

MINUTES OF THE House COMMITTEE ON Labor and Industry

Held in Room 526-S, at the Statehouse at 9:00 a. m./~~p.m.~~,

on March 23, 19 83.

All members were present except:

All members were present.

The next meeting of the Committee will be held at 9:00 a. m./~~p.m.~~,

on March 24, 19 83.

These minutes of the meeting held on \_\_\_\_\_, 19\_\_\_\_ were

considered, corrected and approved.

  
Chairman

The conferees appearing before the Committee were:

Mr. Arnold Berman, Department of Human Resources  
Mr. Tom Slattery, AGC of Kansas  
Mr. Kim Dewey, Sedgwick County Board of County Commissioners  
Mr. Dennis McFall, KS Association of School Boards  
Mr. Dan Ramble, Kansas Contractors

Chairman Douville called the meeting to order at 9:10 a.m.

Mr. Arnold Berman took the speakers stand and spoke on what effect the change in the fund control has had on the employers.

The Chairman then gave an explanation of S.B. 365, which deals with negative accounts.

Mr. Tom Slattery then took the speakers stand and spoke as an opponent to the bill because of the negative accounts surcharge.

Mr. Dan Ramble took the speakers stand and also spoke as an opponent to S.B. 365.

Mr. Kim Dewey then took the speakers stand and passed out to the committee attachment #1, his testimony. A discussion followed.

Mr. Dennis McFall then asked to take the speakers stand. He also spoke as an opponent to the bill and requested several amendments. A discussion followed.

The Chairman adjourned the meeting at 9:50 a.m.



SEDGWICK COUNTY, KANSAS

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

JACK SPRATT  
CHAIRMAN  
COMMISSIONER THIRD DISTRICT

DONALD E. GRAGG  
CHAIRMAN PRO-TEM  
COMMISSIONER FIRST DISTRICT

TOM SCOTT  
COMMISSIONER  
SECOND DISTRICT

COUNTY COURTHOUSE • SUITE 320 • WICHITA, KANSAS 67203-3759 • TELEPHONE (316) 268-7411

Testimony of Kim C. Dewey, Sedgwick County  
House Labor & Industry Committee - SB 365  
March 22, 1983

The 20% surcharge on contributions to the Employment Security Fund, imposed by the passage of HB 2221, presents some particular problems for local governments. Since our budgeted contributions to the Employment Security Fund for 1983 were finalized in August of 1982, we could not have anticipated this additional cost. Consequently, HB 2221 puts us in the position of either violating the Budget Law (K.S.A. 79-2935) or republishing our budgets to pick up the additional cost.

In order to remedy this situation, we request an amendment to SB 365 which will allow us to exceed our budget in this area and issue no fund warrants without State Board of Tax Appeals prior approval, in order to meet the deficit. We would suggest language similar to that contained in K.S.A. 79-301 which relates to out district tuition payments from counties to community colleges. Since the amount of our district tuition due is extremely variable, provisions are made to exceed budget restrictions. The suggested amendment would be:

"Expenditures by municipalities for contributions to the Employment Security Fund shall be exempt from the budget law of this state to the extent of such payments not anticipated in the budget of the municipality. Any such unanticipated expense may be paid through general fund revenues or through the issuance of no fund warrants. Any issuance of no fund warrants for this purpose may be made without the approval of the State Board of Tax Appeals"

Atch. 1