

Approved June 26, 1992
Date

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

The meeting was called to order by SENATOR JOSEPH C. HARDER at
Chairperson

1:30 ~~am~~/p.m. on Tuesday, March 31, 1992 in room 123-S of the Capitol.

All members were present except:

Committee staff present:

Mr. Ben Barrett, Legislative Research Department
Ms. Avis Swartzman, Revisor of Statutes
Mr. Dale Dennis, Assistant Commissioner of Education
Mrs. Millie Randell, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:

HB 2835 - School districts, bonded debt, school district capital improvements fund.

Proponents:

Representative Kent Glasscock, co-sponsor of HB 2835
Representative Steve Lloyd, co-sponsor of HB 2835
Mr. Mark Tallman, Coordinator of Governmental Relations, Kansas Association of School Boards
Ms. Brilla Scott, Associate Executive Director, United School Administrators of Kansas
Mr. Craig Grant, Director of Political Action, Kansas National Education Association
Ms. Jacque Oakes, Schools for Quality Education
Ms. Helen Stephens, Blue Valley, USD 229

HB 2763 - Establishment of education restructuring commission

Proponents:

Ms. Connie Hubbell, Legislative Coordinator, Kansas State Board of Education
Ms. Kay Coles, Director of Communications, Kansas National Education Association
Ms. Brilla Scott, Associate Executive Director, United School Administrators of Kansas
Mr. Mark Tallman, Coordinator of Governmental Relations, Kansas Association of School Boards
Senator Bud Burke, written testimony only

HB 2835 - School districts, bonded debt, school district capital improvements fund.

After calling the meeting to order, Chairman Joseph C. Harder reverted Committee attention to HB 2835 and announced that Mr. Dale Dennis, staff, would review Computer Printout L9290, Attachment 1, which shows the effects of HB 2835 as approved by the House.

Mr. Dennis informed members that HB 2835 is a bill that helps in retiring and paying for the cost of bond and interest payments on general obligation bonds which have been approved by the voters. He noted that the bill as originally introduced provided that school districts at the median assessed valuation per pupil would be reimbursed at 50% instead of 40% of cost.

Mr. Dennis estimated that state aid next year would increase to about \$26.5 million and projected that state aid would continue to grow gradually.

Mr. Dennis confirmed that voters decide the need for new school buildings.

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION,
room 123-S, Statehouse, at 1:30 ~~xxx~~ p.m. on Tuesday, March 31, 1992

The Chair then recognized Representative Kent Glasscock, a co-sponsor of HB 2835. Representative Glasscock stated that HB 2835 will serve to reverse the deterioration of an aging school infrastructure while at the same time retaining local control. (Attachment 2)

Representative Glasscock acknowledged that if the legislature should decide to institute a state aid policy, it might be appropriate to define those projects which can be reimbursed with state aid. He acknowledged that school districts which have not incurred bond and interest payments would not benefit from the bill, although they would have to pay for the statewide property tax levy.

The Chair next called upon Representative Steve Lloyd, co-sponsor of HB 2835.

Representative Lloyd described HB 2835 as having had good bi-partisan support in the House with 23 Republicans and 24 Democrats supporting it, because the bill maintains some semblance of local control. Representative Lloyd pointed out the importance of using demand transfer instead of making the funding subject to appropriations. (Attachment 3)

Mr. Mark Tallman, Coordinator of Governmental Relations, stated his organization's strong support for the concept of HB 2835. (Attachment 4)

The Associate Executive Director of United School Administrators of Kansas, Ms. Brilla Scott, stating support of HB 2835, urged the retention of the demand transfer as the method for funding this program. (Attachment 5)

Mr. Craig Grant, Kansas-National Education Association, stressed the importance for Kansas to adopt a method for power equalizing the bond and interest portions of Kansas' school spending. (Attachment 6)

HB 2835 would give needed help to small schools trying to fund their building projects, stated Ms. Jacque Oakes, representing Schools for Quality Education. (Attachment 7)

Ms. Helen Stephens stated that the demand transfer provision in HB 2835 will assure the voters that the state is making a serious commitment to assist local school districts with capital improvements. (Attachment 8)

Following a call for additional conferees, the Chair announced that the hearing on HB 2835 is concluded.

HB 2763 - Establishment of education restructuring commission.

The Chair called upon Ms. Connie Hubbell, Legislative Coordinator for the State Board of Education, who expressed belief that the commission created under HB 2763 would be a tremendous asset to restructuring Kansas education. (Attachment 9) Ms. Hubbell confirmed that HB 2763 vests authority with the State Board of Education to coordinate efforts as stated in the bill and contains an allocation of \$50,000 for this purpose.

Ms. Kay Coles, Kansas-National Education Association, speaking in support of HB 2763, Attachment 10, called Committee attention to two amendments which had been added on the House floor:

1. The name of the commission is changed to The Commission on Education Restructuring and Accountability, and her organization supports this.
2. The Commission's report is due back to this legislature by April 4, 1992.

Ms. Brilla Scott stated that although the United School Administrators of Kansas normally is not in favor of another commission or agency to further "supervise" the schools of Kansas, members support HB 2763, because they see it as a vital link between the Kansas legislature and the schools of our state. (Attachment 11)

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION,
room 123-S, Statehouse, at 1:30 ~~xxx~~ p.m. on Tuesday, March 31, 1992

Mr. Mark Tallman, Kansas Association School Boards, stated his support for HB 2763, because changing social and economic circumstances demand changes in the education system, and HB 2763 can focus attention on these issues. (Attachment 12)

The Chairman informed members that Senator Bud Burke had submmitted written testimony in support of HB 2763. (Attachment 13)

The Chairman announced that the committee will meet tomorrow at 1 p.m. due to the vast amount of work remaining to be completed, and he adjourned the meeting.

SENATE EDUCATION COMMITTEE

TIME: 1:30 p.m. PLACE: 123-S DATE: Tuesday, March 31, 1992

GUEST LIST

NAME	ADDRESS	ORGANIZATION
Angela Counselman	6420 Suffolk Rd Topeka, KS	Page
Burt Hoplin	RR#1 Box 129 Ussar, Kansas 66543	Page
Donny Shoss	RR#1 Box 153 Scimiton, KS 66537	Page
Matt Inzell	Topeka	AP
Ken Glancow	MANHATTAN	ST. REP.
Steve Lloyd	Palmer	ST REP
Jacque Oakes	Topeka	SOE
Craig Grant	Topeka	KNEA
Ken Coles	Topeka	KNEA
Mark Tallman	Topeka	KABE
Helen Stephens	PV/Topeka USD #229	
Jim Youally	Overland Park	USD #512
Janice Apt	Topeka	USD #500
Cherie Heered	Topeka	SL Bd of ed
Marlin Rein	Lawer	KU
Paul Burnett	Topeka	USD 501A
HAROLD P. ITS	"	AARP-CCTF
Ladislav M. Hernandez	Topeka	Gov. Office
Jim Allen	"	McGill & Assoc
Mary Shivers	Topeka	KDOT
Steve Miller	Hoys	Sunflower Electric
JIM KEELE	PAOLA	B.L.E.
Ken Binstey	Topeka	KSBE
DON LINDSEY	OSAWATOMIE	UTM
Chuck Tilman	Topeka	KNEA

SENATE EDUCATION COMMITTEE

TIME: 1:30 p.m. PLACE: 123-S DATE: Tuesday, March 31, 1992

GUEST LIST

<u>NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
Bella Scott	Topeka	USA
Katharine Wickert	Topeka	USA
Ethel Evans	Grant Co.	Commissioner
Louise Wyrick	Kismet	—
TONI WHEELER	TOPEKA	SEN. KARR'S STAFF

Kansas State Board of Education

120 S.E. 10th Avenue, Topeka, Kansas 66612-1182

March 12, 1992

FROM: State Department of Education and
Legislative Research Department

SUBJECT: 1992 House Bill 2835 As Approved By House

Attached is a computer printout (L9290) which shows the effects of 1992 House Bill 2835 as approved by the House. Under this plan, school districts at the median assessed valuation per pupil will receive 40 percent of their bond and interest payments in state aid. This printout is based upon 1991-92 data.

Each unified school district is entitled to receive bond and interest state aid payments, as approved by the electors, in an amount as determined below.

- (1) Determine the amount of bonded indebtedness payments due in the current fiscal year.
- (2) Determine assessed valuation per pupil for each unified school district.
- (3) Determine the median assessed valuation per pupil for all districts.
- (4) Set up a table in which the median assessed valuation per pupil for school districts plus and minus \$500 would receive 40 percent of their bond and interest payment from the state. This table would be updated annually based upon the preceding year's assessed valuation per pupil.
- (5) Increase the percentage of state aid for bond and interest by one percentage point for each \$1,000 that the assessed valuation per pupil drops below the median in accordance with the attached table. Decrease the percentage of state aid for bond and interest by one percentage point for each \$1,000 that the assessed valuation per pupil rises above the median.
- (6) Determine state aid for bond and interest subject to appropriation, with right of proration.

Dale M. Dennis
Deputy/Assistant Commissioner
Division of Fiscal Services and Quality Control
(913) 296-3871

EDUC
3/31/92
A1-1

COLUMN EXPLANATION

- COLUMN
- 1 -- September 20, 1991, FTE enrollment
 - 2 -- 1991-92 Estimated bond and interest amount budgeted
 - 3 -- 1991 Assessed valuation
 - 4 -- 1991 Assessed valuation per pupil (Column 3 - 1)
 - 5 -- Ratio factor derived from attached table
 - 6 -- 1991-92 Estimated bond and interest state aid
(Column 5 X 2)
 - 7 -- Millage equivalency (Column 6 - 3)
 - 8 -- 1991-92 Bond and interest mill rate
 - 9 -- Difference (Column 8 - 7)

EDUC
3/31/92
1-2

LOW-MED HI-MED % EDMS200A

820	1,821	69
1,820	2,821	68
2,820	3,821	67
3,820	4,821	66
4,820	5,821	65
5,820	6,821	64
6,820	7,821	63
7,820	8,821	62
8,820	9,821	61
9,820	10,821	60
10,820	11,821	59
11,820	12,821	58
12,820	13,821	57
13,820	14,821	56
14,820	15,821	55
15,820	16,821	54
16,820	17,821	53
17,820	18,821	52
18,820	19,821	51
19,820	20,821	50
20,820	21,821	49
21,820	22,821	48
22,820	23,821	47
23,820	24,821	46
24,820	25,821	45
25,820	26,821	44
26,820	27,821	43
27,820	28,821	42
28,820	29,821	41
29,820	30,821	40
30,820	31,821	39
31,820	32,821	38
32,820	33,821	37
33,820	34,821	36
34,820	35,821	35
35,820	36,821	34
36,820	37,821	33
37,820	38,821	32
38,820	39,821	31
39,820	40,821	30
40,820	41,821	29
41,820	42,821	28
42,820	43,821	27
43,820	44,821	26
44,820	45,821	25
45,820	46,821	24
46,820	47,821	23
47,820	48,821	22
48,820	49,821	21
49,820	50,821	20
50,820	51,821	19
51,820	52,821	18
52,820	53,821	17
53,820	54,821	16
54,820	55,821	15
55,820	56,821	14
56,820	57,821	13
57,820	58,821	12
58,820	59,821	11
59,820	60,821	10
60,820	61,821	9
61,820	62,821	8
62,820	63,821	7
63,820	64,821	6
64,820	65,821	5
65,820	66,821	4
66,820	67,821	3
67,820	68,821	2
68,820	69,821	1
69,820	999,999	0

Median assessed valuation per pupil--\$30.32

EDUC

3/31/92

1-3

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME #	9-20-91 FTE	B & I AMOUNT	1991 ASSESSED VALUATION	ASSESSED VALUATION PER PUPIL	TABLE RATIO FACTOR	EST. STATE AID (5 * 2)	MILL EQUIV	1991 B & I MILL RATE	DIFF (8 - 7)
DISTRICT NAME #	ENROLLMENT	BUDGETED							

ALLEN 001									
MARMATON VALLEY D0256	373.5	0	11,508,935	30,814	0.40	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
IOLA D0257	1,789.5	423,205	27,126,705	15,159	0.55	232,763	8.58	13.19	4.61
HUMBOLDT D0258	624.0	114,617	14,949,473	23,957	0.46	52,724	3.53	7.61	4.08
ANDERSON 002									
GARNETT D0365	1,039.5	438,860	30,422,821	29,267	0.41	179,933	5.91	16.04	10.13
CREST D0479	317.0	0	8,610,055	27,161	0.43	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
ATCHISON 003									
ATCHISON CO COM D0377	768.5	164,462	20,393,550	26,537	0.44	72,363	3.55	6.39	2.84
ATCHISON PUBLIC D0409	1,691.4	236,713	37,399,574	22,112	0.48	113,622	3.04	5.18	2.14
BARBER 004									
BARBER COUNTY N D0254	766.0	0	36,731,865	47,953	0.22	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
SOUTH BARBER D0255	328.5	87,850	21,687,349	66,019	0.04	3,514	0.16	2.67	2.51
BARTON 005									
CLAFLIN D0354	285.0	0	15,035,293	52,755	0.18	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
ELLINWOOD PUBLI D0355	566.1	0	21,148,662	37,359	0.33	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
GREAT BEND D0428	3,369.7	136,800	85,927,576	25,500	0.45	61,560	0.72	4.05	3.33
HOISINGTON D0431	781.1	358,945	23,688,225	30,327	0.40	143,578	6.06	13.83	7.77
BOURBON 006									
FORT SCOTT D0234	2,074.1	212,298	39,833,461	19,205	0.51	108,272	2.72	4.58	1.86
UNIONTOWN D0235	493.0	60,850	10,395,377	21,086	0.49	29,817	2.87	4.61	1.74
BROWN 007									
HIAWATHA D0415	1,216.4	235,056	30,937,471	25,434	0.45	105,775	3.42	14.52	11.10
SOUTH BROWN COU D0430	686.0	272,995	13,681,511	19,944	0.50	136,498	9.98	18.41	8.43
BUTLER 008									
LEON D0205	784.5	86,225	17,574,513	22,402	0.48	41,388	2.36	3.40	1.04
REMYNGTON-WHITE D0206	510.5	0	18,648,495	36,530	0.34	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
CIRCLE D0375	1,295.0	0	52,932,949	40,875	0.29	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
ANDOVER D0385	1,708.5	343,860	40,843,865	23,906	0.46	158,176	3.87	8.07	4.20
ROSE HILL PUBLI D0394	1,439.0	143,365	19,387,436	13,473	0.57	81,718	4.21	6.67	2.46
DOUGLASS PUBLIC D0396	755.6	25,892	10,760,548	14,241	0.56	14,500	1.35	0.00	0.00
AUGUSTA D0402	2,081.1	67,795	34,643,334	16,647	0.54	36,609	1.06	1.56	0.50
EL DORADO D0490	2,220.7	453,517	55,384,163	24,940	0.45	204,083	3.68	0.00	0.00
FLINTHILLS D0492	239.5	127,590	11,054,322	46,156	0.24	30,622	2.77	10.09	7.32
CHASE 009									
CHASE COUNTY D0204	563.0	33,758	22,009,456	39,093	0.31	10,465	0.48	1.41	0.93

1-4
3/31/92
EDUC

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME	9-20-91	B & I	1991	ASSESSED	TABLE	EST. STATE		1991	
DISTRICT NAME	FTE	AMOUNT	ASSESSED	VALUATION	RATIO	AID	MILL	B & I	DIFF
	ENROLLMENT	BUDGETED	VALUATION	PER PUPIL	FACTOR	(5 * 2)	EQUIV	RATE	(8 - 7)

CHAUTAUGUA 010									
CEDAR VALE D0285	177.3	63,440	7,451,411	42,027	0.28	17,763	2.38	7.28	4.90
CHAUTAUGUA COUN D0286	502.5	58,756	13,145,908	26,161	0.44	25,853	1.97	3.91	1.94
CHEROKEE 011									
RIVERTON D0404	718.0	319,225	16,106,561	22,433	0.48	153,228	9.51	14.90	5.39
COLUMBUS D0493	1,306.0	320,265	32,799,638	25,115	0.45	144,119	4.39	9.41	3.02
GALENA D0499	749.5	0	6,036,234	8,054	0.62	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
BAXTER SPRINGS D0508	851.0	125,300	12,694,676	14,917	0.55	68,915	5.43	7.86	2.43
CHEYENNE 012									
CHEYLIN D0103	222.5	0	18,604,935	83,618	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
ST FRANCIS COMM D0297	428.5	0	17,542,518	40,939	0.29	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
CLARK 013									
MINNEOLA D0219	201.8	0	12,773,008	63,295	0.07	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
ASHLAND D0220	274.5	0	23,729,048	86,445	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
CLAY 014									
CLAY CENTER D0379	1,646.4	173,054	36,237,397	22,010	0.48	83,066	2.29	7.58	5.29
CLOUD 015									
CONCORDIA D0333	1,349.5	0	28,331,114	20,994	0.49	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
SOUTHERN CLOUD D0334	256.5	0	10,509,080	40,971	0.29	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
COFFEY 016									
LEBO-WAVERLY D0243	536.5	151,118	12,881,545	24,010	0.46	69,514	5.40	0.00	0.00
BURLINGTON D0244	926.0	673,983	519,653,169	561,181	0.00	0	0.00	1.29	1.29
LEROY-GRIDLEY D0245	341.0	0	14,378,229	42,165	0.28	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
COMANCHE 017									
COMANCHE COUNTY D0300	430.9	0	30,589,134	70,989	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
COWLEY 018									
CENTRAL D0462	366.7	53,840	11,494,964	31,347	0.39	20,998	1.83	3.63	1.80
UDALL D0463	402.0	56,791	7,717,418	19,198	0.51	28,963	3.75	7.24	3.49
WINFIELD D0465	2,414.0	877,089	55,831,427	23,128	0.47	412,232	7.38	9.77	2.39
ARKANSAS CITY D0470	3,053.5	691,703	61,376,730	20,100	0.50	345,852	5.63	9.44	3.81
DEXTER D0471	162.5	78,740	6,115,592	37,634	0.33	25,984	4.25	11.67	7.42
CRAWFORD 019									
NORTHEAST D0246	577.5	112,000	8,778,726	15,201	0.55	62,040	7.07	9.55	2.48
CHEROKEE D0247	793.5	0	15,914,814	20,056	0.50	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
GIRARD D0248	1,111.5	79,725	20,040,000	18,030	0.52	41,457	2.07	3.57	1.50
FRONTENAC PUBLI D0249	482.0	1	8,703,295	18,057	0.52	1	0.00	0.00	0.00
PITTSBURG D0250	2,958.0	411,055	56,360,774	19,054	0.51	209,638	3.72	6.29	2.57

EDUC
3-31-92
1-5

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME	9-20-91 FTE	B & I AMOUNT BUDGETED	1991 ASSESSED VALUATION	ASSESSED VALUATION PER PUPIL	TABLE RATIO FACTOR	EST. STATE AID (5 * 2)	MILL EQUIV	1991 B & I MILL RATE	DIFF (8 - 7)
DISTRICT NAME	ENROLLMENT								

DECATUR	020								
DEBERLIN	D0294	644.0	0	22,912,422	35,578	0.35	0	0.00	0.00
PRAIRIE HEIGHTS	D0295	103.0	0	5,619,432	54,558	0.16	0	0.00	0.00
DICKINSON	021								
SOLOMON	D0393	327.5	0	10,474,451	31,983	0.38	0	0.00	0.00
ABILENE	D0435	1,417.1	203,834	30,394,671	21,449	0.49	99,879	3.29	7.21
CHAPMAN	D0473	1,233.0	0	34,384,782	27,887	0.42	0	0.00	0.00
RURAL VISTA	D0481	372.1	0	11,619,656	31,227	0.39	0	0.00	0.00
HERINGTON	D0487	580.2	58,832	9,664,161	16,657	0.54	31,769	3.29	6.57
DDNIFHAN	022								
WATHENA	D0406	513.0	0	6,920,327	13,490	0.57	0	0.00	0.00
HIGHLAND	D0425	285.5	91,545	6,430,212	22,523	0.48	43,942	6.83	13.50
TROY PUBLIC SCH	D0429	414.5	59,885	5,941,152	14,333	0.56	33,536	5.64	8.71
MIDWAY SCHOOLS	D0433	203.0	54,420	7,474,958	36,822	0.33	17,959	2.40	6.02
ELWOOD	D0486	224.5	65,770	7,216,037	32,143	0.38	24,993	3.46	8.72
DDUGLAS	023								
BALDWIN CITY	D0348	1,050.9	107,165	22,150,111	21,077	0.49	52,511	2.37	4.03
EUDORA	D0491	836.6	60,000	13,450,422	16,077	0.54	32,400	2.41	1.28
LAWRENCE	D0497	8,523.7	1,177,350	316,877,062	37,176	0.33	388,526	1.23	3.17
EDWARDS	024								
KINGLEY-OFFERLE	D0347	396.7	0	16,285,375	41,052	0.29	0	0.00	0.00
LEWIS	D0502	194.0	0	13,861,891	71,453	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
ELK	025								
WEST ELK	D0282	447.0	135,000	15,766,410	35,272	0.35	47,250	3.00	3.96
ELK VALLEY	D0283	192.0	0	4,713,291	24,548	0.46	0	0.00	0.00
ELLIS	026								
ELLIS	D0388	375.5	118,025	17,872,967	47,598	0.23	27,146	1.52	5.91
VICTORIA	D0432	391.5	0	14,658,080	37,441	0.33	0	0.00	0.00
HAYS	D0489	3,431.0	668,453	105,293,846	30,689	0.40	267,381	2.54	5.59
ELLSWORTH	027								
ELLSWORTH	D0327	770.0	167,235	18,306,353	23,774	0.47	78,600	4.29	8.85
LORRAINE	D0328	534.5	0	36,174,264	67,679	0.03	0	0.00	0.00
FINNEY	028								
HOLCOMB	D0363	678.5	509,302	115,123,212	169,673	0.00	0	0.00	4.26
GARDEN CITY	D0457	6,563.3	1,158,090	165,138,919	25,161	0.45	521,141	3.16	10.53
FORD	029								
SPEARVILLE	D0381	272.5	0	8,496,322	31,179	0.39	0	0.00	0.00
DODGE CITY	D0443	4,241.0	395,000	120,885,287	28,504	0.42	165,900	1.37	3.12
BUCKLIN	D0459	351.5	16,000	14,558,412	41,418	0.29	4,640	0.32	2.58

1-6
3/31/92
Educ

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
COUNTY NAME	#	9-20-91 FTE	B & I AMOUNT BUDGETED	1991 ASSESSED VALUATION	ASSESSED VALUATION PER PUPIL	TABLE RATIO FACTOR	EST. STATE AID (5 * 2)	1991 B & I MILL RATE	MILL EQUIV	DIFF (8 - 7)

FRANKLIN	030									
WEST FRANKLIN	D0287	799.1	0	16,998,124	21,272	0.49	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
CENTRAL HEIGHTS	D0288	557.0	61,630	10,196,535	18,306	0.52	32,048	3.14	4.80	1.66
WELLSVILLE	D0289	725.2	153,598	15,198,599	20,958	0.49	75,263	4.95	8.91	3.96
OTTAWA	D0290	2,281.0	358,447	43,906,528	19,249	0.51	182,808	4.16	7.82	3.66
GEARY	031									
JUNCTION CITY	D0475	7,352.9	0	80,672,002	10,971	0.59	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
GOVE	032									
GRINNELL PUBLIC	D0291	151.5	0	8,639,372	57,026	0.13	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WHEATLAND	D0292	179.5	22,541	10,242,285	57,060	0.13	2,930	0.29	0.00	0.00
WINTER PUBLIC	D0293	354.0	81,358	12,717,606	35,925	0.34	27,662	2.18	12.19	10.01
GRAHAM	033									
WEST GRAHAM-MOR	D0280	120.0	0	9,086,202	75,718	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
HILL CITY	D0281	533.0	0	22,563,026	42,332	0.28	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
GRANT	034									
ULYSSES	D0214	1,679.4	0	230,912,485	137,497	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
GRAY	035									
CIMARRON-ENSIGN	D0102	554.0	0	21,946,513	39,615	0.31	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
MONTEZUMA	D0371	197.0	0	11,143,904	56,568	0.14	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
COPELAND	D0476	113.0	0	10,625,782	94,033	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
INGALLS	D0477	271.5	119,790	12,502,355	46,049	0.24	28,750	2.30	4.41	2.11
GREELEY	036									
GREELEY COUNTY	D0200	335.5	183,950	27,564,628	82,160	0.00	0	0.00	6.41	6.41
GREENWOOD	037									
MADISON-VIRGIL	D0386	276.2	148,700	10,026,400	36,301	0.34	50,558	5.04	12.89	7.85
EUREKA	D0389	795.5	661,200	22,054,294	27,724	0.43	284,316	12.89	25.79	12.90
HAMILTON	D0390	114.5	0	7,094,718	61,963	0.08	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
HAMILTON	038									
SYRACUSE	D0494	412.5	0	42,334,847	102,630	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
HARPER	039									
ANTHONY-HARPER	D0361	1,081.5	59,427	39,011,087	36,071	0.34	20,205	0.52	0.00	0.00
ATTICA	D0511	208.5	0	10,819,253	51,891	0.18	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
HARVEY	040									
BURRTON	D0369	280.5	83,297	9,734,251	34,703	0.36	29,987	3.08	7.52	4.44
NEWTON	D0373	3,287.1	422,069	66,149,100	20,124	0.50	211,035	3.19	0.00	0.00
SEDGWICK PUBLIC	D0439	394.5	0	6,757,432	17,129	0.53	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
HALSTEAD	D0440	766.2	87,500	18,517,327	24,168	0.46	40,250	2.17	4.34	2.17
HESSTON	D0460	765.5	215,500	20,279,397	26,492	0.44	94,820	4.68	10.06	5.38

EDUC
3/31/92
1-7

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME	9-20-91	B & I	1991	ASSESSED	TABLE	EST. STATE		1991	
DISTRICT NAME	FTE	AMOUNT	ASSESSED	VALUATION	RATIO	ATD	MILL	B & I	DIFF
	ENROLLMENT	BUDGETED	VALUATION	PER PUPIL	FACTOR	(5 * 2)	EQUIV	MILL RATE	(8 - 7)

HASKELL	041								
BUBLETTE	D0374	486.5	0	48,325,156	99,332	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
SATANTA	D0507	377.0	0	94,026,542	249,407	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
HODGEMAN	042								
JETMORE	D0227	264.5	152,697	15,915,382	60,172	0.10	15,270	0.96	9.24
HANSTON	D0228	141.2	78,295	9,311,996	65,949	0.04	3,132	0.34	7.15
JACKSON	043								
NORTH JACKSON	D0335	422.5	71,200	8,358,040	19,782	0.51	36,312	4.34	6.06
HOLTON	D0336	992.5	101,183	16,729,944	16,856	0.53	53,627	3.21	5.37
MAYETTA	D0337	817.5	80,131	10,316,607	12,620	0.58	46,476	4.50	0.00
JEFFERSON	044								
VALLEY FALLS	D0338	492.5	0	7,591,178	15,414	0.55	0	0.00	0.00
JEFFERSON COUNT	D0339	451.8	191,223	8,707,525	19,273	0.51	97,524	11.20	17.81
JEFFERSON WEST	D0340	744.5	35,678	13,802,607	18,539	0.52	18,553	1.34	1.82
OSKALOUSA PUBLI	D0341	630.5	53,761	10,472,206	16,609	0.54	29,031	2.77	2.32
MCLOUTH	D0342	532.0	133,010	10,577,551	19,883	0.50	66,505	6.29	8.91
PERRY PUBLIC SC	D0343	930.0	145,115	20,613,447	22,165	0.48	69,655	3.38	5.67
JEWELL	045								
WHITE ROCK	D0104	178.5	0	10,414,059	58,342	0.12	0	0.00	0.00
MANKATO	D0278	279.0	40,735	7,118,667	25,515	0.45	18,331	2.58	0.00
JEWELL	D0279	204.0	0	8,205,593	40,223	0.30	0	0.00	0.00
JOHNSON	046								
BLUE VALLEY	D0229	9,727.6	9,593,760	582,728,228	59,905	0.10	959,376	1.65	12.38
SPRING HILL	D0230	1,218.0	176,878	24,501,186	20,116	0.50	88,439	3.61	5.75
GARDNER-EDGERTO	D0231	1,688.9	796,269	44,299,662	26,230	0.44	350,358	7.91	13.76
DESOTO	D0232	1,764.3	0	47,159,322	26,730	0.44	0	0.00	0.00
OLATHE	D0233	14,649.1	8,306,448	466,856,264	31,469	0.38	3,156,450	6.76	11.70
SHAWNEE MISSION	D0512	29,656.1	2,032,900	1,548,237,899	52,206	0.18	365,922	0.24	1.60
KEARNY	047								
LAKIN	D0215	689.8	0	133,669,442	193,780	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
DEERFIELD	D0216	303.0	314,600	51,476,389	169,889	0.00	0	0.00	10.40
KINGMAN	048								
KINGMAN	D0331	1,118.3	308,658	52,645,510	47,076	0.23	70,991	1.35	5.52
CUNNINGHAM	D0332	305.5	0	26,701,216	87,402	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
KIOWA	049								
GREENSBURG	D0422	386.6	0	21,714,461	56,168	0.14	0	0.00	0.00
MULLINVILLE	D0424	104.0	0	12,532,223	120,502	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
HAVILAND	D0474	170.5	0	14,501,810	85,053	0.00	0	0.00	0.00

3/31/92
1-8
EDUC

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
9-20-91		R & I	1991	ASSESSED	TABLE	EST. STATE		1991	
FTE	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	ASSESSED	VALUATION	RATIO	AID	MILL	R & I	DIFF
ENROLLMENT	BUDGETED	BUDGETED	VALUATION	PER PUPIL	FACTOR	(5 * 2)	EQUIV	MILL	(8 - 7)
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
LABETTE 050									
PARSONS D0503	1,878.5	0	29,244,501	15,568	0.55	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
OSWEGO D0504	464.5	3,578	9,832,766	21,168	0.49	1,753	0.18	0.00	0.00
CHEYDPA D0505	294.5	36,085	4,888,937	16,601	0.54	19,486	3.99	5.49	1.50
LABETTE COUNTY D0506	1,657.0	102,938	26,930,916	16,253	0.54	55,587	2.06	2.96	0.90
LANE 051									
HEALY PUBLIC SC D0468	98.5	190,500	8,526,478	86,563	0.00	0	0.00	18.29	18.29
DIGHTON D0482	403.0	169,202	20,028,134	49,698	0.21	35,532	1.77	7.81	6.04
LEAVENWORTH 052									
FT LEAVENWORTH D0207	1,785.5	0	682,922	382	7,157.64	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
EASTON D0449	635.5	48,386	13,998,606	22,028	0.48	23,225	1.66	0.31	0.00
LEAVENWORTH D0453	4,210.9	515,720	97,195,797	23,082	0.47	242,388	2.49	5.41	2.92
BASEHOR-LINWOOD D0458	1,333.0	78,850	27,483,116	20,617	0.50	39,425	1.43	2.09	0.66
TONGANOXIE D0464	1,435.0	252,395	26,309,066	18,534	0.52	131,245	4.99	8.05	3.06
LANSING D0469	1,710.0	507,923	29,095,225	17,015	0.53	269,199	9.25	14.27	5.02
LINCOLN 053									
LINCOLN D0298	413.0	0	15,136,357	36,650	0.34	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
SYLVAN GROVE D0299	212.0	326	8,799,632	41,508	0.29	95	0.01	0.00	0.00
LINN 054									
PLEASANTON D0344	409.5	0	6,532,907	15,953	0.54	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
JAYHAWK D0346	540.5	83,800	15,387,002	28,468	0.42	35,196	2.29	0.00	0.00
PRATRIE VIEW D0362	853.6	340,340	114,707,845	134,616	0.00	0	0.00	2.91	2.91
LOGAN 055									
DAKLEY D0274	532.0	0	25,155,842	47,285	0.23	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
TRIPLAINS D0275	123.0	0	10,467,017	85,098	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
LYON 056									
NORTH LYON COUN D0251	740.0	0	17,972,554	24,287	0.46	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
SOUTHERN LYON C D0252	585.5	0	14,106,468	24,093	0.46	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
EMFORTA D0253	4,734.2	157,365	93,483,141	19,746	0.51	80,256	0.86	0.00	0.00
MARION 057									
CENTRE D0397	290.5	0	12,031,692	41,417	0.29	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
PEABODY-BURNS D0398	384.0	53,763	11,642,784	30,520	0.40	21,505	1.85	4.22	2.37
MARION D0408	581.5	41,275	15,197,550	26,135	0.44	18,161	1.19	0.00	0.00
DURHAM-HILLSBOR D0410	620.4	0	18,054,243	29,101	0.41	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
GOESSEL D0411	273.0	0	6,675,711	24,453	0.46	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
MARSHALL 058									
MARYSVILLE D0364	994.5	197,920	28,211,160	28,367	0.42	83,126	2.95	5.94	2.99
VERMILLION D0380	619.5	0	16,618,940	26,826	0.43	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
AXTELL D0488	342.5	0	10,254,182	29,839	0.40	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
VALLEY HEIGHTS D0498	448.9	105,000	10,357,362	23,073	0.47	49,350	4.76	0.00	0.00

FDUC
3/31/92
1-9

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME	9-20-91 FTE	B & I AMOUNT BUDGETED	1991 ASSESSED VALUATION	ASSESSED VALUATION PER PUPIL	TABLE RATIO FACTOR	EST. STATE AID (5 * 2)	MILL EQUIV	1991 B & I MILL RATE	DIFF (8 - 7)
DISTRICT NAME	# ENROLLMENT								

MCPHERSON 059									
LINDSBORG D0400	855.0	274,031	28,012,327	32,763	0.38	104,132	3.72	8.03	4.31
MCPHERSON D0418	2,556.1	564,399	78,806,556	30,831	0.39	220,116	2.79	6.06	3.27
CANTON-GALVA D0419	412.0	0	14,714,430	35,715	0.35	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
MOUNDRIDGE D0423	453.5	110,513	17,395,514	38,358	0.32	35,364	2.03	5.46	3.43
INMAN D0448	447.5	177,207	13,912,825	31,090	0.39	69,111	4.97	12.24	7.27
MEADE 060									
FOWLER D0225	149.9	0	10,740,002	71,648	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
MEADE D0226	396.5	0	36,306,087	91,568	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
MIAMI 061									
OSAWATOMIE D0367	1,124.5	415,420	18,235,732	16,217	0.54	224,327	12.30	17.90	5.60
PADIA D0368	1,643.0	1,115,206	38,679,897	23,542	0.47	524,147	13.55	22.06	8.51
LOUISBURG D0416	1,110.0	406,275	25,592,052	23,056	0.47	190,949	7.46	15.38	7.92
MITCHELL 062									
WACONDA D0272	580.0	15,000	15,219,832	26,241	0.44	6,600	0.43	0.00	0.00
BELOIT D0273	780.5	187,500	24,369,732	31,223	0.39	73,125	3.00	6.79	3.79
MONTGOMERY 063									
CANEY VALLEY D0436	782.0	61,545	15,092,030	19,299	0.51	31,388	2.08	0.00	0.00
COFFEYVILLE D0445	2,644.4	85,870	60,094,097	22,725	0.48	41,218	0.69	1.00	0.31
INDEPENDENCE D0446	2,340.1	312,250	57,942,341	24,761	0.46	143,635	2.48	4.96	2.48
CHERRYVALE D0447	612.5	118,833	9,378,269	15,311	0.55	65,358	6.97	10.31	3.34
MORRIS 064									
MORRIS COUNTY D0417	1,074.5	225,472	28,757,335	26,763	0.44	99,208	3.45	6.88	3.43
MORTON 065									
ROLLA D0217	198.0	0	68,035,701	343,615	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
ELKHART D0218	543.0	246,000	49,363,079	90,908	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
NEMAHA 066									
SABETHA D0441	1,074.5	422,913	24,118,098	22,446	0.48	202,998	8.42	17.39	8.97
NEMAHA VALLEY S D0442	417.0	0	13,893,346	33,317	0.37	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
B & B D0451	234.5	0	5,402,282	23,037	0.47	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
NEOSHO 067									
ERIE-ST PAUL D0101	1,141.0	0	21,314,724	18,681	0.52	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
CHANUTE PUBLIC D0413	1,981.1	747,017	34,172,579	17,249	0.53	395,919	11.59	19.15	7.56
NESS 068									
NES TRE LA GO D0301	84.0	0	11,256,626	134,007	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
SMOKY HILL D0302	197.5	0	14,426,381	73,045	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
NESS CITY D0303	361.5	134,284	21,081,153	58,316	0.12	14,114	0.76	5.81	5.05
BAZINE D0304	131.5	57,000	9,472,961	72,038	0.00	0	0.00	5.69	5.69

1-10
3/31/92
EDUC

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME	9-20-91 FTE	B & I AMOUNT BUDGETED	1991 ASSESSED VALUATION	ASSESSED VALUATION PER PUPIL	TABLE RATIO FACTOR	EST. STATE AID (5 * 2)	MILL EQUIV	1991 B & I MILL RATE	DIFF (8 - 7)	
DISTRICT NAME	ENROLLMENT									

NORTON	069									
NORTON COMMUNIT	D0211	742.0	70,508	15,241,246	20,541	0.50	35,254	2.31	0.00	0.00
NORTHERN VALLEY	D0212	189.0	45,859	6,838,112	36,180	0.34	15,592	2.28	0.00	0.00
WEST SOLOMON VA	D0213	95.5	0	7,131,710	74,678	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
OSAGE	070									
OSAGE CITY	D0420	594.0	81,986	13,446,414	22,637	0.48	39,353	2.93	5.21	2.28
LYNDON	D0421	429.0	44,129	8,963,452	20,894	0.49	21,623	2.41	4.92	2.51
SANTA FE TRAIL	D0434	1,249.0	0	19,310,135	15,460	0.55	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
BURLINGAME PUBL	D0454	345.5	0	5,549,205	16,061	0.54	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
MARAIS DES CYGN	D0456	301.0	0	6,466,303	21,483	0.49	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
OSBORNE	071									
OSBORNE COUNTY	D0392	473.5	0	14,033,536	29,638	0.41	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
OTTAWA	072									
NORTH OTTAWA CO	D0239	661.5	0	19,257,265	29,112	0.41	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
TWIN VALLEY	D0240	474.3	118,600	12,165,020	25,648	0.45	53,370	4.39	9.25	4.86
PAWNEE	073									
FT LARNED	D0495	1,148.3	0	38,926,860	33,900	0.36	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
PAWNEE HEIGHTS	D0496	162.0	0	11,090,111	68,457	0.02	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
PHILLIPS	074									
EASTERN HEIGHTS	D0324	170.0	0	6,015,472	35,385	0.35	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
PHILLIPSBURG	D0325	699.0	0	22,209,769	31,774	0.39	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
LOGAN	D0326	240.0	0	14,077,019	58,654	0.12	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
POTTAWATOMIE	075									
WAMEGO	D0320	1,309.4	932,223	24,096,969	18,403	0.52	484,756	20.12	30.17	10.05
KAW VALLEY	D0321	1,016.0	865,457	219,232,261	215,780	0.00	0	0.00	3.92	3.92
ONAGA-HAVENSVIL	D0322	443.0	0	10,314,533	23,283	0.47	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
POTTAWATOMIE WE	D0323	635.0	342,982	10,297,629	16,217	0.54	185,210	17.99	32.69	14.70
FRATT	076									
FRATT	D0382	1,338.1	371,819	40,009,727	29,900	0.40	148,728	3.72	5.23	1.51
SKYLINE SCHOOLS	D0438	350.0	21,903	21,027,329	60,078	0.10	2,190	0.10	0.98	0.88
RAWLINS	077									
HERNDON	D0317	76.5	0	4,767,946	62,326	0.08	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
ATWOOD	D0318	490.7	0	16,357,405	33,335	0.37	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
RENO	078									
HUTCHINSON PUBL	D0308	5,007.5	1,026,753	133,182,010	26,597	0.44	451,771	3.39	5.10	1.71
NICKERSON	D0309	1,403.0	351,500	36,929,127	26,322	0.44	154,660	4.19	5.93	1.74
FAIRFIELD	D0310	471.5	0	24,457,634	51,872	0.18	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
PRETTY PRAIRIE	D0311	287.5	55,330	10,797,439	37,556	0.33	18,259	1.69	4.86	3.17
HAVEN PUBLIC SC	D0312	1,215.5	0	35,496,663	29,203	0.41	0	0.00	0.00	0.00

EDUC
 3/31/92
 1-11

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
COUNTY NAME	9-20-91 FTE	B & J AMOUNT BUDGETED	1991 ASSESSED VALUATION	ASSESSED VALUATION PER PUPIL	TABLE RATIO FACTOR	EST. STATE AID (5 * 2)	MILL EQUIV	1991 B & I MILL RATE	DIFF (8 - 7)	
DISTRICT NAME	ENROLLMENT									

RENO	078									
BUHLER	D0313	2,144.5	444,000	54,596,764	25,459	0.45	199,800	3.66	7.00	3.34
REPUBLIC	079									
PIKE VALLEY	D0426	288.5	0	10,180,532	30,288	0.35	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
BELLEVILLE	D0427	658.5	0	19,496,026	29,592	0.41	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
HILLCREST RURAL	D0455	144.5	0	6,220,391	43,048	0.27	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
RICE	080									
STERLING	D0376	546.5	0	15,463,392	28,295	0.42	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
CHASE	D0401	182.5	0	14,075,359	77,125	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
LYONS	D0405	836.9	0	20,366,325	24,335	0.46	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
LITTLE RIVER	D0444	377.0	0	23,150,481	61,407	0.09	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
RILEY	081									
RILEY COUNTY	D0378	577.5	156,902	10,326,653	17,882	0.52	81,589	7.90	10.99	3.09
MANHATTAN	D0383	6,336.2	1,154,522	162,397,193	25,630	0.45	519,535	3.20	5.29	2.09
BLUE VALLEY	D0384	282.7	44,356	7,717,077	27,298	0.43	19,159	2.48	4.81	2.33
ROOKS	082									
PALCO	D0269	185.5	0	18,190,519	98,062	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
PLAINVILLE	D0270	481.9	0	28,694,359	59,544	0.11	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
STOCKTON	D0271	420.0	0	19,203,784	45,723	0.25	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
RUSH	083									
LACROSSE	D0395	346.0	0	22,670,025	65,520	0.05	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
OTIS-BISON	D0403	370.0	0	15,313,695	41,388	0.29	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
RUSSELL	084									
PARADISE	D0399	143.0	0	18,657,042	130,469	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
RUSSELL COUNTY	D0407	1,161.5	0	36,530,628	48,670	0.22	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
SALINE	085									
SALINA	D0305	7,172.1	639,264	177,075,666	24,690	0.46	294,061	1.66	3.03	1.37
SOUTHEAST OF SA	D0306	587.7	481,397	30,495,104	51,889	0.18	86,651	2.84	14.73	11.89
ELL-SALINE	D0307	383.0	114,910	8,016,871	20,932	0.49	56,306	7.02	14.96	7.94
SCOTT	086									
SCOTT COUNTY	D0466	1,071.5	225,410	42,541,324	39,703	0.31	69,877	1.64	4.31	2.67
SEDGWICK	087									
WICHITA	D0259	45,583.4	2,893,400	1,500,461,737	32,917	0.37	1,070,558	0.71	1.43	0.72
DERBY	D0260	6,010.0	0	135,736,406	22,585	0.48	0	0.00	3.97	3.97
HAYSVILLE	D0261	3,446.0	248,213	55,900,767	16,722	0.54	134,035	2.40	3.51	1.11
VALLEY CENTER P	D0262	2,091.5	209,017	38,651,067	10,480	0.52	108,689	2.81	14.02	11.21
MULVANE	D0263	1,908.1	300,795	24,525,393	12,853	0.57	171,453	6.99	9.66	2.67
CLEARWATER	D0264	1,020.0	348,403	27,387,682	26,851	0.43	149,813	5.47	6.54	1.07
GODDARD	D0265	2,108.5	527,245	48,394,922	22,952	0.47	247,805	5.12	9.79	4.67

1-12
3/31/92
EUG

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME	9-20-91 FTE	B & I AMOUNT BUDGETED	1991 ASSESSED VALUATION	ASSESSED VALUATION PER PUPIL	TABLE RATIO FACTOR	EST. STATE AID (5 * 2)	MILL EQUIV	1991 B & I MILL RATE	DIFF (8 - 7)
DISTRICT NAME	ENROLLMENT								

SEDGWICK 087									
MAIZE D0266	2,803.2	606,840	48,899,484	17,444	0.53	321,625	6.58	9.33	2.75
RENWICK D0267	1,413.0	235,643	37,857,037	26,792	0.44	103,683	2.74	0.00	0.00
CHENEY D0268	575.7	115,303	13,390,208	23,259	0.47	54,192	4.05	6.90	2.85
SEWARD 088									
LIBERAL D0480	3,542.2	811,210	112,545,538	31,773	0.39	316,372	2.81	6.97	4.16
KISMET-PLAINB D0483	598.0	0	39,302,324	65,723	0.05	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
SHAWNEE 089									
SEAMAN D0345	3,299.7	1,000	107,529,976	32,588	0.38	380	0.00	0.00	0.00
SILVER LAKE D0372	610.5	71,050	11,119,036	18,213	0.52	36,946	3.32	3.91	0.59
AUBURN WASHBURN D0437	4,239.5	2,780,629	156,393,072	36,890	0.33	917,608	5.87	16.73	10.86
SHAWNEE HEIGHTS D0450	3,355.0	761,191	78,752,001	23,473	0.47	357,760	4.54	8.42	3.88
TOPEKA PUBLIC S D0501	14,167.0	229,688	450,546,543	31,803	0.39	89,578	0.20	0.40	0.20
SHERIDAN 090									
HOXIE COMMUNITY D0412	511.5	65,015	21,085,533	41,223	0.29	18,854	0.89	2.74	1.85
SHERMAN 091									
GOODLAND D0352	1,181.0	0	43,324,695	36,685	0.34	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
SMITH 092									
SMITH CENTER D0237	625.0	0	20,386,908	32,619	0.38	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WEST SMITH COUN D0238	195.0	0	6,717,677	34,450	0.36	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
STAFFORD 093									
STAFFORD D0349	283.8	0	15,542,564	54,766	0.16	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
ST JOHN-HUDSON D0350	452.0	0	26,065,706	57,667	0.13	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
MACKSVILLE D0351	284.5	0	28,684,463	100,824	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
STANTON 094									
STANTON COUNTY D0452	536.5	66,282	70,220,243	130,886	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
STEVENS 095									
MOSCOW PUBLIC S D0209	160.1	0	76,883,200	480,220	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
HUGOTON PUBLIC D0210	990.1	0	239,068,105	241,459	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
SUMNER 096									
WELLINGTON D0353	2,030.5	0	39,070,249	19,242	0.51	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
CONWAY SPRINGS D0356	456.9	0	11,601,772	25,392	0.45	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
BELLE PLAINE D0357	743.0	196,811	10,988,692	14,790	0.56	110,214	10.03	13.98	3.95
OXFORD D0358	452.5	0	10,433,477	23,057	0.47	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
ARGONIA PUBLIC D0359	215.0	0	9,273,157	43,131	0.27	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
CALDWELL D0360	311.0	40,631	11,133,556	35,799	0.35	14,221	1.28	0.00	0.00
SOUTH HAVEN D0509	226.5	60,451	7,273,198	32,111	0.38	22,971	3.16	6.03	2.87

3/31/92
1-13
Educ

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
COUNTY NAME	9-20-91	B & I	1991	ASSESSED	TABLE	EST. STATE		1991	
DISTRICT NAME	FTE ENROLLMENT	AMOUNT BUDGETED	ASSESSED VALUATION	VALUATION PER PUPIL	RATIO FACTOR	AID (5 * 2)	MILL EQUIV	B & I MILL RATE	DIFF (8 - 7)

THOMAS 097									
BREWSTER D0314	141.5	41,607	10,934,029	77,272	0.00	0	0.00	3.60	3.60
COLBY PUBLIC SC D0315	1,284.1	0	39,342,934	30,639	0.40	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
GOLDEN PLAINS D0316	158.5	54,000	8,505,933	53,665	0.17	9,180	1.08	6.72	5.64
TREGO 098									
WAKEENEY D0208	606.0	0	24,743,891	40,832	0.29	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WABAUNSEE 099									
MILL CREEK VALL D0329	566.4	0	16,029,259	28,300	0.42	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WABAUNSEE EAST D0330	620.1	0	14,820,475	23,900	0.46	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WALLACE 100									
WALLACE COUNTY D0241	293.0	0	14,210,062	48,499	0.22	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WESKAN D0242	103.5	0	7,476,493	72,237	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WASHINGTON 101									
NORTH CENTRAL D0221	180.5	0	8,145,067	45,125	0.25	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WASHINGTON SCHO D0222	391.0	0	9,519,924	24,348	0.46	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
BARNES D0223	382.3	0	17,191,189	44,968	0.25	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
CLIFTON-CLYDE D0224	409.5	0	15,048,360	36,748	0.34	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WICHITA 102									
LEOTI D0467	593.0	0	27,062,489	45,637	0.25	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WILSON 103									
ALTOONA-MIDWAY D0387	382.5	0	9,305,237	24,327	0.46	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
NEODESHA D0461	716.5	327,768	13,360,985	18,648	0.52	170,439	12.76	22.15	9.39
FREDDNIA D0484	894.5	224,226	22,770,814	25,456	0.45	100,902	4.43	7.10	2.67
WOODSON 104									
YATES CENTER D0366	619.5	0	19,664,083	31,742	0.39	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
WYANDOTTE 105									
TURNER-KANSAS C D0202	3,832.2	643,408	82,489,821	21,525	0.49	315,270	3.82	7.26	3.44
PIPER-KANSAS CI D0203	1,139.5	397,100	39,950,279	35,059	0.35	138,985	3.48	9.13	5.65
DONNER SPRINGS D0204	2,106.0	712,779	49,540,580	23,524	0.47	335,006	6.76	16.80	10.04
KANSAS CITY D0500	20,925.9	1,078,881	418,523,443	20,000	0.50	539,441	1.29	1.16	0.00

STATE TOTALS	425,302.8	68,789,689	14,606,218,085	13,598,673	7,261.49	24,234,722	622.46	1,204.77	627.29

EDC
 3/31/92
 1-14

KENT GLASSCOCK
REPRESENTATIVE, 62ND DISTRICT
RILEY COUNTY
1921 CRESCENT
MANHATTAN, KANSAS 66502
(913) 537-9156
STATE CAPITOL, ROOM 155-E
TOPEKA, KS 66612
(913) 296-7646



TOPEKA

HOUSE OF
REPRESENTATIVES

COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS
MEMBER: TAXATION
ENERGY & NATURAL RESOURCES
RULES & REGULATIONS

SENATE EDUCATION COMMITTEE

March 31, 1992

TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF HB 2835
Capital Improvements

The general public policy in Kansas is that funding for school facilities is the responsibility of local school districts. With the approval of the electors, school district boards levy property taxes to provide for their facilities needs.

Increasingly, in recent years there have been requests that the Legislature consider providing some degree of state support to assist school districts in meeting their facilities needs. This issue was brought into sharp focus in connection with the several school finance court cases.

The Governor's Task Force on Public School Financing in addressing the facilities issue, proposed that the state assume the responsibility for determining and funding the building needs of school districts. This proposal was to be prospective in nature, dealing only with future facilities needs. School districts which currently are financing facilities projects would continue to do so until payment for the projects is completed.

It is my belief that this recommendation is flawed. I believe the people of Kansas don't want Topeka deciding who gets new schools and who does not. School building decisions are best left at the local level. HB 2835 will do just that.

This bill would establish a state aid program to provide equalization aid to assist school districts in paying for their bond and interest obligations. Under this plan, a school district having the median assessed valuation per pupil would receive state aid equal to 50 percent of the current year's bond and interest obligation. For each \$1,000 of assessed valuation per pupil above or below the state median, the state aid ratio would change by 1 percentage point inversely to the relationship between the district's assessed valuation per pupil and the median.

EDUC
3/31/92
2-1

Examples:	Assessed Valuation Per Pupil	State Aid Matching Ratio
Median Assessed Valuation Per Pupil	\$ 30,000	.50
District A	40,000	.40
District B	20,000	.60

There are six primary characteristics of HB 2835:

1. Local control of the school building process is maintained.
2. Resource equalization is introduced into facilities construction.
3. Equal educational opportunity is enhanced through equalization.
4. Every district (except some with extremely high property valuation) presently levying for bonds and interest and all districts choosing to do so in the future will benefit.
5. The program can be administered without increasing the state or any other bureaucracy.
6. There will be some meaningful incentive for school districts to address the growing needs of an aging and deteriorating infrastructure.

Mr. Chairman, I believe HB 2835 is an appropriate and much more acceptable alternative to the Governor's Task Force recommendation for school capital improvements. It will serve to reverse the deterioration of an aging school infrastructure while at the same time retaining local control. And I urge your favorable consideration.

EDUC

3/31/82

2-2

STEVE LLOYD

REPRESENTATIVE, SIXTY-FOURTH DISTRICT
 CLAY, DICKINSON, GEARY,
 RILEY COUNTY
 BOX 101
 PALMER, KANSAS 66962



TOPEKA

HOUSE OF
 REPRESENTATIVES

COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS
 MEMBER: AGRICULTURE
 ENERGY & NATURAL RESOURCES
 TRANSPORTATION

March 31, 1992

Chairman Harder and members of the Senate Education Committee

I appear before you today in support of HB 2835, an equalization concept, created to assist school districts in making bond and interest payments.

When I speak to constituents in the 64th District, one thing becomes abundantly clear. They want to retain as much local control as possible in any school finance formula. House Bill 2835 gives voters in school districts the opportunity to exercise that local control. School districts that want to increase their capital improvement spending or have to increase capital improvement spending because of enrollment growth should be allowed to do so if they are willing to "pay the price."

But the reality of the situation is that some school districts do not have the property tax base to fund large capital improvement projects so badly needed in certain areas of the state. House Bill 2835 would help these districts with their capital improvements debt obligations through the use of an equalization formula. Local effort, plus equalized state aid, could then be used to adequately fund capital improvements projects.

While I recognize this is a radical departure from the existing method of funding capital improvement projects, I believe it solves many of the problems that exist today. 1.) It retains local control. 2.) It doesn't create another administrative level of bureaucracy deciding who gets what school and when. 3.) The concept of resource equalization is implemented in school districts as it relates to facilities.

House Bill 2835 is not the complete answer to our school finance problem. It addresses only the capital improvements issue. But it is a bi-partisan effort to retain some semblance of local control while equalizing educational opportunity for the students in this state.

Thank you Mr. Chairman. I would be happy to respond to any questions.

EDUC
 3/31/92
 A3



Testimony on H.B. 2835
before the
Senate Committee on Education

by

Mark Tallman, Coordinator of Governmental Relations
Kansas Association of School Boards

March 31, 1992

Mr. Chairman, Members of the Committee,

Thank you for the opportunity to testify in support of H.B. 2835.

KASB believes the lack of state equalization aid for facilities is one of the most clearly inequitable aspects of the Kansas school finance system. As long as both capital outlay and bond and interest costs must be funded entirely by local property taxes, children in wealthy districts will be able to enjoy safe, modern and educationally appropriate buildings. On the other hand, children in property-poor districts are more likely to be schooled in out-dated facilities that will ultimately be unsafe as well as unsound.

H.B. 2835 represents the best approach we have seen this session for addressing this problem. We strongly encourage its passage. We would also note that the bill would reduce current property taxes in many districts with bond and interest levies.

Thank you for your consideration.

EDUC
3/31/92
A4



HB 2835: SCHOOL DISTRICT CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FUND

Testimony presented before the Senate Education Committee

by

**Brilla Highfill Scott, Associate Executive Director
United School Administrators of Kansas**

March 31, 1992

Mister Chairman and Members of the Senate Education Committee:

United School Administrators of Kansas supports **HB 2835** as an important part in the effort to provide equitable funding for Kansas education. Under current circumstances, school buildings in our state are more a product of a district's ability to pass bond issues than educational need. Some districts for all practical purposes cannot provide adequate facilities for the children in their communities simply because they do not have the valuation to do so. Other more affluent districts can provide marvelous facilities with only minimum effort on the part of local taxpayers.

HB 2835 would create a fund which would allow districts to address capital improvement issues on a par with other educational considerations. The School District Capital Improvements Fund will bring a measure of equalization to school facilities planning. Only if one believes that facilities play no important part in the education of children can we neglect this part of the move toward equity undertaken by the 1992 Legislature.

We urge the committee to retain the demand transfer as the method of funding this program, and to recommend **HB 2835** favorably for passage.

EDUC
3/31/92
A 5



KANSAS NATIONAL EDUCATION ASSOCIATION / 715 W. 10TH STREET / TOPEKA, KANSAS 66612-1686


Craig Grant Testimony Before
Senate Education Committee
Tuesday, March 31, 1992

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am Craig Grant and I represent Kansas-NEA. I appreciate this opportunity to speak to the committee on HB 2835.

Kansas-NEA supports HB 2835. We believe that Kansas must adopt a method of power equalizing the bond and interest portions of our school spending. When we have one district in which one mill raises over \$500,000 and another in which one mill raises less than \$7,000, we believe we have an equalization problem. HB 2835 appears to meet the needs of that equalization problem.

We had hoped that the original 50% method would be adopted, but believe that HB 2835 does assist greatly in the equalizing of building projects. We would urge the committee to act favorably on HB 2835.

Thank you for listening to our concerns.



Schools for Quality Education

Bluemont Hall Manhattan, KS 66506 (913) 532-5886

March 31, 1992

TO: SENATE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

SUBJECT: HB 2835--SCHOOL DISTRICTS, BONDED DEBT, SCHOOL
DISTRICTS CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FUND

FROM: SCHOOLS FOR QUALITY EDUCATION

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:

I am Jacque Oakes representing Schools For Quality Education,
an organization of 97 small schools.

We are appearing in favor of HB 2835 which would give needed
help to small schools as they try to fund their building
projects.

This bill would give assistance to 20 of our small schools now
without mentioning all of the future needs.

Thank you for your positive consideration of HB 2835.

"Rural is Quality"

EDUC

3/31/92

A 7

BLUE VALLEY U.S.D. 229
Overland Park, Kansas
by
Helen Stephens

3/31/92

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:

We are here to support to House Bill 2835.

For three years, Blue Valley has believed the state should assist local school districts with capital improvements. Some of the state's K-12 buildings are 75 to 100 years old -- are children in an atmosphere of learning in these circumstances? We believe not.

The demand transfer provision in this bill will assure the voters that the state is making a serious commitment to help in this area. To make this a year-to-year appropriation would not convince the voters of the state's continued involvement.

We urge your passage of HB 2835 as it was sent to you by the House.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you today.

EDUC
3/31/92
A8

Kansas State Board of Education

120 S.E. 10th Avenue, Topeka, Kansas 66612-1182

March 31, 1992

TO: Senate Education Committee
FROM: State Board of Education
SUBJECT: 1992 House Bill 2763

My name is Connie Hubbell, Legislative Coordinator of the State Board of Education. I appreciate the opportunity to appear before this Committee on behalf of the State Board.

The State Board of Education supports House Bill 2763. We believe this committee would be a tremendous asset to restructuring Kansas education.

The State Board has developed a Strategic Plan as well as the Quality Performance Accreditation (QPA) system currently being piloted in 50 unified school districts. We hope to expand that program to include an additional 100 schools in the 1992-93 school year.

In order to be successful, it will take support from the Legislative and Executive branches of government. The establishment of this committee will involve lay persons which we hope will include business and industry representatives, parents, minorities, and other special interest groups who could make an important contribution to restructuring Kansas education.

We feel it is also important for all to realize that education is an evolving process. We will probably not reach the ultimate but it is something we should strive for on a daily basis. The education community and the world of work is changing at a rapid pace. Education must change as society and the work force changes. The cooperation of all education entities and those affected by education will benefit all Kansans in the future.

The State Board of Education is pleased to support House Bill 2763 and recommends this Committee report it favorably for passage.

Dale M. Dennis
Deputy/Assistant Commissioner
Division of Fiscal Services and Quality Control
(913) 296-3871

EDUC
3/31/92
A 9



KANSAS NATIONAL EDUCATION ASSOCIATION / 715 W. 10TH STREET / TOPEKA, KANSAS 66612-1686

Testimony before the Senate Education Committee
Kay Coles, Kansas NEA
HB 2763
March 31, 1992

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Members of the Committee, I am Kay Coles, here today representing the 24,000 members of Kansas NEA. I appreciate the opportunity to speak to you in support of HB 2763.

HB 2763 is the result of extensive work by the Special Committee on Children's Initiatives and is an important element of that committee's blueprint. The bill represents the committee's recognition that the particular topic of education restructuring will require an extensive examination of all aspects of the issue, coupled with creation of a broad-based support group for our public schools.

An independent commission on education restructuring has, we believe, the potential for setting in place the mechanisms for lasting, necessary changes in our education system.

We believe such changes are essential and we welcome the opportunity to share our ideas with the commission.

We also believe the commission can serve a valuable function in pulling together the variety of education restructuring activities now taking place -- or being suggested -- and molding them into a comprehensive, strategic direction for the public education system in Kansas. (At the end of my testimony I have listed some of the features of restructured schools that we shared with the Children's Committee this summer. You can see how extensively each issue affects how our schools function.)

As educators, we now are in the unenviable position of having many ideas being thrown at us and being told to travel in many different directions. We see the commission as an opportunity to bring together all the ideas and plans into a logical whole. We believe such an effort has far more lasting value than approaching restructuring on a piecemeal basis as we have in the past.

EDUC
3/31/92
A 10-1

HB 2763 is a sign that cohesion may come to the issue of education restructuring, for it is a bipartisan, House and Senate effort to deal positively with the issues before public education today. It also represents an awareness that to have effective restructuring there is a need to hear from a variety of groups and individuals around the state (Sec. 3 (c).)

Kansas-NEA would ask you to recommend HB 2763 favorably for passage, and we would stand ready to assist the commission in its work. Thank you and I would be glad to answer any questions.

Key to changes in our education system is the need to drastically alter the structure of our schools. Schools must be student-centered, with curriculum and instruction tailored to meet the needs of students in each specific school.

Some features of restructured schools include:

- a) Shared decision-making processes which involve the professionals closest to the students -- teachers, as well as administrators and parents.
- b) A defined, and shared, set of performance goals for each school's students.
- c) A safe and welcoming environment in which children and staff feel comfortable.
- d) A staff that is highly motivated and trained. Training is as important to school staff members as it is to business and teacher inservice must be upgraded and supported. Teachers' salaries must be increased to salaries commensurate with other professionals.
- e) Class sizes that are manageable. Our best efforts to restructure our education system will fail when a teacher, alone, tries to manage 35 or 40 children.
- f) Parental involvement. The involvement of parents is essential to building a positive learning climate.
- g) A challenging curriculum that balances the basics with mastery of skills known to be valuable in an ever-changing workplace.
- h) Expanded availability of pre-school programs for all children. Preschool programs give all children an advantage when they begin their formal learning.
- i) Student assessment methods that go beyond paper and pencil, multiple-choice tests. Assessments which adequately determine what children know and can do provide valuable information for teachers and parents.
- j) Access to technology. Schools need to be equipped with up-to-date technology to enhance student learning.

EDUC
3/31/92
10-2



HB 2763: KS COMMISSION ON ED RESTRUCTURING AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Testimony presented before the Senate Education Committee

by

Brilla Highfill Scott, Associate Executive Director
United School Administrators of Kansas

March 31, 1992

Mister Chairman and Members of the Senate Education Committee:

United School Administrators of Kansas rises in support of **HB 2763**. This bill charges the Kansas Commission on Education Restructuring and Accountability to develop goals and strategies for the reform and restructuring of public elementary and secondary education. This directive will provide accountability in the provision of equal educational opportunity to each school child.

We are normally not in favor of yet another commission or agency to further "supervise" the schools of Kansas. However, in the struggle to both provide funding equity and quality of opportunity to Kansas school children, we believe that closer legislative involvement will be profitable for all.

The formation of the commission will benefit the Legislature as it takes a close look at the accountability system, **Quality Performance Accreditation**, now underway in Kansas. QPA has been a positive thrust with pilot schools now able to demonstrate areas of instructional improvement.

Schools will profit from the formation of the commission with elected state officials actively participating and understanding that restructuring Kansas schools is a long and involved effort, but we are indeed on the right track.

The next announced step in the QPA process is to take the excellent model developed in mathematics; then continue in the areas of communications, science, and social studies, defining outcomes, standards, and the accompanying assessments for measuring performance. This process is doing what we have said all along must be done: agree on what **all** Kansas kids must know, be able to do, and in fact be like when they have completed their school experience.

We urge favorable consideration of **HB 2763** as a vital link between the Kansas Legislature and the schools of the state.

EDUC
3/31/92
A 11



Testimony on H.B. 2763
before the
Senate Committee on Education

by

Mark Tallman, Coordinator of Governmental Relations
Kansas Association of School Boards

March 31, 1992

Mr. Chairman, Members of the Committee:

KASB is pleased to support the commission on education restructuring proposed in H.B. 2763. We believe that changing social and economic circumstances demand changes in the education system. KASB is committed to playing a leading role in making those changes. We believe that such a commission can help focus the attention of Kansas leaders, and the public at large, on these issues.

We would note that the Senate has already adopted state education goals in S.C.R. 1631, which are consistent with the national education goals established by the President and Governors. If that resolution is adopted by the House, we believe that the commission could devote its full attention to the strategies that will achieve those goals.

Thank you for your consideration.

EDUC
3/31/92
A 12

Testimony before the Senate Committee on Education
Senate President Paul Bud Burke
Establishing the Kansas Commission on Education Restructuring

March 31, 1992
HB 2763

Thank you Chairman Harder and members of the committee. The Education Committee has been handed some of the most difficult tasks in recent sessions. The work you have done is to be commended. I, as well as the people of our state, appreciate the hard work and dedication you shown.

I am here today to ask for your favorable consideration for House Bill 2763, which would create a Kansas Commission on Education Restructuring.

House Bill 2763 was developed from many sources.

Speaker Barkis, who has been working with the Children's Initiatives Committee this past summer, and I agreed that a coordinated bi-partisan approach to school reform would be in the best interest to the state and our children.

Members of the State Board of Education and I worked this fall to become affiliated with America 2000, which is a program of school reform directed by the efforts of Secretary Lamar Alexander and the US Department of Education.

In discussions with the Governor's staff and representatives of the State Board, members of both houses and both parties, Speaker Barkis and I decided to promote a coordinated concept to education reform.

EDUC
3/31/92
A13-1

Our mutual intent was the creation of a school reform vehicle that would be citizen based and non-partisan. Important to all parties involved was the desire to begin as soon as possible.

In the effort to reform our educational process, many ideas and groups have voiced opinions. This commission is intended to pull together those ideas, concerns and people in one coordinated effort.

The commission allows elected officials from the executive and legislative branches and from the state board of education to draw together interested and informed Kansans to focus their energies of public schools, specifically reforming our public schools to meet the ever changing global society that we live in today.

The charge is broad so that the commission can take an innovative look, and give us their most creative ideas on educating our children.

The composition is such that appointing authorities are asked to meet and confer and coordinate their appointments to ensure that the membership has a broad background.

Some have questioned the size of the commission. I, however, believe that school reform is a broad study area. this group could and should be divided into subcommittees to give the best possible attention to all aspects of school reform.

As I am sure you are aware, there is a proposed state appropriation of \$50,000. I am fully aware that this amount will not necessarily finance the commission's work. However, you will note that the bill does allow the commission to receive additional funding from groups and foundations.

In light of the many important issues facing this committee, I appreciate your willingness to address this issue.

EDUC
3/3/92
13-2