

Approved April 7, 1986
Date

MINUTES OF THE Senate COMMITTEE ON Local Government

The meeting was called to order by Senator Don Montgomery at
Chairperson

9:00 a.m. ~~p.m.~~ on April 1, 1986 in room 531-N of the Capitol.

All members were present except: Senator Mulich who was excused.

Committee staff present: Mike Heim, Theresa Kiernan, Emalene Correll, Lila McClaflin

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Representative Max Moomaw, 117th District, Dighton, KS.
Representative Harold Guldner, 122nd District, Syracuse, KS.
Carol Morgan, Kansas Department of Economic Development
Jamie Schwartz, Secretary, Kansas Department of Economic Development
Representative Kent Ott, 81st District, Mulvane, KS.
Margaret Conus, President, Lakeside Village Property Owners, Jefferson Coun

H.B. 2901 - would permit counties to establish enterprise zones. The requirement for the zones would be similar to those for zones established by cities under current law. Presently only cities may designate enterprise zones.

Rep. Moomaw and Rep. Guldner both appeared in support of the bill.

Carol Morgan, Kansas Dept. of Economic Development, spoke in support of H.B. 2901 and presented an amendment. The amendment was requested to clarify the language concerning enterprise zones. (Attachment I)

Chip Wheelan was not present at the meeting but submitted written testimony in support of H.B. 2901. (Attachment II)

Senator Steineger moved to adopt the amendment presented by KDED and to conceptually clean up the language in the bill, and striking all in lines 97, 98 and 99. Senator Allen seconded the motion. The motion carried.

Jamie Schwartz, KDED, expressed support for H.B. 2901. He stated H.B. 3121, which is a companion bill, was voted out of the House Assessment and Taxation Committee. He responded to questions from committee members.

H.B. 2946 - establishes a procedure for the transfer of territory from one cemetery district to another.

Rep. Kent Ott spoke in support of H.B. 2946. He stated the bill was introduced on behalf of the Mulvane Cemetery District which lies in both Sedgwick and Sumner Counties.

S.B. 725 - concerning improvement districts; relating to the organization thereof.

Margaret Conus, President of Lakeside Village Property Owners, spoke in support of S.B. 725. She stated they would like to submit five names to the Jefferson County Commissioners, from that list three names would be selected to serve as directors of the improvement district and reduce the number of qualified electors residing in the improvement district from 100 to 50. She has visited with the County Attorney of Jefferson County and he is in agreement with this change.

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE Senate COMMITTEE ON Local Government

room 531-N, Statehouse, at 9:00 a.m.~~p.m.~~ on April 1, 1986

Senator Salisbury moved to report H.B. 2901 favorably. Senator Allen seconded the motion. The motion carried.

H.B. 2946 The Committee consensus was to hold the bill at this time. There is a possibility that an interim study committee will be asked for to study all of the cemetery issues and this bill could be included in that study.

S.B. 725 The consensus of the Committee was to hold the bill at this time. The Chairman will contact Senator Reilly concerning the bill.

Ernie Mosher suggested an amendment on H.B. 2769 striking the language starting on line 26 with "to" through line 30 after "county" and after "promote" in line 30 add "assist". No action was taken on the bill.

Senator Daniels moved to pass H.B. 2833. Senator Langworthy seconded the motion. The motion carried.

Senator Daniels moved to pass H.B. 3012. Senator Steineger seconded the motion. The motion carried.

The meeting adjourned until 9:00 a.m., Wednesday, April 2, 1986.



Senator Don Montgomery

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO HB 2901
Requested by the Kansas Department of Economic Development

To reinstate the portion of line 102 and all of line 103, currently struck from the bill. Also, to insert after line 131 and after line 168 the language "(5) There may be more than one noncontiguous area within an enterprise zone." Also, to insert on line 150 the language ", including the area or areas which have been designated as an enterprise zone,".

Explanation

The amendment is requested to clarify that more than one area may be contained in an enterprise zone. Currently most cities have multiple areas within one zone. Multiple areas will most surely be necessary in county designated enterprise zones.

An amendment to HB 2901, requested by the Kansas Department of Economic Development, to clarify that multiple areas could be contained in an enterprise zone was adopted by the House Committee on Assessment and Taxation on March 6, 1986. The intention of that action is not reflected in the latest printing of the bill.

(ATTACHMENT I)

4/1/86
S. LG

April 1, 1986
NM/sk



Kansas Legislative Policy Group

301 Capitol Tower, 400 West Eighth, Topeka, Kansas 66603, 913-233-2227

TIMOTHY N. HAGEMANN, Executive Director

March 31, 1986

The Honorable Don Montgomery
Chairman, Senate Local Government Committee
Statehouse
Topeka, Kansas

Dear Senator Montgomery:


The Kansas Legislative Policy Group wishes to express its support for the provisions of HB 2901.

Our member counties are located in rural, mineral producing areas of the State and our tax base consists primarily of agricultural real estate and minerals. As you are aware, recent market conditions have adversely affected the oil industry. Because mineral properties are appraised using the income approach, we anticipate reduced assessed valuations this year and perhaps into the future.

This means that some of the property tax burden will be shifted to the owners of farm land unless our counties curtail expenditures for important public services. Neither option is desirable.

The creation of enterprise zones might assist county government for purposes of enticing new industry to locate in rural areas and thus expand the property tax base. For this reason, we respectfully urge you to recommend HB 2901 for passage.

Respectfully yours,


Chip Wheelen

CW/II

(Attachment II)

4/1/86

S. LG