Approved	3/8/84	
Approved ===	/ Date	

MINUTES OF THE SENATE	_ COMMITTEE ON	FEDERAL AND STATE AFFAIRS	
The meeting was called to order b	у	Senator Bill Morris Vice-Chairperson	at
11:00 a.m./pxxxx on	March 1	, 19 <u>8</u> 4in room <u>254-E</u> of th	ne Capitol.
All members were present except:	Senator Reill	y and Senator Roitz, who were excused	ι.
Committee staff present:	Emalene Correll, Le	ant Revisor of Statutes	

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Representative Don E. Crumbaker Thomas J. Kennedy, Director, Alcoholic Beverage Control Alan Alderson, Kansas Association of Private Clubs Joe Berger, Sunflower Club Association

HCR5079 - Requesting the U.S. Postal Service to issue stamp commemorating the sod house.

The Chairman introduced Representative Crumbaker, author of $\underline{\text{HCR5079}}$, who presented testimony concerning the significance of the sod house in the settling of the Great Plains and its importance in the lives of Kansas pioneers.

Senator Francisco moved that HCR5079 be amended on line 2, page 50, before the simicolon, by inserting "and that the first day of issue of such stamp be from the city of Colby in Thomas county, Kansas." 2d by Senator Meyers. Motion carried.

Senator Gannon moved that the concurrent resolution be adopted as amended. 2d by Senator Francisco. Motion carried.

SB751 - concerning alcoholic liquors; private clubs; and annual license fees.

The Chairman recognized Director Kennedy who presented a copy of his Memorandum dated February 29, concerning SB751, to the Committee. It is part of these Minutes as Attachment #1. The increase in license fee for the 102 social clubs from \$250 to \$1,000, according to the Memorandum, would amount to \$76,500 additional income for the state. Also attached is a Memorandum from Director Kennedy concerning Sales and Revenue from license fees, gallonage tax and enforcement taxes collected from alcoholic liquors and cereal malt beverages, which is Attachment #2.

The Reverend Richard Taylor did not appear but asked that a copy of his Memorandum concerning SB751 be distributed to the Committee and made a part of the record. The first paragraph states: "Drinkers paid \$9.5 billion in alcoholic revenue. The nation suffered a \$42.7 billion economic loss because drinkers drink. For \$1 of revenue, over \$4 is taken from the public, non-drinkers included. If non-drinkers are to live in freedom from subsicizing losses caused by those who get rich dealing in this deadly social drug, alcohol taxes need to be increased 4 times. SB751 does exactly what ought to be done across the board." His entire statement is Attachment #3.

Alan Alderson was the next conferee for $\underline{SB751}$. His written statement is part of these Minutes and states that the Private Clubs organization is opposed to the bill. The statement is Attachment #4.

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE _	SENATE	COMMITTEE ON .	FEDERAL AND STAT	E AFFAIRS
room <u>254-E</u> , Stateho	ouse, at11:	00 a.m./ ъхн × on	March l	, 19 <u>84</u>

Joe Berger was the next to appear concerning $\underline{SB751}$. He said that the group he represents would like to oppose the \underline{bill} on the basis that many of their members are small country clubs and increased license fees would pose a problem for them. A lot of them do charitable work for the community. This concluded the testimony on $\underline{SB751}$.

The Chairman called members' attention to the letter from Thomas E. Kelly, Director of the Kansas Bureau of Investigation, to Senator Robert Talkington, concerning Kansas law enforcement agencies access to the computerized criminal history records of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. This letter is $\underline{\text{Attachment } \#5}$.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:50 a.m.

MEMORANDUM

3/1/84) Attachment #/

TO:

Honorable Edward F. Reilly, Jr.

Chairman, Senate Federal and State Affairs Committee

FROM:

THOMAS J. KENNEDY, Director, ABC Division

RE:

Senate Bill 751

DATE:

February 29, 1984

PURPOSE

Senate Bill 751 is an act concerning alcoholic liquor; private clubs; annual license fees, increasing the cost of class "A" club licenses if the club is a social club, from \$250 to \$1,000.

PERSPECTIVE

Senate Bill 751, if enacted in its present form would require class "A" social clubs to pay a \$1,000 license fee instead of the \$250 license fee they are presently paying. Class "A" fraternal and war veteran clubs would continue to pay \$250 annual license fees.

We have 405 class "A" clubs in the state; 102 are social clubs, 119 are fraternal clubs and 183 are war veteran clubs.

COMMENTS AND/OR RECOMMENDATIONS

The increase in license fee for the 102 social clubs from \$250 to \$1,000 would amount to \$76,500 additional income for the state.

The Director of Alcoholic Beverage Control is neither a proponent nor an opponent of this bill.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS J

DIRECTOR

Alcoholic Beverage Control Division

TJK:cjk

attachment # 1

3/1/84 Attachment #2

T0:

Whom It May Concern

RE: Sales and Revenue

FROM:

THOMAS J. KENNEDY, Director

ABC Division

DATE: June 30, 1983

The following is a report of retail liquor store sales and revenue from license fees, gallonage tax, and enforcement tax collected from alcoholic liquors. This report also includes license fees and gallonage tax collected from cereal malt beverage. (See Page 2 of this Memorandum for details.)

SALES AND REVENUE

Year	Retail Liquor Sales	License Fees Gallonage Tax, Fines, etc. Collected	4% Enforcement Tax Collected	Total Liquor Revenue	CMB License Fees	CMB Gallonage Tax Collected	Total CMB Revenue	Grand Total Revenue
CY 1950	\$20,919,513	\$ 2,377,409	\$ 836,780	\$ 3,214,189	\$	\$ 1,724,995	\$ 1,724,995	\$ 4,939,184
CY 1960	30,355,702	2,509,577	1,214,228	3,723,805	30,300	1,658,860	1,689,160	5,412,965
FY 1970	70,066,400	5,021,997	2,802,655	7,824,632	22,600	2,613,911	2,636,511	10,461,143
FY 1971	77,961,820	5,384,755	3,118,473	8,503,228	22,300	3,510,820	3,533,120	12,036,348
FY 1972	88,817,875	6,114,131	3,552,715	9,666,846	21,100	3,756,545	3,777,645	13,444,491
FY 1973	92,661,225	6,519,185	3,706,449	10,225,634	20,800	3,883,425	3,904,225	14,129,859
FY 1974	101,690,375	7,037,502	4,067,615	11,105,117	22,000	4,022,080	4,044,080	15,149,197
FY 1975	116,796,050	7,485,949	4,671,842	12,157,791	21,400	4,086,756	4,108,156	16,265,947
FY 1976	131,512,575	8,156,489	5,260,503	13,416,992	21,700	4,210,519	4,232,219	17,649,211
FY 1977	133,434,000	8,388,912	5,437,360	13,826,272	21,400	4,250,537	4,271,937	18,098,209
FY 1978	148,031,300	12,985,122	5,921,252	18,906,374	20,200	5,026,376	5,046,576	23,952,950
FY 1979	167,435,400	13,043,981	6,697,416	19,741,397	20,500	5,149,699	5,170,199	24,911,596
FY 1980	183,505,000	13,358,570	7,340,200	20,698,770	19,138	4,966,379	4,985,517	25,684,287
FY 1981	204,014,125	13,504,493	8,160,565	21,665,058	19,096	5,127,878	5,146,974	26,812,032
FY 1982	219,352,528	14,070,678	8,774,114	22,844,792	19,937	5,078,086	5,098,023	27,942,815
FY 1983	222,827,974	13,574,430	8,913,119	22,487,549	19,300	4,979,166	4,998,466	27,486,015

THOMAS J. KEMNEDY, DIRECTOR

atachment #.

The following are the amounts of revenue collected and sources, FY 1983:

1116	for towing are the amounts of revenue corrected and sources, re	190	55:
1.	LICENSE FEES: a. Wholesalers (liquor) @ \$1,250 b. Retailers (liquor) @ 100 c. Beer Distributors (S) @ 150 d. Manufacturers @ 2,500 e. Class "A" Clubs @ 250 f. Class "B" Clubs @ 1,000	\$	1,090,002
2.	REGISTRATION FEES: a. Initial Registration @ \$ 50 b. Renewal Registration @ 10	\$	44,878
3.	AGENT PERMITS: a. Wholesaler/distributor Salespersons @ \$10 b. Supplier Salespersons @ 10	\$	9,580
4.	NON-BEVERAGE USER PERMITS:	\$	217
5.	PRICE POSTING FROM SUPPLIERS:	\$	59,397
			•
6.	Source: Distributors Retailers Private Clubs Salespersons	\$	81,415
7.	MISCELLANEOUS:	\$	475
	Source: Time and Mileage Sales of Licensee Books @ \$3.00 each Cab Cards @ 50¢ each for first 3, 25¢ thereafter		
8.	4% ENFORCEMENT TAX:	\$	8,913,119
	Source: Collected at retail liquor stores Retail sales FY 82: \$219,352,528		
9.	GALLONAGE TAX (Alcoholic Beverages):	\$ 1	2,288,466
	Source: Strong beer (over 3.2%) @ 18¢ per gallon Wine (14% or less Alcohol) @ 30¢ per gallon Wine (More than 14% Alcohol) @ 75¢ per gallon Alcohol and spirits @ \$2.50 per gallon		
10.	CMB (Cereal Malt Beverage) LICENSE FEES:	\$	19,300
	Source: Distributor license fees @ \$300 AMTRAK license fee @ \$100		
11.	CMB GALLONAGE TAX:	\$	4,979,166
	Source: 18¢ per gallon CMB Malt Stamps @ 30¢		
12.	RECAP: a. License Fees \$1,090,002 g. Miscellaneous b. Registration Fees 44,878 h. 4% Enforcement c. Agent Permits 9,580 i. Gallonage Tax(d. Non-Beverage User Permits 217 j. CMB License Fee. Price Postings from Suppliers 59,397 k. CMB Gallonage f. Fines 81,415	AB) es	12,288,466 19,300

KANSANS FOR LIFE AT ITS BEST!

Rev. Richard Taylor, Box 888, Topeka, Kansas 66601

Phone (913) 235-1866 Office 1273 Harrison (3 Blocks South of Statehouse)

Hearing on SB 751 February 29, 1984 Senate Federal & State Affairs Committee

Senate Federal & State Affairs Committee
The Beverend Taylor wants this to be mentioned.

A Proud Land

Attachment #3

Drinkers paid \$9.5 billion in alcoholic revenue. The nation suffered a \$42.7 billion economic loss because drinkers drink. For \$1 of revenue, over \$4 is taken from the public, non-drinkers included. If non-drinkers are to live in freedom from subsidizing losses caused by those who get rich dealing in this deadly social drug, alcohol taxes need to be increased 4 times. SB 751 does exactly what ought to be done across the board.

THE ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE INDUSTRY'S CONTRIBUTION TO THE U.S. ECONOMY IN 1975

\$32.5 Billion consumer dollars spent for beer, wine, and distilled spirits.

Out of this \$32.5 Billion:

\$9.5 Billion in excise, license, and other alcoholic beverage taxes and fees were received by federal, state, and local governmental units on beer, wine, and distilled spirits.

\$11.1 Billion in wages and earnings for alcoholic beverage employees and owners.

Source - Public Attitudes and Economic Progress

DISCUS Facts Book 1975

Distilled Spirits Council of the United States, Inc.

Economic Loss Resulting from Alcohol Consumption

\$19.7 Lost Production

12.7 Health & Medical

5.1 Auto Accidents

2.9 Violent Crime

1.9 Social Responses

.4 Fire Losses

\$42.7 Billion

This \$42.7 billion was taken from the public in:

<u>Higher prices</u> for goods and services because

of alcohol-impaired personnel.

Higher premiums for hospital-medical-auto-fire

insurance to cover alcohol-related losses.

<u>Higher taxes</u> to pay costs of welfare, criminal

justice, research, and needed social services

resulting from alcohol consumption.

Source - Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Conservative cost estimates prepared for the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. By researchers Berry, Boland, Smart and Kovak.

If every drinker quit, alcohol-related suffering would be wiped out and the American public would have billions of dollars to spend on better things. But what would it do to unemployment and taxes if every drinker quit? \$42.7 Billion available for redistribution.

\$11.1 Billion given to employees and owners to do nothing.

·\$ 9.5 Billion given to governmental units in lieu of taxes.

\$20.6 \$20.6 Billion total

\$22.1 Billion additional consumer dollars would be in the pockets of non-drinkers and drinkers, no one in the alcoholic beverage industry would miss a pay check, no governmental unit would lose any tax dollars, and drinkers would have another \$32.5 billion consumer dollars to spend for better things.

"Of our political revolution of 1776 we are all justly proud," said Abraham Lincoln on Washington's birthday in 1842. He went on to say "how proud the title of that land" where persons declare their freedom from alcoholic beverages because they "shall find a stronger bondage broken, a viler slavery manumitted, a greater tyrant deposed. . .perfect liberty!" With per-person consumption at nearly half the national average, thousands of Kansans enjoy that perfect liberty. Concerned users and non-users are united in this R-E-A-L effort to prevent alcoholism, highway tragedy, and other suffering caused by our most abused recreational drug.

Rehabilitation — Help alcohol-dependent persons adjust to life without the drug. Education — Inform children, youth & adults of effect of alcohol on mind & body.

Amount — Encourage persons to be non-users and encourage users to use less.

Law - Pass and enforce laws that reduce consumption and suffering.

actackmen # 3

3/1/84) Attachment #4

TO: MEMBERS OF SENATE FEDERAL AND STATE AFFAIRS

FROM: Alan F. Alderson, Kansas Association of Private Clubs

RE: Senate Bill No. 751

DATE: March 1, 1984

The Kansas Association of Private Clubs does not know or understand the rationale behind SB No. 751 in its present form, but would like to make the following comments about the substance of the amendment.

- 1. We question whether there is a rational basis for subdividing class A clubs. If the present division of clubs has been made on the basis of profit or non-profit status of the organization, what additional criteria warrants treating some non-profit organizations in a different manner from others?
- 2. The liquor enforcement tax was doubled last session, and additional cost-of-doing-business increases this year cannot be justified on the basis of the small amount of revenue which would be provided to the state.
- 3. A similar bill failed to pass last year. It would have increased all class A license fees to \$500. Are the class A social clubs being asked to bear the burden that the legislature decided not to impose upon fraternal and war veterans club?
- 4. The classification provided in the bill does not relate to ability to pay.

The KAPC would urge this committee to report Senate Bill No. 751 unfavorably.

attackment #4



KANSAS BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

DIVISION OF THE OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF KANSAS

3420 VAN BUREN TOPEKA, KANSAS 66611 (913) 267-5000



ROBERT T STEPHAN

February 23, 1984

3-1-84

Honorable Robert Talkington Senator, District 12 State Capitol Building Topeka, Kansas

Dear Senator Talkington:

Reference is made to the meeting held in your office on February 16, last, concerning the inability of the Kansas Bureau of Investigation and all other Kansas law enforcement agencies to access the computerized criminal history records of the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Interstate Identification Index (III) system through the National Crime Information Center (NCIC).

During the course of the meeting it was decided that Col. Cantwell and I would recontact Mr. David Nemecek, FBI Section Chief for NCIC, Washington, D.C. to again discuss the possibility of utilizing the present KBI management control agreement with the Division of Information and Computer Services, as a suitable management control agreement for NCIC in Kansas.

Mr. Nemecek advised the issue of management control (when state data processing equipment interfacing with NCIC is not in a law enforcement agency) is a state matter. He further stated he had held his assessment of the KBI management control agreement in abeyance because Major Elliot of the Kansas Highway Patrol had advised him a new agreement was about to be signed.

Mr. Nemecek agreed the KBI management control agreement may be utilized as the management control agreement for NCIC in Kansas. His office will resume the evaluation of this document and will advise us as to which areas of the agreement need to be strengthened. He specifically mentioned the area of 'priority of service' needs clarification. It is expected a response will be forthcoming from the FBI in a matter of days.

Col. Cantwell is in agreement with the plan to utilize the KBI management agreement. Any needed alterations to meet the new requirements set forth by the FBI will be implemented as an addendum to the current agreement in order that we may begin accessing the records at the earliest possible date. There are no technical or equipment reasons why we should not have access before the legislature adjourns.

Sincerely,

THOMAS E. KELLY

DIRECTOR

TEK/bcb

CC: Senator Gaines
Senator Harder
Rep. Chronister
Rep. Teagarden

Rep. Turnquist Col. Cantwell

David F. Nemecek