Approved	3.31.86	
	Date	

MINUTES OF THE House COMMITTEE ON Insurance

The meeting was called to order by Rep. Rex Hoy Chairperson

3:30 a.m./p.m. on \_ March 27  $\frac{1986}{1}$  in room  $\frac{521-5}{1}$  of the Capitol.

All members were present except:

Rep. King, excused

### Committee staff present:

Ms. Emalene Correll, Research Department Ms. Melinda Hanson, Research Department

Mr. Gordon Self, Revisor's Office

Ms. Deanna Willard, Committee Secretary

## Conferees appearing before the committee:

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman.

The minutes of the March 24, 25, and 26 meetings were approved.

Final action: HB3089 - Nonprofit pharmacy service corporation act

Rep. Graeber moved that HB 3089 be recommended favorably; Rep. Gjerstad seconded the motion.

Rep. Sprague offered a substitute motion that the bill state that any Kansas licensed pharmacist shall be eligible to <u>participate</u> and that the revisor be allowed to do clean on the bill; Rep. Graeber seconded the motion. The motion carried.

The Kansas Pharmacists Association has requested "capitation basis" be amended into Line 0034. Rep. Lowther moved that this be inserted. Rep. Graeber seconded the motion. Rep. Blumenthal expressed opposition to the concept of capitation on the basis that it would create a two-tier health care system. The motion failed.

Rep. Sprague moved that HB 3089 be recommended favorably as <u>amended; Rep. Graeber seconded the motion.</u> The carried.

Final action: SB 454 - Concerning credit property insurance

Mr. Tom Robison, Beneficial, was asked to summarize the bill; he said that it would allow a lender to sell household insurance on all items in the house. A proposed amendment distributed by staff which would define the word "property." (<u>Attachment 1</u>.)

made a motion to table the bill; Rep. Cribbs Weaver seconded the motion. Rep. Weaver explained that she believes feel forced to buy the insurance to guarantee people will receiving a loan. The motion carried.

Final action: <u>SB 667 - Concerning nonprofit dental service</u> <u>corporations</u>

## CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE House	COMMITTEE ON	Insurance	,
room <u>521-S</u> , Statehouse, at <u>3:30</u>	<i>a</i> X <del>-X</del> X./p.m. on	March 27	, 19 86

Page Two

A letter written by Scott Kennedy, D.D.S. was distributed to the committee members at the request of the representative from the Kansas Dental Association. (Attachment 2.)

Rep. Neufeld made a motion to report the bill adversely; Rep. Turnquist seconded the motion.

The Chairman briefed the committee on the provisions of the bill; it requests capitation basis, seeks to drop the requirement that 50% of the licensed dentists in the state participate, changes the composition of the board of directors, and adds PPO's as a group with which the organization can contract.

Rep. Sprague offered a substitute motion to put the 50% requirement back into the bill; Rep. Gjerstad seconded the motion. The motion carried. The Chairman expressed the opinion that the bill wouldn't be much different than current law with this amendment; the amendment would eliminate selectivity.

Rep. Blumenthal made a motion to table the bill; Rep. Littlejohn seconded the motion. The motion carried.

The Chairman announced that the committee would be meeting again on Monday, March 31.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:00 p.m.

# GUEST LIST

COMMITTEE: <u>Insurance</u>		DATE: 3-27-86
	ADDRESS	COMPANY/ORGANIZATION
NAME Carl Schmittheyner	TOAKO	Komsas Dental Assa.
Don Hoston	11	Delta Dental
BD Taning	Wha	Della Pental
Richard Harmon	Topeka	KS Life Assoc
TACK ROBERTS	11	Ins Dept
Dizk Brock		212 17 927
3.5		

## SENATE BILL No. 454

By Committee on Financial Institutions and Insurance

1-23

AN ACT concerning credit property insurance; amending K.S.A.
 16a-4-301 and repealing the existing section.

0019 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

O020 Section 1. K.S.A. 16a-4-301 is hereby amended to read as 0021 follows: 16a-4-301. (1) A creditor may not contract for or receive a 0022 separate charge for insurance against loss of or damage to prop-0023 erty unless:

- 0024 (a) The insurance covers a substantial risk of loss of or dam-0025 age to property *all or part of which is* related to the credit 0026 transaction;
- (b) the amount, terms, and conditions of the insurance are reasonable in relation to the character and value of the property insured or to be insured; and
- 0030 (c) the term of the insurance is reasonable in relation to the 0031 terms of credit.
  - (2) The term of the insurance is reasonable if it is customary and does not extend substantially beyond a scheduled maturity.
- 0034 (3) A creditor may not contract for or receive a separate 0035 charge for insurance against loss of or damage to property unless 0036 property is purchased pursuant to a credit card or in a transaction 0037 pursuant to open end credit, or unless the amount financed 0038 exclusive of charges for the insurance is three hundred dollars 0039 (\$300) \$300 or more, and the value of the property is three 0040 hundred dollars (\$300) \$300 or more.
- 0041 Sec. 2. K.S.A. 16a-4-301 is hereby repealed.
- OO42 Sec. 3. This act shall take effect and be in force from and OO43 after its publication in the statute book.

Proposed Amendments to Senate Bill No. 454

Attachment 1 House Insurance 3-27-86

For purposes of this subsection, property means household goods and furnishings.

### SCOTT C. KENNEDY, D.D.S.

### GENERAL DENTISTRY

#### SUITE 222

MEDICAL ARTS BUILDING WEST

10TH & GARFIELD TOPEKA, KANSAS 66604

March 24, 1986

To: Members of the Kansas House of Representatives

Committee on Insurance

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:

I am writing this letter in reference to Senate Bill 667. This bill, as proposed, could very adversely effect the relationship which the dentists of the state of Kansas have had with Delta Dental Plan of Kansas. From its inception, Delta Dental Plan of Kansas has been capitalized by the dentists of Kansas. Initially with direct cash payments upon becoming a participating dentist, and secondly, through the corporation withholding four percent of benefits payable to the dentist. These monetary amounts are to allow Delta to build a reserve fund.

Currently, any dentist licensed to practice in Kansas may become a participant in the Delta Dental Plan of Kansas. A high percentage of the dentists of Kansas have chosen to become participating providers. Should the fifty percent requirement be removed, as proposed by SB 667, Delta will have no reason to offer an open enrollment. As a participating dentist for over ten years, I am shocked by the apparent resolve of the corporate mangement of Delta Dental Plan of Kansas to support such legislation.

The annual meeting of Delta, according to their by-laws, consists of the participating dentists. These participating providers have <u>not</u> been informed by Delta that Delta's management has proposed these legislative changes. The participating dentists are unaware that Delta, the dental plan so closely allied and supported by the dentists of Kansas, is supporting this legislation.

Should Senate Bill 667 become statute, Delta Dental Plan of Kansas will, in essence, have no reason to be at all responsive to the dentists of Kansas. There will be no reason for Delta to have an open enrollment. The four dentist members of the board of directors will be elected by a selected few dentists at the annual meeting (or simply named to the board by the corporate officers). If this is the direction Delta Dental Plan of Kansas wishes to pursue, then I feel a full financial repayment of both the initial fees paid by the participating providers and the cumulative four percent withhold is in order.

The dentists of Kansas, through their elected representatives to the Executive Council of the Kansas Dental Association are vehemently opposed to the changes proposed in Senate Bill 667.

de NB

It is interesting that Delta did not choose to inform the participating dentists at either the annual meeting or through their newsletter that Delta was planning to introduce this legislation. I feel that this, and the opposition shown by the Executive Council of the Kansas Dental Association should give some insight into the fact that Delta is proposing a change which has greater ramifications than a mere "housekeeping," measure.

Sincerely,

Scott C. Kennedy, D.D.S.

Attachment 2
House Insurance

3-27-86