

Name of Committee: STATE AND LOCAL AFFAIRS

Date and Place of Meeting: February 10, 1972, Room 522-S

Bills Considered: S.B. 508 and 509

All members present except: Senator Foster

Conferees: Joseph Pichler, Associate Professor of Business, representing the American Association of University Professors; Mr. J. Bunker Clark, Professor of Music, K.U.-AAUP, Mr. Gordon Davidson: KSC-AAUP, Mr. Charles Wright, KAPE; Mr. Jim Yount and Mr. Robert Rheinhold representing AFL-CIO Kansas.

Chairman Norman E. Gaar called the meeting to order.

Professor Pichler testified as a proponent for S.B. 508 and 509, and presented printed testimony to the committee. Professor Gordon Davidson, of Kansas State College, Fort Hays backed Pichler's statement.

Professor Clark of KU presented a printed form of two suggested amendments for S.B. 333.

Mr. Charles Wright representing KAPERS said 509 is a step in the right direction, and asked several questions regarding S.B. 508. Such as, Who would be the Secretary of Administration? Page 3, Sec.7, What happen with a recognized organization comprised of very few members. How could you financially represent them?; Page 5, line 15, No such sub-section (b); Section 11, Sub-section 5, Would hope some specific language here to prevent coercion by employers. Page 6, line 8, KAPE feels that no member of employee organization should be involved in elections. Page 7, How about de-certification? Page 7, lines 25 & 26, Why three years? Why not longer? Page 9, line 27, Section 19, 90 days after effective date. Does this mean state organization or seperate chapters? Section 2, line 27, regarding business or financial interest information. Will this be treated as confidential by board? Senator Gaar asked Mr. Wright to send a written statement of his other points to Senator West in the interest of time.

Mr. Jim Yount of the Kansas State Federation of Labor presented printed testimony to the committee, and asked why it was necessary to rewrite the bill? To direct authority? To eliminate confusion? Suggested amending necessary things and living with the rest.

Mr. Robert Rheinhold, counsel for AFL-CIO stated S.B. 508 and 509 would be making radical change by setting up entirely separate board and system, and that both are rather deficient in many ways. S.B. 333 Sections 7, 10, 11 and 12 spelled out procedures for employees in bargaining, and S.B. 508 has no such procedures. S.B. 333 Section 13 states it is unlawful for anyone to be discriminated against because of union activities, 508 has no such thing. Mr. Fred Carna of the Revision Department said 508 and 509 are "meet and confer bills", no right to require collective bargaining.

Senator Gaar asked Mr. Yount, Mr. Wright and the professors if they were invited to appear before the Revision Department when S.B. 509 and 508 were being drafted and they had not been notified of this.

Hearings scheduled for S.B. 691 and 692 Thursday, February 17, 1972. Press Association will appear.

The committee will meet Monday morning, February 14, 1972 at 9:00.

Jean Campbell
Recording Secretary

Minutes Approved:

Except as otherwise noted, the individual remarks recorded herein have not been transcribed verbatim and this record has not been approved by the committee or by the individuals making such remarks

Norman E. Gaar
CHAIRMAN

2-10-72
DATE