1	•
2	•
3	FINAL ACTION ON:
4	•
5	HB2740 - AMENDMENTS TO THE CLASS ACT
6	REGARDING SUPPLEMENTAL
7	GENERAL STATE AID
8	AND CAPITAL OUTLAY STATE AID
9	•
10	•
11	•
12	TRANSCRIPT
13	OF PROCEEDINGS,
14	beginning at 2:10 p.m. on the 23rd day of March,
15	2016, in Room 112N, Kansas State Capitol Building,
16	Topeka, Kansas, before the House Appropriations
17	Committee consisting of Rep. Ryckman, Chairman;
18	Rep. Schwartz, Rep. Henry, Rep. Ballard, Rep.
19	Barker, Rep. Carlin, Rep. Carpenter, Rep. Claeys,
20	Rep. Finney, Rep. Grosserode, Rep. Hawkins, Rep.
21	Highland, Rep. Hoffman, Rep. Hutton, Rep. Kahrs,
22	Rep. Kleeb, Rep. Lunn, Rep. Macheers, Rep. Proehl,
23	Rep. Rhoades, Rep. Suellentrop, Rep. Waymaster and
24	Rep. Wolfe Moore.
25	



- 1 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Is there objection to
- working HB2740 today? If not, I call for a
- 3 motion. Representative Barker.
- 4 REP. BARKER: Motion to suspend the rules
- 5 and work -- not the rules, the roll and work the
- 6 bill today.
- 7 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Second by
- 8 Representative Claeys. Any discussion? All in
- 9 favor, say aye. Opposed? The bill is suspended.
- 10 At this point I call for any discussion or
- amendments to HB2740.
- 12 Representative Lunn.
- REP. LUNN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
- do have an amendment, a technical amendment. If
- Jason could explain it, I'd appreciate it.
- MR. LONG: Mr. Chairman, the amendment
- that was just passed out labeled Balloon
- Amendments for House Bill 2740, No. 2, would add a
- section of law to the bill to amend K.S.A. 72-
- 20 6474. This is a statute authorizing the school
- 21 districts to levy a local property tax to cover
- the cost of operation of new school facilities.
- The amendment is in the insert on page 1.
- You can see the change in Subsection B of the
- 25 statute. This is to clarify that school districts



- will be able to go to the Board of Tax Appeals
- 2 next school year to seek authorization to levy a
- 3 property tax for the operation of those new school
- 4 facilities whose construction was financed by the
- 5 issuance of bonds approved for issuance at
- 6 election held on or before June 30th of 2015.
- 7 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Do we have a second?
- 8 Second by Representative Grosserode. Any further
- 9 discussion, questions?
- 10 Representative Schwartz.
- 11 REP. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. My
- 12 question is, does this -- I read the amendment,
- but you mentioned that it was for new school
- 14 facilities and the amendment does not read that
- way. It is for any? Or am I missing something?
- MR. LONG: This is based on the
- 17 authorization under the prior school formula to
- 18 cover the cost related to ancillary school
- 19 facilities, the cost of operating those new
- facilities once they have opened.
- REP. SCHWARTZ: So it has to be a new
- 22 facility?
- MR. LONG: So it is a new facility, yes.
- 24 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Any other discussion?
- 25 Representative Lunn?



- 1 REP. LUNN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
- 2 close.
- 3 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: All in favor, say Aye.
- 4 Opposed? Amendment carries.
- 5 Representative Barker.
- REP. BARKER: I have an amendment. Have
- ⁷ they handed it out? Jason, did you hand it out.
- MR. LONG: I'm not sure which amendment
- ⁹ you are offering.
- 10 REP. BARKER: Well, you prepared it. It
- 11 was as to the balloon. It was on 515 and had the
- preamble. I think we are handing it out now.
- And, Mr. Chair, the reason we are doing it is
- 14 the Court has said build a record, build a record,
- build a record, build a record, and that's what
- this preamble attempts to do. Other than that,
- 17 I'll let Jason explain.
- 18 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Thank you. Mr. Long.
- MR. LONG: Yes, Mr. Chairman. The
- 20 balloon that's being passed out now would first
- 21 add a preamble following line 7 of the bill,
- making statements as to the -- the bill, and then
- it would also add a new Section 2 following line
- 34 on page 1. That balloon continues on to the
- 25 back page that's being distributed to you, and



- that new Section 2 is what expressed legislative
- intent with this bill and findings of fact based
- on the hearings that were conducted by this
- 4 committee during this week.
- 5 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: We'll pause and allow
- 6 everyone to read the amendment.
- 7 REP. BARKER: Mr. Chairman, while they
- 8 are reading it, findings of facts are very
- 9 important in case law. Before a judge makes a
- decision, he makes his findings of fact and he
- 11 reaches his conclusions of law. What I'm trying
- 12 to assist the Court in being able to -- they will
- know what our findings of facts are, and I think
- that would assist them in their deliberations.
- 15 And that was the purpose of this amendment. Thank
- 16 you, sir.
- 17 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Do we have a second?
- 18 Seconded by Representative Kleeb.
- 19 Representative Ballard.
- REP. BALLARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- I've finished reading it. And just to clarify
- some of this for me, may I ask you, please, in
- terms of new Section 1, just tell me what is that
- really addressing? It's talking about the intent
- of this in my book. Can you say it -- may I ask



- 1 him in plain language?
- 2 REP. BARKER: In plain language, this is
- 3 the preamble which is before the enactment.
- 4 Basically, what we are doing is a -- these are our
- 5 -- this is our statement of facts because courts
- 6 often look and say what is the legislative intent
- ⁷ here? And they go back and look at legislative
- 8 intent. I think this amendment is clarifying our
- 9 legislative intent so it will assist them. And
- that's the other reason. The other reason we are
- making a record of a transcript with the court
- 12 reporter -- I'm not sure she qualifies -- but I'm
- trying to assist the Court, and I'm not trying to
- do anything other than that, to assist them in
- 15 letting them understand what our legislative
- intent is. And that's the reason we have that
- 17 preamble. Thank you. I hope it was helpful.
- REP. BALLARD: Yes, thank you very much,
- 19 it was. And I'm so sorry because I stopped here
- and I didn't turn to the back. So I apologize,
- 21 but that clarified because I finished reading it
- 22 and I still would have had that question. So
- thank you very much.
- CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Has everyone had a
- chance to read the back page, as well?



- 1 Representative Henry.
- 2 REP. HENRY: Representative Barker, I'm
- 3 going to the back page, Item 3, where you talk
- 4 about severability. Can you talk about the --
- 5 what your amendment talks about here? Is this --
- 6 or is this bill --
- 7 REP. BARKER: Could you give me the page?
- REP. HENRY: Back page.
- 9 REP. BARKER: Very last page?
- 10 REP. HENRY: Of your balloon.
- REP. BARKER: All right, of the balloon.
- 12 Your question, sir?
- REP. HENRY: No. 3, and it's almost to
- the last sentence in No. 3, severability.
- 15 REP. BARKER: Right.
- REP. HENRY: Severability.
- 17 REP. BARKER: I'm going to turn to Jason
- on that. He was the -- go ahead, Jason.
- MR. LONG: In that balloon, Subsection
- (c)(3) is a statement, a finding of fact by the
- legislature. The final sentence would be the
- finding that the risk of disrupting education is
- unacceptable to the legislature, and as a result,
- 24 provisions of this act should be considered as
- 25 severability.



- If the committee recalls, I believe there was
- 2 testimony yesterday on the severability provision.
- 3 I believe Representative Barker inquired as to
- 4 what a severability provision does in an Act, and
- 5 so this is a finding of fact by the legislature
- 6 supporting the policy change to
- 7 -- in the bill to make the Act severable.
- 8 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: And again, to fully
- 9 clarify, our intent here is to do everything we
- 10 can to make sure the schools are open. If there
- 11 are sections the Supreme Court wants to revisit,
- this gives them flexibility because we are
- considering over \$4,000,000,000 in funds and we
- want to make sure our schools can be open.
- REP. BARKER: And also, if they should
- 16 find part of it unconstitutional, they could
- 17 proceed with -- we could proceed with the rest of
- the statute until we got Court clarification and
- 19 the schools would remain open.
- 20 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Any other discussion
- of the preamble? Representative Wolfe Moore.
- REP. WOLFE MOORE: I just have a
- question, Mr. Chair. So on the back side on B, it
- talks about adequacy also: "Furthermore, the
- evidence before the legislature confirms the total



- 1 amount of school funding meets or exceeds the
- 2 Supreme Court's standard for adequacy." We
- 3 haven't really -- we haven't really talked about
- 4 adequacy, yet. Why is that in there?
- 5 REP. BARKER: Well, we have until the
- 6 Court tells us what adequacy is. That's pending.
- 7 So we are saying it's adequate now, but the Court
- 8 took that matter under advisement. They are going
- 9 to render a decision at some point in time and I
- 10 want them to understand that that's -- our
- position is that we -- we are adequate until they
- tell us what we need to do, if they choose to do
- 13 it.
- 14 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Also, I'd like to add
- that we heard testimony again in our Joint
- 16 Committee that demonstrated the funding to produce
- excellent results of public education, and that's
- the final sentence in Section B.
- 19 Representative Ballard.
- REP. BALLARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- Just a question. If we said or exceeds the
- 22 Supreme Court's standard for adequacy, is that
- 23 comparable to what we say in the Constitution as
- suitable or are they totally different things?
- 25 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: I believe the Supreme



- 1 Court defined it as two different things. They
- 2 took suitability and split it between adequacy and
- ³ equity.
- 4 REP. BALLARD: Adequacy and what?
- 5 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Equity.
- 6 REP. BALLARD: Oh, equity. Thank you.
- 7 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Not seeing any further
- 9 questions, Representative, do you close?
- 9 REP. BARKER: I close.
- 10 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: All in favor of the
- 11 Barker amendment say aye? Opposed? Amendment
- 12 carries.
- Any other discussion, amendments? I'm not
- 14 seeing any.
- 15 Committee, we will turn our attention to
- 16 Senate Bill 59. This bill was heard in
- 17 Appropriations on March 15th. I'll ask our
- Revisor Daniel to confirm if the bill's contents
- were passed into the bill and 2015 needs to be HB
- 20 2111.
- MR. YOZA: That's correct. The contents
- of this bill have already been passed into law.
- 23 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Representative
- 24 Schwartz.
- REP. SCHWARTZ: I move to remove the



- 1 contents of Senate Bill 59 and place the contents
- of House Bill 2740 as amended into Senate Bill 59.
- 3 The House substitute for Senate Bill 59 we
- 4 recommend it favorably for passage.
- 5 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Second by
- 6 Representative Carpenter. Discussion? I'm not
- ⁷ seeing any. Representative, you may close.
- 8 REP. SCHWARTZ: I close.
- 9 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: All in favor --
- 10 Representative Henry.
- REP. HENRY: Mr. Chairman, I just was
- trying to ascertain if the motion from
- Representative Schwartz was to put it into 59, but
- 14 I was going to ask her, is this the double motion?
- And once we agree to this, then all discussion is
- over and we've voted the bill out of committee and
- no longer discussion is not available?
- REP. SCHWARTZ: I did have a motion, yes.
- 19 I made a motion to remove the contents of Senate
- Bill 59 and place the contents of House Bill 2740
- 21 as it was amended into Senate Bill 59. And then
- the double motion, the next part of it was that
- House substitute for Senate Bill 59 be recommended
- favorably for passage. Is that clear? Okay.
- REP. HENRY: May I have discussion, Mr.



1 (Chairman?	?

- 2 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Representative Henry.
- REP. HENRY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 4 I'll be voting no on the substitute for Senate
- 5 Bill 59. And to explain, I believe that became
- 6 pretty evident in our hearings that we had numbers
- 7 -- we'll have some school districts that -- and
- 8 I'm now hearing a number of school districts that
- 9 have a lot of concern about the motion of passing
- 10 Senate Bill 59 in the way of are we adequately
- addressing equity in our schools. I guess it's no
- more evident than we had a Bill 2731 that required
- the state to put some additional funding into
- 14 school finance to take care of the equity issue.
- I believe there are also a number of school
- 16 districts out there that are -- that have
- experienced enrollment increases, they have
- experienced cost increases. They've also -- we've
- 19 had a number of issues on property tax valuations
- that have dropped dramatically. That all tends to
- work on equity, and that's exactly why the Supreme
- 22 Court put those issues in there on equity is
- because no two school years are the same for all
- 24 school districts and some have tremendous amounts
- of variations in pupils, at-risk pupils and the



- 1 cost of providing schools.
- 2 So for us to say that our answer to equity is
- 3 to just give the same amount of money, I believe
- 4 the courts may have some issues to discuss on
- 5 that. So I will be voting no. And I really
- 6 wanted to make a statement, since we are now
- 7 putting into Court proceedings into the record, I
- 8 believe that I want it to reflect that we did have
- 9 a number of questions on whether this is truly an
- 10 equity solution for schools and I hope that the
- 11 schools will look at it.
- 12 As you know, I requested and hoped that the
- state school board, the Department of Education
- 14 keeps very good track of how schools are going to
- react to this bill, if it is passed, and if it is
- enacted by the Governor. Will the property
- taxpayer, the people that pay property taxes in
- this state, some that were probably going to be
- due some property tax reductions because of the
- 20 equity issue now will not receive it. I think we
- 21 are going to see some property taxes increased
- because of this bill because there will be some
- 23 school districts that will have to go find
- 24 additional operating expenditures and so there
- will be escalation of property taxes because of



- the inaction of the legislature.
- So, Mr. Chairman, that's my explanation for
- my no vote. Thank you for the time.
- 4 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Any other discussion?
- 5 Representative Rhoades.
- REP. RHOADES: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm
- 7 convinced, in 10 years of being here, that it
- 8 doesn't matter what we do. Unless we put more
- 9 money in each year, you know, somebody is going to
- 10 be upset.
- You know, I think it was evident by the fact
- that we had four neutrals on a bill that basically
- met the Court's requirements to the letter,
- winners and losers, and everybody was neutral. I
- don't buy this idea that, well, we didn't have
- time to get up here. Well, no, I think they
- purposely said let's just stay -- you know, let's
- 18 just stay quiet on this issue.
- 19 I think this is the better way to go. But as
- I said in our discussions on that first bill, I
- believe it's the legislature's job to provide an
- amount of money and that's where it stops.
- And suitable, adequate, one of the
- 24 superintendents of a large district told us he
- believes that we are doing an adequate job. I



- 1 agree with him.
- 2 So, and to the point of this not meeting the
- general equity issue, I think one of the proponents we had
- 4 has had 27 years in the legislature, has been an
- 5 attorney for all those times, went through Montoy,
- 6 went through Gannon, has gone through all these.
- ⁷ As he said, he slept with it under his pillow. I
- 8 think he well and objectively knows that this
- 9 addresses the Court's opinion on every letter.
- 10 And so for that reason, I will be supporting this
- bill. I don't think it solves our problem, but it
- does for one year and I look forward to the debate
- on the next part. Thank you.
- 14 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Thank you.
- Representative Grosserode.
- REP. GROSSERODE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- 17 I will be supporting this bill. And going back to
- what has been the discussion for now the last
- 19 couple weeks in regards to the equity formula and
- 20 -- and the information that we have found out in
- 21 the creation of these formulas that --
- specifically with the LOB formula, that the
- 23 percentage line of equalization or no equalization
- 24 was based not on anything of fact, but on a pot of
- money that was available at that time. That isn't



- 1 a scientific reality, that's just what money do we
- 2 have, let's create it.
- 3 There is nothing that says that the equity
- 4 formulas should not be the same. I would suggest
- 5 that the way we fund equity one way we, should
- fund it the other way. So this brings it together
- 7 so that they are funded the same.
- In addition, with what we had seen in
- 9 previous bills, districts were going to be harmed.
- 10 Some are going to be helped. Some of -- quite a
- bit of that money in previous bills was not going
- to reach the classroom. So, yes, there may have
- been taxpayers that would have received a -- that
- 14 the benefit would have been to the taxpayers, not
- to the district classrooms. And in this bill we
- do not see that. We see all districts being held
- harmless. There are no winners or losers.
- In addition, I think everyone in this room
- 19 could agree that we want our schools to open up
- next fall. We want to take that threat that our
- schools will not open off the table. We want to
- quiet the fear that was raised by the Court
- decision that the issue that the schools may not
- open is not the case.
- So thank you, Mr. Chair, I will be voting



- 1 yes.
- 2 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Representative Wolfe
- Moore.
- 4 REP. WOLFE MOORE: I will be voting no on
- 5 this bill. I don't know how we can say we are
- 6 holding people harmless when the districts are
- ⁷ harmed, and so we didn't fix the deficiencies in
- 8 Senate Bill 7. So I think all we've done is sort
- 9 of we changed the formula. We haven't added any
- new money to this or we have very little new money
- to this. And so I don't know that this will
- 12 satisfy the courts. I too want the schools to
- open, but I will not be supporting this bill.
- 14 Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- 15 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Representative Kleeb.
- MR. KLEEB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- Just recently we had House Bill 2731 which would
- 18 have created these disparities and would have
- 19 created winners and losers. And despite millions
- going to some winners, none of them showed up.
- 21 And I think what we have seen here is that the
- stakeholders want to be involved in the
- deliberative process to actually define what
- 24 equity might mean. And we have a district or two
- or a number of them actually closing schools, and



- we find that that's not part of equity. And we
- find that a good education and equal work means in
- 3 some districts that because they are declared
- 4 wealthy they have to shut schools down. That
- 5 doesn't seem right.
- 6 So I think we need to buy this time. I think
- 7 this is a good option. We've heard that this hold
- 8 harmless is definitely a process that's been done
- 9 in the past. If we have this winner/loser
- situation, we are going to be taking money out of
- the classroom, out of school operations from one
- 12 school and transferring it to another. How is
- that equity? How is that positive for the
- 14 children?
- And with that, this is an answer that we have
- here with 2740 that will get us through the next
- year so we can actually have a sensible
- deliberative process with the stakeholders at the
- 19 table. Thank you.
- 20 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Representative
- 21 Ballard.
- REP. BALLARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- I will be voting no on this, and my comment would
- be we heard testimony this morning that reflects,
- 25 at least for me, the dilemma we find ourselves in



- 1 today. We heard from a superintendent from one of
- the wealthiest districts as a proponent because,
- you know, his comment was fix it. And yet, we
- 4 heard from another superintendent with a growing
- 5 population in their district that also indicated
- 6 they were one of the poorest districts in the
- ⁷ district. And that, to me, is what our dilemma
- is, between those people that have and those
- 9 people that do not have.
- 10 2740 will not fix that because of just
- 11 holding them harmless and they'll get what they
- 12 had before. So maybe it's the best we can do, but
- it's not good enough for me to vote yes. Thank
- 14 you very much.
- 15 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Representative Lunn.
- REP. LUNN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
- 17 appreciate all the discussion and I know this is
- 18 something that's been going on way too long. We
- 19 had, going back, I think one of the previous
- speakers has been here quite a while and talked
- about it. This started way, way back when
- 22 basically a consultant came in and did a report
- and said here's what you need to do. And out of
- that report, they excluded a very key important
- 25 part that I still believe is something that we



- 1 need to address at some point in the future. We
- are not going to do it this year, obviously, but
- 3 it has to do with efficiency.
- I'd remind the body, or this committee, that
- 5 we had this block grant program that we started
- 6 that was in response to -- let's just look at the
- 7 last 10-year snapshot where we had only growth of
- 8 about 3 percent over the last 10 years of
- 9 students, up to 461,000 students in the State of
- 10 Kansas in K through 12. However, we funded
- through full-time equivalent funding. Over that
- same period of time, it grew 24 percent to over
- 800,000 FTE. I think it's been reported that we
- are currently spending close to \$4,000,000,000. I
- think the last three or four years, the Chairman
- 16 reported the other day, it was something over
- 400,000 in the last. There is no end.
- To Representative Rhoades' remark, I don't
- think you can get enough money. And until we
- figure out a way to focus on the classroom and
- getting the money in the classroom and not worry
- 22 about funding the institution on all sorts of
- weightings that may or may not be reliable in
- terms of determining what we really need to get
- into the classroom, I -- I would accept this and



- 1 encourage the committee to vote for this as a
- 2 stopgap, allow us to get back to the business of
- 3 trying to fix the formula on a permanent basis.
- 4 Until we do that, I think we are going to continue
- 5 this continued cycle of paying with the courts.
- I think let's get this one behind us. They
- 7 kind of interrupted our process of trying to
- 8 accomplish that. The threat of closing our
- 9 schools is not something that we can go into the
- 10 summer with allowing our kids and parents to be
- worried about. So I think this will be a terrific
- 12 fix for the short term and let's get back to the
- business of trying to do something about it over
- 14 the long term. Thank you.
- 15 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Representative Henry.
- REP. HENRY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My
- 17 remarks or questions are more for procedures here.
- 18 Since we now have these proceedings not only
- 19 recorded for minutes but also for the Court, will
- our votes, if we -- if we request a no vote, will
- those be shown up in the Court proceedings that we
- do have some individuals on the committee that did
- vote no?
- 24 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Yes. If they are
- asked to be recorded, they will be recorded.



- REP. HENRY: My next question: When we
- 2 hear this bill, and I guess since we now put it
- into a Senate bill, I guess -- I know you probably
- 4 can't answer this because this is beyond your
- 5 capabilities because you're not -- the Speaker
- 6 will do this. Will the whole body, all 125
- members, get a chance to discuss this bill on the
- 8 House floor?
- 9 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: I think you answered
- 10 your own question. Everything in this committee
- will be prepared to go to the floor for a full
- debate, or at least discussion on.
- REP. HENRY: Will either floor, either
- body, when they do discuss this, will those --
- will that debate and discussion be part of the
- 16 Court proceedings? Will there be a court reporter
- on the floor of the Senate or the floor of the
- 18 House?
- 19 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: That is to be
- determined, but if it's something you find
- beneficial, we can have that discussion.
- REP. HENRY: I'm not running this ship.
- 23 I'm just asking if that is going to be part of the
- 24 record for this bill?
- 25 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Again, that's probably



- 1 not a question for me. The committee that I'm
- 2 chairing, we did provide the transcriptionist to
- aid in the record. You bring up a good topic and
- 4 we can ask those who make that decision to make
- 5 the determination.
- 6 REP. HENRY: I'm just speaking for the
- 7 people and for the conferees who so eloquently
- 8 said that this is a great process that we have
- 9 Court proceedings, to get a lot of the recording.
- 10 Hopefully, they won't shortchange that process by
- limiting debate on the floor -- in the full body
- so that all members could have the opportunity to
- 13 make their -- make their issues known about the
- school funding and the equity part of this issue.
- So thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just trying to
- 16 get questions answered as to the process and the
- 17 proceedings.
- 18 CHAIRMAN RYCKMAN: Thank you. You know,
- 19 many of us in here, we wonder -- sometimes we want
- to do the same -- do things the same way and
- 21 expect that result. And if we were to continue
- with the formula, we go back to the additional
- equalization money that went in in HB2506 in 2014.
- We heard today testimony that a district received
- over \$11,000,000, but as a result they dropped



- their mills to 14. The money did not get to the
- 2 schools. This bill does not do that. That same
- 3 district has a mill right now of 49. That's one
- 4 of the ones that showed up and opposed this bill.
- 5 The other district that signed up in opposition
- 6 was at 56. I believe one of the districts that
- ⁷ showed up today and testified in favor, their mill
- 8 rate is at 68.
- 9 It's very difficult to find equity with a
- 10 math-like formula. Our schools are different, our
- 11 kids are different, our evaluations fluctuate.
- 12 But this is certainty that we will allow our
- schools to be open and that we've done our best to
- 14 find a situation that's satisfied what the Court
- 15 has asked us to do.
- With that, you've heard the motion for the
- House substitute for Senate Bill 59 be recommended
- 18 favorable passage. All in favor, say aye.
- 19 Opposed?
- Division has been requested. All in favor,
- raise your right hand. All opposed.
- Representative Henry would like his no vote
- recorded. Representative Carlin would like her no
- voted recorded. Representative Ballard would like
- her no vote recorded. Representative Wolfe Moore



- would like her no vote recorded. And not to be
- left out, Representative Finney would like her no
- yote recorded, as well. Final tally, 17 to 5.
- 4 The motion carries.
- 5 Any other work for -- we do not have any
- 6 other work for today. Tomorrow we are on call to
- 7 the Chair. I'm not sure we'll rise, but right now
- 8 nothing is scheduled. Thank you. We are
- ⁹ adjourned.
- 10 (THEREUPON, the hearing concluded at 2:45
- 11 p.m.)
- 12 .
- 13 .
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25



CERTIFICATE

STATE OF KANSAS

SS:

COUNTY OF SHAWNEE

I, Lora J. Appino, a Certified Court
Reporter, Commissioned as such by the
Supreme Court of the State of Kansas, and
authorized to take depositions and
administer oaths within said State pursuant
to K.S.A. 60-228, certify that the foregoing
was reported by stenographic means, which
matter was held on the date, and the time
and place set out on the title page hereof
and that the foregoing constitutes a true
and accurate transcript of the same.

I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties, nor am I an employee of or related to any of the attorneys representing the parties, and I have no financial interest in the outcome of this matter.

Given under my hand and seal this 24th day of March, 2016.

Source of Coppens

Lora J. Appino, C.C.R. No. 0602

