
	Est Expenses			7,100	<u> </u>
:	Subtotal Expenses			14,060	
70-7/	- Junice at inspenses				
	Subtotal Net Increase to	$\parallel - \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel$		╫╌┼┼┼┼┼	
	Fee Fund			╫╌┼┼┼┼┼	21,132
				- - - -	
	FY'95 Deficit Carry forward			<u> </u>	
	from Fee Fund				(12,471)
	Not Inches to Eas East				
	Net Increase to Fee Fund End of FY'97				8,661
	End of 11 3/	╫╌┼┼┼┼┼┼		- - - - - -	
				- - - - - - -	╫╌┼┼┼┼┼
				- - - - - -	
ļ					
					#
:					
					
		: : ! !			#
•					
					_ _ _
		$\parallel - + \mid - \mid + \mid + \mid - \mid$			
		$ \ - + - +$			



45-601 Eye-Enser 45-704 00 80 869

RMFT - PROJECTED INCOME & EXPENSE STATEMENT

Made in USA THROUGH FY'97 w/STATUTORY FEE INCREASES

ATTON DEC 6 \$150 ODICINAL/DENEMAL PERC

FY	CATEGORY	EST NET		EST EXP	FEE FUND
		_REVENUE			INGREASE/ (DECREASE)
					(DECREASE)
96	-Renewals	0			
197	Renewals 340 @ 120	40,800			
	Subtotal Renewals		40,800		
96	Applications 50 @60	3,000			
!!!	Orig Regis Fee	6,000			
	Applications 50 @60	3,000			
: 1	Orig Regis Fee	6,000			
		1:11:1	18,000		
	_Subtotal_Appli & Orig_Regis		18,000		
106 07	Misc Revenue		5,194		
90-97	•				
	Subtotal Revenue		63,994		
196	Est Expenses Est Expenses			30,202	
				60,501	
96-97	Subtotal Expenses			60,501	
				++++++	#
	Subtotal Net Increase to				# + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
	Fee Fund				3,493
				#	
	FY'95 Increase Carry forward			 	
	from Fee Fund				9 791
	Net-Increase-to-Fee-Fund-				
	End of FY'97				13 284
	•				
		!			<u> </u>
1					
				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
·			: : !		
: <u> </u>					
-					
-:					
		 - - - 			

4-14