



Individual Liberty
Economic Liberty
Strengthen Families
Sovereign States Rights
Ending Judicial Activism

February 22, 2015

SB 195 Child Support

Testimony in Opposition of this bill

Mr. Chairman and Committee Members,

I have to apologize for not getting or providing my testimony on Monday in written form. I would also like to thank the Chair for the opportunity to testify.

It appears to me that the bill is working in the opposite direction of providing transparency to the public. The bill strikes a large section of the bill laying out limits and parameters while we leave the “new” content up to the Rules & Regulations of DCF?

What are trying to achieve? Is this really about the credit agencies or a chance to discredit or slander a parent through DCF, to force payments? The reason I’m asking this is where is the Due Process? How are parents going to contest the issue? If it is rectified, how long is this going to stay on the reporting service? What mandates are parents going to look at with the agency to rectify or protect the **(THE PARENT)**?

I would note. Not one legislator has EVER VOTED on the Child Support Guidelines since 1987. The legislature has never vetted the Guidelines publicly, yet they are imposed against your constituents without reproach. The public is all but shutout of the process. We have lawyers and judges serving decades on the same advisory council (how are we going to address issues with these type of ideologues being in place for decades)? They are not serious about improving the formula – FACT!

I think the public deserves better (PARENTS OF THESE KIDS), the problem is not in the “collection process”. The problem lies between the walls of the Capital. We need to change statutes to protect parents’ rights (get them out of the administrative and equity courts) that provide NO CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. We need to focus on providing OPTIMUM time for parents.

We can do this together

Respectfully Submitted,

Chris Brown