

Approved 5/1/92
Date

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

The meeting was called to order by SENATOR JOSEPH C. HARDER at
Chairperson

1:00 ~~xxx~~/p.m. on Wednesday, April 1, 1992 in room 123-S of the Capitol.

All members were present except:

Committee staff present:

Mr. Ben Barrett, Legislative Research Department
Ms. Avis Swartzman, Revisor of Statutes
Mr. Dale Dennis, Assistant Commissioner of Education
Mrs. Millie Randell, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:

HB 2699 - School buildings and recreation centers, licensure for child care.

Proponents:

Ms. Connie Hubbell, Legislative Coordinator, Kansas State Board of Education
Ms. Kay Coles, Director of Communications, Kansas National Education Association
Ms. Pat Baker, Associate Executive Director and General Counsel, Kansas Association of School Boards
Ms. Christine Ross, Director, Child Care Licensing and Registration, Bureau of Adult and Child Care, Kansas Department of Health and Environment (written testimony only)

HB 3113 - Children and adolescents, interagency provision of services.

Proponents:

Ms. Connie Hubbell, Legislative Coordinator, Kansas State Board of Education
Ms. Lisa Getz, KEYS FOR NETWORKING, INC.
Mr. Paul Klotz, Executive Director, Association of Community Mental Health Centers of Kansas, Inc.
Mr. E. W. Rakestraw, representing the Association of Community Mental Health Centers of Kansas, Inc.

HB 2664 - Inservice education, boards to provide programs for certificated personnel.

Proponents:

Ms. Connie Hubbell, Legislative Coordinator, Kansas State Board of Education
Mr. Craig Grant, Director of Political Action, Kansas National Education Association
Ms. Jacque Oakes, Schools for Quality Education
Mr. Gerald W. Henderson, Executive Director, United School Administrators of Kansas
Mr. Robert L. DeBruyn, Manhattan, President of Master Teacher

HB 2699 - School buildings and recreation centers, licensure for child care.

After calling the meeting to order, Chairman Joseph C. Harder announced that the Committee would hear testimony on HB 2699, relating to licensure for school buildings and recreation centers, a bill which had been requested by the State Board of Education. He then recognized Ms. Connie Hubbell, Legislative Coordinator for the State Board of Education.

Ms. Hubbell explained that HB 2699 was proposed as a result of a need for some schools and recreation centers to be used as day care centers before and/or after school for some children, kindergarten through sixth grade, who attend those institutions. (Attachment 1)

Ms. Hubbell stated that HB 2699 carries no fiscal note to the state. In

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

room 123-S, Statehouse, at 1:00 ~~a.m.~~ p.m. on Wednesday, April 1, 1992

order to provide day care for children age 0-5, Ms. Hubbell said all the licensing requirements would apply.

Ms. Kay Coles stated support of HB 2699 on behalf of the Kansas National Education Association. (Attachment 2) Ms. Coles confirmed that HB 2699 is optional, not mandatory.

Speaking on behalf of Mr. Mark Tallman, who was unable to attend the meeting, Ms. Pat Baker, Associate Executive Director and General Counsel, Kansas Association of School Boards, affirmed support for the concept of HB 2699. (Attachment 3)

Written testimony had been submitted by Ms. Christine Ross, Director, Child Care Licensing and Registration, Bureau of Adult and Child Care, Kansas Department of Health and Environment, on March 19, the date on which HB 2699 originally was scheduled to be heard. (Attachment 4)

When the Chair called for opponents to HB 2699, there was no response.

When the Chair asked the Committee's pleasure, Senator Ward moved that HB 2699 be recommended favorably for passage. Senator Walker seconded the motion, and the motion carried.

HB 3113 - Children and adolescents, interagency provision of services.

The Chair directed Committee attention to HB 3113, relating to interagency provision of services for children and adolescents, and called upon Ms. Connie Hubbell, Legislative Coordinator, State Board of Education.

Ms. Hubbell urged the Committee's support of HB 3113, which, she said, addresses the needs of some children with severe disabilities through an interagency organization. (Attachment 5) Some parents, she said, need to come to school to work together in a coordinated effort. Ms. Hubbell estimated this bill could affect 300 children. She pointed out that the interagency organization is local, not statewide.

Ms. Avis Swartzman, revisor, responding to a question, stated that the interagency council is convened by the director of the local SRS.

Mr. Dale Dennis, State Department of Education, stated that the number of members depends upon the agencies involved, and the convener would request representation by the organizations currently involved with the child's problem.

Ms. Rita Wolf, interim Director of Management Services for SRS, who was in attendance, said it also was her assumption that local SRS directors, located in most counties, would assume responsibility for coordinating efforts of the interagency council.

Ms. Connie Hubbell stated that SRS offices are situated in all but one Kansas county.

Ms. Lisa Getz, representing KEYS FOR NETWORKING, stated that families across Kansas see HB 3113 as a mechanism to uphold the integrity of families through coordinating plans that will help keep appropriate children at home. (Attachment 6) Responding to a question, Ms. Getz said she did not know how the concept of HB 3113 differs from present procedure.

One Committee concern related to staffing replacements for representatives on the council. Responding to another Committee concern, staff pointed out that on page 3, lines 11 and 15, the interagency council is required to make an annual report to the Kansas commission on children, youth, and families.

Ms. Avis Swartzman, staff, explained that although there currently is

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION,
room 123-S, Statehouse, at 1:00 ~~xx~~ p.m. on Wednesday, April 1, 19 92

authority for SRS to accomplish this goal, conferees who spoke before House Committees felt that HB 3113 would give parents and other interested parties a mechanism by which to pursue their case with SRS until a council can be convened. HB 3113, she noted, has been tailored towards families.

Ms. Hubbell, responding to a Committee concern, confirmed that although current law allows such action to occur, there are many cases which are not being appropriately handled for the benefit of children so affected.

Senator Parrish proposed amending HB 3113 by adding "group home" to the definition of either "Agency" (page 2, line 6, (b)) or "Parent" (page 2, line 19, (e)) and by making an annual report to the Joint Committee on Children and Families. Senator Montgomery seconded the motion. Committee concern reflected the need for a definition of "group home".

The Chair, recognizing the presence of Mr. Paul Klotz, Executive Director, Association of Community Mental Health Centers of Kansas, with Committee permission, called upon Mr. Klotz to respond to Committee questions.

Mr. Klotz affirmed his interest in HB 3113 and explained that both Mr. Rakestraw and he had worked with the Commissioner of Mental Health and Mental Retardation when the bill was being considered in the House. He introduced Mr. E. W. Rakestraw, Executive Director of the Family Service and Guidance Center of Topeka, Inc., who testified on behalf of the Association of Community Mental Health Centers of Kansas. Mr. Rakestraw said that although both Mr. Klotz and he support the general intent of HB 3113, he would like to propose some amendments to the bill to make it more truly effective from the Community Mental Health Centers' perspective. (Attachment 7) Mr. Rakestraw also recommended that a fiscal review be implemented due to the multiple agencies represented on the councils.

Following Mr. Rakestraw's presentation and recommendations for amendments, the Chair announced that he would refer HB 3113 to the Ways and Means Committee for a deadline exemption in order for the Committee to consider it at a later time.

HB 2664 - Inservice education, boards to provide programs for certified personnel.

The Chair recognized Ms. Connie Hubbell, Legislative Coordinator, State Board of Education, who explained that HB 2664 mandates inservice education for all school districts in Kansas. (Attachment 8)

Responding to a question, Ms. Hubbell replied that districts do work cooperatively to provide inservice training for their certificated personnel.

Mr. Craig Grant, Kansas National Education Association, stated his support for passage of HB 2664. (Attachment 9)

Ms. Jacque Oakes, representing 97 small school districts who are members of the Schools for Quality Education, stressed the importance of making inservice an ongoing opportunity for teachers. (Attachment 10)

Mr. Gerald Henderson, the executive director of the United School Administrators of Kansas noted the importance that staff-inservice provides for effective schools and also emphasized the importance for adequate resources. (Attachment 11)

Mr. Robert L. DeBruyn, Manhattan, president of Master Teacher, reaffirmed the testimony of previous conferees in support of HB 2664.

When the Chair asked the Committee's pleasure, Senator Webb moved that HB 2664 be recommended favorably for passage. Senator Montgomery seconded the motion, and the motion carried.

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION,
room 123-S, Statehouse, at 1:00 ~~xxx~~ p.m. on Wednesday, April 1, 19 92

SB 523 - Special education services for exceptional children, provision by school districts of nonresidence of certain children.

The Chair reverted Committee attention to SB 523, relating to special education services for exceptional children. Reminding members that SB 523, which had been recommended by the State Board of Education, already had been heard by the Committee, he called upon Senator Frahm, Chairman of the subcommittee on SB 523, to present the subcommittee's report.

Senator Frahm explained that following the hearing on SB 523, at which time Ms. Pat Baker, Kansas Association of School Boards, had proposed some amendments to the bill, cooperative efforts between Ms. Baker and Mr. Rod Bieker of the State Department of Education had ensued. She said that both Senator Parrish and she were satisfied with the agreement that had been reached between the two organizations and that Ms. Baker would explain the proposed amendments to the Committee. (Attachment 12)

Ms. Baker pointed out that the proposed amendments would not only establish responsibility by the district of residence for payment of special education services, but they also would assure that the child receives such services expeditiously.

Ms. Baker further stated that the concepts of HB 3076, which deals with subjects related to SB 523, were merged into SB 523 to assure definition consistency and clarification.

When the Chair asked the Committee's pleasure, Senator Montgomery moved that the amendments proposed by Ms. Pat Baker and a technical amendment striking Norton State Hospital (Attachment 11, page 2, line 23), be adopted. The motion was seconded by Senator Parrish, and the amendments were adopted.

Senator Frahm moved that SB 523, as amended, be recommended favorably for passage. Senator Parrish seconded the motion, and the motion carried.

HB 2693 - Schools required to offer school breakfast programs.

When the Chair asked the Committee's pleasure regarding HB 2693, Senator Ward moved, and Senator Parrish seconded the motion to recommend HB 2693 favorably for passage. The motion carried.

HB 2763 - Establishment of education restructuring commission.

The Chairman reminded members that HB 2763 had been recommended by an interim Committee on Children's Initiatives. He requested the revisor of statutes to explain a proposed amendment to HB 2763.

Ms. Swartzman referred Committee attention to page 3, lines 14 and 15, and explained that the words "and accountability", which had been amended into the bill on the House floor, should be stricken on line 15 and reinserted following the word "restructuring" on line 14.

Senator Karr moved, and Senator Frahm seconded the motion to amend HB 2763 as suggested by the revisor. The amendment was adopted.

Senator Karr moved that HB 2763, as amended, be recommended favorably for passage. Senator Frahm seconded the motion.

Ms. Swartzman, revisor, called the Committee's attention to the need for an additional amendment relating to the words "April 4, 1992", the date on which the commission shall submit a report to the legislature. Senator Frahm moved that the words "April 4, 1992" on page 4, line 3, be stricken but that the date of "December 15, 1992", on page 4, line 6, (as amended by the House Committee of the Whole) be retained. Senator Karr seconded the motion, and the amendment was adopted.

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION,

room 123-S, Statehouse, at 1:00 ~~am~~ p.m. on Wednesday, April 1, 1992

Senator Karr renewed his motion that HB 2763, as amended, be recommended favorably for passage. Senator Frahm renewed her second, and the motion carried.

HB 2835 - School districts, bonded debt, school districts capital improvement funds.

The Chair asked the Committee to revert its attention to HB 2835 which he described as a portion of the school finance package which was passed by the House and relates to bond and interest payments which have already been incurred. The Chair called attention to the bill explanation referring to the factor of 50.0 percent of the median AVPP and advised that the percentage should be corrected to 40.0 percent. The latest computer printouts, he confirmed, are predicated on the correct current factor of 40.0 percent.

The Chair called for Committee discussion.

Senator Karr stated that in response to Committee and some House member concerns he would like to propose amending HB 2835 so as to clarify those projects which would be covered by the School District Capital Improvements Fund. (Attachment 13)

Responding to Committee concerns, Mr. Dale Dennis, staff, pointed out that interlocal coops cannot levy taxes and cannot issue bonds under current law.

The Chair recognized Senator Kerr who requested to be heard concerning a proposed amendment which, he affirmed, would change the median reimbursement from 40.0 percent to 25.0 percent and lower the state's total cost by approximately \$10 million. (Attachment 14, Computer Printout L928C) He also noted the probable substantial long-term savings his amendment would effect. Senator Kerr expressed concern that HB 2835, as passed by the House, might give school districts an incentive for possible excessive expenditures because of the higher percentage at which they might be reimbursed.

Mr. Dale Dennis, staff, compared top reimbursement percentages effected by median variations for Galena, in Cherokee County: original House proposal, 72.0 percent; current proposal, 62.0 percent; Senator Kerr's proposal, 47.0 percent.

One Committee concern was the negative impact Senator Kerr's proposal would have on the poorer school districts. Senator Kerr noted that substantial property tax reductions would help compensate for any percentage increases that might be borne by these districts. He also explained that the state would be providing significant assistance for those school districts which are unable to provide new facilities under the terms of this act.

Senator Kerr moved that HB 2835 be amended based upon the concept embodied in Computer Printout L92C8. The motion was seconded by Senator Webb. The Chair ruled that the amendment was adopted.

When the Chair reverted Committee attention to Senator Karr's proposed amendment (Attachment 13), Senator Karr responded that in light of Committee comments he would like to review his proposed amendment with House members to perhaps tighten the parimeters before possibly offering it as a floor amendment when the Senate should consider HB 2835.

Senator Karr then moved that HB 2835, as amended, be recommended favorably for passage. Senator Kerr seconded the motion, and the Chair ruled that the motion had carried.

The Chair adjourned the meeting.

SENATE EDUCATION COMMITTEE

TIME: 1:00 p.m. PLACE: 123-S DATE: Wednesday, April 1, 1992

GUEST LIST

<u>NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
Robert L. DeBruyn	2030 Pierre Manhattan, Kansas	The MASTER Teacher, Inc.
TRACEY H. DeBruyn	2030 Pierre Manhattan, ks	The MASTER Teacher, Inc
LISA Getz	Wichita / Topeka	Keys for NETWORKING
Robert Hale	1800 South 55th St. K. C. 112	Turner School District
Howard Shuler	5428 S.W. 53 Topeka	Albany - Washburn Sch. Dist
Jacque DATES	Topeka	SQE
Lina Vanderplas	Topeka	Intern
Rod Bicker	Topeka	KSDÉ
Tess Bonomi	1300 S.W. TOPEKA	TOPE
Don C. Purmitt	Topeka	USD 501 TH
Glenn M. Seibel	Statehouse Rm 530-N.	House of Reps.
Harvey Hosoy	Emporia	Emporia USD 253
Bob Wieth	Hutchinson	USD 308
Robert Shannon	McPherson	USD 418
Pat Baker	Topeka	KASB
Ken Coffey	Topeka	KNEA
Mike Anderson	Topeka	USAJKS
Cindy Kelly	Topeka	KASB
John L. Wolf	Topeka	SRS
James D. [unclear]	Topeka	CRPA
Frank Thomas	Sabetha	Self/Parent
Wm. Yoder	Topeka	D. Kim
Neler Stephens	PV/Topeka	#229
Craig Grant	Topeka	KNEA
Denise Apt	"	U.S.D. #500

SENATE EDUCATION COMMITTEE

TIME: 1:00 p.m. PLACE: 123-S DATE: Wednesday, April 1, 1992

GUEST LIST

<u>NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
Paul Klotz	Topeka	Assoc. of Com. Mental Health Centers
Dub Rakestraw	"	"
Betty Glover	Topeka	KANSAS ACTION FOR CHILDREN
Connie Huesel	Topeka	State Board of Ed.
Vernon L. Butt	Manter	Stanton County
Heilahn J. Butt	Manter	Stanton County
Susan L. Lucas	Johnson	Register of Deeds
FRANK MARRIS	LAWRENCE	KANU
Deborah Smith	Lawrence	San Steineger's Intern
Ladislav M. Hernandez	Topeka	Gov. Office
Mary Burch	Overland Park	Chamber
Jim Allen	Topeka	McGill & Assoc.
Mark Tallman	Topeka	KASR
Ed Evans	Grant Co.	Comm.
John Keefau	Topeka	KA & B
Charles S. [unclear]	Manter	USD 377-Jal
Leonard Steiner	Arkansas City	USD 470
Bill Malley	Winfield	USD 465
[unclear]	Wichita, KS	Fidelity State Bank
Richard Keller	Graden City	Fidelity State Bk
Doug Bauman	Topeka	Children's Youth Advisory

Kansas State Board of Education

120 S.E. 10th Avenue, Topeka, Kansas 66612-1182

March 19, 1992

4/1/92

TO: Senate Education Committee
FROM: State Board of Education
SUBJECT: 1992 House Bill 2699

My name is Connie Hubbell, Legislative Coordinator of the State Board of Education. I appreciate the opportunity to appear before this Committee on behalf of the State Board.

The State Board of Education supports the use of school buildings for child care centers and the exemption from licensing requirements.

It is our opinion that if students are in the buildings, which meet the code requirements for public schools, all day that the buildings should be available for use before and after school.

We believe the schools, in many communities, are developing as the community center and it would be more convenient and safer for school children and parents to remain at the school before and after school.

The State Board of Education recommends that this Committee recommend House Bill 2699 favorably for passage.

Dale M. Dennis
Deputy/Assistant Commissioner
Division of Fiscal Services and Quality Control
(913) 296-3871

EDUC
4/1/92
A 1



KANSAS NATIONAL EDUCATION ASSOCIATION / 715 W. 10TH STREET / TOPEKA, KANSAS 66612-1686

Testimony before the
Senate Education Committee
Kay Coles, Kansas NEA
HB 2699
March 19, 1992

Thank you Mr. Chairman. Members of the committee, I am Kay Coles, here today representing the 24,000 members of Kansas NEA. I appreciate the opportunity to speak in favor of HB 2699.

During the work of the Children's committee, members heard of licensing requirements which might possibly inhibit the use, in some cases, of school buildings for programs other than school programs.

While recognizing the need for licensing requirements, it appeared ludicrous that buildings housing students for several hours of school could not also be used for other kinds of programs such as day care programs. The amendments to the bill ensure that all appropriate fire codes will be met.

Kansas NEA supports the opening of school buildings to communities for a variety of programs promoting lifelong learning and therefore supports the concepts behind HB 2699.

Thank you and I would be glad to answer any questions.



Testimony on H.B. 2699
before the
Senate Committee on Education

by

Mark Tallman, Coordinator of Governmental Relations
Kansas Association of School Boards

March 19, 1992

Mr. Chairman, Members of the Committee:

KASB supports the provisions of H.B. 2699 which would allow schools to be licensed as child care centers without meeting additional licensure requirements.

More and more school districts are working to provide parents with safe, appropriate child care services in the schools. We believe parents favor these arrangements because they find their schools both reliable and convenient. It seems like common sense that school buildings that are deemed appropriate for children during the school day should be considered appropriate for those same children before and after school.

Thank you for your consideration.

EDUC
4/1/92
A 3



Department of Health and Environment
Azzie Young, Ph.D., Secretary

Reply to:

Testimony presented to the
Senate Education Committee

by the

Kansas Department of Health and Environment

on

Amended House Bill 2699

House Bill 2699 is sponsored by the Special Committee on Children's Initiatives which has identified the removal of licensing barriers for child care programs operated in schools and recreation centers as a goal in the "Blueprint for Kansas." The bill is based on the premise that certain child care licensing regulations are unduly restrictive and removing them as barriers to licensing school age child care programs will strengthen families by allowing more child care programs to be developed in schools and recreation centers. House Bill 2699 prohibited KDHE from denying a license to conduct a school age child care program in a school or recreation center on the basis that the building did not meet KDHE child care licensing regulations. No provisions were made in this bill to define what minimum building requirements would need to be met if KDHE child care regulations were not enforced concerning the building requirements.

House Bill 2699 was amended in the House Federal and State Affairs Committee to prohibit KDHE from denying a license for a school age child care program operated in a school or recreation center on the basis that the building does not meet child care licensing regulations if:

1. The building complies with the state fire prevention code applicable to a school, if the building is a school, or recreation center, if the building is a recreation center;
2. The building complies with local building codes applicable to schools, if the building is a school, or recreation centers, if the building is a recreation center. Where there is a discrepancy between the local building code and the state fire prevention code the state fire prevention code prevails over the local code; and
3. The same age children are cared for in the child care program as are cared for in the school or recreation center.

The provisions in the amended bill provide a safeguard for children in that the buildings will need to comply with fire and building codes that apply for the normal use of the building during the time child care is being provided. This provision was not a part of the original bill.

There are currently 79 school-age child care programs operated in public schools that are licensed as child care centers and that have complied with existing licensing requirements.

There are 9 school-age child care programs licensed as child care centers that are operated in recreation centers and that have complied with existing licensing requirements.

KDHE supports the Special Committee on Children's Initiatives position to eliminate unnecessary barriers to licensing school age child care programs operated in recreation centers and schools in order to strengthen the provision of services to children and families. Regulation revision of the child care center regulations is planned for this year. Particular attention will be given to clarify requirements for school age programs. Passage of amended House Bill 2699 will give additional direction to KDHE in developing and clarifying regulations for school age programs in schools and recreation centers.

KDHE supports the passage of amended House Bill 2699.

Presented by: Christine J. Ross, Director
Child Care Licensing and Registration
Bureau of Adult and Child Care
March 19, 1992

4/1/92

EDUC
4/1/92
A4-2

Kansas State Board of Education

120 S.E. 10th Avenue, Topeka, Kansas 66612-1182

April 1, 1992

TO: Senate Education Committee
FROM: State Board of Education
SUBJECT: 1992 House Bill 3113

My name is Connie Hubbell, Legislative Coordinator of the State Board of Education. I appreciate the opportunity to appear before this Committee on behalf of the State Board.

There are many children and adolescents in Kansas who receive services from more than one agency. Examples are children who have severe medical needs and who have multiple disabilities such as students who have serious emotional disturbances coupled with other disabilities such as retardation, deafness, or blindness who are extremely difficult to serve.

The needs of these children and adolescents are not always adequately being met in our current system. Problems result when the child or adolescent has severe disabilities or needs, such as those listed above, and adolescents who are adjudicated as juvenile offenders, and those who have become parents while still in school.

While many of these children and adolescents needs are met, there are a small number for whom services are needed to maintain them in their home community and to enable them to ultimately become productive members of society. There is the need to collaborate and coordinate local agency services to see that this can happen. Unfortunately, services for these children and adolescents are all too frequently fragmented with emphasis placed on testing and retesting and little emphasis on service provision.

Local education agencies (LEA's) do not have access to interagency community based programs and they should not and cannot provide for all the needs of the severe children and adolescents and their families. This bill will not only provide the local interagency collaboration needed, it will also force communities to review what is locally available, to prioritize needs, and to generate plans to develop need services based on the review and prioritization.

Dale M. Dennis
Deputy/Assistant Commissioner
Division of Fiscal Services and Quality Control
(913) 296-3871

EDUC
4/1/92
A5-1

Based on a review of education data, the Kansas State Board of Education estimates that there would be approximately 300 children and adolescents annually whose cases would be referred to local interagency councils. These are the children and adolescents who "require multiple levels and kinds of specialized services" and whom "existing or alternative programs and funding have been exhausted or are insufficient". In this time of fiscal austerity, it seems only reasonable that local agencies be asked to pool their resources to provide needed services to Kansas children and adolescents.

The State Board of Education recommends that this Committee recommend House Bill 3113 favorably for passage.

EDUC
4/1/92
A5-2

TESTIMONY ON HB 3113

BY LISA GETZ

On Behalf of

KEYS FOR NETWORKING, INC.
An Information & Support Network
For Families of Children with Emotional Disabilities
700 SW Jackson, Suite 502
Topeka, Ks 66603
233-8732

April 1, 1992

Chairman Harder, members of the Committee, thank you for this opportunity to testify in support of HB 3113.

Keys for Networking is an organization that provides information, support, training, and advocacy for families who have children with serious emotional or behavioral disorders.

Keys for Networking provides advocacy services to hundreds of families each year. These are frustrated people who have been caught in the revolving doors of education, mental health, juvenile courts, child welfare and health agencies. Imagine that you are such a parent. Consider that you will find virtually no system in place for local coordination of services.

As Kansas moves forward in keeping with the national trend toward family preservation, then so must we move toward an increased emphasis on comprehensive, multi-agency community-based systems of care. Only in this way can we accomplish a system that works to prevent out of home placements and seeks to secure children in their communities. This concept is built on the coordination of a wide range of services through the joint efforts of various agencies. The goal is to adequately meet the needs of young people by tapping the potential resourcefulness of our communities.

This bill is a result of collaboration by the members of a committee recommended by the State Board of Education to address these issues. Barbara Huff, a committee participant, remembers the many months of positive discussion and planning that went into what has become HB 3113.

Families across Kansas see this proposed legislation as an opportunity for community planning. They believe that HB 3113 is a mechanism to uphold the integrity of families through coordinating plans that will help keep appropriate children at home. This proposal is an extension of mental health reform--allowing children returning from state hospitals to be well served by their communities.

Keys for Networking urges your support of HB 3113. Thank you.

EDUC
4/1/92
AL



**Association of Community
Mental Health Centers of Kansas, Inc.**

835 SW Topeka Avenue, Suite B, Topeka, KS 66612
Telephone (913) 234-4773 Fax (913) 234-3189

TESTIMONY ON H.B. 3113
To: Senate Education Committee
By
E.W. (Dub) Rakestraw

April 1, 1992

John G. Randolph
President
Emporia

Eunice Ruttinger
President Elect
Topeka

Ronald G. Denney
Vice President
Independence

Donald J. Fort
Secretary
Garden City

Don Schreiner
Treasurer
Manhattan

Dan Watkins
Member at Large
Lawrence

Kermit George
Past President
Hays

Paul M. Klotz
Executive Director
Topeka

Mr. Chairperson and members of the committee, I appreciate the opportunity to provide you with my comments on HB 3113. Because of the rapidity in which the bill was assigned and then heard in the House, we were unable to testify. We had hoped that the recommendations made by SRS to the House committee would all be incorporated and, thus, reduce the need for recommending amendments on this bill. Unfortunately, not all of the SRS recommendations appear to have been incorporated.

We are very supportive of effective measures to reduce the fragmentation of services to children and to enhance collaborative efforts between community agencies. It appears that is the general intent of HB 3113 and, therefore, we support the general intent of the bill.

However, to make the bill truly effective, we believe its current form should be amended in the following ways:

- 1) In the original HB 3113, there existed a Sec.2. which, among other things, stated that the state was ultimately responsible for meeting the educational, health, mental health and welfare needs for every child and adolescent in the state. This section was deleted subsequent to testimony by SRS that this statement created liability implications that may not be comfortable or realistic. We would submit that with this section deleted, the liability has simply been passed to the regional interagency councils as currently expressed in Sec.4-3 and Sec.5-4. More specifically, it appears the specific agencies represented on the council could/would be held responsible for providing "each needed service". There is no recognition anywhere in the bill that it is understood and acceptable "that each needed service" may, in fact, not be available regardless of whether the council meets and determines what services are needed. The difference between services needed and services which realistically could be provided may be vast. To satisfactorily address this potentially very significant liability, we would recommend that at the end of Sec.5-4 (c) (2) the following be added: However, under no circumstances will an agency be held responsible for providing a service for which appropriate funding does not exist.

amend

EDUC
4/1/92
A7-1

- 2) Sec. 4-3 currently indicates the interagency council is to provide services. We submit that the council is not a service providing entity itself. We recommend that the first sentence of this section be revised to read as follows: **There is hereby established a system of regional interagency councils to coordinate or assure delivery of services for children and adolescents who require multiple levels and kinds of specialized services which are beyond the capability of one agency.**

- 3) In some communities (such as Topeka) there are already councils or committees serving this purpose. However, their structure may not be exactly the same as that contained in this bill. This bill as currently written could be interpreted to mean efforts we developed with much hard work over the past several years must be abandoned because of this new mandate. We would hope that would not be the case. We would recommend that the following be added to Sec. 5-4(a): **However, in those areas where the Secretary of Social and Rehabilitation Services agrees that councils or committees already exist for the purpose of enhancing interagency cooperation and collaboration of service delivery, a new interagency council as described herein does not need to be created.**

- 4) Sec. 5-4 (c) (1) indicates **all** cases referred by agencies or by a family member must be reviewed by the interagency council. This does not specifically allow for prior consideration to be given to determine the actual need for a review by an interagency council. Thus, cases could be referred without any assurance that prior efforts had really been made to coordinate multiple services and to assure the case actually required a review by the council. We would recommend that the following be added to this subsection: **However, prior to a case being submitted to the interagency council for review, the person chairing the interagency council must be satisfied that the case is one appropriate for interagency council review.**

- 5) Human service agencies, generally, have in common a critical need to practice strict confidentiality requirements. In many instances, and specifically for community mental health centers, there are state statutes requiring our maintenance of confidentiality. HB 3113 does not address the issue of confidentiality. We would recommend that the following subsection be added to Sec.5-4: **(d) Each regional interagency council and its members are responsible for maintaining confidentiality by securing appropriate authorizations for release of confidential information within the council from the individual(s) who have legal responsibility for the child or adolescent.**

EDUC
4/1/92
A 7-2

- 6.) Finally, we believe that the prior number of cases (300-600) estimated as perhaps needing to be reviewed by interagency councils may be grossly underestimated. SRS has estimated that there are over 5,000 children/adolescents in Kansas who have severe emotional disorders. This one, single special population invariably requires multiple services from multiple agencies. There are, of course, many other special populations that potentially meet the definition of those who could be reviewed by the interagency councils. We are concerned that no fiscal review has been considered regarding the potential cost to local service agencies for staffing these interagency councils. We are aware that SRS, in testimony provided to the House committee, estimated an annual staffing cost to each area SRS office of \$87,552. A similar cost could also be incurred by each mental health center in the state who would send staff to the interagency council meetings. It is not uncommon for a mental health center catchment area to be served by multiple SRS area offices. Thus, some centers would have to staff several regional interagency councils. In cases like the High Plains Mental Health Center in Northwest Kansas, they have a 20 county catchment area. Staffing and travel demands could be unmanageable. Most centers in Kansas have multi-county catchment areas. Because there would be multiple agencies represented on the councils, the fiscal implications could be very significant.

Again, the Association of Community Mental Health Centers of Kansas support efforts to enhance collaborative service systems. We hope you'll incorporate our recommendations into HB 3113. Thank you for consideration of our comments.

EDUC
4/1/92
A 7-3

Kansas State Board of Education

120 S.E. 10th Avenue, Topeka, Kansas 66612-1182

April 1, 1992

TO: Senate Education Committee
FROM: State Board of Education
SUBJECT: 1992 House Bill 2664

My name is Connie Hubbell, Legislative Coordinator of the State Board of Education. I appreciate the opportunity to appear before this Committee on behalf of the State Board.

House Bill 2664 broadens the definition in the inservice education opportunities act and also mandates inservice programs for all unified school districts by July 1, 1992.

Currently, there are 260 school districts participating in the inservice education program. Under normal growth, it is anticipated this number would increase to 280 during the 1992-93 school year. House Bill 2664 would expand the program to include the remaining 24 districts. The State Board of Education strongly supports the expansion of the inservice law to include all school districts.

The success of the educational program partially lies in the training and retraining of teachers in the new strategies and technologies. The education information and students we are teaching is changing at a rapid rate. One of the secrets to our success in the education community is inservice of our staff.

The following directions relative to inservice education are contained in the State Board of Education's Strategic Plan.

- * Expand learner-focused approaches to curricula and instruction
- * Extend and update the professional and leadership excellence of Kansas educators essential for quality education
- * Develop learning communities which involve educational institutions, public and private agencies, and community groups in more effective methods of meeting human resource development needs

The State Board of Education supports mandating inservice education programs in all unified school districts but also strongly supports adequate funding of this program.

Dale M. Dennis
Deputy/Assistant Commissioner
Division of Fiscal Services and Quality Control
(913) 296-3871

EDUC
4/1/92
A 8



KANSAS NATIONAL EDUCATION ASSOCIATION / 715 W. 10TH STREET / TOPEKA, KANSAS 66612-1686


Craig Grant Testimony Before
Senate Education Committee
Wednesday, April 1, 1992

Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee, I am Craig Grant and I represent Kansas-NEA. I appreciate this opportunity to speak in favor of HB 2664.

Since its original enactment in 1984, the State Inservice Education Opportunities Act has been a benefit to the state's education system. As school districts have voluntarily established approved inservice programs at the local level, they have designed plans which advance the needs of that district. It has allowed educators to construct meaningful training which is relevant to themselves, the district, and their students.

Kansas-NEA was the primary impetus behind the concepts in the original act and supported its passage wholeheartedly. We continue to support those concepts as well as the ideas embodied in this bill's amendments. There are well over 250 approved staff development plans currently in place. We believe it is time that the remaining school districts implement an approved inservice program, thus allowing all Kansas educators to take advantage of these opportunities.

We urge your favorable recommendation of HB 2664.



Schools for Quality Education

Bluemont Hall Manhattan, KS 66506 (913) 532-5886

April 1, 1992

TO: SENATE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

SUBJECT: HB 2664--INSERVICE EDUCATION, BOARDS TO PROVIDE
PROGRAMS FOR CERTIFICATED PERSONNEL.

FROM: SCHOOLS FOR QUALITY EDUCATION

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:

I am Jacque Oakes representing Schools For Quality Education,
an organization of 97 small schools.

We are appearing today as a proponent for HB 2664 requiring
inservice education programs for teachers that have been approv-
ed by the State Board.

We do not normally like mandates, but we have confidence
that this is necessary to guarantee that school districts
make inservice an ongoing opportunity.

We believe that inservice training is of major value in the
continuous professional development of teachers. They are
certainly the cornerstone of all educational endeavors.

Thank you for your positive consideration of HB 2664.

"Rural is Quality"

EDUC

4/1/92
A10



HB 2664

April 1, 1992

Testimony presented before the Senate Committee on Education
by Gerald W. Henderson, Executive Director
United School Administrators of Kansas

Mister Chairman and Members of the Committee:

United School Administrators of Kansas is supportive of efforts to improve the professional teaching and administrative staffs of our schools. Kansas schools have demonstrated a belief in quality in-service opportunities in that over 90% of Kansas districts are currently participants in the in-service program sponsored by the Kansas State Board of Education.

The current wave of school improvement initiatives underway in Kansas includes staff in-service as a vital part of the picture. One district in this area has as a condition of employment that all professional staff complete the district's instructional improvement module. All current literature on effective schools cite staff in-service as an important component.

Mandating approved in-service programs is probably not a bad idea. Providing adequate funding for such programs or for the one now in existence is even a better idea. As Kansas schools move along the pathways of change which now confront us, much of the success of such change will depend on whether or not we have prepared people to deal with new ideas and ways of doing things. Yesterday you passed a bill out of committee which will require the State Board of Education to develop outcomes, standards and assessments in the foundation skill areas of communications, mathematics, science, and social studies. Believe it or not, when we have reached those agreements, we will have completed the easiest part of the process. At that point, the teachers of Kansas will be charged with interpreting those outcomes, standards, and assessments to the daily activities of the classroom. To do that will take tremendous amounts of training both for the teachers of Kansas and the administrators charged with supervising those teachers. Such training takes time and resources. Teachers and administrators are demonstrating their willingness to invest the time. Please provide the resources.

Thank you for this opportunity to be heard in support of HB 2664.

GWHLEG/HB2664

EDUC
4/1/92
A 11

SENATE BILL No. 523

By Committee on Education

1-23

8 AN ACT concerning special education services for exceptional chil-
9 dren; relating to the provision thereof by school districts other
10 than school districts of residence of certain children; amending
11 K.S.A. 1991 Supp. 72-966 and repealing the existing section.

Amend to include title of H.B. 3076

12
13 *Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:*

14 Section 1. K.S.A. 1991 Supp. 72-966 is hereby amended to read
15 as follows: 72-966. (a) The board of education of every school district
16 shall provide special education services for all exceptional children
17 who reside in *are residents* of the school district. ~~Special education~~
18 ~~services required by this subsection shall meet standards and~~
19 ~~criteria set by the state board. The manner and time for im-~~
20 ~~plementation in school districts of special education services~~
21 ~~designed for each of the various categories of exceptionality~~
22 ~~shall be designated by the state board in accordance with the~~
23 ~~state plan.~~

24 ~~(b) The board of education of every school district shall provide~~
25 ~~special education services for each exceptional child who is not a~~
26 ~~resident of the school district if the child lives in the school district~~
27 ~~as a result of placement therein by a parent or person acting as~~
28 ~~parent of the child and the school district of which the child is a~~
29 ~~resident requests the provision of special education services for the~~
30 ~~child by the school district in which the child is living. Special~~
31 ~~education services required by this subsection shall be provided~~
32 ~~pursuant to and in accordance with a contract which shall be entered~~
33 ~~into between the board of education of the school district of which~~
34 ~~the child is a resident and the board of education of the school~~
35 ~~district in which the child is living. Each such contract shall be~~
36 ~~subject to the provisions of subsection (a)(3) and subsection (c) of~~
37 ~~K.S.A. 72-967, and amendments thereto. Nothing in this subsection~~
38 ~~shall be construed to limit or supersede or in any manner affect or~~
39 ~~diminish the requirements of compliance by each school district with~~
40 ~~the provisions of subsection (a), but shall operate as a comity of~~
41 ~~school districts in assuring the provision of special education services~~
42 ~~for each exceptional child in the state.~~

(b) When an exceptional child is admitted to a hospital, treat-
ment center, or other health care institution, or to a group boarding
home or other care facility, and the institution or facility is locat-
ed outside the school district in which the child resides, the dis-
trict in which the institution or facility is located must contract
with the district in which the parents or person acting as a parent of
the child reside, to provide special education or related services, if
such services are necessary for the child.

43 (c) Special education services required by this section shall meet

EDUC
4/1/92
A12-1

1 standards and criteria set by the state board. The manner and time
 2 for implementation in school districts of special education services
 3 designed for each of the various categories of exceptionality shall be
 4 designated by the state board in accordance with the state plan.
 5 ~~Sec. 2. K.S.A. 1991 Supp. 72-966 is hereby repealed~~
 6 ~~Sec. 3. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after~~
 7 ~~its publication in the statute book.~~

Move to end of bill

Session of 1992

HOUSE BILL No. 3076

By Committee on Education

2-20

8 ~~AN ACT concerning school districts, affecting the definitions of par-~~
 9 ~~ent and person acting as parent for purposes of determining school~~
 10 ~~district residence and provision of special education services;~~
 11 ~~amending K.S.A. 1991 Supp. 72-962 and 72-1046, and repealing~~
 12 ~~the existing sections.~~

14 ~~Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:~~

15 Section 1. K.S.A. 1991 Supp. 72-962 is hereby amended to read
16 as follows: 72-962. As used in this act:

- 17 (a) "School district" means any public school district.
- 18 (b) "Board" means the board of education of any school district.
- 19 (c) "State board" means the state board of education.
- 20 (d) "Department" means the state department of education.
- 21 (e) "State institution" means Topeka state hospital, Osawatomie
 22 state hospital, Rainbow mental health facility, Larned state hospital,
 23 Parsons state hospital and training center, ~~Norton state hospital,~~
 24 Winfield state hospital and training center, Kansas neurological in-
 25 stitute and any state youth center as defined by K.S.A. 38-1602,
 26 and amendments thereto.
- 27 (f) "Exceptional children" means persons who: (1) Are school age,
 28 to be determined in accordance with rules and regulations adopted
 29 by the state board, which age may differ from the ages of children
 30 required to attend school under the provisions of K.S.A. 72-1111,
 31 and amendments thereto; and (2) differ in physical, mental, social,
 32 emotional or educational characteristics to the extent that special
 33 education services are necessary to enable them to progress toward
 34 the maximum of their abilities or capacities.

Delete

EDUC
4/1/92
A12-2

35 (g) "Gifted children" means exceptional children who are deter-
36 mined to be within the gifted category of exceptionality as such
37 category is defined in the state plan.

38 (h) "Special education services" means programs for which spe-
39 cialized training, instruction, programming techniques, facilities and
40 equipment may be needed for the education of exceptional children.

41 (i) "Special teacher" means a person employed by a school district
42 or a state institution for special education services who is: (1) A
43 teacher qualified to instruct exceptional children as determined by

HB 3076

2

1 standards established by the state board and who is so certified by
2 the state board; or (2) a paraprofessional qualified to assist certificated
3 teachers in the instruction of exceptional children as determined by
4 standards established by the state board and who is so approved by
5 the state board.

6 (j) "State plan" means the state plan for special education services
7 authorized by this act.

8 (k) "Agency" means boards and the secretary of social and re-
9 habilitation services.

10 (l) "Lawful custodian" means a parent or a person acting as par-
11 ent. If none of the above is known or can be found, an agency shall
12 cause proper proceedings to be instituted pursuant to the Kansas
13 code for care of children to determine whether a child is a child in
14 need of care. For a child whose custodian is the secretary of social
15 and rehabilitation services, the term lawful custodian means the
16 secretary except, when used in K.S.A. 72-972 through 72-975, and
17 amendments to such sections, the term means an education advocate.

18 (m) "Parent" means a natural parent, an adoptive parent, or a
19 stepparent.

20 (n) "Person acting as parent" means: (1) A person, other than
21 a parent, who has physical or legal custody of a child, or is
22 guardian or conservator; or (2) a person, other than a parent, who
23 is liable by law liable to maintain, care for, or support the child,
24 or is contributing the major portion of the cost of support of
25 the child, or who has ~~physical custody of the child with the written~~
consent of a person who has legal custody of the child, or who has
been granted custody of the child by a court of competent
28 jurisdiction.

actual care and custody of the child and is contributing the
major portion of support of the child,

or who has actual care and control

EDUC
4/1/92
A12-3

29 (o) "Education advocate" means a person appointed by the state
30 board in accordance with the provisions of K.S.A. 38-1513a, and
31 amendments thereto. A person appointed as an education advocate
32 for a child shall not be (1) an employee of the agency which is
33 required by law to provide special education services for the child,
34 or (2) an employee of the state board, the department, or any agency
35 which is directly involved in providing educational services for the
36 child, or (3) any person having a professional or personal interest
37 which would conflict with the interests of the child.

38 Sec. 2. K.S.A. 1991 Supp. 72-1046 is hereby amended to read
39 as follows: 72-1046. (a) Any child who has attained the age of eli-
40 gibility for school attendance may attend school in the district in
41 which the child lives if (1) the child lives with a resident of the
42 district and the resident is the parent, or a person acting as parent,
43 of the child; or (2) subject to the provisions of subsection (c), the

HB 3076

3

1 child lives in the district as a result of placement therein by a district
2 court or by the secretary of social and rehabilitation services; or (3)
3 the child is a homeless child.

4 (b) Any child who has attained the age of eligibility for school
5 attendance may attend school in a school district in which the child
6 is not a resident if the school district in which the child resides has
7 entered into an agreement with such other school district in accor-
8 dance with and under authority of K.S.A. 72-8233, and amendments
9 thereto.

10 (c) Any child who has attained the age of eligibility for school
11 attendance and who lives at the Judge James V. Riddell Boys Ranch
12 as a result of placement at such ranch by a district court or by the
13 secretary of social and rehabilitation services shall be deemed a
14 resident of unified school district No. 259, Sedgwick county, Kansas,
15 and any such child may attend school which shall be maintained for
16 such child by the board of education of such school district as in
17 the case of a child who is a bona fide resident of the district.

18 (d) As used in this section:

(1) "Parent" means and includes natural parents, adoptive par-
ents, stepparents, and foster parents;

EDUC
4/1/92
A12-4

21 (2) "person acting as parent" means (A) a legal guardian or con-
22 servator, or (B) a person, other than a parent, who has physical
23 custody of a child and has legal custody of the child, or is by
24 law liable by law to maintain, care for, or support the child, or is
25 contributing the major portion of the cost of support of the
26 child who has ~~physical custody of the child with the written consent~~
27 of a person who has legal custody of the child, or who has been
28 granted custody of the child by a court of competent jurisdiction;
29 and

or who has actual care and custody of the child and is contributing
the major portion of support of the child,

actual care and control

30 (3) "homeless child" means a child who lacks a fixed, regular,
31 and adequate nighttime residence and whose primary nighttime res-
32 idence is (A) a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter
33 designed to provide temporary living accommodations (including wel-
34 fare hotels, congregate shelters, and transitional housing for the men-
35 tally ill); or (B) an institution that provides a temporary residence
36 for individuals intended to be institutionalized; or (C) a public or
37 private place not designed for, or ordinarily used as, a regular sleep-
38 ing accommodation for human beings.

72-966

39 Sec. 3. K.S.A. 1991 Supp. 72-962 and 72-1046 are hereby
40 repealed.

41 Sec. 4. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after
42 its publication in the statute book.

EDUC
4/1/92
A12-5

Proposed Amendment to House Bill No. 2835
(As Amended by House Committee of the Whole)

On page 1, in line 27, by striking "(c)" and inserting "(d)";

On page 2, following line 21, by inserting a new subsection as follows:

"(c) In determining the amount of payments in the aggregate that a school district is obligated to make from its bond and interest fund for the purpose of computing the amount of payment that the school district is entitled to receive from the school district capital improvement fund, the state board of education shall exclude payments of bond obligations that are attributable to acquisition or construction of the following:

- (1) Swimming pools located off school district premises;
- (2) tennis courts or other recreation projects located off school district premises;
- (3) public streets other than those located within parking areas on school district premises;
- (4) residential facilities;
- (5) vehicle storage facilities other than those designed for school district vehicles.";

Also on page 2, by relettering subsections (c) and (d) as subsections (d) and (e), respectively

EDUC
4/1/92
A 13

Kansas State Board of Education

120 S.E. 10th Avenue, Topeka, Kansas 66612-1182

March 31, 1992

FROM: State Department of Education and
Legislative Research Department

SUBJECT: 1992 House Bill 2835

Attached is a computer printout (L9208) which shows the effects of 1992 House Bill 2835. Under this plan, school districts at the median assessed valuation per pupil will receive 25 percent of their bond and interest payments in state aid. This printout is based upon 1991-92 data.

Each unified school district is entitled to receive bond and interest state aid payments, as approved by the electors, in an amount as determined below.

- (1) Determine the amount of bonded indebtedness payments due in the current fiscal year.
- (2) Determine assessed valuation per pupil for each unified school district.
- (3) Determine the median assessed valuation per pupil for all districts.
- (4) Set up a table in which the median assessed valuation per pupil for school districts plus and minus \$500 would receive 25 percent of their bond and interest payment from the state. This table would be updated annually based upon the preceding year's assessed valuation per pupil.
- (5) Increase the percentage of state aid for bond and interest by one percentage point for each \$1,000 that the assessed valuation per pupil drops below the median in accordance with the attached table. Decrease the percentage of state aid for bond and interest by one percentage point for each \$1,000 that the assessed valuation per pupil rises above the median.
- (6) Determine state aid for bond and interest subject to appropriation, with right of proration.

Dale M. Dennis
Deputy/Assistant Commissioner
Division of Fiscal Services and Quality Control
(913) 296-3871

EDUC
4/1/92
A14-1

COLUMN EXPLANATION

- COLUMN
- 1 -- ~~September~~ 20, 1991, FTE enrollment
 - 2 -- 1991-92 Estimated bond and interest amount budgeted
 - 3 -- 1991 Assessed valuation
 - 4 -- 1991 Assessed valuation per pupil (Column 3 - 1)
 - 5 -- Ratio factor derived from attached table
 - 6 -- 1991-92 Estimated bond and interest state aid
(Column 5 X 2)
 - 7 -- Millage equivalency (Column 6 - 3)
 - 8 -- 1991-92 Bond and interest mill rate
 - 9 -- Difference (Column 8 - 7)

EDUC
4/1/92
A14-2

LDW-MED	HI-MED	%	EDMS200A
820	1,821	54	
1,820	2,821	53	
2,820	3,821	52	
3,820	4,821	51	
4,820	5,821	50	
5,820	6,821	49	
6,820	7,821	48	
7,820	8,821	47	
8,820	9,821	46	
9,820	10,821	45	
10,820	11,821	44	
11,820	12,821	43	
12,820	13,821	42	
13,820	14,821	41	
14,820	15,821	40	
15,820	16,821	39	
16,820	17,821	38	
17,820	18,821	37	
18,820	19,821	36	
19,820	20,821	35	
20,820	21,821	34	
21,820	22,821	33	
22,820	23,821	32	
23,820	24,821	31	
24,820	25,821	30	
25,820	26,821	29	
26,820	27,821	28	
27,820	28,821	27	
28,820	29,821	26	
29,820	30,821	25	
30,820	31,821	24	
31,820	32,821	23	
32,820	33,821	22	
33,820	34,821	21	
34,820	35,821	20	
35,820	36,821	19	
36,820	37,821	18	
37,820	38,821	17	
38,820	39,821	16	
39,820	40,821	15	
40,820	41,821	14	
41,820	42,821	13	
42,820	43,821	12	
43,820	44,821	11	
44,820	45,821	10	
45,820	46,821	9	
46,820	47,821	8	
47,820	48,821	7	
48,820	49,821	6	
49,820	50,821	5	
50,820	51,821	4	
51,820	52,821	3	
52,820	53,821	2	
53,820	54,821	1	
54,820	999,999	0	

Median assessed valuation per pupil--\$30.32

EDUC
4/1/92
A14-3

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME	9-20-91 FTE	B & I AMOUNT BUDGETED	1991 ASSESSED VALUATION	ASSESSED VALUATION PER PUPIL	TABLE RATIO FACTOR	EST. STATE AID (5 * 2)	MILL EQUIV	1991 B & I MILL RATE	DIFF (8 - 7)
DISTRICT NAME	ENROLLMENT								
ALLEN 001									
BARHATON VALLEY D0256	373.5	0	11,508,935	30,814	0.25	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
IGLA D0257	1,789.5	423,205	27,126,705	15,159	0.40	169,282	6.24	13.19	6.95
IRMBOLDT D0258	624.0	114,617	14,949,473	23,957	0.31	35,531	2.38	7.61	5.23
ANDERSON 002									
GARNETT D0355	1,039.5	438,860	30,422,821	29,267	0.26	114,104	3.75	16.04	12.29
CREST D0479	317.0	0	8,610,055	27,161	0.28	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
ATCHISON 003									
ATCHISON CO COM D0377	768.5	164,462	20,393,550	26,537	0.29	47,694	2.34	6.39	4.05
ATCHISON PUBLIC D0409	1,691.4	236,713	37,399,574	22,112	0.33	78,115	2.09	5.18	3.09
BARBER 004									
BARBER COUNTY N D0254	766.0	0	36,731,865	47,953	0.07	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
SOUTH BARBER D0255	328.5	87,850	21,687,349	66,019	0.00	0	0.00	2.67	2.67
BAKTON 005									
CLAFIN D0354	285.0	0	15,035,293	52,755	0.03	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
ELLINWOOD PUBLI D0355	566.1	0	21,148,662	37,359	0.18	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
GREAT BEND D0428	3,369.7	136,800	85,927,576	25,500	0.30	41,040	0.48	4.05	3.57
HOISINGTON D0431	781.1	358,945	23,688,225	30,327	0.25	89,736	3.79	13.83	10.04
BERNARD 006									
FORT SCOTT D0234	2,074.1	212,298	39,833,461	19,205	0.36	76,427	1.92	4.58	2.66
UNIONTOWN D0235	493.0	60,850	10,395,377	21,086	0.34	20,689	1.99	4.61	2.62
BROWN 007									
HIAMATHA D0415	1,216.4	235,056	30,937,471	25,434	0.30	70,517	2.28	14.52	12.24
SOUTH BROWN COU D0430	686.0	272,995	13,681,511	19,944	0.35	95,548	6.98	18.41	11.43
BUTLER 008									
ELON D0205	784.5	86,225	17,574,513	22,402	0.33	28,454	1.62	3.40	1.78
KENINGTON WHITE D0206	510.5	0	18,648,495	36,530	0.19	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
CIRCLE D0375	1,295.0	0	52,932,949	40,875	0.14	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
ANDOVER D0385	1,708.5	343,860	40,843,865	23,906	0.31	106,597	2.61	8.07	5.46
ROSE HILL PUBLI D0394	1,439.0	143,365	19,387,436	13,473	0.42	60,213	3.11	6.67	3.56
DOUGLASS PUBLIC D0396	755.6	25,892	10,760,548	14,241	0.41	10,616	0.99	0.00	0.00
AUGUSTA D0402	2,081.1	67,795	34,643,334	16,647	0.39	26,440	0.76	1.56	0.80
EL DORADO D0490	2,220.7	453,517	55,384,163	24,940	0.30	136,055	2.46	0.00	0.00
FLINTHILLS D0492	239.5	127,590	11,054,322	46,156	0.09	11,483	1.04	10.09	9.05
CHASE 009									
CHASE COUNTY D0284	563.0	33,758	22,009,456	39,093	0.16	5,401	0.25	1.41	1.16

EDUC
 4/1/92
 A144

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME DISTRICT NAME	9-20-91 FTE ENROLLMENT	B & I AMOUNT BUDGETED	1991 ASSESSED VALUATION	ASSESSED VALUATION PER PUPIL	TABLE RATIO FACTOR	EST. STATE AID (5 * 2)	MILL EQUIV	1991 B & I MILL RATE	DIFF (8 - 7)

CHAUTAQUA 010									
CEDAR VALE D0285	177.3	63,440	7,451,411	42,027	0.13	8,247	1.11	7.28	6.17
CHAUTAQUA COUN D0286	502.5	58,756	13,145,908	26,161	0.29	17,039	1.30	3.91	2.61
CHEROKEE 011									
RIVERTON D0404	718.0	319,225	16,106,561	22,433	0.33	105,344	6.54	14.90	8.36
COLUMBUS D0493	1,306.0	320,265	32,799,638	25,115	0.30	96,080	2.93	9.41	6.48
GALENA D0497	749.5	0	6,036,234	8,054	0.47	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
BAXTER SPRINGS D0508	851.0	125,300	12,694,676	14,917	0.40	50,120	3.95	7.86	3.91
CHEYENNE 012									
CHEYLIN D0103	222.5	0	18,604,935	83,618	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
ST FRANCIS COMM D0297	428.5	0	17,542,518	40,939	0.14	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
CLARK 013									
MINNEOLA D0219	201.8	0	12,773,008	63,295	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
ASHLAND D0220	274.5	0	23,729,048	86,445	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
CLAY 014									
CLAY CENTER D0379	1,646.4	173,054	36,237,397	22,010	0.33	57,108	1.58	7.58	6.00
CLOUD 015									
CONCORDIA D0333	1,349.5	0	28,331,114	20,994	0.34	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
SOUTHERN CLOUD D0334	256.5	0	10,509,080	40,971	0.14	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
COFFEY 016									
LEBO-HAVERLY D0243	536.8	151,118	12,881,545	24,010	0.31	46,847	3.64	0.00	0.00
BURLINGTON D0244	926.0	673,983	519,653,169	561,181	0.00	0	0.00	1.29	1.29
TERRY-GRIDLEY D0245	341.0	0	14,378,229	42,165	0.13	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
COMANCHE 017									
COMANCHE COUNTY D0300	430.9	0	30,589,134	70,989	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
COWLEY 018									
CENTRAL D0462	366.7	53,840	11,494,964	31,347	0.24	12,922	1.12	3.63	2.51
UDALL D0463	402.0	56,791	7,717,418	19,198	0.36	20,445	2.65	7.24	4.59
WINFIELD D0465	2,414.0	877,089	55,831,427	23,128	0.32	280,668	5.03	9.77	4.74
ARKANSAS CITY D0470	3,053.5	691,703	61,376,730	20,100	0.35	242,096	3.94	9.44	5.50
DEXTER D0471	162.5	78,740	6,115,592	37,634	0.18	14,173	2.32	11.67	9.35
CRAWFORD 019									
NORTHEAST D0246	577.5	112,800	8,778,726	15,201	0.40	45,120	5.14	9.55	4.41
CHEROKEE D0247	793.5	0	15,914,814	20,056	0.35	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
GIRARD D0248	1,111.5	79,725	20,040,000	18,030	0.37	29,498	1.47	3.57	2.10
FRONTENAC PUBLI D0249	482.0	1	8,703,295	18,057	0.37	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
PITTSBURG D0250	2,958.0	411,055	56,360,774	19,054	0.36	147,980	2.63	6.29	3.66

EDUC
4/1/92
A14-5

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME	9-20-91	B & I	1991	ASSESSED	TABLE	EST. STATE		1991	
DISTRICT NAME	FTE	AMOUNT	ASSESSED	VALUATION	RATIO	AID	MILL	B & I	DIFF
	ENROLLMENT	BUDGETED	VALUATION	PER PUPIL	FACTOR	(5 * 2)	EQUIV	MILL. RATE	(8 - 7)

DECATUR	020								
OBERLIN	D0294	644.0	0	22,912,422	35,576	0.20	0	0.00	0.00
PRAIRIE HEIGHTS	D0295	103.0	0	5,619,432	54,558	0.01	0	0.00	0.00
DICKINSON	021								
SOLOMON	D0393	327.5	0	10,474,451	31,983	0.23	0	0.00	0.00
ADILENE	D0435	1,417.1	203,834	30,394,671	21,449	0.34	69,304	2.28	7.21
CHAPMAN	D0473	1,233.0	0	34,384,782	27,887	0.27	0	0.00	0.00
RURAL VISTA	D0481	372.1	0	11,619,656	31,227	0.24	0	0.00	0.00
HERINGTON	D0487	580.2	58,832	9,664,161	16,657	0.39	22,944	2.37	6.57
									4.20
DDNIPHAN	022								
WATHENA	D0406	513.0	0	6,920,327	13,490	0.42	0	0.00	0.00
HIGH AND	D0425	285.5	91,545	6,430,212	22,523	0.33	30,210	4.70	13.50
TROY PUBLIC SCH	D0429	414.5	59,885	5,941,152	14,333	0.41	24,553	4.13	8.71
MIDWAY SCHOOLS	D0433	203.0	54,420	7,474,958	36,822	0.18	9,796	1.31	6.02
ELWOOD	D0486	224.5	65,770	7,216,037	32,143	0.23	15,127	2.10	8.72
									6.62
DOUGLAS	023								
BALDWIN CITY	D0348	1,050.9	107,165	22,150,111	21,077	0.34	36,436	1.64	4.03
EUDORA	D0491	836.6	60,000	13,450,422	16,077	0.39	23,400	1.74	1.28
LAWRENCE	D0497	8,523.7	1,177,350	316,877,062	37,176	0.18	211,923	0.67	3.17
									2.50
EDWARDS	024								
KINSLEY-OFFERLE	D0347	396.7	0	16,285,375	41,052	0.14	0	0.00	0.00
LEWIS	D0502	194.0	0	13,861,891	71,453	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
ELK	025								
WEST ELK	D0282	447.0	135,000	15,766,410	35,272	0.20	27,000	1.71	3.96
ELK VALLEY	D0283	192.0	0	4,713,291	24,548	0.31	0	0.00	0.00
									2.25
ELLIS	026								
ELLIS	D0388	375.5	118,025	17,872,967	47,598	0.08	9,442	0.53	5.91
VICIDRIA	D0432	391.5	0	14,658,080	37,441	0.18	0	0.00	0.00
HAYS	D0489	3,431.0	668,453	105,293,846	30,689	0.25	167,113	1.59	5.59
									4.00
ELLSWORTH	027								
ELLSWORTH	D0327	770.0	167,235	18,306,353	23,774	0.32	53,515	2.92	8.85
LORRAINE	D0328	534.5	0	36,174,264	67,679	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
									5.93
FINNEY	028								
HOLCOMB	D0363	678.5	509,302	115,123,212	169,673	0.00	0	0.00	4.26
GARDEN CITY	D0457	6,563.3	1,158,090	165,138,919	25,161	0.30	347,427	2.10	10.53
									8.43
FORD	029								
SPEARVILLE	D0381	272.5	0	8,496,322	31,179	0.24	0	0.00	0.00
BUDGE CITY	D0443	4,241.0	395,000	120,885,287	28,504	0.27	106,650	0.88	3.12
BUCKLIN	D0459	351.5	16,000	14,558,412	41,418	0.14	2,240	0.15	2.58
									2.43

EDUC
4/1/92
A14-6

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME	9-20-91 FTE	B & I AMOUNT BUDGETED	1991 ASSESSED VALUATION	ASSESSED VALUATION PER PUPIL	TABLE RATIO FACTOR	EST. STATE AID (5 * 2)	MILL EQUIV	1991 B & I MILL RATE	DIFF (8 - 7)
DISTRICT NAME	ENROLLMENT								

FRANKLIN 030									
WEST FRANKLIN D0287	799.1	0	16,998,124	21,272	0.34	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
CENTRAL HEIGHTS D0288	557.0	61,630	10,196,555	18,306	0.37	22,803	2.24	4.80	2.56
WELLVILLE D0289	725.2	153,598	15,198,599	20,958	0.34	52,223	3.44	8.91	5.47
OTTAWA D0290	2,281.0	358,447	43,906,528	19,249	0.36	129,041	2.94	7.82	4.88
GEARY 031									
JUNCTION CITY D0475	7,352.9	0	80,672,002	10,971	0.44	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
GDVE 032									
GRINNELL PUBLIC D0291	151.5	0	8,639,372	57,026	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WHEATLAND D0292	179.5	22,541	10,242,285	57,060	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
QUINTER PUBLIC D0293	354.0	81,358	12,717,606	35,925	0.19	15,458	1.22	12.19	10.97
GRAHAM 033									
WEST GRAHAM-MOR D0280	120.0	0	9,086,202	75,718	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
HILL CITY D0281	533.0	0	22,563,026	42,332	0.13	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
GRANT 034									
WYLSSES D0214	1,679.4	0	230,912,485	137,497	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
GRAY 035									
CIMARRON-ENSICH D0102	554.0	0	21,946,513	39,615	0.16	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
MONTEZUMA D0371	197.0	0	11,143,904	56,568	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
COPELAND D0476	113.0	0	10,625,782	94,033	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
INGALLS D0477	271.5	119,790	12,502,355	46,049	0.09	10,781	0.86	4.41	3.55
GREELEY 036									
GREELEY COUNTY D0200	335.5	183,950	27,564,628	82,160	0.00	0	0.00	6.41	6.41
GREENWOOD 037									
MADISON-VIRGIL D0386	276.2	148,700	10,026,400	36,301	0.19	28,253	2.82	12.89	10.07
EUREKA D0389	795.5	661,200	22,054,294	27,724	0.28	185,136	8.39	25.79	17.40
HAMILTON D0390	114.5	0	7,094,718	61,963	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
HAMILTON 038									
SYRACUSE D0494	412.5	0	42,334,847	102,630	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
HARPER 039									
ANTHONY-HARPER D0361	1,081.5	59,427	39,011,087	36,071	0.19	11,291	0.29	0.00	0.00
ATLICA D0511	208.5	0	10,819,253	51,891	0.03	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
HARVEY 040									
BURTON D0369	280.5	83,297	9,734,251	34,703	0.21	17,492	1.80	7.52	5.72
NEUTON D0373	3,287.1	422,069	66,149,100	20,124	0.35	147,724	2.23	0.00	0.00
SEDGWICK PUBLIC D0439	394.5	0	6,757,432	17,129	0.38	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
HALESTEAD D0440	766.2	87,500	18,517,327	24,168	0.31	27,125	1.46	4.34	2.88
HESSION D0460	765.5	215,500	20,279,397	26,492	0.29	62,495	3.08	10.06	6.98

414-7
EDUC
4/1/92

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME DISTRICT NAME	9-30-91 FTE ENROLLMENT	B & I AMOUNT BUDGETED	1991 ASSESSED VALUATION	ASSESSED VALUATION PER PUPIL	TABLE RATIO FACTOR	EST. STATE AID (5 * 2)	MILL EQUIV	1991 B & I MILL RATE	DIFF (8 - 7)

HASKELL	041								
SUBLETTE	D0374	486.5	0	48,325,156	99,332	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
SATANTA	D0507	377.0	0	94,026,542	249,407	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
HODGEMAN	042								
JEFFREY	D0227	264.5	152,697	15,915,582	60,172	0.00	0	0.00	9.24
HANSTON	D0228	141.2	78,295	9,311,996	65,949	0.00	0	0.00	7.15
JACKSON	043								
NORTH JACKSON	D0335	422.5	71,200	8,358,040	19,782	0.36	25,632	3.07	6.06
HOLTON	D0336	992.5	101,183	16,729,944	16,856	0.38	38,450	2.30	5.37
HAYETTA	D0337	817.5	80,131	10,316,607	12,620	0.43	34,456	3.34	0.00
JEFFERSON	044								
VALLEY FALLS	D0338	492.5	0	7,591,178	15,414	0.40	0	0.00	0.00
JEFFERSON COUNT	D0339	451.8	191,223	8,707,525	19,273	0.36	68,840	7.91	17.81
JEFFERSON WEST	D0340	744.5	35,678	13,802,607	18,539	0.37	13,201	0.96	1.82
OSKALOOSA PUBLI	D0341	630.5	53,761	10,472,206	16,609	0.39	20,967	2.00	2.32
HICLOUTH	D0342	532.0	133,010	10,577,551	19,883	0.35	46,554	4.40	8.91
PERRY PUBLIC SC	D0343	930.0	145,115	20,613,447	22,165	0.33	47,888	2.32	5.67
JEWELL	045								
WHITE ROCK	D0104	178.5	0	10,414,059	58,342	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
HANKATO	D0278	279.0	40,735	7,118,667	25,515	0.30	12,221	1.72	0.00
JEWELL	D0279	204.0	0	8,205,593	40,223	0.15	0	0.00	0.00
JOHNSON	046								
BLUE VALLEY	D0229	9,727.6	9,593,760	582,728,228	59,905	0.00	0	0.00	12.38
SPRING HILL	D0230	1,218.0	176,878	24,501,186	20,116	0.35	61,907	2.53	5.75
GARDNER-EDGERTO	D0231	1,688.9	796,269	44,299,662	26,230	0.29	230,918	5.21	13.76
DESOYO	D0232	1,764.3	0	47,159,322	26,730	0.29	0	0.00	0.00
OLATHIE	D0233	14,649.1	8,306,448	466,856,264	31,869	0.23	1,910,483	4.09	11.70
SHAWNEE MISSION	D0512	29,656.1	2,032,900	1,548,237,899	52,206	0.03	60,987	0.04	1.60
KEARNY	047								
LARKIN	D0215	689.8	0	133,669,442	193,780	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
DEERFIELD	D0216	303.0	314,600	51,476,389	169,889	0.00	0	0.00	10.40
KINGMAN	048								
KINGMAN	D0331	1,118.3	308,658	52,645,510	47,076	0.08	24,693	0.47	5.52
CUNNINGHAM	D0332	305.5	0	26,701,216	87,402	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
KIOWA	049								
GREENSBURG	D0422	386.6	0	21,714,461	56,168	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
HULLINVILLE	D0424	104.0	0	12,532,223	120,502	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
HAVILAND	D0474	170.5	0	14,501,810	85,055	0.00	0	0.00	0.00

EDUC
 4/11/92
 A14-8

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME	9-20-91 FTE	B & I AMOUNT BUDGETED	1991 ASSESSED VALUATION	ASSESSED VALUATION PER PUPIL	TABLE RATIO FACTOR	EST. STATE AID (\$ * 2)	MILL. EQUIV	1991 B & I MILL RATE	DIFF (8 - 7)
DISTRICT NAME	ENROLLMENT								

LABETTE	050								
PARSONS	D0503	1,878.5	0	29,244,501	15,568	0.40	0	0.00	0.00
OSWEGO	D0504	464.5	3,578	9,832,766	21,168	0.34	1,217	0.12	0.00
CNETOPA	D0505	294.5	36,085	4,888,937	16,601	0.39	14,073	2.88	5.49
LABETTE COUNTY	D0506	1,657.0	102,938	26,930,916	16,253	0.39	40,146	1.49	2.96

LANE	051								
HEALY PUBLIC SC	D0468	98.5	190,500	8,526,478	86,563	0.00	0	0.00	18.29
DIGHTON	D0482	403.0	169,202	20,028,134	49,698	0.06	10,152	0.51	7.81

LEAVENWORTH	052								
FT LEAVENWORTH	D0207	1,785.5	0	682,922	382	7,157.64	0	0.00	0.00
EASTON	D0449	635.5	48,386	13,998,606	22,028	0.33	15,967	1.14	0.31
LEAVENWORTH	D0453	4,210.9	515,720	97,195,797	23,082	0.32	165,030	1.70	5.41
BASEHOR-LINWOOD	D0458	1,333.0	78,850	27,483,116	20,617	0.35	27,598	1.00	2.09
TONGANOXIE	D0464	1,435.0	252,395	26,309,066	18,334	0.37	93,386	3.55	8.05
LANSING	D0469	1,710.0	507,923	29,095,225	17,015	0.38	193,011	6.63	14.27

LINCOLN	053								
LINCOLN	D0298	413.0	0	15,136,357	36,650	0.19	0	0.00	0.00
SYLVAN GROVE	D0299	212.0	326	8,799,632	41,508	0.14	46	0.01	0.00

LINN	054								
PLEASANTON	D0344	409.5	0	6,532,907	15,953	0.39	0	0.00	0.00
JAYHAWK	D0346	540.5	83,800	15,387,002	28,468	0.27	22,626	1.47	0.00
PRAIRIE VIEW	D0362	853.6	340,340	114,907,845	134,616	0.00	0	0.00	2.91

LOGAN	055								
DAKLEY	D0274	532.0	0	25,155,842	47,285	0.08	0	0.00	0.00
TRIPLAINS	D0275	123.0	0	10,467,017	85,098	0.00	0	0.00	0.00

LYON	056								
NORTH LYON COUN	D0251	740.0	0	17,972,554	24,287	0.31	0	0.00	0.00
SOUTHERN LYON C	D0252	585.5	0	14,106,468	24,093	0.31	0	0.00	0.00
EMPORIA	D0253	4,734.2	157,365	93,483,141	19,746	0.36	56,651	0.61	0.00

MARION	057								
CENTRE	D0397	290.5	0	12,031,692	41,417	0.14	0	0.00	0.00
PEADDY-BURNS	D0398	384.0	53,763	11,642,784	30,320	0.25	13,441	1.15	4.22
MARION	D0408	581.5	41,275	15,197,550	26,135	0.29	11,970	0.79	0.00
DURHAM-HILLSBOR	D0410	620.4	0	18,054,243	29,101	0.26	0	0.00	0.00
GOESBEL	D0411	273.0	0	6,675,711	24,453	0.31	0	0.00	0.00

MARSHALL	058								
MARYSVILLE	D0364	994.5	197,920	28,211,160	28,367	0.27	53,438	1.89	5.94
VERMILLION	D0380	619.5	0	16,618,940	26,826	0.28	0	0.00	0.00
AXTELL	D0488	342.5	0	10,254,182	29,939	0.25	0	0.00	0.00
VALLEY HEIGHTS	D0498	448.9	105,000	10,357,362	23,073	0.32	33,600	3.24	0.00

EDUC
 4/1/92
 A14-9

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME	9-20-91	B & I	1991	ASSESSED	TABLE	EST. STATE		1991	
DISTRICT NAME	FTE	AMOUNT	ASSESSED	VALUATION	RATIO	AID	MILL	B & I	DIFF
	ENROLLMENT	BUDGETED	VALUATION	PER PUPIL	FACTOR	(5 * 2)	EQUIV	MILL	(8 - 7)
								RATE	

MCPHERSON	059								
LINDSBORG	D0400	855.0	274,031	28,012,327	32,763	0.23	63,027	2.25	8.03
MCPHERSON	D0418	2,556.1	564,399	78,806,556	30,831	0.24	135,456	1.72	6.06
CANTON-GALVA	D0419	412.0	0	14,714,430	35,715	0.20	0	0.00	0.00
HORNDRIDGE	D0423	453.5	110,513	17,395,514	38,358	0.17	18,787	1.08	8.46
IRWAN	D0440	447.5	177,207	13,912,825	31,090	0.24	42,530	3.06	12.24

NEADE	060								
FOWLER	D0225	149.9	0	10,740,002	71,648	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
NEADE	D0226	396.5	0	36,306,887	91,568	0.00	0	0.00	0.00

MIAMI	061								
OSAWATOMIE	D0357	1,124.5	415,420	18,235,732	16,217	0.39	162,014	8.88	17.90
PAOLA	D0368	1,643.0	1,115,206	38,679,897	23,542	0.32	356,866	9.23	22.06
LOUISBURG	D0416	1,110.0	406,275	25,592,052	23,056	0.32	130,008	5.08	15.38

HITCHELL	062								
WACONDA	D0272	580.0	15,000	15,219,832	26,241	0.29	4,350	0.29	0.00
BEL OIT	D0273	780.5	187,500	24,369,732	31,223	0.24	45,000	1.85	6.79

MONTGOMERY	063								
CANEY VALLEY	D0436	782.0	61,545	15,092,030	19,299	0.36	22,156	1.47	0.00
COFFEYVILLE	D0445	2,644.4	85,870	60,094,097	22,725	0.33	28,337	0.47	1.00
INDEPENDENCE	D0446	2,340.1	312,250	57,942,341	24,761	0.31	96,798	1.67	4.96
CHERRYVALE	D0447	612.5	118,833	9,378,269	15,311	0.40	47,533	5.07	10.31

MORRIS	064								
MORRIS COUNTY	D0417	1,074.5	225,472	28,757,335	26,763	0.29	65,387	2.27	6.88

HORTON	065								
ROLLA	D0217	198.0	0	68,035,701	343,615	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
EL KHART	D0218	543.0	246,000	49,363,079	90,908	0.00	0	0.00	0.00

NEMAHIA	066								
SABETHA	D0441	1,074.5	422,913	24,118,098	22,446	0.33	139,561	5.79	17.39
NEMAHIA VALLEY S	D0442	417.0	0	13,893,346	33,317	0.22	0	0.00	0.00
B & B	D0451	234.5	0	5,402,282	23,037	0.32	0	0.00	0.00

NEOSHO	067								
ERIE-ST PAUL	D0101	1,141.0	0	21,314,724	18,681	0.37	0	0.00	0.00
CHARITE PUBLIC	D0413	1,981.1	747,017	34,172,579	17,249	0.38	283,866	8.31	19.15

NESS	068								
NESS TRE LA GO	D0301	84.0	0	11,256,626	134,007	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
SHOOKY HILL	D0302	197.5	0	14,426,381	73,045	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
NESS CITY	D0303	361.5	134,284	21,081,153	58,316	0.00	0	0.00	5.81
VAZINE	D0304	131.5	57,000	9,472,961	72,038	0.00	0	0.00	5.69

EDUC
 4/1/92
 A14-10

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME	9-20-91 FTE	B & I AMOUNT BUDGETED	1991 ASSESSED VALUATION	ASSESSED VALUATION PER PUPIL	TABLE RATIO FACTOR	EST. STATE AID (5 * 2)	1991 B & I MILL. RATE	1991 B & I MILL. RATE	DIFF (8 - 7)
DISTRICT NAME	ENROLLMENT						MILL. EQUIV		(8 - 7)

NORTON	069								
NORTON COMMUNIT	D0211	742.0	70,508	15,241,246	20,541	0.35	24,678	1.62	0.00
NORTHERN VALLEY	D0212	189.0	45,859	6,838,112	36,180	0.19	8,713	1.27	0.00
WEST SOLOMON VA	D0213	95.5	0	7,131,710	74,678	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
OSAGE	070								
OSAGE CITY	D0420	594.0	81,986	13,446,414	22,637	0.33	27,035	2.01	5.21
LYNDEN	D0421	429.0	44,129	8,963,452	20,894	0.34	15,004	1.67	4.92
SANTA FE TRAIL	D0434	1,249.0	0	19,310,135	15,460	0.40	0	0.00	0.00
BURLINGAME PUBL.	D0454	345.5	0	5,549,205	16,061	0.39	0	0.00	0.00
HARATS DES CYGN	D0456	301.0	0	6,466,303	21,483	0.34	0	0.00	0.00
OSBORNE	071								
OSBORNE COUNTY	D0392	473.5	0	14,033,536	29,638	0.26	0	0.00	0.00
OTTAWA	072								
NORTH OTTAWA CO	D0239	661.5	0	19,257,265	29,112	0.26	0	0.00	0.00
TWIN VALLEY	D0240	474.3	118,600	12,165,020	25,648	0.30	35,580	2.92	9.25
PAWNEE	073								
FT LARNED	D0495	1,148.3	0	38,926,860	33,900	0.21	0	0.00	0.00
PAWNEE HEIGHTS	D0496	162.0	0	11,090,111	68,457	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
PHILLIPS	074								
EASTERN HEIGHTS	D0324	170.0	0	6,015,472	35,385	0.20	0	0.00	0.00
PHILLIPSBURG	D0325	699.0	0	22,209,769	31,774	0.24	0	0.00	0.00
LOGAN	D0326	240.0	0	14,077,019	58,654	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
POTTAWATOMIE	075								
WAMEGO	D0320	1,309.4	932,223	24,096,969	18,403	0.37	344,923	14.31	30.17
KAW VALLEY	D0321	1,016.0	865,457	219,232,261	215,780	0.00	0	0.00	3.92
UNAGA-HAVENSVIL	D0322	443.0	0	10,314,533	23,283	0.32	0	0.00	0.00
POTTAWATOMIE WE	D0323	635.0	342,982	10,297,629	16,217	0.39	133,763	12.99	32.69
PRATT	076								
PRATT	D0382	1,338.1	371,819	40,009,727	29,900	0.25	92,955	2.32	5.23
SKYLINE SCHOOLS	D0438	350.0	21,903	21,027,329	60,078	0.00	0	0.00	0.98
RAWLINS	077								
HERNDON	D0317	76.5	0	4,767,946	62,326	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
ATWOOD	D0318	490.7	0	16,357,405	33,335	0.22	0	0.00	0.00
RENO	078								
BRITCHINSON PUBL.	D0308	5,007.5	1,026,753	133,182,010	26,597	0.29	297,758	2.24	5.10
HICKERSON	D0309	1,403.0	351,500	36,929,127	26,322	0.29	101,935	2.76	5.93
FAIRFIELD	D0310	471.5	0	24,457,634	51,872	0.03	0	0.00	0.00
FRETTY PRAIRIE	D0311	287.5	55,330	10,797,439	37,556	0.18	9,959	0.92	4.86
HAVEN PUBLIC SC	D0312	1,215.5	0	35,496,663	29,203	0.26	0	0.00	0.00

EDUC
4/1/92
A14-11

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME & DISTRICT NAME	9-20-91 FTE ENROLLMENT	B & I AMOUNT BUDGETED	1991 ASSESSED VALIATION	ASSESSED VALIATION PER PUPIL	TABLE RATIO FACTOR	EST. STATE AID (5 * 2)	MILL EQUIV	1991 B & I MILL RATE	DIFF (8 - 7)
RENO 078 DUNER D0313	2,144.5	444,000	54,596,764	25,459	0.30	133,200	2.44	7.00	4.56
REPUBLIC 079 PIKE VALLEY D0426	288.5	0	10,180,532	35,288	0.20	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
BELLEVILLE D0427	658.5	0	19,486,026	29,592	0.26	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
HILLCREST RURAL D0455	144.5	0	6,220,391	43,048	0.12	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
RICE 080 STERLING D0376	546.5	0	15,463,392	28,295	0.27	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
CHASE D0401	182.5	0	14,075,359	77,125	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
LYONS D0405	836.9	0	20,366,325	24,335	0.31	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
LITTLE RIVER D0444	377.0	0	23,150,481	61,407	0.60	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
RILEY 081 RILEY COUNTY D0378	577.5	156,902	10,326,653	17,882	0.37	58,054	5.62	10.99	5.37
HAWKSWAY D0383	6,336.2	1,154,522	162,397,193	25,630	0.30	346,357	2.13	5.29	3.16
BLUE VALLEY D0384	282.7	44,556	7,717,077	27,298	0.28	12,476	1.62	4.81	3.19
ROOKS 082 PALCO D0269	185.5	0	18,190,519	98,062	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
PLAINVILLE D0270	481.9	0	28,694,359	59,544	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
STOCKTON D0271	420.0	0	19,203,784	45,723	0.10	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
RUSH 083 LACROSE D0395	346.0	0	22,670,025	65,520	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
OTIS-DISK D0403	370.0	0	15,313,695	41,388	0.14	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
RUSSELL 084 PARADISE D0399	143.0	0	18,657,042	130,469	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
RUSSELL COUNTY D0407	1,161.5	0	56,530,628	48,670	0.07	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
SALINE 085 SALINA D0305	7,172.1	639,264	177,075,666	24,690	0.31	198,172	1.12	3.03	1.91
SOUTHEAST OF SA D0306	587.7	481,397	30,495,104	51,889	0.03	14,442	0.47	14.73	14.26
ELL-SALINE D0307	383.0	114,910	8,016,871	20,932	0.34	39,069	4.87	14.96	10.09
BLOTT 086 SCOTT COUNTY D0466	1,071.5	225,410	42,541,324	39,703	0.16	36,066	0.85	4.31	3.46
SEDGWICK 087 WICHITA D0269	45,583.4	2,893,400	1,500,461,737	32,917	0.22	636,548	0.42	1.43	1.01
DERBY D0260	6,010.0	0	135,736,406	22,585	0.33	0	0.00	3.97	3.97
HAYSVILLE D0261	3,446.0	248,213	55,900,767	16,222	0.39	96,803	1.73	3.51	1.78
VALLEY CENTER P D0262	2,071.5	209,017	38,651,067	18,480	0.37	77,336	2.00	14.02	12.02
HUMANE D0263	1,908.1	300,795	24,525,393	12,853	0.42	126,334	5.15	9.66	4.51
CLEARWATER D0264	1,020.0	348,403	27,387,682	26,851	0.28	97,553	3.56	6.54	2.98
GODDARD D0265	2,108.5	527,245	48,394,922	22,952	0.32	168,718	3.49	9.79	6.30

EDUC
 4/19/2
 A14-12

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME DISTRICT NAME	9-20-91 FTE ENROLLMENT	B & I AMOUNT BUDGETED	1991 ASSESSED VALUATION	ASSESSED VALUATION PER PUPIL	TABLE RATIO FACTOR	EST. STATE AID (5 * 2)	MILL EQUIV	1991 B & I MILL RATE	DIFF (8 - 7)

Sedgwick 087									
MAIZE D0266	2,803.2	606,840	48,899,484	17,444	0.38	230,599	4.72	9.33	4.61
RENICK D0267	1,413.0	235,643	37,857,037	26,792	0.29	68,336	1.81	0.00	0.00
CHENEY D0268	575.7	115,303	13,390,208	23,259	0.32	36,897	2.76	6.90	4.14
Seward 088									
LIBERAL D0480	3,542.2	811,210	112,545,538	31,773	0.24	194,690	1.73	6.97	5.24
KISHET-PLAINS D0483	598.0	0	39,302,324	65,723	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Shawnee 089									
SEAMAN D0345	3,299.7	1,000	107,529,976	32,588	0.23	230	0.00	0.00	0.00
SILVER LAKE D0372	610.5	71,050	11,119,036	18,213	0.37	26,289	2.36	3.91	1.55
AUBURN WASHBURN D0437	4,239.5	2,780,629	156,393,072	36,890	0.18	500,513	3.20	16.73	13.53
SHAWNEE HEIGHTS D0450	3,355.0	761,191	78,752,001	23,473	0.32	243,581	3.09	8.42	5.33
TOPEKA PUBLIC S D0501	14,167.0	229,688	450,546,543	31,803	0.24	55,125	0.12	0.40	0.28
Sheridan 090									
HOXIE COMMUNITY D0412	511.5	65,015	21,085,533	41,223	0.14	9,102	0.43	2.74	2.31
Sherman 091									
GOODLAND D0352	1,181.0	0	43,324,695	36,685	0.19	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Smith 092									
SMITH CENTER D0237	628.0	0	20,386,908	32,619	0.23	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WEST SMITH COOR D0238	195.0	0	6,717,677	34,450	0.21	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Stafford 093									
STAFFORD D0349	283.8	0	15,542,564	54,766	0.01	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
ST JOHN-INDSON D0350	452.0	0	26,065,706	57,667	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
HACKSVILLE D0351	284.5	0	28,684,463	100,824	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Stanton 094									
STANTON COUNTY D0452	536.5	66,282	70,220,243	130,886	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Stevens 095									
HOSCOCK PUBLIC S D0209	160.1	0	76,883,200	480,220	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
HUGOTON PUBLIC D0210	990.1	0	239,068,105	241,459	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Sumner 096									
WELLINGTON D0353	2,030.5	0	39,070,249	19,242	0.36	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
CONWAY SPRINGS D0354	456.9	0	11,601,772	25,392	0.30	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
BELLE PLAINE D0357	743.0	196,811	10,988,692	14,790	0.41	80,693	7.34	13.98	6.64
OXFORD D0358	452.5	0	10,433,477	23,057	0.32	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
ARCOHIA PUBLIC D0359	215.0	0	9,273,157	43,131	0.12	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
CALDWELL D0360	311.0	40,631	11,133,556	35,799	0.20	8,126	0.73	0.00	0.00
SOUTH HAVEN D0509	226.5	60,451	7,273,198	32,111	0.23	13,904	1.91	6.03	4.12

EDUC
 4/1/92
 A 14-13

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME DISTRICT NAME	9-20-91 FTE ENROLLMENT	B & I AMOUNT BUDGETED	1991 ASSESSED VALUATION	ASSESSD VALUATION PER PUPIL	TABLE RATIO FACTOR	EST. STATE AID (5 * 2)	MILL EQUIV	1991 B & I MILL RATE	DIFF (8 - 7)
THOMAS 097									
DREWSTER D0314	141.5	41,607	10,934,029	77,272	0.00	0	0.00	3.60	3.60
COBY PUBLIC SC D0315	1,284.1	0	39,342,934	30,639	0.25	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
GOLDEN PLAINS D0316	158.5	54,000	8,505,933	53,665	0.02	1,080	0.13	6.72	6.59
TREGO 098									
WAKEENEY D0208	606.0	0	24,743,891	40,832	0.14	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WABALUNSEE 099									
HILL CREEK VALL D0329	566.4	0	16,029,259	28,300	0.27	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WABALUNSEE EAST D0330	620.1	0	14,820,475	23,900	0.31	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WALLACE 100									
WALLACE COUNTY D0241	293.0	0	14,210,062	48,499	0.07	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
UESKAN D0242	103.5	0	7,476,493	72,237	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WASHINGTON 101									
NORTH CENTRAL D0221	180.5	0	8,145,067	45,125	0.10	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WASHINGTON SCHD D0222	391.0	0	9,519,924	24,348	0.31	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
BARHES D0223	382.3	0	17,191,189	44,968	0.10	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
CLIFTON-CLYDE D0224	409.5	0	15,048,360	36,748	0.19	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WICHITA 102									
LEUTI D0467	593.0	0	27,062,489	45,637	0.10	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WILSON 103									
ALTONNA-MIDWAY D0387	382.5	0	9,305,237	24,327	0.31	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
NEODESHA D0461	716.5	327,768	13,360,985	18,648	0.37	121,274	9.08	22.15	13.07
FREDDNIA D0484	894.5	224,226	22,770,814	25,456	0.30	67,268	2.95	7.10	4.15
WOODSON 104									
YATES CENTER D0366	619.5	0	19,664,083	31,742	0.24	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WYANDOTTE 105									
MURKIN-KANSAS C D0202	3,832.2	643,408	82,489,821	21,525	0.34	218,759	2.65	7.26	4.61
PIPER-KANSAS CI D0203	1,139.5	397,100	39,950,279	35,059	0.20	79,420	1.99	9.13	7.14
DONNER SPRINGS D0304	2,106.0	712,779	49,540,580	23,524	0.32	228,089	4.60	16.80	12.20
KANSAS CITY D0500	20,925.9	1,078,881	418,523,443	20,000	0.35	377,608	0.90	1.16	0.26
STATE TOTALS	425,302.8	68,789,689	14,406,218,085	13,598,673	7,223.20	14,950,790	414.05	1,204.77	820.11

EDUC
4/11/92
A14-14