Approved	On:		

Minutes of the House Committee on Assessment and Taxation. The meeting was called to order by E. C. Rolfs, Chairman, at 9:00 a.m. on February 5, 1985 in room 519 South at the Capitol of the State of Kansas.

The following members were absent:

Representatives Crowell

Committee staff present:

Tom Severn, Legislative Research Melinda Hanson, Legislative Research Don Hayward, Reviser of Statutes Millie Foose, Committee Secretary

Sylvia Hougland, Secretary of Aging, gave testimony as a proponent of HB-2035. She said this bill would insure that all recipients of Kansas pension plans are treated equally. In response to a question, she indicated that she was not appearing for or stating a position for the executive branch. (Attachment 1)

Representative Clint Acheson, the primary sponsor of HB-2035, testified that HB-2035 will return a little integrity to the Social Security program. (Attachment 2).

Basil Covey, representing the Kansas Retired Teachers Association, appeared in support of HB-2035, saying that if Kansas taxes Social Security it will be by default. (Attachment 3)

Former Representative Ruth Wilkin, appearing as a private citizen, explained her reasons for believing that senior citizens with income over \$25,000 or \$32,000 should be willing to pay their share if Kansas needs this income. (Attachment 4)

Mrs. Wilkin also submitted copy of a letter from Senator Bob Dole, in which he said that probably at least 91% of all Social Security beneficiaries in Kansas will not be affected by this provision. (Attachment 5)

The minutes of February 4, 1985 were reviewed. There being no changes, the chairman declared them approved as presented.

There being no further business, the chairman adjourned the meeting.

Rolfs Chairman

TESTIMONY ON H.B. 2035 EXCLUSION OF SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFITS FROM TAXATION

Locatori

BY KANSAS DEPARTMENT ON AGING

FEBRUARY 5, 1985

Bill Brief:

Excludes Social Security benefits from adjusted gross income.

Bill Provisions:

Amounts received as benefits under Title II of the federal Social Security Act would be excluded from federal adjusted gross income on the State tax form.

Background:

The Social Security Amendments of 1983 provide for federal taxation of up to one-half of Social Security benefits to recipients whose adjusted gross income (including one-half of their Social Security benefits and all interest from tax-exempt municipal bonds) exceed \$25,000 for an individual and \$32,000 for a married couple. Taxation took effect in 1984. The amendments were intended to restore the financial solvency of the Social Security programs to assure that benefits would be paid on time even if economic conditions seriously deteriorate. The amendments were not intended to provide a windfall to the States.

Because Kansas uses the federal adjusted gross income (AGI) in calculating state income tax liability, these benefits will also be taxed on 1984 state tax returns. An estimated \$3.5 million in new state revenues will be generated in FY 85.

Testimony:

As the first national retirement system, the Social Security program was designed to address the special problems of economic insecurity for the nation's elderly. From the inception of the Social Security program beneficiaries have been led to believe that their benefits would not be taxed. While older citizens were willing to accept the 1983 Amendments taxing part of these benefits to insure the future of the Social Security program, they are reluctant to support unintended windfalls to the States.

Twenty-nine states have tax codes that couple with the federal code. At least seventeen states have passed legislation exempting Social Security benefits.

Exemption of Social Security benefits would treat these benefits in the same way as other retirement benefits. The following pension plans are currently deducted from federal adjusted gross income:

- a) Kansas Public Employee's Retirement Annuities;
- b) Kansas Teacher's Retirement Annuities;
- c) Kansas Police and Firemen's Retirement System Pensions;
- d) Kansas Highway Patrol Pensions

e) Justices and Judges Retirement System;

f) Certain pensions received from first class cities that are not covered by KPERS; and

g) Federal Ćivil Service Retirement Annuities paid from the Federal Civil Service Retirement or Disability Fund.

Tier One Benefits for railway retirees ar exempt from Kansas taxation by federal law.

The exemption proposed in H.B. 2035 would maintain the policy of not taxing pension benefits in determining state taxes.

Approximately 10% of Social Security beneficiaries will be paying income tax this year. But the ratio of recipients who must pay the new federal tax is expected to rise since the \$25,000 and \$32,000 thresholds are not indexed for inflation. Unless H.B. 2035 is adopted even more older Kansans will be required to pay state income tax as well. Taxation of benefits for some individuals establishes a dangerous precedent for taxing all Social Security benefits.

H.B. 2035 would insure that all recipients of Kansas pension plans are treated equally. Social Security benefits should be exempted from taxation at the state level.

2/4/85 JH:mj

*2

Testimony before the House Assessment and Taxation Committee by Representative Clint Acheson

The purpose of HB 2035 is to remove from taxable income Title II Social Security benefits. Kansas being an Income Tax conformity state, one half of these benefits are taxable in Kansas as a result of Congress having passed PL 98-21 in 1983. This was part of the overall program to help bail out the Social Security program. Taxing Social Security benefits at the state level means nothing to the Social Security program but instead is an additional burden on the backs of approximately 38,000 elderly retired Kansans.

PL 98-21 requires that all of the Social Security benefits are used to reach the \$25,000 threshhold for an individual return, and one half the benefits will be used for a joint return to reach the \$32,000 threshold. In addition, all income from state and local tax exempt securities will be added to reach these two thresholds. Benefits over and above these two amounts are subject to the tax in each case. Using the income from these tax exempt securities is a bad precedent and will in effect, repeal the tax exempt status of these securities and make them less marketable.

Tier I railroad Retirement benefits which are essentially the same as Social Security, but are under the Railroad Retirement act, were originally included to be taxed but have since been ruled not taxable. This is inconsistant.

A majority of those initially penalized are those who have been paying into the Social Security program since its inception in the late 30's. Most of these people have been in the lower end of the middle income bracket—they have skimped and saved during their productive years knowing fully that the benefits from Social Security or other retirement programs alone would not sustain them. These

are the same people who for most of their productive lives have been counted in the group that has borne the greatest share of the tax burden for this nation as well as Kansas, and continue to pay taxes of all kinds.

Last year, I believe there were 27 states which were either already exempt or had removed themselves from taxing Social Security. Today 38 states have exempted themselves from this tax. In Kansas, this tax is borne entirely by retired people.

The Social Security program did not get in trouble because of excess benefits paid to eligible retirees—the problem resulted from bad management at the federal level. People who were never intended to become beneficiaries are now beneficiaries.

Finally when the Social Security program was first enacted, it was designed to treat all Americans equal, but the Congress defaulted on that promise in 1983—by not removing Kansas from this tax, Kansas is a party to that default. This bill will help return a little integrity to the program. I will appreciate your favorable consideration and thank you for listening. I'll be glad to stand for questions.



Kansas Retired Teachers Association



1984-1985

ELECTIVE OFFICERS

President Mrs. Etta Blanche Dahlgren 4326 Waverly Kansas City, Ks. 66104 Phone 913-287-2279

President Elect Mr. Morris J. Thompson 412 E. 13th Hutchinson, Ks. 67501 Phone 316-662-3002

Vice President Mrs. Lucy E. Clark 425 Morningside Dr. Newton, Ks. 67114 Phone 316-283-2421

Secretary Mrs. Thyra Olson 106 W. Saline Lindsborg, Ks. 67456 Phone 913-227-3661

Treasurer Mr. Mearle Hoover 2135 Norton Salina, Ks. 67401 Phone 913-827-5443

Assistant Treasurer 1122 N. Cedar Abilene, Ks. 67410 Phone 913-263-1533

Chairman of Editing & Publishing Committee Mrs. Elsie Klemp 608 E. Price Garden City, Ks. 67846 Phone 316-275-5322

Legislative Chairman Mr. Laurence Stanton 406 LaVista Dodge City, Ks. 67801 Phone 316-227-6877

Past President Mr. Glenn E. Burnette 1630 Knollwood Topeka, Ks. 66611 Phone 913-232-5404

DISTRICT DIRECTORS

District 1 Mr. Arnold J. Lehmann 216 W. Wilson Salina, Ks. 67401 Phone 913-827-1913

District 2 Mr. Jack Rose 808 Iowa St. Holton Ks. 66436 Phone 913-364-2965

District 3 Mr. Willis Jordan 933 Maple Ottawa, Ks. 66067 Phone 913-242-6130

District 4 Mrs. Ruth A. McCarty Box 334 Bucklin, Ks. 67834 Phone 316-826-3769

District 5 Mrs. Martha D. Hicks 1323 N. Erie Wichita, Ks. 67214 Phone 316-682-2490

District 6 Mrs. Beulah C. Bohn Route #1, Box 192 Fall River, Ks. 67047 Phone 316-736-2213

February 5, 1985

To Members of the House Assessment and Taxation Committee:

My name is Basil Covey and I represent the Kansas Retired Teachers Association.

I want to speak in support of HB 2035.

This bill excludes Social Security funds This bill gives from Kansas income tax. relief for approximately 38,000 retired citizens in Kansas, many of which are retired teachers. A planned retirement program for these citizens will be damaged if this bill A tax on social security is not passed. funds by the state of Kansas can easily lead to lowering the standard of living of retired citizens that have had productive careers, and have planned for their retirement.

The Federal government found it necessary to tax social security to save the system. but quoting a state legislation counselor, "Certainly it was not Congress' intent to broaden the states' tax base at the expense of Social Security beneficiaries."

If Kansas taxes Social Security funds it will be by default, not by proper legislation.

As of this date thirty-eight states will not tax social security funds. Kansas is one of twelve that plans to do so unless this bill prevents it.

We recommend that HB 2035 be approved for passage by the legislature. It will serve in the best interests of retired citizens in Kansas.

Sincerely.

KRTA

APPOINTIVE OFFICERS

Legislative Committee Mr. Fayette Fields 1956 N. Tyler Rd. Wichita, Ks. 67212 Phone 316-722-4458

Mr. Earl Ludlum Route #3 - Box 108 Pittsburg, Ks. 66762 Phone 316-231-5842

Dr. Calvin E. Harbin 303 W. 19th Hays, Ks. 67601 Phone 913-625-2428

Basil R. Covey 3119 W. 31st Ct. Topeka, Ks. 66614 Phone 913-272-5914

Mr. Kenneth Rogg 110 Hillcrest Dr. Paola, Ks. 66071 Phone 913-294-3933

Historian Mrs. Faye Riggs 604 N. Washington Lindsborg, Ks. 67456 Phone 913-227-3434

Community Participation Chairman Mr. Frank Rosser S. Topeka Holton, Ks. 66436 Phone 913-364-2860

> Necrology Chairman Mrs. Eunice E. Schnitzer 1711 N. 4th, Apt. 518 Arkansas City, Ks. 67005 Phone 316-442-2685

Informative and Protective Services Mrs. Wilda Novotny 2310 Maple Dr. Belleville, Ks. 66935 Phone 913-527-2964

Retirement Planning Chairman Mr. Milton Senti 708 Stout Pratt, Ks. 67124 Phone 316-672-6183

> Membership Chairman Mrs. Irma Minden 4 Crestview Dr. Paola, Ks. 66071 Phone 913-294-4055

NRTA Coordinator Dr. George Goebel 711 Crest Dr. Topeka, Ks. 66606 Phone 913-272-8777

Corresponding Secretary Mr. Lester Ramsey 7923 Walker Ave Kansas City, Ks. 66112 Phone 913-788-3866

> Parliamentarian Mr. Harry McLeod 1214 McAdams Rd. Salina, Ks. 67401 Phone 913-823-3993

ATTACHMENT 3 To the local

BUILDING BI

VISION

STATE TAX TREATMENT OF SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFITS

	No State Personal Income Tax	Specific Exemption for Social Security Benefits Enacted	Exempt Because Current Federal AGI Not Used As Starting Point	Social Security Benefits Taxable Same Extent As Federal
Alabama			X	
Alaska Arizona Arkansas California	X		X (1983) X	Taxable
Colorado Connecticut Delaware Florida	x	x	х .	Taxable
Georgia			X (1981)	
Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa KANSAS Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland		X X X X	X (1981)	Taxable Taxable Taxable
•				
Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi		X	X (1983) X	Taxable
Missouri Montana Nebraska				Taxable Taxable Taxable
Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey	X	X	х	
New Mexico New York North Carolina		X X	X X (1983)	
North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma		X	A (1903)	Taxable
Oregon Pennsylvania		X	X	mbl-
Rhode Island South Carolina		x	X	Taxable
South Dakota Tennessee	x		X	•
Texas Utah Vermont	X			Taxable Taxable
Virginia	v	X		- 4
Washington West Virginia Wisconsin	X ·	X X		
Wyoming	X			
TOTAL	7	17	14	12

Kansas Legislative Research Department January 8, 1985 Testimony Before House A & T Committee House Bill 2035 February 5, 1985

Mr. Chairman & Members of the Committee:

I appear before you strictly as a private citizen, and as a 10-year member of this committee. I know how seldom you hear from anyone who thinks a tax increase for them might not be so bad.

It seems to me this committee should ask itself some simple questions:

Does the state need this money? If your answer is "no", please pass this bill. I can do without the extra tax in that case.

Is decoupling a good idea?

Who is affected? Do you decouple for 9 percent of those affected? Can those affected afford to pay?

Comparison of our expenses now with 10 or 20 years ago:

Mortgage burned
Cars down to two
Food bill down
Clothing incredibly down
(we had 3 girls)
No tuition, dormitory or fraternity expenses

Is it a fair tax?

We did not think social security benefits would be taxed. Neither did we think they would be indexed to a cost of living. We never dreamed back in 1940 it would expand as it has. We've paid very little compared to what we will receive

Do we as senior citizens have any obligations to Kansas?

We are both graduates of Kansas state universities. Taxpayers helped us gain an education. Possibly why we are in 9% group.

Our children graduated from Kansas universities.

Taxpayers helped us educate our children.
Unfortunately they aren't here to repay, so they must help other states

Do health care expenses of elders come into consideration? Kansas has a very generous deduction for health care.

If Kansas needs this revenue, it seems to me it is better for it to come from senior citizens with income over \$25,000 or \$32,000 than from those with a similar income and raising families.

BOB DOLE



(R - Kansas)

SH 141 Hart Building, Washington, D.C. 20510

IMPORTANT SOCIAL SECURITY NEWS

Dear Kansans,

In the next few days, some 382,850 Kansans, and more than 40 million Americans nationwide, will receive forms from the Social Security Administration (SSA) that may seem complicated and cause for concern.

-- But don't let these forms scare you.

The fact is, for about 90 percent of Kansans receiving Social Security benefits, these notices will mean nothing.

The documents -- Form 1099 - Social Security Benefit Statement and the Department of Treasury Internal Revenue Service's Notice 730 (August 1984) -- explain a provision of the Social Security Amendments of 1983. Under this new law, a portion of Social Security benefits will be subject to federal income tax -- but only for recipients with non-Social Security incomes above \$25,000 as individuals or \$32,000 as couples.

The Social Security Administration has advised me that at least 91 percent of all Social Security beneficiaries in our state will not be affected by this provision. Social Security Administration and Internal Revenue Service officials are obliged to provide you with the forms. Samples of these forms are reproduced here.

If you are among the overwhelming majority of Kansans receiving less than \$25,000 as a single taxpayer, or \$32,000 if you are married and filing a joint return, this material is strictly informational. You will not owe any tax on your benefits.

So far, the 1983 changes have proven effective. The Social Security actuaries estimate that there is a high probability that Social Security will be in excellent financial shape through the 1980s and thereafter.

This is good news for all Americans -- tax-payers and beneficiaries alike.

This is due not only to the responsible provisions of the 1983 Amendments, but also to our robust economic recovery and low inflation. We cannot underestimate the importance of this low inflation rate -- not only to the Social Security system, but also to the purchasing power of Social Security benefits for the 36 million Americans -- including more than 382,000 Kansans -- who receive a Social Security check every month.

Special Phone Numbers to Answer Questions

If you have questions about the tax provisions, the Social Security Administration has developed a plan to provide help by a network of toll-free telephoning.

For a taped message, dial 1-900-200-1099, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, beginning January 1. For this call, there is a 50¢ charge.

If you are not satisfied with the information contained on the tape, additional details are available by calling 1-800-772-1099. This service is available 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. daily, five days a week, at no charge.

And, of course, our local Social Security offices throughout Kansas will be happy to answer your questions of concern.

However, if you are among that 9 or 10 percent in the higher income tax bracket, you will need this information in order to complete your tax returns accurately by the April 15, 1985, deadline.

As I noted earlier, more than 40 million Form 1099's are being mailed throughout the country between January 5 and January 29, 1985. SSA is expecting some 9.2 million Americans to inquire about these changes. This newsletter is intended to try to answer some of the questions you may have about these changes.

1983 Amendments Restored the System

The Social Security Amendments of 1983 were the result of a bipartisan consensus reached first, by the National Commission on Social Security Reform and second, by the U.S. Congress and President Ronald Reagan. The amendments have been in place since April of 1983 and have had a positive impact on the financial health of the Social Security system.

The amendments were intended to restore the financial solvency of the Social Security programs in both the short term (meaning the 1980s) and the long term (the next 75 years). The legislation called for changes in the program and its funding to assure that benefits would be paid on time even if economic conditions seriously deteriorate.

Read This To See If Your Benefits May Be Taxable

rith high incomes may have to inc security benefits on their Federal If your social security and/or SSI

include his or her income on lines C and D.				
A Write in the amount from Bex 5 of all your Forms SSA-1	099.			
(If both you and your spouse received a Form SSA-1099),			
see the "Note" above.)	A			
If the amount on line A above is zero or less, stop here; I				
	result			
C Add up your pensions, wages, interest, dividends, and o	ther taxable income and write in the total. C			
D Write in any tax-exempt interest, such as interest on a	municipal bonds D			
E. Add lines B, C, and D above, and write in the total. Read	I the information below E			
Part of your social security benefits may be taxable if, for 1984, you were:	If your figures show that part of your benefits may be tax- able, see your Federal Income Tax Return Instructions work "Secret Secretive Benefits." If they do not none of			

FORM SSA-1099 - SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFIT STATEMENT

PART OF YOUR SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFITS AS SHOWN IN BOX 5 MAY BE TAXABLE INCOME FOR 1984.

 USE THE FIGURE FROM BOX 5 OF THIS STATEMENT AND THE ENCLOSED NOTICE 703 FROM IRS TO SEE IF ANY PART OF YOUR BENEFITS MAY BE TAXABLE ON YOUR FEDERAL INCOME TAX RETURN.

 ALSO, SEE GENERAL INFORMATION TO THE RIGHT

Box 1. Name		Box 2. Social Security Number		
Box 3. Benefits for 1984 \$ DESCRIPTION OF AMOUNT IN BOX 3 Amounts paid by check or direct deposit Add: Medicare premiums withheld				
Workers' compensation offset Fees paid to an attorney or other legal obligation. Amounts withheld to recover an overpayment Amounts paid to another family member Amounts withheld to recover SSI payments		overpayment Amounts paid to SSA in cash, or by personal check or money order, etc., excluding Medicare premiums Benefits Repaid to SSA in 1984 \$		
Total Additions Subtract: Payments due before 1984 Amounts for other family members paid you Lump sum death payment Excess Medicare premiums	i to			
Total Subtractions		Box 7. Claim Number (Use this number if you need to contact SSA.)		

I also invite you to contact any of my offices if we can, in some way, be of further aid to you. The locations of my Kansas field offices follow:

KANSAS CITY

(913) 287-4545 636 Minnesota Kansas City, Kansas, 66101

TOPEKA

(913) 295-2745 444 S.E. Ouincy Street Topeka, Kansas, 66603

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

WICHITA

(316) 263-4956 Fourth Financial Center 100 North Broadway Wichita, Kansas, 67202

PARSONS

(316) 421-5380 76 Parsons Plaza Parsons, Kansas, 67357

MOBILE OFFICE

...traveling through Kansas.

U.S.S.

BLK. RT.

Return Postage Guaranteed ATTENTION POSTMASTER — OCR — PLEASE DO NOT MARK ON OR ABOVE THE LABEL.

344152-4LR XX HONORABLE RUTH W. WILKIN KANSAS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVE STATE CAPITOL BLOG. TOPEKA, KS 66612

1610 Willow Togeta, 72 6666